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- Brief background: context for the research
- What is Pyramid?
- Evaluating Pyramid
- Research findings: a snapshot
- Implications, challenges and future directions
- Questions
Background: CYP’s mental health

“Mental health problems have important implications for every aspect of young people’s lives” (Chief Medical Officer, DH, 2013, p.78)

• Psychological distress among CYP is growing and many do not access timely and appropriate support (Thorley, 2016)

• Mental health problems in childhood & adolescence are associated with multiple poor outcomes (e.g. Gibb et al., 2010; Mychailyszyn et al., 2010)

• Foundations for good mental health and SEWB are laid during childhood and adolescence and impact across the life course (Carta et al., 2015)

• A public health priority? (DH, 2015; HCHC, 2014)
Mental well-being: the role of schools

A common access point for mental health services?

• Better placed to address school-related stressors
• Can ease the pressure on specialist CAMHS
• Facilitates a wider culture within schools that values mental health and wellbeing

But..

• Competing school priorities; schools can be ‘overwhelmed’ (NCB & NHS Confederation, 2013; Taggart et al., 2014)
• Evidence-based models of good practice (e.g. Pyramid)
Pyramid is a manualised, school-based intervention that supports quiet, withdrawn, socially isolated children and young people (aged 7-14 years) to develop socio-emotional competences and enhance their well-being.

Primary school, transition group, and secondary school Pyramid clubs
A strengths-based approach

Resilience: “the perfect target for preventative strategies focused on children’s mental health” (FitzHerbert, 1997, p31)

Building friendships, developing confidence

http://www.uwl.ac.uk/pyramid/welcome
Aims of the Pyramid evaluation

• Addressing gaps in the extant evidence base
• Does Pyramid impact on the emotional health of pupils in early secondary education?
• Does Pyramid impact on pupils’ school performance?
• What are the ‘active ingredients’ involved in Pyramid that bring about change?
Study design

“Understanding how and why programs work, not simply whether they work, is crucial” (Dixon-Woods et al., 2011)

**SEWB measures**: Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire (Goodman, 1997; Goodman et al., 1998); WBQ (New Philanthropy Capital, 2010)

**School performance measures**: Subject ability self-concepts; progress in English and Mathematics (NC levels)

---

**Quantitative strategy**
- T1 and T2 informant-rated questionnaire data collection
- Inferential statistical analysis of short-term outcomes
- Addressing RQ1 & RQ2: intervention effectiveness
- T3 informant-rated questionnaire data collection
- Inferential statistical analysis of 12-month follow-up data; Sustainability of intervention effects
- Integrating the research findings; Satisfying the research objectives; and addressing the research questions

**Qualitative strategy**
- Focus groups with Pyramid attendees
- Focus groups with Pyramid club leaders
- Thematic analysis of focus group data
- Addressing RQ1 & RQ2: intervention effectiveness
- Addressing RQ3: intervention processes & mechanisms of change

**Triangulation of method**
Quantitative results: a snapshot

- Objective and subjective measures of SEWB demonstrated significant improvements in socio-emotional well-being for the Pyramid group at short-term and longer-term follow-up with large effects.

Table 1. Participants’ Total Difficulties scores (SDQ: Goodman, 1997) at T1, T2 and T3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UK SDQ norms: (age11-15) Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Baseline (T1) Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post-test (T2) Mean (SD)</th>
<th>12-month follow-up (T3) Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pyramid group</strong></td>
<td>6.30 (6.1)</td>
<td>14.40 (4.94)</td>
<td>9.24 (5.43)**</td>
<td>9.11 (5.42)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparison group</strong></td>
<td>5.43 (5.09)</td>
<td>5.20 (5.40)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.61 (5.50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001**
Quantitative results: a snapshot

• Impact was not moderated by gender, ethnicity or SES

• Comparison group of non-intervention peers showed minimal fluctuations across the same time period

• Significant cross-over interaction for pupils’ subject ability self-concept: Pyramid had a ‘buffer effect’ on typical ‘dip’ phenomenon characteristic of this developmental period
Qualitative results: a snapshot

• Thematic analysis of service user and club leader data provided evidence to support Pyramid’s effectiveness (SEWB and school performance outcomes)

“It helped me with my confidence, for making new friends and stuff like that” (Jessica, Sc4)

“They both ask questions and are so much more interactive in class” (Club leader 5, Sc5)

• Behaviour change drivers identified

BCPs: setting; delivery; and content of Pyramid

BCTs: demonstration and practice; social reward; social support; and goal setting

• Legacy and development of the Pyramid model

“I think it’s about how it’s affected them in the long-term...it’s opened doors for them really” (Club leader 2, Sc5)

“If another club ran you could talk to them about what it’s like ‘cos you’ve already done it” (Perseus, Sc6)
Implications, challenges, future directions…

- Pyramid five-part model

- Developing partnerships and networks
- Fluctuations in funding and other macro level changes
- Enabling emotionally healthy children and young people achieve their potential

“I used to get bullied and stuff which basically put me inside of a shell but Pyramid helped to break that shell.”
(Year 7 Pyramid club member, 2015)
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