Understanding feedback and feedforward: Insights drawn from project-based learning

Joseph Rizzuto and Efcharis Balodimou
School of Computing and Engineering
Civil Engineering and Built Environment

03 July 2019
Summary

• Introduction
• Project Based Learning (PBL)
• Group work in PBL
• Effective Feedback
• Feedforward
• Case Study 1 – Level 5
• Case Study 2 – Level 6
• Conclusions
1.0 Introduction

• Feedback plays an integral role in the success of Project-based learning.

• Important that students recognise when feedback is being provided and that it is constructive; not just backward-looking.

• **Feedback** should include explanations on aspects of the work which are relevant to subsequent assessments (HEA, 2013; Doughney, 2014).

• Focusing on particular factors helps feedback to function as **feedforward** for future work (Nicol and Macfarlane-Dick, 2006).
2.0. Project Based Learning (PBL)

• **PBL** is an **active and dynamic pedagogy** where students learn by enacting and solving real case scenarios involving, in our case, the design and construction of buildings and infrastructure.

• The student output expected in **PBL** requires **thinking** and **creativity** focussed on seeking satisfactory solutions which are usually balanced against client needs (Adams et.al., 2011).

• Assessment tasks in PBL need to be carefully defined to ensure they allow for **personal decision making** and also cover **learning outcomes** (Saidani and Rizzuto, 2000; May, 2009).
2.1. Group work in PBL

• Project-based design modules mostly involve **group work** which leads to enhanced communication and the development of inter-personal skills (HEA, 2013).

• **Assessment** in PBL is often via **group** and **individual** submissions.

• **Reflection** is required on group interaction and progression (Choi and Kim, 2016; Royalty, 2017).

• Individual submission is set to determine each student’s overall contribution.
2.2 Effective Feedback

• Students rely on **good quality, effective and timely** feedback to identify areas in which they are doing well and areas in need of development (QAA, 2018).

• Students’ understanding of the **feedback** they receive is therefore very important.

• **Feedback** is seen as an activity that helps accelerate student learning.

• Continual discourse creates a positive educational environment which enhances student performance and outcome.
2.3 PBL Feedforward

• Feedforward is important especially when there are two or more linked assessments.
• Feedback is positively used as feedforward to help develop the next assignment.

We will now look at two case studies involving PBL, feedback and feedforward.
• Case study 1– Level 5, Built Environment – Integrated construction Project
• Case study 2– Level 6, Civil Engineering, Structural design Project
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3.0 Case Study 1

Level 5 – Integrated Construction project

This is a two semester interdisciplinary module that includes students from three degree courses: (i) Architectural Design and Technology, (ii) Building Surveying and (iii) Construction Project management.

First semester: students work in mixed groups of 4-6 to produce a feasibility study and outline design for a student accommodation building.

Second semester: they develop the project individually focusing on their specific discipline professional roles.
3.1 Assignments

Assessment 1: S1 - Group work
Proposal required for student accommodation simulating a real life scenario. Interpretation of a client’s brief is required together with understanding the various stages of the design and construction processes.

Assessment 2: S2 - Individual work
Each student further develops the project from Assessment 1 individually relevant to their Course discipline.
3.2 Assessment and feedback

Assessment 1: Group work – 40% weighting

Site analysis and feasibility (weeks 1-6)  Brief, conceptual and outline design (weeks 7-13)

Wk 6 - Interim presentation

Formative and summative (15% weighting):
Tutor and peer feedback from presentation used to develop work further (feedforward)

Formative: Group tutorials and oral feedback on a weekly basis throughout the semester.

Formative and summative (25% weighting)

Wk 14 - Group Submission 1

Image acknowledgment:
Built Environment, Integrated Construction Project Module, semester 1, 2017 – 2018 group submission
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3.3 Assessment and feedback

Assessment 2: Individual work (60% weighting)

Materials and Environmental issues (All) → Site management / health and safety (CPM and BS)

Formative: 1-1 tutorials and oral feedback on a weekly basis throughout the semester.

Detailed design and Specification (ADT)

Image acknowledgment:
Built Environment, Integrated Construction Project Module, semester 2, 2017 – 2018 individual student submissions
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3.4 Feedback

**Complexity**

- Group and individual feedback
- Feedback on report writing and processes
- Feedback on Graphical content and Design
- Feedback for multidisciplinary content

1. **Formative/Oral Feedback:**
   Throughout the term in groups and 1-2-1 tutorials

2. **Formative/Summative:**
   at key milestones i.e. Presentations and final submission

3. **Feedforward:** Essential when two assignments are linked or after interim presentation to enable students to develop their work.
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4.0 Case Study 2

Level 6 – Structural Design Project

This is a semester 1 Civil Engineering module. Students work in groups of 3-6 students to produce three report submissions (2 No Group and 1 No individual work).

Assignments are:

• Part 1 – Conceptual Design Report (Group Work - 40%)
• Part 2 – Final Analysis & Design Report (Group Work - 40%)
• Part 3 – Individual Report (20%)
4.1 Assignments

Part 1 – Conceptual Design
Students working in groups aim to produce at least two distinct and workable concept solutions for the structures of the building. One of the concepts is recommended to the client.

Part 2 – Analysis & Design of recommended solution
For the recommended scheme selected in 1 (accordingly modified) final design calculations supported by annotated, scaled and dimensioned drawings are submitted by the groups.

Part 3 – Individual Report
Individuals reflect on group dynamics and delegation of work. Problems and difficulties encountered and how these were overcome to be addressed.
4.2 Assessment and feedback

- **Week 1**: Part 1 - Conceptual Design Report (Group Work) (40%)
- **Week 5**: Part 2 - Final Analysis & Design Report (Group Work) (40%)
- **Week 11**: Part 3 - Individual Report (20%)
- **Week 14**: Summative feedback

Formative feedback
4.3 Development of a new Headquarters office building

A single-storey fully glazed restaurant area is required with a minimum number of internal columns. The structure is to be exposed and aesthetically pleasing.

Internal partitions are not load bearing but one line only of internal columns is acceptable on either of the lines of the internal partitions.

Shaded area of the shorter return requires to be free of any internal partitions and any columns at ground floor level whilst still maintaining the floor depth limits.

Acknowledgment: Office Building adapted from past IStructE CEng Part 3 Exam.
4.4 Part 1 - Conceptual design
4.4 Part 1 - Conceptual design

Non-composite floor slab support detail
(not to scale)
4.5 Part 2 - Recommended Final design
4.6 Part3 - Individual Report

Fig.1. Plan example

Image acknowledgment: BEng H Civil Engineering, Structural Design project Module, semester 1, 2018 – 2019 submission

Part 3 - Individual Report
Areas for consideration:

- Conceptual design required at least two different structural concepts for the development.
- Consider the importance of ensuring the appointing of a person as the project leader from the start of the project to coordinate the tasks and group workload.
- Create sub teams to determine and coordinate sub tasks.
- Consider the importance of making use of technology such as SharePoint group folders, Skype video conferencing and WhatsApp groups all to help with remote communication.
- Consider hand analysis methods (possibly making use of spreadsheets) in the first instance and as a starting point as an alternative to the immediate use of analysis software.
- Consider that the Project provides the opportunity to experience a complex and realistic design scenario.
- Consider that the Project design scenario provides a unique learning opportunity in teamwork.
- Project scenario provides the opportunity for the development of employability graduate skills such as leadership, teamwork, communication, critical thinking and problem solving.
- Recognise that there are a number of challenges to group work that includes unequal participation, easy for someone to avoid work, time consuming, everyone’s work requires to be double checked for correctness, personality clashes and inaccurate and late individual contributions.
- Appoint someone to take responsibility for merging files together for group submissions.
- Recognise that when working with others, things do not always go to plan and allowance should be made for this.
- Ensure a clear chain of effective and constructive communication established, for example, between the calculations teams and the CAD teams.
- Recognise the importance of being able to explain ideas and intentions using a variety of means including drawings, sketches, calculations and written reports containing good use of English together with good presentation of material.
- Do not be reluctant or shy to ask for help when necessary.
- Consider client’s requirements and project limitations including construction methods to be used.
- Consider appropriate choice of materials.
- Provide detailed discussion of the technical solutions that were arrived at and why.
- Provide design assumptions statements.
- Functional framing sketches - structures should be idealised to show how they function and work.
- Critical review of framing options.
- Structural schemes sufficiently illustrated.
- Load paths and load transfer mechanisms correctly identified, for example, explain how the loads and actions on the structure are transferred from the point of application to the supporting ground.
- Overall stability considerations of the scheme. Structures should be designed to resist lateral forces in two orthogonal directions and applied forces resolved for other wall directions.
- Structural behaviour prediction understood and demonstrated.

Student
individual submission

Feedback
given by
tutor
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4.7 Feedback

**Complexity:**
- Group and individual feedback
- Feedback on report writing skills
- Feedback on conceptual and recommended solutions
- Feedback on individual submission

1. **Formative/Oral Feedback:**
Throughout the semester in groups and 1-to-1 tutorials

2. **Formative/Summative:**
at key milestones i.e. three submissions

3. **Feedforward:** Essential when two assignments are linked to enable students to develop their work.
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5.0 Conclusions

• Feedback plays an integral role in the success of Project-based learning.

• Important that students recognise when feedback is being provided and that it is constructive; not just backward-looking.

• Feedback should include explanations on aspects of the work which are relevant to subsequent assessments.

• Feedforward important especially when there are two or more linked assessments.
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