

UWL REPOSITORY

repository.uwl.ac.uk

Influence of soy fortification on microbial diversity during cassava fermentation and subsequent physicochemical characteristics of garri

Ahaotu, Ndidiamaka Nnenaya, Anyogu, Amarachukwu ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9652-7728, Obioha, Promiselynda, Aririatu, Lawrence, Ibekwe, Vincent Ifeanyi, Oranusi, Solomon, Sutherland, Jane P. and Ouoba, Labia Irene Ivette (2017) Influence of soy fortification on microbial diversity during cassava fermentation and subsequent physicochemical characteristics of garri. Food Microbiology, 66. pp. 165-172. ISSN 0956-7135

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.04.019

This is the Accepted Version of the final output.

UWL repository link: https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/8229/

Alternative formats: If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: <u>open.research@uwl.ac.uk</u>

Copyright: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy: If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at <u>open.research@uwl.ac.uk</u> providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Influence of so	y fortification of	on microbial d	liversity during	g cassava fer	mentation
---	-----------------	--------------------	----------------	------------------	---------------	-----------

2 and subsequent physicochemical characteristics of garri

- 3 Ndidiamaka Nnenaya Ahaotu^{a, b}, Amarachukwu Anyogu^{c1*}, Promiselynda Obioha^c,
- 4 Lawrence Aririatu^b, Vincent Ifeanyi Ibekwe^b, Solomon Oranusi^b, Jane P. Sutherland^c,
- 5 Labia Irene Ivette Ouoba^{c, d}
- ⁶ ^aDepartment of Food Science and Technology, School of Engineering and Engineering
- 7 Technology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria
- 8 ^bDepartment of Microbiology, School of Science, Federal University of Technology,
- 9 Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria
- ¹⁰ ^cMicrobiology Research Unit, School of Human Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences and
- 11 Computing, London Metropolitan University, 166-220 Holloway Road, London N7 8DB,
- 12 United Kingdom
- 13 ^dIndependent Senior Research Scientist & Consultant, Ouoba-Consulting, London, UK
- ¹ Present address: Amarachukwu Anyogu, Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of
- 15 Science and Technology, University of Westminster, 115 New Cavendish Street,
- 16 London W1W 6UW, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 (0)207911 5000 ext. 64585
- 17
- 18 Corresponding author: Amarachukwu Anyogu, Microbiology Research Unit, School of
- 19 Human Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences and Computing, London Metropolitan
- 20 University, 166-220 Holloway Road, London N7 8DB, United Kingdom.
- 21 Tel: +44 (0)20 7133 4154
- 22 E-mail: amara.anyogu@gmail.com

23 Abstract

24 This study investigated the influence of the addition of soy products on the microbiology, nutritional and physico-chemical characteristics of garri, a fermented cassava product. 25 26 Malted soy flour (MSF) and soy protein (SP) were separately added (12% w/w) to 27 cassava mash prior to controlled fermentation, while non-supplemented cassava mash 28 served as a control. Identification of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and aerobic mesophilic 29 bacteria was accomplished by repetitive sequence based (rep)-PCR analysis and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Physicochemical, nutritional and sensory characterisation of 30 31 control and soy-fortified garri was performed using conventional methods. rep-PCR allowed differentiation of 142 isolates into 41 groups corresponding to 6 species of LAB 32 33 and 25 species of aerobic mesophiles. LAB isolates belonged to the genera 34 Lactobacillus, Weissella, Leuconostoc and Lactococcus with Leuconostoc mesenteroides being the dominant species in control and MSF-cassava while Weissella 35 cibaria dominated SP-cassava fermentation. Aerobic mesophiles included Gram 36 positive and negative bacteria such species of the genera Bacillus, Clostridium, 37 Staphylococcus, Serratia, Acinetobacter and Raoultella. Diversity of aerobic 38 mesophiles varied between control, MSF- and SP- cassava mash. Protein content of 39 soy-fortified garri increased from 0.73% to 10.17% and 10.05% in MSF and SP garri 40 41 respectively with a significant decrease in total cyanide from 26 to 11 ppm. 42 Results from physicochemical and organoleptic evaluation indicate that supplementation of cassava with soy products prior to fermentation can produce 43 44 acceptable garri. Soy products can be considered a viable option for protein fortification 45 of garri, a low protein food with the aim of combating malnutrition.

46	Keywords: garri; cassava; soy products; fortification; lactic acid bacteria; aerobic
47	mesophiles
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60 61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	

71 **1. Introduction**

72 Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) and associated fermented products provide a cheap source of calories and play an important role in combating hunger in many 73 74 cassava-growing regions of the world. The use of cassava roots as food is limited as it is nutritionally deficient in terms of protein, vitamins and minerals (Ahaotu et al., 2011; 75 76 Obatolu and Osho, 1992; Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2003). Another drawback is the presence of toxic cyanogenic glucosides in unprocessed cassava. If cassava tissue is 77 damaged during harvest or storage, endogenous enzymes can hydrolyse these 78 glucosides to hydrocyanic acid. Cassava processing, usually via fermentation, is thus 79 vital in improving food security. 80 Garri is a gelatinized, granular, dry, coarse product obtained by roasting fermented, 81 82 dewatered cassava mash. It is by far the most popular form in which cassava is consumed and sold in many African countries, Nigeria in particular (Ernesto et al., 2000; 83 Oluwole et al., 2008). It is usually consumed as a stiff paste, eba, after mixing with 84 boiling water and eaten with stews as a main meal, or mixed with cold water as a snack 85 between meals. Garri is a good source of energy and fibre, with other nutrients of 86 87 marginal nutritional significance (Ikegwuet al., 2009). However, continuous consumption of garri without supplementation with meat, fish and/or other protein-rich sources may 88 result in protein deficiency (Agbon et al., 2010; Dakwa et al., 2005). West African diets 89 90 are largely based on starchy staples such as cassava, maize, rice, and sorghum, as access to high quality animal proteins can be limited due to expense and lack of 91 92 availability. Supplementation of cassava with good quality protein foods may aid in 93 combating problems of protein malnutrition associated with high carbohydrate diets.

94 Soybean is a highly nutritious food material with a high percentage of amino acids and fatty acids. It is an important source of protein for many groups of people around the 95 world. Soy protein is made from dehulled, defatted, soybean meal which can be 96 97 processed into three kinds of high protein commercial products: soy flour, concentrates and isolates (Igoe and Hui, 2001). The addition of soy products such as soy protein (SP: 98 80-90% protein) or malted soy flour (MSF; 55-65% protein) to cassava mash prior to 99 100 fermentation may improve the protein content of the final fermented product, garri. 101 Improving the protein content of cassava based products has been the focus of previous scientific investigations (Agbon et al., 2010; Ahaotu et al., 2011; Arisa et al., 102 103 2011; Eke et al., 2008). However, there is limited information regarding the use of soy products as a source of high quality protein for garri production with respect to both the 104 105 microbiology of the fermentation process and nutritional properties of fortified garri. The 106 purpose of this study was two-fold. First, to evaluate the influence of two soy products, 107 malted soy flour (MSF) and soy protein (SP) on the microbial population involved in 108 cassava mash fermentation, using molecular typing techniques to identify the 109 microorganisms involved. Secondly, to investigate the effect of soy fortification on 110 nutritional and sensory characteristics of garri.

111 2. Materials and Methods

112 2.1. Preparation of soy products

Soy protein (SP) was obtained from the National Soybean Research Laboratory (NSRL)
Illinois, United States. To prepare malted soy flour, soybeans were purchased from
Ekeonunwa market in Imo state, Nigeria. Malted soyflour (MSF) was produced by
steeping 2 kg of clean soybeans in 3 litres of water at ambient temperature (*ca* 28°C) for

117 10 h. Water was drained and soybeans spread on a moistened, sterile jute bag,

118 covered, and allowed to germinate for 48 h. The sprouts were sprinkled with water at

appropriate intervals during the germination period. Germinated soybeans were dried in

an air oven at 55 to 60°C for 24 h after which they were dehulled prior to milling into

121 flour (Fig. 1).

122 2.2 Production and sampling of soy fortified garri

123 Cassava tubers were obtained from a farm in Obinze, Imo state, Nigeria and washed, 124 peeled and rewashed three times with water to remove sand particles prior to grating (Kenwood Food Processor, FP 110). Cassava mash (1300 g) was combined with 180 g 125 126 of either MSF or SP. Cassava mash (1480 g) without soy supplementation served as control. Control, MSF and SP cassava mash were transferred into separate 127 128 polyurethane bags and fermented at 30°C for 72 h. During fermentation, 250 g of 129 samples of the fermenting mash were collected aseptically at 0, 24, 48 and 72 h for 130 microbiological analysis and garification. The garification procedure was conducted as 131 described by Akingbala et al., (2005) with slight modifications. Cassava mash (200 g) was dewatered using a hydraulic press. The dewatered cake was manually crushed on 132 133 a stainless-steel sifter, before roasting the filtrate on a hot pan over a low fire. The garified cassava granules were spread out in a thin layer and left to cool at ambient 134 temperature in a sterile environment before being packaged in zip lock airtight packs 135 136 and stored at - 2°C for further analysis. Three independent fermentation trials were conducted. 137

138 2.3 Microbiological analysis

139 2.3.1 Enumeration and isolation of bacteria from fermenting cassava mash. For all

140 samples, 10 g of fermenting cassava mash were aseptically transferred into stomacher

141 bags and homogenised in 90 ml sterile Maximum Recovery Diluent (MRD, Oxoid

142 CM0733, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) for 2 min using a paddle-type blender (Colworth 400,

143 AJ Seward, London, UK). From appropriate ten-fold dilutions, lactic acid bacteria (LAB)

144 were enumerated and isolated on deMan, Rogosa and Sharpe agar (MRS; Oxoid

145 CM0361) incubated anaerobically at 35°C for 72 h. Aerobic mesophiles were

enumerated and isolated on Nutrient agar (NA; Oxoid CM0003) incubated at 37°C for

147 48 h. Morphological characteristics of colonies recovered from MRS agar and NA were

148 examined and representative colonies were selected from appropriate dilutions.

149 Bacteria were separately isolated on NA or MRS agar and purified by streaking several

150 times on the same media as appropriate.

151 2.3.2 Phenotypic characterisation

152 Purified isolates were initially examined by colony and cell morphology as well as Gram,

153 catalase and oxidase reactions. Cell morphology was determined by light microscopy

154 (Nikon Model Eclipse, E400, Japan) and isolates were examined for Gram reaction

using the KOH method (Gregersen, 1978).

156 2.3.3 Differentiation of isolates at species and subspecies levels using rep-PCR

157 DNA extraction was carried out using InstaGene[™] matrix (Bio-Rad, 732-6030, Hemel

Hempstead, UK) following the manufacturer's instructions. Isolates were grouped at

159 species and subspecies levels using repetitive sequenced based PCR (rep-PCR) and

primer GTG5 (5'-GTG GTG GTGGTG GTG-3'; 5 pmol ml⁻¹) under the following

161 conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at

94°C for 30 s, annealing at 45°C for 1 min, elongation at 65°C for 8 min and final
extension at 65°C for 16min (Ouoba et al., 2008). Amplified PCR products were
separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. Gels were documented using the Gel Doc It
Imaging System (M-26X, UVP, Cambridge UK). Profiles were analysed using the Bionumerics system (Bio-Numerics 2.50, UPGMA Pearson Correlation, Applied Maths,
Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium).

168 2.3.4 Identification of bacteria using 16S rRNA gene sequencing

169 Bacteria were tentatively identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Amplification of the

170 16S rRNA gene was performed using forward and reverse primers; pA (5'-AGA-GTT-

171 TGA-TCC-TGC-CTC-AG-3'; 100 pmol μ l⁻¹) and pE (5'-CCG-TCA-ATT-CCT-TTG-AGT-

172 TT-3'; 100 pmol μ l⁻¹) based on conserved regions of the 16S rRNA gene as previously

described (Ouoba et al., 2008). Reaction conditions consisted of an initial denaturation

at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C

for 1 min followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Purified PCR products were

sequenced using the internal primer - pD (5'-GTA-TTA-CCG-CGG-CTG-CTG-3';

177 3.2 pmol μ l⁻¹). To determine the closest known relative species on the basis of 16S

178 rRNA gene homology, sequences were analysed using the Basic Local Alignment Tool

179 (BLAST) programme (National Centre for Biotechnology, MD, USA) against the

180 GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ sequence database and the EzTaxon server (Kim et al., 2012).

181 Sequences demonstrating the highest similarity in terms of closest relative species and

182 98.96 – 100.00 % homology were considered to belong to the same species.

183 2.4 Physicochemical analysis

184 At each time point, two samples were taken for analysis and each sample analysed in

185 duplicate.

- 186 2.4.1 Determination of pH and titratable acidity
- 187 At each sampling point, 10 g of either cassava mash or garri was homogenised in 90 ml
- distilled water using a stomacher and pH measured using a calibrated pH meter (Hanna
- 189 Instruments, UK). To measure titratable acidity, 10 g of the sample was homogenised in
- 190 100 ml of distilled water and filtered (Whatman, UK). 10 ml of the filtrate was titrated
- against 0.1M NaOH using 1% (v/v) phenolphthalein as indicator.
- 192 2.4.2 Proximate analysis
- 193 Moisture, ash, fat and protein content of garri was determined according to standard
- analytical methods (AOAC, 2006).
- 195 2.4.3 Determination of total cyanide
- 196 Cyanide content of fortified and non-fortified garri was determined using the picrate
- 197 paper kit method (protocol B2) as described by Bradbury et al., (1999).
- 198 2.5 Sensory Analysis
- 199 Eba is a stiff porridge made from mixing garri with boiled water. Twenty semi-trained 200 panellists familiar with both garri and eba, were selected from the students and staff of the Federal University of Technology, Owerri to determine the preference and 201 202 acceptability of the soy fortified garri samples when made into eba. The qualities 203 assessed were texture, aroma, bolus formation, colour and general acceptability. Each attribute was scored using a nine-point hedonic scale scorecard with 1 representing 204 'extremely dislike' and nine representing 'extremely liked.' (Weaver and Daniel, 2003). 205 206 2.6. Statistical analysis

- 207 Statistical differences between mean values were determined by analysis of variance
- 208 (ANOVA) and Least Significance Difference using Statistical Package for the Social

209 Sciences (SPSS version 10.0 SPSS Inc. Chicago, Illinois, USA).

210 3. Results

211 3.1 Microbiological analysis

212 During the control fermentation, there was an increase in the total aerobic count from 213 1.6×10^4 to 6.0×10^8 cfu/g and the LAB from 1.5×10^4 to 7.0×10^8 cfu/g during the 72 h 214 fermentation period. A similar pattern was observed for LAB and aerobic mesophiles growth in cassava mash supplemented with soy products over the same fermentation 215 period. In MSF- and SP- cassava mash, there was an increase in the total aerobic count 216 from 3.24 x 10⁵ to 1.51 x 10⁸ and 3.0 x 10⁵ cfu/g to 2.29 x 10⁹ cfu/g respectively. With 217 218 respect to the presumptive LAB population, there was an increase from 1.1 x 10⁴ to 2.2 x 10^8 cfu/g in MSF-cassava and from 1.1. x 10^3 to 2.6 x 10^9 cfu/g in SP-cassava. 219 220 A total of 142 bacterial isolates with variable macroscopic and microscopic 221 characteristics was obtained from the control and soy supplemented cassava mash. Presumptive LAB isolates (88) were characterised as Gram positive, catalase and 222 oxidase negative, cocci, bacilli and coccobacilli. Cluster analysis of rep-PCR profiles of 223 224 these isolates allowed classification into six groups representing four genera and six species (Fig. 2). Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene of isolates within each group 225 226 allowed identification at genus and species level (Table 1). Overall, Leuconostoc was 227 the most dominant genus and encompassed the species Leuconostoc mesenteroides (61.36%), Leuconostoc lactis (2.27%) and Leuconostoc fallax (2.27%). Other LAB 228

species identified were *Lactococcus lactis* (3.41%), *Weissella cibaria* (14.77%) with the
sole lactobacilli species being *Lactobacillus plantarum* (15.92%).

The LAB profile for fermenting unfortified and MSF – cassava mash was similar. Both
 fermentations were dominated by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* particularly during the

first 48 h of fermentation, followed by Lactobacillus plantarum. In cassava fortified with

SP, Weissella cibaria was the dominant LAB during the fermentation, followed by

235 Lactobacillus plantarum (Table 1)

Fifty-four (54) aerobic mesophiles in total were recovered on NA from both control and

fortified fermenting cassava mash and clustered based on 35 unique rep-PCR profiles

corresponding to 15 genera and 26 species (Fig 2, Table 1). The dominant genus within

this group was *Bacillus* (25.93%), isolated from all three cassava samples, while the

240 dominant species was *Bacillus cereus sensu lato* (16.67%). Four species of

241 Staphylococcus including Staphylococcus gallinarium, Staphylococcus epidermidis,

242 Staphylococcus warneri and Staphylococcus sciuri made up 16.67% of total aerobic

243 count. Gram negative bacteria isolated from control and soy-supplemented mash

included Raoultella planticola (7.41%), Serratia nematodiphila (7.41%) Pantoea

dispersa (1.85%), Pantoea vagans (1.85%), Pseudomonas hibiscicola (1.85%) and

246 *Klebsiella variicola* (1.85%). Apart from the common presence of *Bacillus*, diversity of

247 aerobic mesophiles varied according to sample (Table 1).

3.3 Physicochemical characteristics of soy fortified garri

The effect of soy fortification on the pH, titratable acidity, total cyanide and proximate composition of control, MSF- and SP- garri was determined (Table 2). Comparisons were considered significant where p < 0.05.

252 The pH of both soy-fortified garri samples was significantly higher than that of the 253 control sample with SP garri significantly higher at 5.16 than both MSF and control. No 254 significant changes were observed in the titratable acidity of both unfortified and sov-255 fortified garri. Fortification significantly improved the protein content of garri. Compared to unfortified garri with an average protein content of 0.73%, the protein content in MSF-256 and SP-fortified garri increased to 10.17% and 10.05% protein respectively. 257 258 Additionally, fortified garri had significantly lower cyanide concentrations. The cyanide content of MSF and SP garri was 11 mg kg⁻¹ compared to 26 mg kg⁻¹ in the control. 259 MSF garri had significantly higher fat content of 4.13% compared to the other two 260 samples although SP garri had an increased fat content than the control. Control and 261 SP garri had a significantly higher moisture content compared to MSF. Fortification with 262 263 SP significantly increased ash content of garri compared to MS fortification. 3.4 Sensory attributes of eba made from soy extract fortified garri 264 265 In eba produced from control and soy-fortified garri, features such as bolus formation, 266 texture, colour, aroma and general acceptability was assessed (Table 3). The combined data of the sensory attributes of eba indicated no significant differences in the 267

mean scores (p<0.05) for all samples and parameters studied. Soy fortified garri

269 compared favourably with control in overall acceptability, however, the colour of MSF-

270 fortified eba scored lower than both control and SP-fortified samples.

271 4. DISCUSSION

Cassava is an important food for millions of people who live in the tropics but its use as
a staple is limited due to its low protein content and potential cyanide toxicity. In many
Nigerian homes, cassava products such as garri are an essential part of the diet.

Strategies for fortifying local food to improve its nutritive quality without affecting safety
and quality attributes is an important research focus as part of the effort to combat
malnutrition and food insecurity (Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2003).

278 Supplementation of cassava mash with soy extracts did not have a marked effect on the

279 microbiology of cassava fermentation. The role of LAB during cassava fermentation is

well documented (Amoa-Awua et al., 1996; Kostinek et al., 2005; Oyewole and Odunfa,

1988). Lactic acid bacteria play an important role in acidification of the cassava,

282 contributing to desirable organoleptic characteristics of the final fermented product.

Acidification and production of other antimicrobial compounds by fermenting LAB strains 283 284 may prevent the growth and/orsurvival of foodborne pathogens, thereby improving food safety (Anyogu et al., 2014; Mante et al., 2003). The dominance of LAB strains during 285 286 cassava fermentation was not affected by the addition of soy extracts to cassava mash prior to fermentation. Cassava supplemented with MSF had the same LAB species 287 288 profile as the control, unfortified sample. Similar to reports by Coulin et al., (2006) and 289 Tsav-Wua et al., (2004) the predominant LAB recovered in this study was *Leuconostoc* 290 mesenteroides. However, this is not in agreement with other authors, who have 291 reported Lactobacillus plantarum as the predominant LAB present during cassava 292 fermentation (Kostinek et al. 2005; Obilie et al., 2004). In cassava supplemented with 293 soy protein *Weissella* spp. was the dominant LAB present. Although infrequently 294 associated with cassava fermentation, Anyogu et al. (2014) noted the presence of 295 Weissella during submerged fermentation of cassava. This supports the view that diversity of LAB is influenced by geographical origin, as well as the nature of the 296

fermentation process and underscores the importance of investigating the influence offortification on the microbial fermenting population.

299 Aerobic bacteria, particularly *Bacillus* spp., form a significant proportion of the microbial 300 population of fermenting cassava, where they are responsible for textural modification of cassava tissue (Amoa- Awua and Jakobsen, 1995). The presence of soy products in 301 302 fermenting cassava mash appeared to have a more noticeable effect on the diversity of 303 the aerobic population than on LAB. The addition of MSF in particular led to the 304 dominance of *Bacillus* spp., including *B. cereus* sensu lato compared to the control fermentation. This may be due to the increased protein content available during 305 306 fermentation as various species of *Bacillus* have repeatedly been associated with the 307 fermentation of protein rich soyfoods such as iru (Adewunmi et al., 2013), afiyo 308 (Ogunshe et al., 2007) and soy dawadawa (Dakwa et al., 2005; Omafvube, et al. 2000). 309 In addition, the pH of soy fortified garri was significantly higher than control. At pH 310 values below 4.2, as has been reported for garri (Achinewu et al., 2008; Tawo et al., 311 2009), *B. cereus* will generally exist as spores but at higher pH values, there may be an 312 increased likelihood of spore germination, outgrowth and multiplication of vegetative cells. Some studies aimed at evaluating the microbiological quality of fermented 313 314 cassava products have reported the presence of potentially pathogenic bacteria, 315 including Bacillus spp. and Enterobactericeae (Adebayo-Oyetoro et al., 2013; 316 Omafuvbe et al., 2007; Tsav-Wua et al., 2004). Consequently, our observation of B. 317 cereus and Gram negative bacteria such as Serratia nematodiphila, Pantoea dispersa, Raoultella planticola is cause for concern and warrants further investigation. 318 319 Observations by Udoro et al., (2014) suggest that lengthening the cassava fermentation

period could lead to lower pH values of garri. However, it is not uncommon for
processors to utilise shorter fermentation periods of 24 or 48 h, particularly when
demand for garri is high.

323 Previous studies aimed at improving the protein content of garri have focused on inoculating starter cultures (Ahaotu et al., 2011; Akindahunsi et al., 1999; Oboh and 324 325 Akindahunsi, 2003), protein rich biomass obtained from palm wine (Ogbo et al., 2009) 326 and groundnut flour (Arisa et al., 2011). The inclusion of high protein soy products in 327 fermenting cassava markedly improves the protein content of the final product garri and 328 can aid in combating malnutrition associated with predominantly carbohydrate diets. 329 The protein content of fortified garri (11%) was a considerable improvement on the unfortified garri (0.73%). Results further indicate that processing of cassava mash 330 331 during garri production does not lead to significant loss of protein content, confirming the results of Eke et al., (2008), although other authors have noted that the pressing, 332 333 sieving and frying of cassava mash for garri production can lead to a marked reduction 334 in protein content (Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2003). Of particular interest was the 335 significant reduction in cyanogenic glucosides of fortified garri. Fortification either 336 improved or at least did not negatively impact the proximate composition of garri. Supplementation of cassava mash with MSF and SP prior to fermentation did not affect 337 the general acceptability of garri, although slight modifications to the concentration of 338 339 MSF can be made to improve the colour of the final product to make it more desirable to 340 consumers.

Malted soy flour and soy protein may be considered viable options for protein
 fortification of garri. Addition of soy products does not affect the LAB fermenting

- 343 population and can significantly improve the protein content of a high carbohydrate
- 344 meal. These advantages must be balanced against a potential increase in *Bacillus*
- 345 population. Further research will focus on investigating the influence of soy fortification
- on microbial diversity during storage of garri.

347 Acknowledgments

- N. N. Ahaotu is grateful to the Federal University of Technology, Owerri for funding this
- 349 research through the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund) intervention programme.

350 **REFERENCES**

- 351 Achinewu, S.C., Barber, L.I., Ijeoma, I.O. 1998. Physicochemical properties and
- 352 garification (gari yield) of selected cassava cultivars in Rivers State, Nigeria. Plant Food
- 353 Hum. Nutr. 52, 133 140.
- Adebayo-Oyetoro, A.O., Oyewole, O.B., Obadina, A.O., Omemu, M.A., 2013.
- 355 Microbiological safety assessment of fermented cassava flour 'lafun' available in Ogun
- and Oyo States of Nigeria. Int. J. Food Sci. 2013, 845324.
- 357 Adewumi, G.A., Oguntoyinbo, F.A., Keisam, S., Romi, W., Jeyaram, K. 2013
- 358 Combination of culture-independent and culture-dependent methods for determination
- of bacterial community of *iru*, fermented from *Parkia biglobosa* seeds. Front. Microbiol.
- 360 3, 436.
- 361 Agbon, A. C., Ngozi, E. O., Onabanjo, O. O. 2010. Production and nutrient composition
- of *fufu*made from a mixture of cassava and cowpea flours. J. Culinary Sci. Technol. 8,
- 363 147-157.

- 364 Ahaotu, I., Ogueke, C.C., Owuamanam, C.I., Ahaotu, N.N., Nwosu, J.N., 2011. Protein
- improvement in gari by the use of pure cultures of microorganisms involved in the
- anatural fermentation process. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 14, 933-938.
- 367 Akindahunsi, A.A., Oboh, G., Oshodi, A.A., 1999. Effect of fermenting cassava with
- 368 *Rhizopusoryzae* on the chemical composition of its flour and garri. La Rivista Italiane
- 369 Delle Sostazze Grasse, 76, 437-440.
- Akingbala, J. O., Oyewole, O. B., Uzo-Peters, P. I., Karim, R. O., Baccus-Taylor, G. S.
- 371 H.,2005. Evaluating stored cassava quality in gari production. J. Food Agric. Environ.3,
- 372 75-80.
- 373 Amoa-Awua, W. K. A., Jakobsen, M., 1995. The role of *Bacillus* spp. in cassava
- 374 fermentation. Appl. Bacteriol. 79, 250 256.
- 375 Amoa-Awua, W. K. A., Appoh, F., Jakobsen, M., 1996. Lactic acid fermentation of
- 376 cassava into agbelima. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 31, 87 98.
- AOAC, 2006. Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official and Analytical
- 378 Chemists' 18th ed. D. C Publishing, Washington.
- 379 Anyogu, A., Awamaria, B., Sutherland, J.P., Ouoba, L.I.I., 2014. Molecular
- 380 characterisation and antimicrobial activity of bacteria associated with submerged lactic
- acid cassava fermentation. Food Control, 39, 119-127.
- 382 Arisa N.U., Omosaiye, O.B., Adelekan, A.O., Alabi-Macfoy, A., 2011. Chemical and
- 383 sensory qualities of garri fortified with groundnut flour. Afr. J. Food Sci. Technol.2, 116-
- 384 119.

- 385 Bradbury, M. G., Egan, S. V., Bradbury, J. H., 1999. Determination of all forms of
- cyanogens in cassava roots and cassava products using picrate paper kits. J. Sci. Food
 Agric. 79, 593-601.
- 388 Coulin, P., Farah, Z., Assanvo, J., Spillman, H., Puhan, Z., 2006. Characterisation of the
- 389 microflora of attieke, a fermented cassava product during traditional small scale
- 390 preparation. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 106, 131-136.
- 391 Dakwa, S., Sakyi, D., Diako, E., Annan, N. T., AmoaAwua, W. F., 2005. Effect of boiling
- and roasting on the fermentation of soybeans into dawadawa (soy-dawadawa). Int. J.
- 393 Food Microbiol. 104, 69 82.
- 394 Ernesto, M.S., Cardoso, A.P., Cliff, J., Bradbury J. H., 2000. Cyanogens in cassava
- 395 flour and roots and urinary thiocynate concentration in Mozambique. J. Food Comp.
- 396 Anal.13, 1-12.
- 397 Eke, U.B., Owalude, S.O., Usman, L.A., 2008.Fortification of a cassava meal (gari) with
 398 soybean protein extract. Adv. Nat. Appl. Sci. 2, 60-62.
- 399 Gregersen, T. (1978). Rapid methods for distinction of Gram-Negative from Gram-
- 400 Positive bacteria. Eur. J. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.5, 123 127.
- 401 Igoe, R. S., Hui, Y. H., 2001. Dictionary of food ingredients. Springer Science and
- 402 Business Media. Fourth edition. pp 135 136.
- 403 Ikegwu, O. J., Nwobasi, V. N., Odoh, M. O., Liedinma, N. U., 2009. Evaluation of
- 404 pasting and some functional properties of starch isolated from some improved cassava
- 405 varieties in Nigeria. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 8, 2310-2315.
- 406 Kim, O.S., Cho, Y.J., Lee, K., Yoon, S.H., Kim, M., Na, H., Park, S.C., Jeon, Y.S., Lee,
- J.H., Yi, H., Won, S., Chun, J., 2012. Introducing EzTaxon: a prokaryotic 16S rRNA

- Gene sequence database with phylotypes that represent uncultured species. Int. J.
 Syst.Evol.Microbiol. 62, 716–721.
- 410 Kostinek, M. Specht, I. Edward, V.A. Schillinger, U. Hertel, C., Holzapfel, W.H., Franz,
- 411 C.M., 2005.Diversity and technological properties of predominant lactic acid bacteria
- 412 from fermented cassava used for the preparation of gari, a traditional African food.Syst.
- 413 Appl. Microbiol. 28, 527–540.
- 414 Mante, E.S., Sakyi-Dawson, E., Amoa-Awua, W.K. 2003. Antimicrobial interactions of
- 415 microbial species involved in the fermentation of cassava dough into agbelima with
- 416 particular reference to the inhibitory effect of lactic acid bacteria on enteric pathogens.
- 417 Int. J. Food Microbiol. 89, 41–50.
- 418 Obatolu, V. A., Osho, S. M., 1992. Nutritional evaluation of staple foods in Lagos State.
- 419 (New project). In second year technical report. April 1, 1991 to April 30, 1992.
- 420 IDRC/IITA soybean utilization project phase. Osho, S. M. and K. E. Daniels (eds) IITA,
- 421 Ibadan, Nigeria. 194-207.
- 422 Oboh, G. O., Akindahunsi, A. A., 2003. Biochemical changes in cassava products (flour
- 423 and garri) subjected to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* solid media fermentation. Food
- 424 Chem. 82, 599-602.
- Obilie, E. M., Tano-Debrah, K., Amoa-Awua, W. K., 2003. Microbial modification of the
 texture of grated cassava during fermentation into akyeke. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 89,
 275-280.
- Obilie, E. M., Tano-Deborah, K., Amoa-Awua, W.K. 2004. Souring and breakdown of
 cyanogenic glucoside during the processing of cassava into akyeke. Int. J Food
- 430 Microbiol. 93,115-121.

431	Ogbo, F.C., Onuegbu, J.A., Achi, O.K., 2009. Improvement of protein content of garri by
432	inoculation of cassava mash with biomass from palm wine. Am. J. Food Technol. 4, 60-
433	65.

- 434 Ogunshe, A. A.O., Omotosho, M. O., Ayansina, A.D.V., 2007. Microbial studies and
- 435 biochemical characteristics of controlled fermented *afiyo-* a Nigerian fermented food
- 436 condiment from *Prosopisafricana* (Guill and Perr.) Taub. Pak. J.Nutr. 6, 620-627.
- 437 Oluwole, O.B., Olatunjii O. O., Odunfa S. A., (2008). Development and evaluation of a
- 438 process technology for conversion of dried cassava chips into *garri*. J. Ind. Res. Tech.
- 439 2, 21-30.
- 440 Omafuvbe, B. O., Shonukan, O. O., Abiose, S. H. 2000. Microbiological and
- 441 biochemical changes in the traditional fermentation of soybean for 'soy-daddawa' -
- 442 Nigerian food condiment. Food Microbiol. 17, 469 474.
- 443 Omafuvbe, B.O., Adigun, A.R., Ogunsuyi, J.L. & Asunmo, A.M., 2007. Microbial diversity
- in Ready-To-Eat fufu and Lafun-fermented cassava products sold in Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
- 445 Res. J. Microbiol. 2, 831-837.
- 446 Ouoba, L.I.I., Parkouda, C., Diawara, B., Scotti, C., Varnam, A.H., 2008. Identification
- 447 of Bacillus spp. from bikalga, fermented seeds of Hibiscus sabdariffa: phenotypic and
- 448 genotypic characterisation. J. Appl. Microbiol.104, 122–131.
- 449 Oyewole, O.B., Odunfa, S.A., 1988. Microbiological studies on cassava fermentation for
- 450 'lafun' production. Food Microbiol. 5, 125-133.
- 451 Udoro, E.O., Kehinde, A.T., Olasunkanmi, S.G., Charles, T.A., 2014. Studies on the
- 452 physicochemical, functional and sensory properties of *gari* processed from dried
- 453 cassava chips. J. Food Process. Technol. 5, 1000293.

454	Tawo, E.N., Abara, A.E., Malu, S.P., Alobi, N.O., 2009. Evaluation of pH levels in some
455	common carbohydrate food items consumed by communities in the central senatorial
456	district of Cross River State, South-South of Nigeria. Pak. J. Nutr.8, 1387 -1390.
457	Tsav-Wua, J.A., Inyang, C.U., Akpapunam, M.A., 2004. Microbiological quality of
458	fermented cassava flour 'kporumilin.' Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 55, 317-324.
459	Weaver, C.M., Daniel, J. R. 2003. Laboratory flour mixtures in: The Food chemistry
460	laboratory 2 nd edition, CRS Press, pp 85-89.
461	
462	
463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	

Time of	Control			MSF -fortified			SP-fortified		
fermentation/Ori gin ^a	Bacteria	Rep- PCR pattern⁵	Identification ^c	Bacteria	Rep- PCR pattern	Identification	Bacteria	Rep- PCR patter n	Identification
0 h	A1	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A42	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A64	5	Weissella cibaria
	A2	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A36	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL40	29	Staphylococcus gallinarium
	A3	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A37	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL43	30	Staphylococcus gallinarium
	A4	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A38	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL42	33	Staphylococcus sciur
	A5	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A39	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL44	33	Staphylococcus sciur
	A6	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A40	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL41	32	Staphylococcus epidermidis
	A7	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A41	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides			•
	NL51	7	Pantoea dispersa	NL56	15	Clostridium beijerinckii			
	NL1	9	Microbacterium paraoxydans	NL58	15	Clostridium beijerinckii			
	NL52	10	Microbacterium azadirachtae	NL53	16	Clostridium beijerinckii			
	NL53	11	Microbacterium azadirachtae	NL19	17	Bacillus cereus sensu lato			
	NL2	12	Exiguobacterium indicium	NL26	17	Bacillus cereus sensu lato			
	NL3	12	Exiguobacterium indicum	NL22	22	Bacillus mojavensis			
	NL4	13	Pseudomonas hibiscicola	NL29	26	Bacillus pumilus			
	NL5	14	Acinetobacter oleivorans	NL24	24	Bacillus aerophilus			
	NL7	14	Acinetobacter oleivorans	NL25	35	Paenibacillus pabuli			
	NL6	34	Staphylococcus warneri	NL55	35	Paenibacillus pabuli			
	NL8	37	Brachybacterium rhamnosus	NL31	35	Paenibacillus pabuli			
				NL21	28	Chryseobacterium bernadetii			
				NL23	12	Exiguobacterium indicum			
24 h	A14	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A43	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A65	5	Weissella cibaria
	A15	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A44	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A66	5	Weissella cibaria
	A8	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A45	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A67	5	Weissella cibaria
	A9	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A46	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A68	5	Weissella cibaria
	A10	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A47	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A69	5	Weissella cibaria
	A11	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL32	18	Bacillus cereus sensu lato	A70	5	Weissella cibaria
	A12	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL33	12	Exiguobacterium indicum	A71	4	Lactococcus lactis
	A13	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL34	36	Serratia nematodiphila	NL45	31	Staphylococcus gallinarum
	NL9	38	Klebsiella variicola				NL46	39	Raoultella planticola

469 Table 1: Identification of microorganisms from control and soy supplemented fermenting cassava mash

^aOrigin – Non-supplemented (Control), MSF (Malted soy flour), SP (soy protein) ^bRep-PCR, Repetitive sequence based
 PCR ^cIdentification based on 16S rRNA gene sequences

- 472
- 473

Time of	Control (Unfortified)			MSF-fortified			SP - fortified		
fermentation/Ori gin ^a	Bacteria	Rep-PCR pattern ^b	Identification ^c	Bacteria	Rep- PCR pattern	Identification	Bacteria	Rep- PCR pattern	Identification
24 h	NL10	8	Pantoea eucalypti				NL47	19	Bacillus cereus sensu lato
	NL11	36	Serratia nematodiphila						
	NL12	36	Serratia nematodiphila						
	NL13	36	Serratia nematodiphila						
	NL14	41	Staphylococcus						
			saprophyticus						
48 h	A16	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A48	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A72	2	Leuconostoc lactis
	A17	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A49	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A73	2	Leuconostoc lactis
	A18	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A50	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A74	4	Lactococcus lactis
	A19	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A51	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A75	5	Weissella cibaria
	A20	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A52	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A76	5	Weissella cibaria
	A21	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A53	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A77	5	Weissella cibaria
	A22	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A54	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A78	5	Weissella cibaria
	A23	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A55	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A79	5	Weissella cibaria
	A26	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides				A80	6	Lactobacillus plantarui
	A24	6	Lactobacillus plantarum				A81	6	Lactobacillus plantaru
	A25	6	Lactobacillus plantarum				A82	6	Lactobacillus plantarui
	NL15	34	Staphylococcus warneri				NL48	39	, Raoultella planticola
	NL16	21	Bacillus cereus sensu lato				NL49	39	, Raoultella planticola
	NL17	21	Bacillus cereus sensu lato				NL50	39	Raoultella planticola
72 h	A27	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A60	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A83	4	Lactococcus lactis
	A28	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A61	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A84	5	Weissella cibaria
	A29	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A62	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A85	6	Lactobacillus plantaru
	A30	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A63	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A86	6	Lactobacillus plantaru
	A31	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A57	1	Lactobacillus plantarum	A87	6	Lactobacillus plantaru
	A35	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A58	1	Lactobacillus plantarum	A88	3	Leuconostoc fallax
	A32	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	A59	1	Lactobacillus plantarum	A89	3	Leuconostoc fallax
	A33	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	NL35	20	Bacillus cereus sensu lato			
	A34	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	NL36	23	Bacillus cereus sensu lato			
	NL54	27	Bacillus aryabhattai	NL37	25	Bacillus aerophilus			
	NL18	20	Bacillus cereus sensu lato	NL38	25	Bacillus aerophilus			
				NL39	40	Lysinibacillus macroides			

474 Table 1(contd.): Identification of microorganisms from control and soy supplemented fermenting cassava mash

^aOrigin – Unfortified cassava (Control), MSF (Malted soy flour), SP (soy protein) ^bRep-PCR, Repetitive sequence based
 PCR ^cIdentification based on 16S rRNA gene sequences

477

478

479

Table 2 Effect of fortification with soy products on the chemical composition of garri 480

	Parameters						
Samples	Protein (%)	Fat (%)	Ash (%)	Moisture (%)	Total cyanide (mg kg ⁻¹)	рН	Titratable acidity (%)
Control	0.73 <u>+</u> 0.12 ^b	0.39 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^b	1.06 <u>+</u> 0.80 ^b	6.30 <u>+</u> 0.55ª	26.41 + 9.80 ^a	4.79 + 1.14°	0.54 + 0.003ª
MSF	10.17 <u>+</u> 0.44ª	4.13 <u>+</u> 0.09 ^a	1.90 <u>+</u> 0.42 ^{ab}	5.56 <u>+</u> 0.61 ^b	11.08 + 3.91 ^b	4.96 + 0.90 ^b	0.63 + 0.003 ^a
SP	10.05 <u>+</u> 2.02ª	1.17 <u>+</u> 2.91 ^b	2.09 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^a	6.38 <u>+</u> 0.69 ^a	11.02 + 2.53 ^b	5.16 + 0.86ª	0.81 + 0.004 ^a
Values rep	present means c	of duplicate ex	kperiments <u>+</u> s	tandard devia	tion. Values with the	he same supe	erscript in a co
not signific	antly different (p	o < 0.05).					
Keys: Con	trol = Unfortified	I MSF = Malte	ed soy flour SF	P = Soy protei	n.		
-			-		$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
			XO				
		•					
			X				
		~0					
		G					

496	Table 3: Sensory	/ attributes of eba	produced from so	y-fortified garri
-----	------------------	---------------------	------------------	-------------------

Sample/Time of	Texture	Colour	Aroma	Bolus	General
fermentation				formation	acceptability
Control/0 h	6.70 ± 1.66^{a}	6.00 ± 2.00^{b}	7.95 ± 1.05^{a}	7.30 ± 2.00^{a}	6.85 ± 1.76^{a}
Control/24 h	6.55 ± 1.88^{a}	6.45 ± 1.36^{a}	5.30 ± 1.95^{a}	5.40 ± 1.79ª	5.85 ±1.60 ^a
Control/48 h	7.25 ±1.62 ^ª	6.80 ± 1.96^{a}	7.30 ± 1.38^{a}	7.30 ± 1.26ª	7.40 ± 1.60^{a}
Control/72 h	7.70 ± 1.38^{a}	7.20 ± 1.94^{a}	7.50 ±1.15ª	7.25 ± 1.59ª	7.50 ± 1.47^{a}
MSF/0 h	6.05 ± 2.31^{b}	5.55 ± 1.93 ^b	5.20 ± 1.99^{a}	6.35 ± 1.95^{a}	6.10 ± 1.92^{a}
MSF/24 h	6.00 ± 2.00^{b}	5.80 ± 2.09^{b}	4.55 ± 2.33^{a}	6.00 ± 1.86^{a}	5.70 ± 1.87 ^a
MSF/48 h	7.55 ± 1.51ª	6.95 ± 1.64^{a}	5.35 ± 2.03^{a}	6.35 ± 2.06^{a}	6.55 ±1.73 ^a
MSF/72 h	7.45 ± 1.61ª	6.75 ± 1.62^{a}	5.20 ± 2.07^{a}	6.05 ± 1.93^{a}	6.40 ± 1.54^{a}
SP/0 h	7.20 ± 1.67ª	6.75 ± 1.59^{a}	6.40 ± 1.96^{a}	6.35 ± 1.84 ^a	6.45 ± 1.57^{a}
SP/24 h	6.80 ± 2.09^{a}	7.05 ± 1.39^{a}	6.95 ± 1.43^{a}	6.50 ± 1.88ª	6.65 ± 1.42^{a}
SP/48 h	7.25 ± 1.65ª	7.45 ± 1.36^{a}	6.95 ± 1.23^{a}	7.00 ± 1.59 ^a	7.15 ± 1.69 ^a
SP/72 h	7.65 ± 1.27ª	7.00 ±1.49ª	6.35 ± 1.76^{a}	6.40 ± 1.76 ^a	6.75 ± 1.77 ^a
Values are mea	ans <u>+</u> standard	deviation of two	enty panellists.	Values with the	e same
superscript in a	column are not s	ignificantly differ	ent (p <u><</u> 0.05).		
Keys:					
Control= Garri n	nade from unforti	fied cassava ma	sh		

- 502 MSF = Malted soy flour
- 503 SP = Soy protein
- 504 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h = Time of cassava fermentation before garification

- 511 Figure Caption
- 512 Fig 1: Flow chart of the preparation of soy protein and malted soy flour fortified garri
- 513 Fig 2: Dendrogram of cluster analysis of rep-PCR fingerprints of lactic acid bacteria and
- aerobic mesophiles isolated from control and soy-fortified cassava mash. The
- 515 dendrogram is based on Dice's coefficient of similarity with the unweighted pair method
- 516 with arithmetic averages clustering algorithm (UPGMA). Numbers in brackets represent
- 517 the rep group number.

Cert C

- 518
- 519
- 520
- 521
- 522