



UWL REPOSITORY

repository.uwl.ac.uk

Establishing effective learning management systems through simplicity

Mastoras, Theodoros, Fotaris, Panagiotis ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7757-7746>, Politis, Anastasios and Manitsaris, Athanasios (2005) Establishing effective learning management systems through simplicity. In: 5th WSEAS International Conference on Distance Learning and Web Engineering (DIWEB '05), 22-24 Aug 2005, Corfu, Greece.

This is the Published Version of the final output.

UWL repository link: <https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/452/>

Alternative formats: If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: open.research@uwl.ac.uk

Copyright:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy: If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at open.research@uwl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Rights Retention Statement:

Establishing effective Learning Management Systems through simplicity

THEODOROS MASTORAS, PANAGIOTIS FOTARIS, ANASTASIOS POLITIS, ATHANASIOS MANITSARIS
Applied informatics Dep.
University of Macedonia, Economic and Social Studies
156 Egnatias str. 54006 Thessaloniki
GREECE

Abstract: - Designing a Learning Management System (LMS) is a combination of pedagogical design, usability and information architecture. E-Learning systems should have intuitive interfaces and clear information design, allowing learners to concentrate on learning and course developers on learning strategies, thus making e-learning more efficient. Hence, effective LMSs should include sophisticated and advanced functions, yet their interface should hide their complexity. In this paper we present the key features of an experimental LMS which follows recent usability techniques in order to accomplish simplicity. The usage of computer file management as a metaphor for management and navigation between Learning Objects (LOs) along with a clear and unambiguous user interface allow users to focus on their learning activities without excessive hassle.

Key-Words: - E-Learning, Usability, Learning Management Systems, Learning Objects

1 Introduction

The recent progress in e-learning specifications and standardizations has contributed to the development of many quality LMSs. Many instructors use these LMSs in their courses. Yet, their successful functionality is not always without problems. Modern LMSs provide many services but are usually subjected to increased complexity and require experience in their use [1, 2].

For the development of qualitative educational material, the knowledge and skills needed are not quite widespread. Most of the available applications require the participation of professionals with multiple qualifications: content experts, learning designers, multimedia developers etc. At the same time, the contribution of professionals such as web administrators and e-learning technicians is required in most LMSs [3].

Aiming to simplify the services of an LMS, we began implementing an experimental system that uses learning objects inspired by the SCORM specification. In the following sections, we will present the current theoretical framework on which LMSs are generally based on, describe the problems regarding their complexity and outline the features of the proposed LMS. Future work and developments will be noted in the last section.

2 LMS specifications

The purpose of an LMS is to provide a virtual

environment for students with the functions of online learning, online discussion, performing learning activities (projects or exercises), taking assessments, recording learning history and finally delivering lessons in a series of learning units. An LMS also gives professors the ability to view and manage all previously described functions and services. In order to manage educational material, an LMS usually "breaks" content into "elements" which are called learning objects (LOs) [4].

Modern e-learning technology recognizes the importance of LOs. The goal of all specifications [5] is to produce educational content that will be:

- interoperable
- accessible
- durable
- reusable

One of the most widely used specifications is the one proposed by ADL in early 1999. It bears the acronym SCORM (Sharable Content Object Reference Model). ADL was established in 1997 by the American Department of Defense in collaboration with a number of American universities and firms. The main goal of SCORM is to provide a neutral pedagogical standard that allows course designers to link pedagogical resources together in order to build a curriculum [6]. SCORM aims at encouraging and supporting small, reusable, sharable course content, discoverable via metadata descriptors.

The SCORM specification defines a rather

complex XML metadata data model, consisting of over 60 metadata entries. It even has the ability to use recursive data structures [7]. Hence, the building of even a simple course that meets the specifications of SCORM requires the contribution of an experienced instructional designer.

3 The problem of complexity

The increasing popularity of e-learning has speeded up the pace in the development of current e-learning standards leading to many LMSs that, although they claim to meet some specifications, at the same time they are incompatible with educational content that adheres to the same specifications. In addition, even if the compatibility issues are to be resolved, other issues pertaining to uniformity may emerge. More specifically:

- the uniform appearance of educational content in various LMSs
- the uniform tracking of the student activities and their use of learning material
- the uniform appearance of learning objects without sacrificing their independence and reusability

This lack of uniformity causes confusion to both the developers of educational material and the managers of the educational process, thus discouraging instructors who are not familiar with Information and Communication Technologies to use LMSs.

One challenge for LMS developers is to simplify the development process of educational material that is compliant with the SCORM specifications. To deal with this issue many e-learning tools have been proposed, with most of them being rather poor in terms of features and capabilities. On the other hand the most powerful authoring applications such as Reload [8], Macromedia AuthorWare and Trivantis Lectora are difficult to use or require the knowledge of programming languages, thus hindering e-learning efforts. Many of the current tools for creating training material make use of rigid interaction schemes and awkward interfaces, thus demanding considerable time to learn and even more time in order for an instructor to come up with some useful results [9]. The creation of a technology-based course not only does it require a multimedia expert but a learning technologist as well.

Both commercial LMSs (Blackboard [10], WebCT [11]) and open LMSs (Dokeos [12], Moodle [13], Atutor [14]) appear to be very complex. This complexity derives from the large number of students, lessons and professors they support, the adoption of numerous specifications, and the

responsibility of management (administration of student registrations, certificates, events). In addition, the adaptation of such an application to the infrastructure of a small organization is difficult. The parameterization of characteristics such as language, appearance and services offered is not always possible.

Last but not least, we should not overlook the LMSs user interface. A poorly designed interface becomes a barrier to effective learning. Forcing students to spend longer time understanding poorly usable interfaces than understanding learning content disturbs accommodation of new concepts and overall retention of what is being learnt. The same applies to teachers, especially to those without prior experience regarding Information Technologies. Interfaces ought to concentrate on learners' needs and goals, providing a clear idea of content organization and system functionalities, simple navigation, advanced personalization of paths and processes. The user should be involved in the learning process without being overwhelmed [15].

4 Proposed LMS features

Ensuring usability, simplifying the use of system services and making the preparation of educational material easier were the main factors taken into consideration while implementing the proposed LMS. Our primary goal was to serve the needs of the Department of Applied Informatics (DoAI) Multimedia Laboratory, University of Macedonia. In addition to the above, that new LMS would form a basis through which to explore and experiment on the possibilities of learning objects. The initial design was intended to support a small number of professors and lessons. The project is currently being developed step by step with upgradeability in mind.

The proposed LMS was developed in ASP.NET and runs on a Microsoft Windows Server 2003, Enterprise Edition server. Microsoft IIS 6.0 serves as the web server and Microsoft SQL Server 2000, Enterprise Edition as the RDBMS. The main reason why we decided to use Microsoft's technology as the basic developing platform was our previous experience with it, in order to accelerate the development of the project. Among several features of the .NET application development, such as increased security, easy implementation and rapid development, our interest was mainly focused on the inherent features of XML file management and powerful Web Services development [16].

Although our LMS was designed with a focus on simplicity and aimed to serve a limited amount of lessons, it still provides all the services of a typical LMS:

- Network services (user authorization, roles and privileges management, site administration)
- Community Tools (forums, site searching, links)
- Course development and delivering services (curriculum progress, course authoring, quizzes, course delivering)
- Learning tracking services (learning path, time consumed by learner in each LO, assessments results, user profile).

The focal points of our efforts towards a simple yet attractive and engaging environment are the LOs. We have created an authoring environment where the instructor is capable of uploading on the LMS server whatever file that can be published on a web server (e.g. HTML, PDF, FLASH or POWERPOINT files). Each LO appears to be nothing more than a small web site to the instructor (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1: Authoring environment

Inspired by the SCORM specification we enable the instructor to create an aggregation model from the LOs simultaneously with their uploading. The procedure is similar to moving files between the folders of a hard disk, a task well known even to novice computer users. Moreover, the instructor can define metadata for each such LO, as keywords, links to other units, etc. An instructor with educational material in electronic form, such as word processor documents or presentation files, can build a course very quickly. The tree structure of the LOs is the learning path the student has to follow

during the lecture (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2: Learner's environment

The LMS's authoring environment is designed to make processing of the LOs' hierarchical structure as easy as possible, while at the same time provides auto-numbering of the LOs. In this way the LOs maintain an increased level of independency and can be easily reused for the development of other learning paths. The instructor performs the management procedures of the LOs as if they were files to be moved or copied into folders. Furthermore, the metadata of the LOs can be defined similarly to adjusting properties through a multitab form.

In order to maintain uniformity regarding all LOs, we decided to exclude design details of assessment LOs from the online environment. Self evaluation tests are implemented as simple Microsoft Access database files. For each test the professor should create a simple database containing only one table (Fig. 3). Instructors have a template of this database file in their computers. That template contains a simple form which should be filled in. Every record in this form corresponds to a question. After the form records (questions) are filled in, the database file can be saved using any suitable name. That database file, after compression, is uploaded to the LMS as any other LO. When the LMS needs to present this test to the student, it creates the web pages with the relevant questions dynamically (Fig. 4).

Another problem we tried to address was many LMSs' tendency towards forcing users to visit several different pages in order to complete a single task. In our case, the instructor's activities, both authoring and tracking a course, take place in a

single web page. The same applies to the learner; navigation and searching of LOs occur within the same page. Using "web panel controls" of the .NET developing platform allows us to create user-friendly web pages with very fast response times, while at the same time our LMS maintains the look of a robust window application. The user interface retains options for the most common services only, thus providing an easy interaction grasping the students' interest.




Fig. 3: Building a test in Microsoft Access




Fig. 4: Learner's view of a test

5 Future work

A major upgrade regarding the integration of SCORM specification in our LMS is currently under way. Our main goal is to make the proposed LMS able to include any SCORM course in its course structure and additionally export its courses in a SCORM form. To this aim, our main tool is the Web Services technology.

The planned integration of more aspects of the Web Services technology will also provide faster web page response times. The student's browser would communicate asynchronously with the LMS, calling the proper Web Services in order to download only the necessary parts of the Learning Path Structure and corresponding course content. As a result, the whole learning experience could be richer and smoother.

Finally, an evaluation of the usability of the proposed LMS has been scheduled in order to isolate learning problems with particular e-learning packages and propose methods to correct them. Furthermore, this usability testing will obtain an overall figure of merit of the usability of the system to allow comparisons between different LMSs.

6 Conclusion

We have discussed several issues related to the complexity problem of LMSs and presented an experimental LMS that aims at simplicity, featuring:

- simple and user-friendly authoring of courses and assessments
- use of independent learning objects
- dynamic publishing of LOs and user-friendly navigation

The proposed LMS provides users with a LOs management and navigation environment using the metaphor of a computer's operating system files management, thus immediately making them understand their basic functionality.

We are currently using our LMS as a LOs potential experimentation platform as well as a Web Services utilization platform. In future development we are going to deal with:

- upgrading it to a SCORM compliant LMS
- improving its user interface
- finalizing its database schema
- performing a usability evaluation

A usability testing of the proposed LMS has already been planned for the next academic semester. However, the results and feedback received from its current use in courses such as "Introduction to Multimedia Systems" and "Introduction to Computer Graphics" are more than encouraging. Professors with no or little experience in e-learning technologies have already created adequate LOs, while students have participated with increased interest.

References:

- [1] Zane L. Berge, "Barriers to online teaching in post-secondary institutions: Can policy changes fix it?", *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, Vol.1, No.2, 1998. Available from <http://www.westga.edu/~distance/Berge12.html> (Accessed March 15, 2005).
- [2] Barbara K. Mc Kenzie, Nancy Mims, Elizabeth Bennett, Michael Waugh, "Needs, concerns, and practices of online instructors", *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, Vol.3, No.3, 2000. Available from <http://www.westga.edu/~distance/ojdl/fall33/mckenzie33.html> (Accessed March 15, 2005).
- [3] Fabio Chacon, "Mind-Mapping for Web Instruction and Learning", 2004. Available from http://www.olin.org/conferences/OLN2003/papers/FUS_Chacon.pdf (Accessed March 28, 2005)
- [4] LTSC/IEEE, "final 1484.12.1 LOM Draft Standard", June 12, 2002. Available from http://ltsc.ieee.org/wg12/files/LOM_1484_12_1_v1_Final_Draft.pdf (Accessed March 15, 2005).
- [5] Advanced Distributed Learning, "Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM) 2004 2nd Edition", 2004. Available from <http://www.adlnet.org/downloads/70.cfm> (Accessed March 15, 2005).
- [6] Semrau Penelope, Boyer A. Barbara, "Venturing Into SCORM With a Government Project", *Proceedings from the 19th Annual Conference on Distance Teaching and Learning*, 2003. Available from http://www.uwex.edu/disted/conference/Resource_library/proceedings/03_45.pdf (Accessed March 15, 2005).
- [7] Changtao Qu, Wolfgang Nejdl, "Integrating XQuery-enabled SCORM XML Metadata Repositories into an RDF-based e-Learning P2P Network", 2003. Available from http://www.kbs.uni-hannover.de/~changtao/journal_scorm.pdf (Accessed March 15, 2005)
- [8] Reload, "Metadata and Content Packaging Editor", 2005. Available from <http://www.reload.ac.uk/tools.html> (Accessed June 13, 2005).
- [9] Merrill M. David, "Components of instruction: toward a theoretical tool for instructional design" 2000, pp. 3. Available from <http://www.id2.usu.edu/Papers/Components.PDF> (Accessed March 15, 2005).
- [10] Blackboard Inc., "Blackboard Inc. Blackboard Academic Suite Capabilities", 2005. Available from http://www.blackboard.com/docs/AS/Bb_Academic_Suite_Whitepaper_Capabilities.pdf (Accessed March 15, 2005).
- [11] WebCT Inc, WebCT Campus Edition™ 6, WebCT Course Management System, 2005. Available from <http://www.webct.com> (Accessed June 13, 2005).
- [12] Dokeos Documentation, 2005. Available from <http://www.dokeos.com/documentation.php> (Accessed June 13, 2005).
- [13] Moodle, 2005. Available from <http://moodle.com> (Accessed June 13, 2005).
- [14] ATutor Documentation, 2005. Available from <http://www.atutor.ca/atutor/docs/index.php> (Accessed June 13, 2005).
- [15] C. Ardito, M. De Marsico, R. Lanzilotti, S. Levialdi, T. Roselli, V. Rossano, M. Tersigli, "Usability of E-Learning Tools", in *Proceedings of the working conference on Advanced visual interfaces* May 25-28, 2004, Gallipoli (Italy), pp. 80-84. Available from <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/989863.989873> (Accessed June 30, 2005)
- [16] Microsoft Developer Network Library, 2005. Available from <http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp> (Accessed June 13, 2005).