UNIVERSITY OF WEST LONDON
The Career University

UWL REPOSITORY

repository.uwl.ac.uk

Leadership: sense-making on current issues : handbook of reviews

Machokoto, Washington ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3613-3553 (2026) Leadership: sense-making on current issues : handbook of reviews. London Publishers, London, UK. ISBN 9781066940615

This is the Published Version of the final output.

UWL repository link: https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/15561/

Alternative formats: If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: open.research@uwl.ac.uk

Copyright: ===== DO NOT USE =====

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy: If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at open.research@uwl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Rights Retention Statement:

LEADERSHIP

SENSE-MAKING ON CURRENT ISSUES

Handbook of Reviews



Dr. Washington Machokoto

LEADERSHIP

Sense-making on current issues

Handbook of Reviews

1st Edition @ 2026

Contributors:

Mehrshad Dashbalaghi – Chapter 3

Deborah Dauyoumor – Chapter 12

Mamilan Hussein – Chapter 16

Copyright © 2026 by Dr. Washington Machokoto

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the author.

Dedication

It is with deep affection and heartfelt appreciation that I dedicate this book to my family, Barbara, Cynthia, Noel and Joel, as my way of saying thank you for the loving support over this long period. I hope that your presence and influence, which remain in me, are captured in this book.

BOOK SUMMARY

This textbook examines a range of leadership styles and their effects on organisational performance, employee well-being, innovation, and long-term success. It argues that no single leadership style is universally effective; instead, the best approach depends on factors such as organisational goals, workplace culture, industry regulations, and environmental uncertainty.

Leadership styles such as democratic, participative, and transformational encourage collaboration, innovation, motivation, and employee engagement, making them well suited to dynamic and changing environments. In contrast, autocratic, bureaucratic, task-oriented, and transactional leadership provide structure, efficiency, and clear direction, making them effective in regulated industries, emergencies, or stable environments, although they may reduce creativity and employee morale if overused.

The textbook also highlights people-oriented, servant, coaching, ethical, charismatic, laissez-faire, and authoritative leadership. These approaches focus on employee development, trust, empowerment, ethical behaviour, or inspiring a shared vision. While they can improve job satisfaction, resilience, and organisational culture, each has limitations, such as dependence on leader charisma or reduced accountability if insufficient guidance is provided.

Overall, the text concludes that effective leadership is a strategic capability that requires adapting leadership style to the situation. By selecting the most appropriate approach, leaders can improve organisational performance, support employee well-being, navigate change, and achieve long-term competitive advantage.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Washington Machokoto
(PhD, MEd, MRes, MA, PGCE, PgCert, BA (Hons), HND; MCIPD, FHEA, FSASS, CMI)

Dr Washington Machokoto is a Senior Lecturer in Business and Management and MBA Course Leader at the Claude Littner Business School, University of West London. He teaches a range of undergraduate and postgraduate modules in business and management, including Strategy and Innovation. His teaching and research focus on employee voice, employee commitment, and leadership, with a particular interest in how leadership practices influence employee engagement, organisational performance, and sustainable organisational success.

An active researcher and published scholar, Dr Machokoto has authored numerous articles in internationally recognised peer-reviewed journals, including the Journal of International Business and Management, the International Journal of Psychology and Cognitive Science, and the Asia Journal of Interdisciplinary Research. His research spans key areas of organisational behaviour and leadership, such as employee commitment, employee voice, charismatic leadership, and servant leadership, offering valuable insights into effective leadership and people management.

Through his research, teaching, and academic leadership, Dr Machokoto is committed to advancing leadership scholarship and bridging the gap between theory and practice. His evidence-based research equips organisations and leaders with practical strategies to foster engaged, committed, and high-performing workforces in an increasingly dynamic business environment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION 1

1.1 Introduction 1

1.2 Overview of Leadership and Follower 2

1.3 Systematic Review 4

1.4 The Structure of the Book 6

Chapter 2: DEMOCRATIC LEADERSHIP 7

2.2 Introduction 7

2.2 Overview of Democratic Leadership 9

2.3 Democratic Leadership 11

2.4 Conclusion 22

Chapter 3: AUTOCRATIC LEADERSHIP 26

3.1 Introduction 26

3.2 Characteristics of Autocratic Leadership 27

3.3 Autocratic Leadership 30

3.4 Conclusion 47

Chapter 4: BUREAUCRATIC LEADERSHIP 49

4.1 Introduction 49

4.2 Overview of Bureaucratic Leadership 51

4.3 Bureaucratic Leadership 54

4.4 Conclusion 62

Chapter 5: CHARISMATIC LEADERSHIP 65

5.1 Introduction 65

5.2 Overview of Charismatic Leadership 67

5.3 Charismatic Leadership 69

5.4 Conclusion 86

Chapter 6: LAISSEZ-FAIRE LEADERSHIP 89

6.1 Introduction 89

6.2 Overview of Laissez-Faire Leadership 91

6.3 Laissez-Faire Leadership 94

6.4 Conclusion 104

Chapter 7: PARTICIPATIVE LEADERSHIP 107

7.1 Introduction 107

7.2 Overview of Participative Leadership 109

7.3 Participative Leadership 111

7.4 Conclusion 123

Chapter 8: PEOPLE-ORIENTED LEADERSHIP 127

8.1 Introduction 127

8.2 Overview of People-Oriented Leadership 129

8.3 People-Oriented Leadership 131

8.4 Conclusion 146

Chapter 9: SERVANT LEADERSHIP 150

9.1 Introduction 150

9.2 Overview of Servant Leadership 152

9.3 Servant Leadership 154

9.4 Conclusion 167

Chapter 10: TASK-ORIENTED LEADERSHIP 170

10.1 Introduction 170

10.2 Overview of Task-Oriented Leadership 172

10.3 Task-Oriented Leadership 174

10.4 Conclusion 188

Chapter 11: TRANSACTIONAL LEADERSHIP 191

11.1 Introduction 191

11.2 Overview of Transactional Leadership 193

11.3 Transactional Leadership 195

11.4 Conclusion 210

Chapter 12: TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP 213

12.1 Introduction 213

12.2 Overview of Transformational Leadership 215

12.3 Transformational Leadership 217

12.4 Conclusion 235

Chapter 13: ETHICAL LEADERSHIP 238

13.1 Introduction 238

13.2 Overview of Ethical Leadership 240

13.3 Ethical Leadership 243

13.4 Conclusion 259

Chapter 14: COACHING LEADERSHIP 263

14.1 Introduction 263

14.2 Overview of Coaching Leadership 265

14.3 Coaching Leadership 267
14.4 Conclusion 283
Chapter 15: AUTHORITATIVE LEADERSHIP 286
15.1 Introduction 286
15.2 Overview of Authoritative Leadership 288
15.3 Authoritative Leadership 290
15.4 Conclusion 304
Chapter 16: CASE STUDIES 307
16.1 Case Study 1 307
16.2 Case Study 2 310
16.3 Case Study 3 313
16.4 Case Study 4 316
16.5 Case Study 5 319
Chapter 17: CONCLUSION 322
Democratic Leadership 322
Autocratic Leadership 322
Bureaucratic Leadership 322
Charismatic Leadership 322
Laissez-Faire Leadership 323
Participative Leadership 323
People-Oriented Leadership 323
Servant Leadership 323
Task-Oriented Leadership 323
Transactional Leadership 323

Transformational Leadership 324
Ethical Leadership 324
Coaching Leadership 324
Authoritative Leadership 324
Overall Conclusion 324
REFERENCES 325

Chapter 1:
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter introduces the book by outlining its purpose, scope, and structure. As the first edition, it serves as a pilot study that systematically examines contemporary issues in leadership and establishes a foundation for future research. Each chapter critically explores current challenges associated with a specific leadership approach before the concluding chapter synthesises the recurring themes and identifies broader issues emerging across the leadership literature. To ensure methodological rigour and transparency, the book adopts a systematic literature review approach, drawing on recent and relevant scholarly evidence to provide an up-to-date analysis of contemporary leadership research.

Leadership is a concept encountered across organisations, communities, and public life, yet its meaning remains highly contested and context-dependent (Rees & French, 2016). Despite decades of research, there is no universally accepted definition, reflecting the complexity of leadership as both a social process and an organisational phenomenon. One of the most frequently cited definitions is attributed to Dwight D. Eisenhower, who described leadership as "the art of getting someone else to do something you want done because he wants to do it." This perspective emphasises influence and voluntary commitment rather than authority alone and continues to shape both leadership theory and practice. Similarly, Bass (2019) defines leadership as inspiring individuals to work willingly towards shared organisational goals, while Rees and French (2016) characterise leaders as individuals who influence the attitudes and behaviours of others. Reed et al. (2019) further argue that leadership involves motivating others to achieve collective objectives and facilitating organisational change, regardless of whether influence stems from formal authority or informal relationships.

The expansion of leadership research has produced a diverse range of theories, styles, and approaches, making leadership one of the most extensively studied yet conceptually fragmented topics within organisational research (Northouse, 2019). Carton (2022) highlights this fragmentation by identifying enduring debates over whether leadership should be understood as the characteristics of individual leaders or as a relational process of leading, and whether it acts as a cause or consequence of organisational outcomes. While this diversity has enriched theoretical development, it has also generated overlapping concepts and inconsistent terminology, making it increasingly difficult for researchers and practitioners to identify coherent evidence.

More recently, scholars have argued that leadership has become normalised within everyday organisational discourse. Learmonth and Morrell (2021) observe that individuals occupying formal hierarchical positions are now routinely described as "leaders," while Czarniawska (2018) argues that terms such as *leader* and *follower* have become default organisational labels that often escape critical examination. This normalisation is reflected in the growing literature on everyday leadership (Buchanan & Kern, 2017; Devor & Dayeh, 2023) and studies examining daily leadership behaviours across diverse organisational settings (Bakker et al., 2025; Chan et al., 2025; Scott et al., 2025; Ellingsen, 2026). Collectively, these studies suggest a shift from viewing leadership as the responsibility of exceptional individuals towards understanding it as a distributed, routine practice embedded in everyday work. However, critical scholars argue that this shift is not without consequences. Learmonth and Morrell (2021) and Czarniawska (2018) contend that the widespread use of leadership language may obscure organisational power relations, reinforce managerial ideologies, and privilege elite interests. Similarly, Kellerman (2024) argues that leadership is an ordinary feature of organisational and social life, but cautions that ineffective leadership and follower dynamics are equally pervasive.

Given the breadth, diversity, and ongoing conceptual debate within the leadership literature, there is a clear need to synthesise contemporary evidence systematically. This book addresses that need by employing a systematic literature review to critically examine current research across different leadership approaches. By integrating recent evidence, it seeks to identify emerging themes, clarify conceptual inconsistencies, and provide a comprehensive understanding of the current issues shaping leadership research and practice.

1.2 Overview of Leadership and Follower

"What leadership style is ideal for followers?"

Leadership has long been recognised as a relational process that exists through its interaction with followers. Rather than being an individual attribute, leadership is shaped by the reciprocal relationship between leaders and followers, which determines how influence is exercised, interpreted, and legitimised. This relational perspective has attracted sustained scholarly interest across philosophy, history, politics, anthropology, psychology, and management. Classical thinkers, including Aristotle (1946, 1986), Plato (1956), Confucius (1997), and Socrates (Brun, 1978), all viewed leadership as inseparable from the communities it serves. Socrates, in particular, portrayed leadership as both empowering and precarious, arguing that genuine leadership derives not solely from authority but from the willingness of followers to confer legitimacy and support. This early understanding foreshadows contemporary theories that conceptualise leadership as a process of influence grounded in trust, reciprocity, and social interaction rather than positional authority.

Despite centuries of scholarship, leadership remains one of the most extensively researched yet conceptually contested fields within organisational studies. Contemporary research increasingly conceptualises leadership as a dynamic social process in which leaders and followers

jointly shape organisational outcomes. Effective leadership is consistently associated with improved organisational performance, employee engagement, innovation, and long-term sustainability, whereas ineffective leadership can undermine organisational effectiveness (Northouse, 2019, 2025). However, the proliferation of leadership theories, including transformational, authentic, servant, ethical, distributed, and shared leadership, has resulted in considerable conceptual fragmentation. While this diversity reflects the complexity of leadership across organisational contexts, it has also made it difficult to establish which leadership approaches are consistently supported by empirical evidence.

A notable shift within the leadership literature has been the growing recognition of followers as active participants rather than passive recipients of leadership. Earlier models largely portrayed followers as individuals who responded to leader behaviours, whereas contemporary research demonstrates that followers actively construct, negotiate, and influence leadership itself. Hollander (1992) challenged the traditional leader-centric paradigm by arguing that leadership and followership are complementary and mutually dependent roles. Subsequent studies have reinforced this perspective, showing that followers actively shape leadership through compliance, collaboration, resistance, and feedback processes (Kean et al., 2011; Oc & Bashshur, 2013). Increasingly, leadership is understood as a co-constructed process in which influence flows in multiple directions rather than exclusively from leaders to followers.

Empirical evidence strongly supports the importance of leader–follower relationships, although it also reveals their complexity. Large-scale quantitative studies consistently demonstrate that transformational and inspirational leadership positively influence follower engagement, wellbeing, performance, and organisational commitment (Dvir et al., 2017; Salas-Vallina et al., 2020; Bakker et al., 2022; Hancock et al., 2023). Likewise, meta-analytic evidence involving more than 170,000 participants indicates that follower characteristics—including personality, gender, and individual perceptions—account for substantial variation in leadership evaluations, suggesting that leadership effectiveness is partly constructed through followers' interpretations rather than leader behaviour alone (Wang et al., 2019). These findings challenge traditional assumptions that leadership effectiveness resides solely within leaders, instead highlighting the reciprocal nature of leader–follower interactions.

Recent research further demonstrates that influence within leader–follower relationships is bidirectional. While transformational leadership encourages innovation, organisational commitment, and positive behavioural outcomes among followers (Jun & Lee, 2023), followers also shape leaders' attitudes, behaviours, and effectiveness. Studies show that follower awareness, support, and engagement can influence leaders' organisational commitment and decision-making (Munir & Ghani, 2024), while humble leadership enhances followers' workplace status and leadership potential (Lin et al., 2024). Emerging evidence from digital workplaces further challenges hierarchical assumptions by demonstrating that leadership is increasingly co-created through emotional interaction, communication, and shared engagement rather than formal authority alone (Zhang, 2025). Similarly, neuroscientific research suggests that behavioural and

neural synchronisation between leaders and followers predicts collective performance, providing biological evidence for leadership as a shared relational process (Zhang et al., 2023).

Nevertheless, the literature also cautions against idealising leader–follower relationships. Although positive leadership behaviours generally improve employee wellbeing and organisational outcomes, they may also produce unintended consequences. Einola and Alvesson (2021), for example, argue that highly supportive leadership can foster follower dependency, reducing autonomy and limiting team effectiveness. Ford and Harding (2018) similarly question whether followership research inadvertently reinforces hierarchical assumptions by constructing the very categories of leaders and followers that it seeks to examine. These critiques suggest that leadership and followership should not be viewed as fixed identities but as socially constructed and context-dependent processes that evolve through organisational interaction.

Recent empirical evidence continues to reinforce the centrality of leader–follower relationships across diverse organisational settings. Studies involving healthcare, hospitality, financial services, and multinational organisations consistently report positive associations between relational leadership behaviours and employee wellbeing, job satisfaction, organisational citizenship, innovation, and performance (Roche et al., 2026; Caniëls et al., 2026; Ghias & Zahur, 2026; Iqbal et al., 2026). However, much of this evidence remains concentrated on positive leadership behaviours, with comparatively limited attention given to contextual influences, follower diversity, power relations, and the long-term sustainability of leadership interventions. Consequently, although the evidence base is extensive, important gaps remain regarding how leadership operates across different organisational cultures, digital environments, and evolving forms of work.

Given the continued expansion and increasing complexity of leadership research, the challenge is no longer the availability of evidence but its integration. The diversity of concepts, theories, and empirical findings has produced a fragmented knowledge base that requires systematic synthesis to distinguish robust evidence from conceptual overlap. This book therefore adopts a systematic literature review to critically evaluate contemporary leadership research, identify recurring themes and emerging issues, reconcile conflicting findings, and highlight priorities for future research. Such a synthesis provides a stronger evidence base for advancing leadership theory while informing organisational practice in an increasingly complex and dynamic environment.

1.3 Systematic Review

Systematic reviews are widely regarded as the most rigorous form of evidence synthesis because they employ explicit, transparent, and reproducible methods to minimise bias in identifying, appraising, and synthesising research (Brignardello-Petersen et al., 2025). Unlike traditional narrative literature reviews, which may be influenced by selective study inclusion and author interpretation, systematic reviews follow predefined protocols that enhance transparency and methodological consistency (Caldwell & Bennett, 2020; Needleman, 2002). Their structured

process typically involves developing a focused research question, conducting comprehensive searches, applying explicit eligibility criteria, critically appraising study quality, and synthesising findings through either qualitative or quantitative methods (Khan et al., 2003; Licenco et al., 2021). Consequently, De Cassai et al. (2025) argue that systematic reviews provide more reliable conclusions because they draw on the totality of available evidence rather than selectively chosen studies.

Despite these methodological strengths, the claim that systematic reviews represent the highest level of evidence should be interpreted cautiously. Their validity remains dependent on the quality, consistency, and availability of the included primary studies. As the principle of "garbage in, garbage out" suggests, even a methodologically robust review cannot compensate for weak or biased underlying evidence. Although systematic reviews can clarify conflicting findings, identify research gaps, and strengthen theoretical understanding (Hu et al., 2026), they may also be constrained by publication bias, language restrictions, heterogeneous study designs, and inconsistent outcome measures, all of which can limit the reliability and generalisability of their conclusions. Similarly, while Larsson and Eidrup (2026) emphasise methodological transparency as a defining strength, transparency alone does not eliminate bias if the available evidence base is incomplete or of poor quality.

The systematic literature review is particularly appropriate for leadership research because it enables the critical synthesis of a large and diverse body of evidence accumulated over several centuries (Stogdill, 1974; Bass, 1981). Boland et al. (2017) argue that systematic reviews provide an evidence-based approach for answering practical research questions by using secondary data to evaluate existing studies critically. Likewise, Feldman (1971) positions systematic reviewing as a legitimate research methodology in its own right, capable of generating new knowledge through the organised integration of previous theory and empirical research rather than merely summarising existing literature. However, given the conceptual diversity of leadership research, systematic reviews must carefully justify their inclusion criteria and synthesis methods to avoid oversimplifying complex and context-dependent findings.

The methodological principles underpinning systematic reviews have remained remarkably consistent over time. Early frameworks proposed by Khan et al. (2003), later summarised by Beverley (2004), identify five core stages: formulating the review question, identifying relevant literature, assessing study quality, synthesising evidence, and interpreting findings. More recent guidance (Brignardello-Petersen et al., 2025; Licenco et al., 2021) largely refines rather than replaces these stages, reflecting a mature methodology that has become central to evidence-based research across disciplines.

Nevertheless, the growing popularity of systematic reviews has raised important methodological concerns. Although systematic reviews have been a cornerstone of evidence-based practice since the 1980s, their rapid proliferation has led to a rise in redundant and low-quality reviews (Larney, 2026). This trend suggests that the value of a systematic review depends not only on adopting the methodology but also on the rigour with which it is conducted and reported. The

emergence of multiple appraisal tools for evaluating review quality (Ban et al., 2026) further underscores that systematic reviews themselves require critical assessment. Therefore, while systematic reviews generally provide more robust and transparent evidence than traditional literature reviews, they should not be viewed as inherently superior; rather, their credibility depends on the methodological quality of both the review process and the primary evidence on which they are based.

In summary, Systematic reviews are a rigorous form of evidence synthesis that use explicit, transparent, and reproducible methods to identify, appraise, and synthesise all relevant studies on a defined research question while minimising bias (Brignardello-Petersen et al., 2025; Larsson & Eidrup, 2026). Unlike traditional literature reviews, they follow a structured process that typically includes formulating research questions, conducting comprehensive literature searches, assessing study quality, and synthesising findings through qualitative or quantitative methods (Khan et al., 2003; Licenco et al., 2021). Systematic reviews use secondary data to integrate existing research, clarify inconsistent findings, identify knowledge gaps, and support evidence-based decision-making (Boland et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2026). Although they have become a cornerstone of evidence-based research since the 1980s, concerns about the increasing number of low-quality and redundant reviews highlight the ongoing importance of robust quality appraisal (Larney, 2026; Ban et al., 2026). Therefore, the current researcher opted for the systematic review method to obtain current issues in the body of literature on leadership.

1.4 The Structure of the Book

This book has seventeen chapters; chapter two considers democratic leadership, chapter three: autocratic leadership, chapter four: bureaucratic leadership, chapter five: charismatic leadership, chapter six: laissez-faire leadership, chapter seven: participative leadership, chapter eight: people-oriented leadership, chapter nine: servant leadership, chapter ten: task-oriented leadership, chapter eleven: transactional leadership, chapter twelve: transformational leadership, chapter thirteen: ethical leadership, chapter fourteen: coaching leadership, chapter fifteen: authoritative leadership, chapter sixteen: case studies, and chapter seventeen: conclusion.

Chapter 2:
DEMOCRATIC LEADERSHIP

2.2 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of democratic leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating classical leadership theory with recent empirical evidence to explain how democratic leadership influences organisational performance, employee participation, innovation, decision-making quality, and organisational effectiveness. Democratic leadership has become increasingly important in contemporary organisations because of its emphasis on employee involvement, shared decision-making, collaboration, transparency, and organisational commitment (Northouse, 2022; Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of democratic leadership, tracing its development from early participative management theories to contemporary models of shared governance and collaborative leadership. Democratic leadership is grounded in the principle that employees should actively participate in organisational decision-making, with leaders facilitating consultation, open communication, and collective problem-solving rather than relying solely on hierarchical authority (Lewin et al., 1939). Contemporary scholars describe democratic leadership as a participative leadership approach in which leaders encourage employees to contribute ideas, share responsibility, and participate in decisions affecting organisational outcomes (Northouse, 2022; Yukl & Gardner, 2020). These principles provide the conceptual framework for evaluating the selected studies throughout this chapter.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that democratic leadership contributes significantly to employee motivation, organisational commitment, job satisfaction, innovation, organisational citizenship behaviour, knowledge sharing, and overall organisational effectiveness (Eva et al., 2019; Puni et al., 2021). By encouraging employee participation and fostering inclusive decision-making, democratic leaders strengthen trust, enhance collaboration, and promote a sense of ownership among employees. Consequently, democratic leadership has become particularly valuable in organisations characterised by knowledge-intensive work, rapid technological change, and increasing demands for employee engagement and innovation (Northouse, 2022; Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, public administration, hospitality, manufacturing, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), multinational corporations, and non-governmental organisations. Examining

democratic leadership across these diverse sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of how participative leadership behaviours are implemented within different organisational, institutional, and cultural environments. Although the core principles of democratic leadership remain consistent, evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness varies according to organisational culture, employee competence, organisational structure, and contextual conditions (Somech, 2006; Northouse, 2022).

In addition to examining the direct effects of democratic leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions democratic leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify employee trust, psychological empowerment, organisational commitment, communication quality, knowledge sharing, employee engagement, and organisational culture as important mechanisms through which democratic leadership affects employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Eva et al., 2019; Puni et al., 2021). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why democratic leadership produces different outcomes across organisations and highlights the contextual conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of democratic leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted in Africa, Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and North America. Although democratic leadership has been widely recognised as an effective leadership approach across many countries, national culture, institutional arrangements, and societal values influence the extent to which participative decision-making is accepted and practised. In cultures characterised by high power distance, employees may expect leaders to exercise greater authority, whereas low power-distance cultures generally encourage participation and shared responsibility (Northouse, 2022; Yukl & Gardner, 2020). Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of adapting democratic leadership practices to different organisational and cultural contexts to maximise their effectiveness.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the democratic leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding democratic leadership outcomes while highlighting areas where further research remains necessary. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as digital collaboration, employee well-being, organisational resilience, inclusive leadership, sustainable organisational performance, and innovation, all of which have become increasingly important within contemporary leadership research (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that democratic leadership remains one of the most influential and practically relevant leadership approaches within contemporary organisational studies. Its emphasis on employee participation, collaboration, shared responsibility, ethical decision-making, and inclusive governance makes it particularly suitable for organisations operating in increasingly complex, knowledge-intensive, and rapidly evolving environments. The

insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how democratic leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, organisational policies, and strategic management initiatives to promote employee engagement, organisational effectiveness, and sustainable organisational success.

2.2 Overview of Democratic Leadership

Democratic leadership has become one of the most influential leadership approaches in contemporary organisational research and practice because of its emphasis on employee participation, shared decision-making, collaboration, and mutual trust (Northouse, 2022). Unlike autocratic leadership, where decision-making authority is concentrated in the hands of leaders, democratic leadership encourages employees to contribute ideas, participate in organisational decisions, and share responsibility for achieving organisational objectives (Lewin et al., 1939). The theory originated from the pioneering leadership experiments conducted by Lewin, Lippitt, and White (1939), who identified democratic leadership as a style that promotes cooperation, employee satisfaction, and group effectiveness through participative decision-making. Since then, democratic leadership has been widely applied across education, healthcare, public administration, manufacturing, hospitality, and private-sector organisations because of its emphasis on employee empowerment, communication, and organisational commitment (Northouse, 2022).

Democratic leadership is defined as a leadership approach in which leaders actively involve employees in decision-making processes while retaining overall responsibility for organisational outcomes (Northouse, 2022). Rather than relying solely on authority or formal control, democratic leaders encourage open communication, consultation, teamwork, and collective problem-solving, thereby strengthening employee commitment and organisational trust (Bhatti et al., 2022). Consequently, democratic leadership is particularly effective in knowledge-intensive and dynamic organisational environments where creativity, innovation, collaboration, and employee engagement are essential for organisational success (Iqbal et al., 2023).

Recent studies consistently demonstrate that democratic leadership positively influences employee engagement, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and workplace motivation. For example, Bhatti et al. (2022) found that participative leadership significantly enhances employee engagement by encouraging involvement in organisational decision-making and strengthening employees' sense of ownership and responsibility. Similarly, Iqbal et al. (2023) reported that democratic leadership improves employee performance by fostering trust, collaboration, and open communication between leaders and employees. These findings support social exchange theory, which suggests that employees reciprocate inclusive leadership behaviours through greater commitment, organisational citizenship behaviour, and improved performance.

Innovation represents another important outcome of democratic leadership. By encouraging employees to contribute ideas, question existing practices, and participate in collaborative

problem-solving, democratic leaders create organisational environments that stimulate creativity and continuous improvement (Northouse, 2022). Studies have shown that employees who participate in organisational decisions demonstrate higher levels of creativity, knowledge sharing, and innovation because they perceive their contributions as valued and meaningful (Bhatti et al., 2022). Such evidence suggests that democratic leadership promotes innovation by creating psychologically safe environments where employees feel confident expressing new ideas without fear of criticism.

The effectiveness of democratic leadership is also evident in facilitating organisational change. Leaders who consult employees during periods of organisational transformation reduce resistance to change by encouraging participation, transparency, and shared responsibility (Yukl, 2020). Employees who are involved in planning and implementing organisational change are more likely to support new initiatives because they understand organisational objectives and perceive themselves as active contributors rather than passive recipients of change. Consequently, democratic leadership strengthens organisational adaptability by building employee trust and commitment throughout the change process.

Research further indicates that organisational culture and contextual factors influence the effectiveness of democratic leadership. Organisations characterised by collaborative cultures, decentralised decision-making, and high levels of trust tend to benefit more from democratic leadership than organisations operating within highly bureaucratic or hierarchical environments (Northouse, 2022). Likewise, Iqbal et al. (2023) found that organisational support and effective communication strengthen the positive relationship between democratic leadership and employee performance. These findings suggest that democratic leadership is most effective when organisational structures encourage participation, collaboration, and knowledge sharing.

Within the healthcare sector, democratic leadership has consistently demonstrated positive outcomes for both employees and patients. Democratic nurse leaders encourage interdisciplinary collaboration, shared clinical decision-making, and employee participation in quality improvement initiatives, resulting in improved job satisfaction, teamwork, patient safety, and healthcare quality (Specchia et al., 2021). Similarly, participative leadership has been associated with lower employee turnover, stronger organisational commitment, and higher levels of professional engagement among healthcare workers because employees feel respected and involved in organisational decisions.

Beyond organisational performance, democratic leadership has increasingly been linked to organisational sustainability and responsible governance. Leaders who encourage stakeholder participation and collaborative decision-making promote ethical decision-making, corporate social responsibility, and sustainable organisational development (Northouse, 2022). Through transparent communication and employee involvement, democratic leaders strengthen organisational accountability while encouraging employees to contribute actively towards achieving economic, social, and environmental objectives.

Empirical evidence from different geographical regions further demonstrates the broad applicability of democratic leadership. Studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, and the Middle East consistently report positive relationships between democratic leadership, employee satisfaction, organisational commitment, teamwork, and organisational effectiveness (Bhatti et al., 2022; Iqbal et al., 2023). Although leadership practices may require adaptation to different cultural contexts, the fundamental principles of participation, consultation, respect, and shared decision-making remain widely applicable across diverse organisational environments.

Despite its many advantages, democratic leadership is not without limitations. Effective implementation requires leaders to possess excellent communication, interpersonal, conflict management, and facilitation skills to ensure that participation contributes to timely and effective organisational decisions (Northouse, 2022). Furthermore, democratic leadership may be less effective during organisational crises or emergencies where rapid decision-making is required, as extensive consultation may delay responses and reduce operational efficiency (Yukl, 2020). Nevertheless, extensive empirical evidence demonstrates that democratic leadership improves employee motivation, organisational commitment, innovation, collaboration, and sustainable organisational performance. Its emphasis on participation, trust, empowerment, teamwork, and shared responsibility makes it one of the most appropriate leadership approaches for organisations operating within increasingly knowledge-based, collaborative, and rapidly changing environments.

2.3 Democratic Leadership

Democratic leadership has received increasing scholarly attention because of its ability to promote employee participation, enhance organisational innovation, and improve decision-making through collaborative processes (Northouse, 2022; Newman et al., 2023). Democratic leadership, also referred to as participative leadership, is characterised by leaders involving employees in decision-making, encouraging open communication, valuing diverse perspectives, and fostering shared responsibility for organisational outcomes (Northouse, 2022). Rather than centralising authority, democratic leaders empower employees to contribute ideas and participate actively in organisational problem-solving, thereby creating a work environment that supports innovation, trust, and continuous organisational improvement (Yukl & Gardner, 2020). Recent research suggests that democratic leadership influences organisational outcomes both directly and indirectly by strengthening employee engagement, psychological empowerment, and collaborative learning (Newman et al., 2023).

Recent empirical evidence demonstrates that democratic leadership positively influences employees' innovative behaviour by fostering participation, trust, and commitment within organisations. Leaders who actively involve employees in decision-making create a sense of ownership over organisational goals, increasing employees' willingness to support organisational change and contribute innovative ideas (Newman et al., 2023). Rather than directing employees through hierarchical authority, democratic leaders facilitate dialogue and collaboration, enabling

employees to feel valued and respected during organisational change processes. This collaborative approach strengthens employees' commitment to organisational objectives while encouraging creativity and continuous improvement (Northouse, 2022).

Employee commitment represents an important psychological mechanism through which democratic leadership promotes innovation. When employees perceive that their opinions are valued and incorporated into organisational decisions, they develop stronger organisational commitment and become more willing to engage in discretionary behaviours that support innovation (Eva et al., 2019). Democratic leadership encourages employees to share knowledge, solve problems collaboratively, and participate in continuous improvement initiatives, thereby enhancing both individual and organisational learning. Furthermore, research indicates that organisational support for participation strengthens the effectiveness of democratic leadership by providing employees with sufficient autonomy, resources, and psychological safety to generate and implement new ideas (Newman et al., 2023). These findings suggest that democratic leadership promotes innovation not only through employee motivation but also by establishing organisational systems that encourage collaboration, open communication, and shared decision-making.

Consequently, contemporary research extends democratic leadership theory by demonstrating that sustainable innovation emerges through the interaction between participative leadership, employee commitment, and supportive organisational practices (Eva et al., 2019; Newman et al., 2023). Democratic leadership therefore represents more than a collaborative management approach; it functions as a strategic leadership process that strengthens organisational learning, enhances employee engagement, and develops an organisational culture that supports innovation and adaptability.

Similarly, recent studies provide important insights into the psychological mechanisms through which democratic leadership enhances innovative work behaviour. Democratic leaders create opportunities for employees to participate in decision-making, which strengthens psychological empowerment, self-confidence, and employees' belief that their contributions are meaningful to organisational success (Lee et al., 2023). Unlike more directive leadership approaches, democratic leadership develops employees' internal motivation by encouraging autonomy, participation, and shared responsibility. As employees experience greater involvement in organisational decisions, they become more confident in proposing new ideas, challenging existing practices, and contributing to creative problem-solving (Northouse, 2022).

Psychological empowerment has been identified as a significant mediator linking democratic leadership to innovative work behaviour. Democratic leaders encourage employee participation by recognising individual contributions, facilitating open communication, and promoting collaborative decision-making. As employees develop greater confidence in their abilities and perceive higher levels of organisational support, they become more willing to experiment with new approaches and participate in innovation initiatives (Lee et al., 2023; Newman et al., 2023). These findings are consistent with previous research demonstrating that democratic leadership

enhances employee creativity through increased autonomy, trust, and intrinsic motivation (Eva et al., 2019).

An important contribution of recent democratic leadership research lies in its application across both public and private sector organisations operating in increasingly complex and resource-constrained environments. In such contexts, democratic leadership enables organisations to leverage employees' collective knowledge, diverse expertise, and collaborative problem-solving capabilities to overcome organisational challenges (Newman et al., 2023). Rather than relying solely on formal authority, democratic leaders encourage shared responsibility and participative decision-making, thereby strengthening organisational resilience and adaptability. This perspective broadens democratic leadership theory by highlighting employee participation and psychological empowerment as strategic organisational resources capable of sustaining innovation and improving organisational performance in dynamic environments (Northouse, 2022).

Recent studies further extend democratic leadership scholarship by examining organisational resilience as a mechanism through which democratic leadership promotes team innovation. Research suggests that democratic leadership enhances organisational resilience by encouraging employee participation, collaborative decision-making, and shared responsibility, enabling organisations to anticipate, adapt to, and recover from environmental uncertainty (Newman et al., 2023). Rather than relying solely on leader-driven decisions, democratic leaders strengthen organisational systems by fostering open communication, collective problem-solving, and continuous learning, allowing teams to remain innovative during periods of disruption (Northouse, 2022).

The concept of organisational resilience aligns closely with democratic leadership principles. Democratic leaders encourage employees to contribute to organisational decisions, communicate transparently during periods of uncertainty, and promote continuous learning through collaboration. By involving employees in identifying challenges and developing solutions, democratic leaders cultivate resilient teams capable of sustaining high performance in dynamic organisational environments (Yukl & Gardner, 2020). These behaviours are particularly important given the increasing complexity, technological disruption, and environmental uncertainty facing contemporary organisations (Northouse, 2022).

Recent evidence also suggests that environmental uncertainty strengthens the positive relationship between democratic leadership and organisational innovation (Newman et al., 2023). During periods of economic instability, rapid technological change, or organisational disruption, employees increasingly value leaders who encourage participation, facilitate open communication, and involve them in strategic decision-making. Under these conditions, democratic leadership becomes especially effective because collaborative decision-making reduces uncertainty, strengthens employee trust, and promotes collective resilience. Furthermore, by encouraging diverse viewpoints and shared responsibility, democratic leaders improve organisational adaptability and support innovative responses to emerging challenges (Eva et al., 2019).

Collectively, recent studies reinforce the multidimensional nature of democratic leadership by demonstrating that it influences organisational innovation through psychological, behavioural, and organisational mechanisms, including employee participation, psychological empowerment, organisational commitment, collaborative learning, and organisational resilience (Lee et al., 2023; Newman et al., 2023). These findings extend traditional democratic leadership theory beyond participative decision-making by illustrating how democratic leaders shape organisational culture, strengthen employee engagement, and develop adaptive organisational capabilities. Consequently, democratic leadership should be viewed not merely as a participative leadership style but as a strategic organisational capability that enhances innovation, resilience, and sustainable organisational performance in increasingly complex and dynamic environments.

The findings of recent democratic leadership research demonstrate that this leadership approach becomes particularly valuable during periods of environmental uncertainty, when organisations require both innovation and resilience to remain competitive (Newman et al., 2023). By encouraging employee participation, fostering trust, promoting open communication, and creating psychologically safe environments, democratic leaders enable employees to experiment with new ideas without fear of criticism or failure. Such behaviours encourage organisational learning, adaptability, and collaborative problem-solving, all of which are essential for sustaining innovation in rapidly changing environments (Northouse, 2022). Organisational resilience has also been identified as a critical mediating mechanism through which democratic leadership enhances team innovation. Rather than simply encouraging innovative behaviour, democratic leaders strengthen the organisation's capacity to anticipate, respond to, and recover from unexpected challenges by leveraging employees' collective expertise and encouraging shared ownership of organisational goals (Yukl & Gardner, 2020). Through participative governance and inclusive decision-making, democratic leadership creates conditions under which innovation and organisational resilience can be sustained over the long term.

A notable contribution to contemporary democratic leadership research is the growing recognition of its relationship with organisational resilience. Recent studies argue that democratic leaders enhance innovation not only by encouraging employee participation but also by strengthening organisational structures that support adaptability, collaboration, and resilience during periods of uncertainty (Newman et al., 2023). Through inclusive decision-making, shared responsibility, and open communication, democratic leaders build organisational capabilities that enable employees to respond effectively to environmental turbulence. These findings extend democratic leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends on developing adaptive organisational systems alongside engaged and empowered employees. Consequently, democratic leadership should be viewed as a strategic organisational capability that promotes long-term organisational sustainability, resilience, and innovation (Northouse, 2022; Newman et al., 2023).

Recent systematic reviews have also highlighted the effectiveness of democratic leadership within healthcare organisations, particularly in nursing and multidisciplinary care settings

(Specchia et al., 2021). Evidence indicates that democratic leadership improves workforce retention, employee engagement, collaboration, quality of patient care, and organisational sustainability by encouraging healthcare professionals to participate actively in clinical and managerial decision-making. Given the increasing complexity of healthcare systems, democratic leadership provides an effective framework for addressing workforce shortages, improving patient outcomes, and supporting evidence-based practice through collaborative governance (Specchia et al., 2021).

The literature identifies two interrelated dimensions through which democratic leadership enhances healthcare performance: workforce development and healthcare service delivery. Democratic leaders foster employee engagement by encouraging open communication, recognising staff contributions, facilitating teamwork, and supporting continuous professional development. These practices increase job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and employee motivation while strengthening collaborative relationships among healthcare professionals (Specchia et al., 2021). Such findings are consistent with leadership research demonstrating that participative leadership enhances employee motivation through trust, psychological empowerment, shared decision-making, and professional autonomy (Northouse, 2022; Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

Furthermore, democratic leadership contributes significantly to organisational resilience by promoting continuous learning, knowledge sharing, mentoring, and collaborative problem-solving. By encouraging employees to participate in organisational improvement initiatives and evidence-based decision-making, democratic leaders create organisational cultures characterised by adaptability, innovation, and continuous quality improvement. These leadership behaviours enable healthcare organisations to respond more effectively to changing patient needs, technological advancements, and operational challenges while maintaining high standards of patient care and organisational performance (Specchia et al., 2021). Consequently, democratic leadership not only improves employee outcomes but also enhances organisational effectiveness, service quality, and patient safety.

An important contribution of recent democratic leadership research is the recognition of participative leadership as a long-term strategic investment rather than simply a management technique. Unlike autocratic leadership, which centralises authority and decision-making, democratic leadership develops future organisational capacity by investing in employee capability, shared governance, ethical decision-making, and organisational learning (Northouse, 2022). By embedding organisational values such as collaboration, accountability, transparency, inclusion, and mutual respect, democratic leaders cultivate sustainable organisational cultures that support employee well-being, innovation, and high-quality service delivery. Consequently, democratic leaders function not merely as operational managers but as facilitators of organisational learning, continuous improvement, and sustainable organisational performance (Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

Recent studies have also extended democratic leadership theory by examining its influence on organisational sustainability and employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Research suggests

that democratic leadership can effectively support environmental sustainability by involving employees in sustainability planning, encouraging collaborative environmental decision-making, and fostering shared responsibility for organisational environmental performance (Ren et al., 2024). Rather than relying exclusively on compliance with environmental regulations, democratic leaders create opportunities for employees to contribute ideas, participate in sustainability initiatives, and collectively develop environmentally responsible organisational practices. This participative approach strengthens organisational culture by aligning employee behaviours with broader sustainability objectives while reinforcing democratic leadership principles of inclusion, collaboration, and shared responsibility.

The literature further identifies environmental awareness as an important mediating mechanism linking democratic leadership to employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Democratic leaders encourage open dialogue, collaborative problem-solving, and employee involvement in environmental initiatives, enabling staff to develop stronger environmental knowledge, responsibility, and commitment. Rather than directing compliance through hierarchical authority, democratic leaders influence behavioural change by encouraging participation, shared learning, and collective ownership of sustainability goals (Ren et al., 2024). These findings demonstrate that democratic leadership promotes sustainable organisational behaviour by influencing employees' attitudes, values, and collaborative engagement.

Importantly, recent research also suggests that employee well-being influences the effectiveness of democratic leadership. Employees experiencing high levels of emotional exhaustion may be less willing to participate actively in collaborative decision-making, reducing the positive effects of democratic leadership on innovation and organisational sustainability (Rahman et al., 2020). This finding highlights that democratic leadership depends not only on participative organisational structures but also on maintaining employees' psychological well-being, trust, and engagement. Therefore, organisations seeking to promote sustainability and innovation through democratic leadership should invest simultaneously in supportive work environments, employee well-being, and inclusive leadership practices to maximise long-term organisational effectiveness.

Recent scholarship has increasingly recognised democratic leadership as an important driver of strategic planning and organisational performance, particularly within higher education institutions operating in complex and resource-constrained environments. Unlike hierarchical leadership approaches, democratic leadership promotes employee participation, shared decision-making, and collaborative governance, enabling organisations to translate strategic objectives into effective operational outcomes (Northouse, 2022). As universities face growing pressures arising from technological advancement, internationalisation, educational reforms, and financial constraints, democratic leadership provides a flexible leadership approach that strengthens institutional adaptability, innovation, and long-term sustainability (Bolden et al., 2023).

Research indicates that strategic planning functions more effectively when integrated with democratic leadership practices. Rather than treating strategic planning as a management activity

undertaken exclusively by senior leaders, democratic leadership encourages academic and administrative staff to participate actively in developing, implementing, and evaluating institutional strategies (Bryson, 2018; Bolden et al., 2023). Employee involvement strengthens ownership of institutional goals, improves communication between management and staff, and facilitates the successful implementation of organisational strategies. Consequently, democratic leadership enhances organisational performance by ensuring that strategic priorities are supported by collaborative engagement and shared commitment throughout the institution (Northouse, 2022).

These findings extend democratic leadership theory by demonstrating that effective strategic leadership depends not only on organisational planning but also on participative governance, organisational learning, and employee engagement. Democratic leaders facilitate strategy implementation by encouraging consultation, empowering employees to contribute to decision-making, and cultivating organisational cultures that support continuous improvement and innovation (Yukl & Gardner, 2020). Rather than relying solely on top-down decision-making, democratic leaders strengthen institutional resilience by building trust, promoting knowledge sharing, and encouraging collaborative problem-solving. Democratic leadership therefore represents a strategic organisational capability that enhances strategic execution, institutional resilience, and sustainable organisational performance within rapidly evolving higher education environments (Bolden et al., 2023).

Furthermore, contemporary leadership research suggests that strategic planning alone is insufficient to achieve organisational success without the interpersonal and relational competencies associated with democratic leadership. While strategic plans establish organisational direction, democratic leaders transform these plans into operational practice by engaging employees in decision-making, encouraging open communication, and fostering commitment to institutional objectives (Northouse, 2022). Effective implementation therefore depends upon leadership behaviours that promote collaboration, trust, employee voice, and collective responsibility. Emotional intelligence, participative communication, and shared governance emerge as critical leadership competencies that enable organisations to bridge the gap between strategic planning and operational performance (Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

Within higher education institutions, these leadership competencies are particularly significant because universities frequently operate within environments characterised by limited financial resources, increasing accountability requirements, bureaucratic governance structures, and rapidly changing stakeholder expectations (Bolden et al., 2023). Under such conditions, democratic leaders strengthen organisational effectiveness by aligning institutional missions with the expertise and aspirations of academic and professional staff while fostering a culture of shared responsibility and continuous organisational learning. Rather than responding reactively to institutional challenges, democratic leaders proactively cultivate collaborative organisational cultures capable of sustaining innovation, adaptability, and long-term institutional performance.

Democratic leadership has also been examined extensively within the hospitality industry, where organisational success depends heavily on employee engagement, service quality, creativity, and customer satisfaction. Research demonstrates that participative leadership significantly improves employee creativity, organisational commitment, service innovation, and job performance by encouraging employees to contribute ideas, participate in problem-solving, and take ownership of service delivery (Kim et al., 2023). Through inclusive decision-making and supportive interpersonal relationships, democratic leaders strengthen employees' psychological attachment to organisational values while simultaneously enhancing organisational performance.

A particularly important contribution of recent hospitality research is the identification of organisational identification as a mediating mechanism between democratic leadership and employee performance. Employees who perceive themselves as valued participants in organisational decision-making develop stronger organisational commitment, demonstrate higher levels of discretionary effort, and exhibit greater creativity when delivering customer services (Kim et al., 2023). These findings support Social Identity Theory, which argues that employees who identify strongly with their organisation are more likely to contribute proactively towards organisational success because they perceive organisational achievements as personally meaningful (Haslam et al., 2020).

The literature further demonstrates that democratic leadership encourages creativity by fostering psychological safety and collaborative learning. By inviting employees to question existing practices, propose innovative solutions, and participate in service improvement initiatives, democratic leaders create organisational cultures characterised by continuous learning and innovation (Northouse, 2022). Such collaborative leadership behaviours contribute directly to competitive advantage because employee-generated innovation improves customer satisfaction, organisational adaptability, and overall organisational performance. Rather than influencing employee behaviour through authority and control, democratic leadership operates primarily through employee engagement, organisational identification, and shared commitment, reinforcing its importance as a strategic organisational capability in customer-oriented industries.

A significant contribution to democratic leadership research is the growing recognition that leadership effectiveness depends largely on employees' perceptions, satisfaction, and willingness to participate in organisational decision-making. Unlike autocratic leadership, democratic leadership promotes trust, transparency, collaboration, and shared responsibility, creating workplace environments where employees feel respected, valued, and psychologically safe (Northouse, 2022). Research indicates that employees who perceive their leaders as inclusive and supportive demonstrate higher levels of organisational commitment, job satisfaction, and engagement because they believe their opinions influence organisational decisions (Newman et al., 2023). These findings support participative leadership theory, which argues that leadership effectiveness depends not only on leaders' behaviours but also on employees' acceptance of shared leadership processes and collaborative governance (Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

Recent research further demonstrates that democratic leadership is fundamentally a reciprocal social process in which organisational outcomes are shaped through continuous interaction between leaders and employees. Rather than concentrating decision-making authority at senior management level, democratic leaders encourage consultation, dialogue, and collaborative problem-solving, allowing employees to become active contributors to organisational success (Eva et al., 2019). This participative approach strengthens organisational trust and reinforces employees' sense of belonging, thereby improving organisational identification and long-term commitment. Evidence from multinational organisations also suggests that democratic leadership principles are positively perceived across diverse organisational and cultural contexts because inclusion, fairness, and employee voice represent widely valued organisational practices, although the methods through which participation occurs may differ according to national culture (Northouse, 2022).

The importance of democratic leadership becomes particularly evident during periods of organisational crisis and uncertainty. Organisations operating in humanitarian, non-governmental, and public service environments frequently encounter political instability, financial constraints, and rapidly changing stakeholder expectations that require collaborative and adaptive leadership approaches (Newman et al., 2023). Under such circumstances, democratic leaders strengthen organisational resilience by involving employees in problem-solving, maintaining transparent communication, and encouraging collective ownership of organisational goals. By creating psychologically safe environments where employees can express concerns and contribute ideas openly, democratic leaders enable organisations to maintain employee commitment and operational effectiveness despite external challenges (Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

Contemporary research also challenges the assumption that employee performance depends primarily on job satisfaction alone. Instead, democratic leadership appears to influence performance more directly through employee engagement, organisational commitment, and psychological empowerment (Lee et al., 2023). Employees who participate in organisational decisions develop stronger ownership of organisational objectives and greater confidence in their ability to influence organisational outcomes. Consequently, democratic leadership promotes resilience not simply by improving employee morale but by encouraging shared responsibility, collaborative learning, and adaptive decision-making during periods of instability.

Within educational settings, democratic leadership has emerged as an important determinant of teachers' professional development and instructional effectiveness. Research indicates that school leaders who involve teachers in curriculum planning, policy development, and instructional decision-making strengthen teachers' professional confidence, collaboration, and commitment to continuous improvement (Hallinger & Kulophas, 2020). Rather than relying upon hierarchical supervision, democratic school leaders promote shared governance and distributed expertise, enabling teachers to contribute actively to school improvement initiatives. These participative practices create supportive learning environments in which teachers are encouraged to innovate, share knowledge, and develop professionally throughout their careers.

Teacher self-efficacy represents an important psychological mechanism through which democratic leadership influences educational outcomes. When teachers are trusted to contribute to school decisions and exercise professional judgement, they develop greater confidence in their instructional capabilities and become more willing to adopt innovative teaching strategies and participate in collaborative professional learning (Hallinger & Kulophas, 2020). These findings are consistent with Social Cognitive Theory, which proposes that self-efficacy strengthens motivation, persistence, and professional performance by increasing individuals' confidence in their own abilities (Bandura, 1997). Democratic leadership therefore supports sustainable professional development by creating organisational conditions that foster autonomy, collaboration, and continuous learning.

Recent evidence also demonstrates that democratic leadership positively influences employee performance by strengthening intrinsic motivation rather than relying exclusively on formal authority or external rewards (Lee et al., 2023). Democratic leaders encourage employees to participate in setting organisational objectives, solving workplace problems, and evaluating organisational performance. Such participation increases employees' perception that their work is meaningful, strengthening intrinsic motivation, organisational commitment, and discretionary effort (Northouse, 2022). Employees who feel trusted and respected are more likely to demonstrate creativity, initiative, and organisational citizenship behaviours that contribute to long-term organisational success.

However, democratic leadership alone cannot eliminate negative workplace outcomes such as burnout, stress, or disengagement. Although participative leadership strengthens employee motivation and psychological empowerment, organisational factors including excessive workload, inadequate staffing, poor work-life balance, and limited organisational support continue to influence employee well-being (Rahman et al., 2020). Consequently, democratic leadership should be integrated with broader organisational well-being strategies that address employees' psychological health, workload management, and supportive workplace practices. Such an integrated approach enables organisations to maximise the positive effects of democratic leadership while reducing factors that undermine employee performance and engagement.

Overall, recent democratic leadership research demonstrates that leadership effectiveness depends not only upon improving organisational performance but also upon strengthening employees' psychological resources, collaborative relationships, and organisational commitment. By promoting employee voice, shared decision-making, trust, and psychological empowerment, democratic leadership creates organisational environments characterised by resilience, innovation, and sustainable performance. These findings reinforce the view that democratic leadership represents both a relational and strategic leadership approach capable of supporting employee well-being while enhancing long-term organisational effectiveness in increasingly complex organisational environments.

Recent studies highlight the contextual sensitivity of democratic leadership by demonstrating that its effectiveness depends on leaders' ability to adapt participative practices to organisational

structures, institutional realities, and socio-political environments. Rather than relying on authority or hierarchical control, democratic leaders encourage employee participation, collaborative decision-making, and shared accountability, enabling organisations to respond effectively to complex organisational challenges (Northouse, 2022). This leadership approach is particularly valuable within public-sector organisations, where bureaucratic procedures, limited resources, and increasing public accountability often require collaborative governance to improve organisational effectiveness (Yukl & Gardner, 2020). Consequently, democratic leadership has increasingly been recognised as an effective governance strategy for strengthening organisational performance within developing countries facing institutional and developmental challenges (Newman et al., 2023).

Research examining public-sector organisations demonstrates that democratic leadership promotes organisational citizenship behaviour (OCB), employee motivation, and improved organisational performance by fostering employee engagement and encouraging staff to participate actively in organisational decision-making (Awaale, 2024). Through transparent communication, consultation, and shared responsibility, democratic leaders create workplace environments in which employees feel valued and empowered to contribute beyond their formal job responsibilities. These participative leadership practices are particularly important within service-oriented government organisations, where employee commitment, teamwork, and collaborative behaviour directly influence service quality, organisational effectiveness, and stakeholder satisfaction (Northouse, 2022).

An important contribution of recent public-sector leadership research is its recognition that democratic leadership is particularly effective within fragile institutional contexts characterised by governance challenges, financial constraints, and organisational instability (Awaale, 2024). Rather than depending solely on bureaucratic authority, democratic leaders strengthen organisational capacity by cultivating trust, promoting employee participation, and encouraging collaborative problem-solving. Such leadership practices improve organisational resilience by enabling employees to contribute ideas, adapt to changing circumstances, and participate in organisational improvement initiatives. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership scholarship, which argues that democratic leadership strengthens institutional effectiveness by combining inclusive governance with employee empowerment and organisational learning (Yukl & Gardner, 2020; Newman et al., 2023).

Similarly, research conducted within Ghanaian public-sector organisations demonstrates that democratic leadership positively influences employee motivation and organisational performance through participative decision-making and collaborative management practices (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022). Rather than viewing leadership as a single behavioural construct, recent evidence suggests that different participative leadership behaviours—including employee consultation, shared decision-making, supportive supervision, and collaborative communication—contribute differently to employee attitudes and organisational outcomes. Leaders who actively encourage employee involvement in organisational decisions create stronger organisational

commitment and increase employees' intrinsic motivation to contribute towards organisational objectives.

The literature identifies participative communication and collaborative problem-solving as particularly influential predictors of employee motivation. Democratic leaders who communicate organisational goals openly, encourage constructive dialogue, and involve employees in strategic decisions create meaningful work experiences that strengthen intrinsic motivation and organisational commitment (Northouse, 2022). These findings are consistent with Self-Determination Theory, which suggests that employees become more motivated when their needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are supported through participative organisational practices (Deci et al., 2017). Consequently, democratic leadership enhances employee performance by creating organisational environments characterised by trust, autonomy, and shared responsibility rather than managerial control.

Importantly, the Ghanaian evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness is contingent upon organisational context and employee expectations. While participative decision-making consistently strengthens employee engagement and motivation, its effectiveness depends upon leaders' ability to adapt democratic leadership practices to existing organisational cultures and institutional structures (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022). Public-sector organisations often operate within formal bureaucratic systems that may limit employee autonomy; nevertheless, democratic leaders can improve organisational performance by introducing consultation mechanisms, encouraging employee voice, and strengthening collaborative governance. These findings highlight that democratic leadership should not be implemented as a universal model but rather adapted to organisational realities while maintaining its core principles of inclusion, transparency, and participation.

Overall, recent research demonstrates that democratic leadership functions as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens employee motivation, organisational citizenship behaviour, collaboration, and organisational performance across public-sector institutions. By promoting employee participation, trust, and shared responsibility, democratic leadership enhances organisational resilience while supporting effective public service delivery in increasingly complex and resource-constrained environments.

2.4 Conclusion

Democratic leadership, characterised by employee participation, shared decision-making, open communication, collaboration, and mutual accountability, has consistently demonstrated its capacity to improve employee performance, organisational innovation, adaptability, resilience, and employee well-being across diverse organisational settings. The literature reviewed indicates that democratic leadership extends beyond a participative leadership style to function as a strategic organisational capability that enables organisations to respond effectively to environmental uncertainty, promote continuous organisational learning, and achieve sustainable organisational performance.

A consistent finding throughout the literature is the positive relationship between democratic leadership and employee performance, engagement, and motivation. Democratic leaders involve employees in organisational decision-making, encourage consultation, and create opportunities for individuals to contribute to organisational objectives. These participative practices foster trust, psychological empowerment, organisational commitment, and intrinsic motivation, encouraging employees to take ownership of organisational goals and contribute beyond their formal job responsibilities. Rather than relying on hierarchical authority or external rewards, democratic leadership promotes meaningful employee involvement, resulting in sustained improvements in productivity, service quality, and organisational effectiveness across sectors including healthcare, education, hospitality, higher education, and public administration.

The review also demonstrates that democratic leadership plays a significant role in organisational adaptability and change management. In increasingly volatile and uncertain organisational environments, democratic leaders strengthen employee trust, psychological safety, and collective responsibility by involving employees in organisational problem-solving and strategic decision-making. Employee participation reduces resistance to organisational change because individuals who contribute to decision-making are more likely to support organisational initiatives and remain committed to implementation. Furthermore, research within educational settings indicates that democratic leadership enhances teachers' professional confidence, collaborative learning, and continuous professional development by fostering shared governance and participative leadership practices. These findings demonstrate that democratic leadership contributes to long-term organisational learning and capability development through inclusive leadership practices.

Innovation and creativity emerged as another dominant theme throughout the reviewed literature.

Democratic leadership encourages innovation by creating organisational environments in which employees are encouraged to question existing practices, exchange knowledge, propose creative solutions, and participate actively in organisational improvement initiatives. Open communication, collaborative learning, and shared decision-making create psychologically safe workplaces where employees feel confident expressing new ideas without fear of criticism. Consequently, innovation becomes embedded within organisational culture through collective learning rather than depending solely on individual initiative. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership theory, which identifies employee participation and collaborative problem-solving as essential drivers of organisational innovation and sustainable competitive advantage.

The literature further demonstrates that democratic leadership contributes significantly to employee psychological well-being and organisational resilience. Leaders who encourage employee voice, recognise individual contributions, and create inclusive workplace cultures foster higher levels of job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and psychological safety. However, the evidence also suggests that these positive outcomes depend upon broader organisational

conditions, including supportive organisational culture, effective communication systems, employee well-being initiatives, and organisational trust. Democratic leadership therefore appears most effective when implemented alongside organisational practices that strengthen collaboration, social support, and employee engagement.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the applicability of democratic leadership across diverse organisational and cultural contexts. Research conducted within Europe, Asia, Africa, and the Middle East demonstrates that participative leadership practices are consistently associated with positive employee attitudes, organisational commitment, innovation, and organisational performance despite differences in national culture and institutional environments. Although participative decision-making may require adaptation to local organisational cultures, the underlying principles of fairness, transparency, inclusion, and employee voice appear to satisfy universal organisational needs for trust, belonging, and meaningful participation. Consequently, democratic leadership represents an effective leadership approach for organisations operating within increasingly global and culturally diverse environments.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of democratic leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from public institutions demonstrates that democratic leadership improves organisational citizenship behaviour, employee motivation, organisational commitment, service quality, and stakeholder satisfaction by strengthening collaborative governance and employee participation. These findings suggest that democratic leadership is particularly valuable within resource-constrained environments where organisational effectiveness depends upon employee engagement, trust, and shared responsibility rather than financial resources alone. Democratic leadership therefore provides an effective governance strategy for organisations operating within complex institutional environments characterised by bureaucratic structures, governance challenges, and increasing public accountability.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that democratic leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by encouraging employees to participate actively in organisational decision-making, innovation, and environmental responsibility. Through collaborative governance and shared ownership of organisational objectives, democratic leaders integrate economic, social, and environmental priorities into organisational practices while strengthening long-term organisational resilience. Similarly, democratic leadership enhances institutional sustainability by promoting strategic planning, organisational learning, collaborative innovation, and continuous improvement within higher education institutions and other knowledge-intensive organisations. These findings position democratic leadership as an important mechanism for achieving sustainable organisational development while supporting broader sustainability objectives, including the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that democratic leadership remains one of the most effective leadership approaches for enhancing organisational performance, innovation, resilience, employee well-being, and sustainable organisational development. Although leadership

effectiveness is influenced by contextual factors including organisational culture, employee trust, psychological safety, institutional structures, and organisational support, the literature consistently demonstrates that democratic leadership strengthens organisational effectiveness by promoting participation, collaboration, and shared responsibility. Rather than functioning solely as a leadership style, democratic leadership should therefore be viewed as a strategic organisational capability that supports long-term organisational success across diverse sectors and organisational contexts.

Consequently, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that strengthen democratic leadership competencies, including participative decision-making, collaborative communication, conflict resolution, ethical leadership, employee empowerment, and inclusive governance. As organisations continue to experience technological disruption, global competition, environmental uncertainty, and increasing stakeholder expectations, democratic leadership will remain a critical capability for promoting organisational resilience, continuous learning, innovation, and sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 3:
AUTOCRATIC LEADERSHIP

Mehrshad Dashbalaghi

3.1 Introduction

Leadership is a fundamental component of organisational success and operates across all levels of organisational structures, from frontline supervisors and operational managers to senior executives such as Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) and Chief Financial Officers (CFOs). Effective leadership influences strategic direction, employee behaviour, organisational culture, decision-making processes and overall performance (Northouse, 2022; Schein & Schein, 2021). The importance of leadership in achieving organisational objectives has contributed to the development of various leadership styles, each representing different approaches to authority, communication, employee involvement and decision-making. One such approach is autocratic leadership, a traditional leadership style characterised by centralised authority, limited employee participation and decision-making power concentrated in the leader (Hoch et al., 2018; Northouse, 2022).

Although the exact origin of autocratic leadership cannot be identified, forms of authoritarian leadership have existed throughout history within political, military and organisational systems. Within modern leadership research, autocratic leadership became particularly recognised through early behavioural leadership studies that examined the relationship between leadership behaviour and group outcomes (Lewin et al., 1939). Contemporary research continues to identify autocratic leadership as a leadership approach based on control, authority and leader-directed decision-making (Einarsen et al., 2020). The characteristics of autocratic leadership influence its effectiveness and determine whether it produces positive or negative organisational outcomes. These outcomes depend on various contextual factors, including organisational environment, complexity of tasks, employee expectations and the level of uncertainty faced by the organisation (Yukl, 2020; Antonakis & Day, 2021).

Autocratic leadership has demonstrated both advantages and disadvantages throughout organisational history. In situations requiring immediate action, strong coordination and rapid responses, such as crisis management, military operations or highly regulated industries, autocratic leadership can provide clear direction and improve decision-making efficiency (Hassan et al., 2021). However, modern organisations increasingly emphasise employee empowerment, creativity, psychological safety and collaborative decision-making. Therefore, excessive reliance on autocratic leadership practices may negatively influence employee motivation, innovation, engagement and organisational commitment (Khan et al., 2020; Newman et al., 2020).

Consequently, the effectiveness of autocratic leadership depends not only on its characteristics but also on whether those characteristics align with organisational requirements and workplace conditions.

This chapter aims to critically evaluate the characteristics of autocratic leadership and analyse their influence on organisational effectiveness. Specifically, the chapter examines the benefits and limitations associated with each characteristic, including centralised decision-making, strict control, limited employee involvement, task orientation and leader dependency. Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the practical application of autocratic leadership through real-world organisational examples to determine how this leadership style operates within contemporary workplaces.

The methodology adopted in this chapter follows a deductive research approach, as it seeks to examine existing leadership theories and evaluate their application within workplace environments. A deductive approach enables theoretical concepts to be tested against empirical evidence and organisational practices (Saunders et al., 2023). Data were collected from academic articles that employed primary research methods, including surveys and interviews. Twenty articles were selected from approximately 66,600 Google Scholar results based on specific inclusion criteria, including academic credibility, use of primary data, relevance to autocratic leadership and examination of its impact on workplace outcomes. Ethical considerations were also addressed by ensuring that confidentiality, anonymity and responsible use of research findings were maintained throughout the reviewed studies (Bell et al., 2022).

Understanding autocratic leadership is essential for organisations attempting to achieve an appropriate balance between decision-making authority, employee participation and leadership control. This study contributes to leadership research by examining the factors that influence the effectiveness and limitations of autocratic leadership in contemporary organisations. The findings may provide valuable insights for entrepreneurs, business leaders, managers and policymakers by helping them determine when centralised authority is appropriate while considering employee well-being and organisational sustainability. Furthermore, this research may support experienced leaders in refining their leadership approaches by balancing task achievement with employee needs and improving their ability to adapt leadership behaviours according to different organisational situations.

3.2 Characteristics of Autocratic Leadership

The characteristics of a leadership style play a crucial role in shaping how leaders influence employees and organisational outcomes. These characteristics define the behaviours, values and decision-making approaches associated with a particular leadership style and ultimately determine its strengths and limitations (Northouse, 2022). Every leadership style possesses unique characteristics that distinguish it from others and influence its effectiveness in different organisational contexts. Similarly, autocratic leadership has a distinct set of characteristics that define its identity. Therefore, understanding the effectiveness of autocratic leadership requires a

critical evaluation of its core characteristics, together with their advantages and disadvantages. One of the defining characteristics of autocratic leadership is centralised decision-making, whereby the leader retains full authority over organisational decisions without seeking input from employees (Lewin, Lippitt, & White, 1939; Northouse, 2022). This characteristic enables organisations to implement decisions quickly because there is little or no consultation, discussion or negotiation before action is taken (Yukl, 2020). As a result, centralised decision-making is particularly beneficial in environments where rapid responses are essential, such as military operations, emergency services or crisis management.

Another advantage is the establishment of a clear organisational structure. Since decisions originate from a single authority, employees understand their responsibilities and are expected to perform assigned tasks consistently and efficiently. This reduces ambiguity and improves coordination across the organisation (Bass & Bass, 2008). However, centralised decision-making also has significant limitations. Because employees have little opportunity to contribute ideas or participate in decision-making, creativity and innovation are often restricted. Employees become focused on following instructions rather than identifying new solutions or improving existing processes, which may reduce organisational adaptability and competitiveness in dynamic business environments (Yukl, 2020). Strict control and a rigid hierarchical structure are fundamental characteristics of autocratic leadership. Authority flows from senior leaders to lower organisational levels through a clearly defined chain of command, ensuring that employees understand who they report to and where decision-making authority lies (Northouse, 2022).

One of the primary advantages of this characteristic is the establishment of organisational order and discipline. Clearly defined reporting relationships improve coordination, reduce role ambiguity and enhance operational efficiency because employees understand their responsibilities and expected standards of performance (Bass & Bass, 2008). Despite these advantages, excessive control can negatively affect employee morale. Continuous supervision and limited autonomy often reduce employees' sense of trust and ownership over their work. Furthermore, the combination of close monitoring and a rigid hierarchy may create a highly controlled working environment where managers supervise even minor aspects of employees' work. Such conditions can reduce job satisfaction, increase stress and weaken organisational commitment (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Another characteristic of autocratic leadership is minimal employee involvement in organisational decision-making. Strategic planning, problem-solving and policy development remain the responsibility of leaders, while employees are primarily expected to implement instructions without contributing their opinions or suggestions (Yukl, 2020).

This characteristic provides an advantage by accelerating the decision-making process. Since leaders do not need to consult employees before making decisions, organisations can respond rapidly to changing situations. This approach is particularly effective in high-risk environments, such as military organisations, where quick and coordinated responses are essential (Northouse, 2022). However, excluding employees from decision-making may cause them to feel undervalued and disengaged. Reduced participation often lowers organisational commitment and increases

employee turnover. High turnover can be costly because organisations must invest additional time and resources in recruiting, training and integrating new employees, potentially offsetting the efficiency gained through rapid decision-making (Goleman, 2000).

Autocratic leadership is characterised by strict organisational rules and policies that employees are expected to follow without exception. Compliance is closely monitored, and failure to follow established procedures often results in disciplinary action (Bass & Bass, 2008). One significant advantage of this characteristic is operational consistency. Clearly defined procedures standardise how tasks should be completed, reducing uncertainty and improving efficiency because employees spend less time determining how to perform their work (Northouse, 2022). Nevertheless, excessive reliance on strict rules may reduce organisational flexibility. In workplaces that value autonomy and innovation, rigid policies can generate dissatisfaction and resistance among employees. Furthermore, organisations may struggle to adapt to new technologies, market changes or improved working methods because established procedures discourage experimentation and continuous improvement (Yukl, 2020). Autocratic leadership follows a task-oriented approach in which leaders prioritise achieving organisational objectives over addressing employees' personal needs (Northouse, 2022). Employees are expected to meet clearly defined performance standards and complete tasks according to established procedures.

This emphasis on task completion can improve productivity and operational efficiency by maintaining high performance expectations. However, excessive focus on organisational goals may overlook employee well-being. Employees may experience increased workload, stress and pressure to maintain high standards, reducing motivation and increasing staff turnover over time (Herzberg, 1968). Fast decision-making is a natural consequence of the centralised structure of autocratic leadership. Since decisions are made solely by the leader, organisations avoid delays associated with consultation, discussion or consensus-building (Yukl, 2020). The principal advantage is the elimination of bureaucratic delays, allowing organisations to respond rapidly during emergencies or periods of uncertainty. This capability is particularly valuable in high-pressure environments where delayed decisions may have significant consequences (Northouse, 2022). However, rapid decision-making also presents considerable risks. Because leaders make decisions independently, alternative viewpoints and expert opinions may not be considered.

Consequently, poor decisions can occur, particularly when dealing with complex strategic issues, potentially threatening organisational performance and long-term sustainability (Bass & Bass, 2008). Autocratic leadership often relies on fear-based or punitive motivation to ensure employee compliance. Employees may obey organisational rules primarily because they fear disciplinary action rather than because they are intrinsically motivated (Lewin et al., 1939). One advantage of this approach is the promotion of discipline and adherence to organisational standards. Fear of punishment can reduce rule violations and encourage employees to comply with organisational expectations, particularly in environments where discipline is essential. However, fear-based leadership frequently creates a toxic organisational culture characterised by anxiety, stress and emotional exhaustion. Such environments reduce psychological safety, damage trust

between leaders and employees, and contribute to burnout and higher employee turnover (Goleman, 2000).

Limited creativity and innovation are natural outcomes of several characteristics associated with autocratic leadership, including strict rules, centralised decision-making and one-way communication. Employees are expected to follow instructions rather than challenge existing practices or propose alternative solutions (Bass & Bass, 2008). Although this approach promotes consistency and standardisation in task performance, it significantly restricts organisational innovation. Organisations operating in competitive and rapidly changing industries may struggle to adapt because employees are discouraged from experimenting with new ideas or improving existing processes (Yukl, 2020). Furthermore, employees receive limited opportunities for professional development and independent thinking. As a result, both employee morale and organisational learning may decline, reducing long-term competitiveness and organisational performance. A final characteristic of autocratic leadership is the organisation's high dependence on its leader. Since leaders retain decision-making authority, organisational performance often depends heavily on their competence, availability and judgement (Northouse, 2022). During periods of crisis, this dependence can be advantageous because employees receive clear direction and coordinated leadership, reducing confusion and enabling rapid responses. However, excessive dependence creates significant long-term risks. Organisations may struggle if the leader becomes unavailable, while employees have limited opportunities to develop independent decision-making skills and leadership capabilities. Consequently, succession planning becomes more difficult, and organisational resilience may decline (Bass & Bass, 2008).

3.3 Autocratic Leadership

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Tourism: The tourism industry requires effective leadership because employee performance directly influences service quality, customer satisfaction and organisational outcomes. Within tourism organisations, particularly government-operated tourism and cultural offices, leadership approaches that provide clear direction, coordination and policy compliance can significantly influence employee behaviour and performance. Autocratic leadership may therefore play an important role in tourism environments where structured procedures, regulatory requirements and consistent service delivery are essential (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

Solihah et al. (2021) examined the influence of autocratic leadership and work discipline on employee performance within the “ABC” City Culture and Tourism Office. The study investigated whether autocratic leadership and work discipline individually and collectively influence employee performance. Using data collected from 76 respondents, the researchers found that both autocratic leadership and work discipline had significant effects on employee performance, demonstrating that leadership style can influence employee outcomes within tourism-related public organisations (Solihah et al., 2021).

According to Solihah et al. (2021), autocratic leadership demonstrated a statistically significant partial effect on employee performance, with a calculated value of 2.149 exceeding the critical value of 1.993. This finding indicates that autocratic leadership has a direct relationship with employee performance. However, the study also found that work discipline produced a stronger individual effect, with a calculated value of 10.049 exceeding the critical value of 1.993. This suggests that while autocratic leadership contributes to improved performance, structured rules, procedures and employee discipline may have a greater influence on achieving organisational objectives (Solihah et al., 2021).

Furthermore, the combined influence of autocratic leadership and work discipline produced a significantly stronger effect, with a calculated value of 51.794 exceeding the critical value of 3.12 (Solihah et al., 2021). This indicates that autocratic leadership may become more effective when combined with clear organisational standards and disciplined workplace practices. Within tourism organisations, where employees are often required to follow specific regulations, operational procedures and service standards, the combination of authoritative leadership and strong discipline can improve consistency and efficiency.

These findings suggest that autocratic leadership can be particularly effective in highly regulated tourism environments, such as government tourism departments and cultural institutions, where compliance, coordination and standardised service delivery are important. However, although centralised leadership can improve efficiency, excessive reliance on autocratic practices may negatively affect employee autonomy, creativity and job satisfaction (Hassan et al., 2021; Newman et al., 2020). Tourism is an industry that depends heavily on employee interaction, problem-solving and adaptability; therefore, limiting employee participation may reduce the organisation’s ability to respond effectively to changing customer expectations.

Consequently, tourism organisations may benefit from adopting a balanced leadership approach that combines the strengths of autocratic leadership, such as clear direction and rapid decision-making, with participative practices that encourage employee involvement and innovation. Such an approach allows organisations to maintain operational control while also improving employee motivation, creativity and long-term organisational sustainability (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Organisational Trust in Sport Organisations: Leadership plays a significant role in shaping organisational trust within sport organisations, where teamwork, discipline and effective communication are essential for achieving organisational objectives. Organisational trust is recognised as a key determinant of employee commitment, job satisfaction and performance because it influences employees' confidence in their leaders and the organisation as a whole (Northouse, 2022; Dirks & de Jong, 2022). Consequently, leadership style has a direct influence on the development or deterioration of trust within sport organisations.

Oh et al. (2023) investigated the influence of inclusive leadership and autocratic leadership on employees' job satisfaction and organisational commitment in sport organisations, while examining organisational trust as a mediating variable and sport involvement as a moderating

variable. The study surveyed 250 employees working in sport organisations and analysed the data using latent moderated structural equation modelling (LMS). The findings demonstrated that leadership style significantly influences organisational trust, which subsequently affects employee attitudes and organisational outcomes (Oh et al., 2023).

According to Oh et al. (2023), employees working under autocratic leaders were significantly less likely to develop trust in their leaders than employees working under inclusive leaders. The findings indicate that the centralised authority, rigid hierarchy and limited employee participation associated with autocratic leadership reduce employees' confidence in management and weaken organisational trust. As organisational trust declined, employees also reported lower job satisfaction and reduced organisational commitment, suggesting that autocratic leadership may negatively affect employee performance through its indirect influence on trust (Oh et al., 2023).

The study further reported that inclusive leadership promoted a stronger sense of belonging, higher employee engagement and greater organisational trust than autocratic leadership (Oh et al., 2023). This comparison suggests that leadership styles encouraging employee participation and open communication are more effective in maintaining positive workplace relationships within sport organisations. Since sport organisations rely heavily on teamwork, collaboration and interpersonal relationships, low organisational trust may reduce team cohesion, employee motivation and overall organisational performance (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

Overall, these findings suggest that autocratic leadership may present significant challenges in organisational environments where trust, collaboration and employee engagement are essential. While autocratic leadership may improve discipline and operational control, excessive reliance on authority and one-way communication may weaken employee trust and reduce long-term organisational effectiveness. Therefore, sport organisations may benefit from adopting a balanced leadership approach that combines clear leadership direction with participative management practices to maintain both organisational discipline and employee trust (Antonakis & Day, 2021).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Trust in Emergency Response Teams: Effective leadership is particularly important within emergency response organisations because decisions must often be made rapidly under conditions of uncertainty and high risk. Firefighters, military personnel and disaster response teams operate in environments where delayed or ineffective decisions may have life-threatening consequences. Consequently, leadership approaches that provide clear direction and rapid decision-making are often essential for operational success (Northouse, 2022).

Rosing et al. (2022) examined the relationship between autocratic leadership, democratic leadership and follower trust during different operational phases of emergency response teams. The study combined a scenario-based experiment with a field survey involving firefighters to investigate how leadership style influences trust during emergency operations (Rosing et al., 2022).

The authors identified two distinct operational phases: the action phase and the transition phase. During the action phase, teams are actively responding to emergencies where rapid

decision-making, discipline and immediate execution of commands are required. In this context, autocratic leadership increased followers' trust in their leader because decisive leadership enhanced perceptions of leader competence and ability to manage critical situations effectively (Rosing et al., 2022). These findings suggest that autocratic leadership is particularly effective when organisations operate under time pressure and uncertainty, where consultation and lengthy discussions may delay critical decisions.

However, the study found contrasting results during the transition phase, which involves planning, reflection and preparation for future operations. During this stage, democratic leadership was more effective in developing trust because leaders encouraged employee participation, demonstrated concern for team members and incorporated diverse perspectives into decision-making (Rosing et al., 2022). Employees perceived democratic leaders as more supportive and benevolent, strengthening long-term trust and team cohesion.

These findings demonstrate that the effectiveness of autocratic leadership depends heavily on organisational context. In high-risk environments such as firefighting, military operations and disaster management, autocratic leadership can improve operational effectiveness by facilitating rapid decision-making, maintaining discipline and increasing confidence in leadership during emergencies. However, when immediate danger has passed, continued reliance on autocratic leadership may reduce trust, employee engagement and organisational learning. Consequently, leaders within emergency response organisations should adopt a situational leadership approach by applying autocratic leadership during crisis situations while encouraging participative leadership during planning, evaluation and learning phases (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Such flexibility enables organisations to maintain operational effectiveness without compromising long-term trust, employee development and organisational resilience.

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Organisational Resilience in Agro Firms: Organisational resilience refers to an organisation's capacity to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from disruptions while sustaining acceptable levels of operational performance and adapting to future challenges (Duchek, 2020). In the agricultural sector, resilience is particularly important because firms operate within highly volatile environments characterised by climate change, supply chain disruptions, technological advancements, market uncertainty, and evolving government regulations (FAO, 2023). Consequently, leadership style plays a critical role in determining how effectively organisations mobilise resources, encourage innovation, and respond to environmental uncertainties (Lengnick-Hall et al., 2021; Duchek, 2020).

Opara et al. (2023) investigated the relationship between autocratic leadership and organisational resilience among agro firms in Rivers State, Nigeria. Using a correlational research design, the researchers surveyed 80 employees and analysed the data using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). The study sought to examine how leadership styles influence organisational resilience, particularly organisational adaptability and innovation. The findings revealed that democratic and laissez-faire leadership styles were positively associated with organisational resilience because they promoted employee participation, knowledge sharing,

innovation, and adaptive decision-making (Opara et al., 2023). These characteristics enhanced organisational capacity to respond effectively to environmental changes and emerging business challenges.

Conversely, autocratic leadership exhibited a significant negative relationship with organisational resilience. According to Opara et al. (2023), highly centralised decision-making restricted employee involvement, reduced organisational learning, and limited innovation, thereby weakening the organisation's ability to adapt to changing market conditions. These findings support resilience theory, which argues that resilient organisations depend on distributed knowledge, collaborative learning, and adaptive capabilities rather than rigid hierarchical control (Duchek, 2020).

However, the relationship between autocratic leadership and organisational resilience appears to be context-dependent. Although excessive centralisation may hinder long-term organisational adaptability, autocratic leadership may improve organisational responsiveness during emergencies where rapid decision-making is required (Antonakis & Day, 2021). Therefore, while autocratic leadership may enhance operational efficiency through clear authority and quick decision-making, excessive reliance on authoritarian control may reduce innovation and organisational learning over time. For agro firms operating in increasingly dynamic environments, balancing managerial authority with employee participation is likely to strengthen long-term resilience and sustainable competitiveness (Lengnick-Hall et al., 2021; FAO, 2023).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Employee Motivation in Bureaucratic Organisations: Leadership style significantly influences employee motivation, particularly in bureaucratic organisations where formal procedures, hierarchical authority, and administrative regulations shape organisational behaviour (Northouse, 2022). Employee motivation is widely recognised as a major determinant of organisational commitment, productivity, service quality, and employee well-being (Robbins & Judge, 2023).

Fiaz et al. (2017) examined the relationship between leadership styles and employee motivation within the Water and Power Development Authority (WAPDA), a large public-sector organisation in Pakistan. Using data collected from 110 senior and middle-level managers, the researchers employed multiple regression and analysis of variance (ANOVA) to examine the effects of leadership style on employee motivation. The findings demonstrated that autocratic leadership negatively affected employee morale, job satisfaction, and intrinsic motivation. Employees supervised by highly controlling leaders reported lower levels of engagement because limited autonomy reduced opportunities for self-expression and participation in organisational decision-making (Fiaz et al., 2017).

Interestingly, although democratic leadership showed a positive relationship with employee motivation, the relationship was statistically insignificant. The authors attributed this outcome to the highly bureaucratic organisational structure, which limited employees' opportunities to participate in decision-making despite managers' democratic intentions. This finding suggests that organisational structure can moderate the effectiveness of leadership styles.

Recent leadership research similarly argues that employee motivation depends not only on leadership behaviour but also on the organisational context within which leadership is exercised (Antonakis & Day, 2021; Northouse, 2022). Self-Determination Theory further explains that employees are more motivated when their needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are supported; autocratic leadership may undermine these psychological needs by restricting employee discretion and participation (Ryan & Deci, 2020). Consequently, although autocratic leadership may improve procedural compliance and administrative control within bureaucratic institutions, excessive dependence on authoritarian management may weaken organisational commitment and long-term employee motivation (Yukl, 2020; Robbins & Judge, 2023). Public-sector organisations may therefore benefit from introducing participative management practices while preserving the procedural discipline required within bureaucratic systems.

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Employee Performance During Organisational Transformation: The COVID-19 pandemic created unprecedented organisational challenges that required businesses to respond rapidly to changing operational environments. During periods of organisational transformation and crisis, leadership becomes particularly important because managers must make timely strategic decisions, coordinate organisational resources, and reduce uncertainty among employees (Kniffin et al., 2021).

Asno and Sary (2023) investigated the influence of autocratic leadership and organisational change on employee performance during the COVID-19 pandemic within PT ABC, an Indonesian company. Using a quantitative research design, the researchers surveyed 100 employees through non-probability sampling and analysed the relationship between leadership style and employee performance during organisational transformation. Their findings demonstrated that autocratic leadership significantly improved employee performance during the pandemic (Asno & Sary, 2023).

The positive relationship was attributed to the ability of centralised leadership to facilitate rapid decision-making and ensure consistent implementation of organisational policies during periods of uncertainty. Organisations were required to introduce new health protocols, remote working arrangements, and revised operational procedures within very short timeframes. The clear authority associated with autocratic leadership reduced ambiguity and accelerated organisational responses to rapidly changing government regulations (Asno & Sary, 2023). Similar findings have been reported in crisis leadership literature, which suggests that directive leadership is often effective during emergencies because employees seek certainty, coordination, and clear guidance when environmental uncertainty is high (Kniffin et al., 2021; Antonakis & Day, 2021).

Nevertheless, while autocratic leadership may improve short-term organisational performance during crises, prolonged dependence on authoritarian management may reduce employee creativity, intrinsic motivation, and organisational commitment once environmental stability returns (Northouse, 2022; Robbins & Judge, 2023). Contemporary contingency leadership theory therefore recommends that organisations adopt flexible leadership approaches by employing

directive leadership during emergencies while gradually transitioning towards participative leadership during periods of stability and recovery (Yukl, 2020; Antonakis & Day, 2021).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Elementary School Teachers' Performance: Leadership within educational institutions plays a significant role in influencing teachers' professional performance, instructional quality, and overall educational effectiveness. School leaders establish organisational vision, promote professional development, and create working environments that support effective teaching and learning (Hallinger, 2020).

Purwanto (2022) examined the influence of democratic, autocratic, bureaucratic, and charismatic leadership styles on elementary school teachers' performance in Jakarta during the Education 4.0 era. The study employed a quantitative research design and collected data from 252 teachers through structured online questionnaires. The findings indicated that autocratic leadership positively influenced teachers' performance by establishing clear expectations, structured classroom management practices, and well-defined organisational procedures (Purwanto, 2022). Such leadership characteristics improved classroom discipline and enabled teachers to deliver lessons more consistently.

However, the study also acknowledged important limitations associated with excessive reliance on autocratic leadership. Highly structured instructional approaches may reduce teachers' professional autonomy and limit opportunities for creativity, innovation, and student-centred learning. Contemporary educational leadership increasingly emphasises collaborative learning, critical thinking, teacher empowerment, and instructional innovation as essential competencies for Education 4.0 and digital learning environments (Hallinger, 2020; UNESCO, 2023).

These findings suggest that although autocratic leadership may enhance instructional consistency and organisational discipline, its effectiveness is likely to diminish where educational environments require creativity, collaboration, and adaptive learning. Consequently, educational institutions may benefit from integrating the clarity and structure associated with autocratic leadership with participative leadership practices that encourage professional autonomy, continuous learning, and instructional innovation (Hallinger, 2020; UNESCO, 2023).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Job Performance in Academic Libraries: Leadership style plays a significant role in influencing employee performance within academic institutions, particularly in academic libraries where service quality, collaboration, knowledge sharing, and effective information management are essential for organisational success (Northouse, 2022). Although library operations often require adherence to established procedures and policies, they also depend on employee initiative, professional judgement, and innovation to meet the evolving information needs of users.

C and P (2018) examined the impact of autocratic leadership on subordinate job performance in academic libraries in Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. The study adopted a survey research design and collected data from 74 professional and para-professional library staff using structured questionnaires (C & P, 2018). The findings indicated that autocratic leadership generally reduced

employee job performance because employees experienced low motivation and were discouraged from making independent professional decisions. The highly centralised nature of autocratic leadership limited employee autonomy and created rigid reporting structures that constrained creativity and reduced opportunities to improve library services.

The study further reported that excessive managerial control weakened employee commitment and reduced organisational effectiveness because librarians had limited opportunities to contribute ideas or participate in decision-making (C & P, 2018). These findings are consistent with contemporary leadership theory, which argues that employee empowerment and participative decision-making improve motivation, innovation, and organisational performance in knowledge-based organisations (Northouse, 2022; Robbins & Judge, 2023). Since academic libraries increasingly operate in digital and knowledge-intensive environments, leadership approaches that encourage collaboration and professional autonomy are more likely to enhance service quality and organisational learning.

Nevertheless, C and P (2018) acknowledged that autocratic leadership may be appropriate during emergencies or situations requiring strict compliance with institutional policies. During organisational crises, clear authority and rapid decision-making can improve coordination and ensure continuity of library operations. Therefore, while autocratic leadership may be useful for managing exceptional circumstances, it appears less appropriate as a long-term leadership strategy within academic libraries. A balanced leadership approach that combines clear organisational direction with employee participation is likely to achieve higher levels of staff motivation, innovation, and organisational performance (Antonakis & Day, 2021).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Diversity Climate and Employee Attitudes: Globalisation has transformed modern organisations by increasing workforce diversity in terms of culture, ethnicity, language, values, and professional backgrounds. Consequently, organisational leaders are increasingly required to create inclusive work environments that promote collaboration while effectively managing cultural differences (Robbins & Judge, 2023). Leadership style therefore plays a crucial role in shaping organisational diversity climate and influencing employee attitudes.

Kumar and Siddiqui (2023) investigated the relationship between autocratic leadership, diversity climate, and employee attitudes, including organisational commitment, job satisfaction, and turnover intentions. The study surveyed 325 employees across different organisational levels and analysed the data using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM). The findings revealed that autocratic leadership significantly influenced employees' perceptions of diversity climate and subsequent work attitudes (Kumar & Siddiqui, 2023).

The authors argued that the structured nature of autocratic leadership, characterised by centralised decision-making, clearly defined organisational rules, and hierarchical authority, can promote consistency and procedural fairness within culturally diverse workplaces. By establishing uniform standards and expectations, autocratic leaders may reduce ambiguity and coordinate employees from different cultural backgrounds towards common organisational objectives. This

suggests that directive leadership may provide stability in culturally diverse organisations where employees possess varying values, communication styles, and workplace expectations.

However, the study also demonstrated that employees with strong moral values and higher expectations of autonomy perceived autocratic leadership more negatively (Kumar & Siddiqui, 2023). Excessive managerial control reduced perceptions of fairness and psychological empowerment, leading to lower organisational commitment and job satisfaction among some employees. These findings align with Self-Determination Theory, which argues that employees experience greater motivation and positive work attitudes when their psychological need for autonomy is supported (Ryan & Deci, 2020).

Overall, the evidence suggests that autocratic leadership may contribute to organisational order and coordination within diverse workplaces, but its effectiveness depends largely on employees' personal values, organisational culture, and leadership expectations. Organisations managing diverse workforces should therefore combine procedural consistency with inclusive communication and employee participation to maximise both organisational cohesion and employee well-being (Antonakis & Day, 2021; Robbins & Judge, 2023).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Counterproductive Work Behaviour in the Banking Sector: Leadership style has a significant influence on employee behaviour, particularly within the banking sector where high workloads, regulatory compliance, and performance pressures create demanding working environments (Yukl, 2020). Although autocratic leadership may improve organisational discipline through strict supervision and centralised decision-making, excessive control may also generate undesirable employee behaviours that undermine organisational performance.

Luqman et al. (2019) examined the relationship between autocratic leadership and counterproductive work behaviour among employees in Pakistan's banking sector. Using a quantitative research design, the study collected questionnaire data from 282 banking officers employed in commercial banks. The researchers investigated organisational commitment as a mediating variable and emotional exhaustion as a moderating factor influencing the relationship between autocratic leadership and counterproductive workplace behaviour (Luqman et al., 2019).

The findings demonstrated that autocratic leadership significantly reduced organisational commitment, which subsequently increased employees' engagement in counterproductive work behaviours such as reduced work effort, workplace deviance, rule violations, absenteeism, and intentional reductions in productivity (Luqman et al., 2019). Furthermore, employees experiencing higher levels of emotional exhaustion were more likely to exhibit these negative behaviours when working under highly controlling leaders. These findings suggest that emotionally demanding work environments intensify the negative consequences of autocratic leadership by increasing employee stress and reducing psychological well-being.

The study further implies that counterproductive work behaviours may generate substantial organisational costs through reduced productivity, increased employee turnover, reputational

damage, and declining service quality. Contemporary organisational behaviour research similarly indicates that supportive leadership enhances employee engagement, organisational commitment, and psychological well-being, thereby reducing workplace deviance and improving organisational performance (Robbins & Judge, 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Overall, the evidence suggests that while autocratic leadership may strengthen procedural compliance within highly regulated financial institutions, excessive reliance on authoritarian leadership may ultimately undermine employee commitment and increase counterproductive workplace behaviours. Consequently, banking organisations may achieve better long-term performance by combining the structure and accountability associated with autocratic leadership with participative leadership practices that promote employee involvement, trust, and psychological support (Antonakis & Day, 2021; Yukl, 2020).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Hospital Performance: Leadership is a critical determinant of organisational performance in healthcare settings, where employees operate in high-pressure environments that require rapid decision-making, effective coordination, and strict compliance with clinical procedures. Hospitals frequently encounter emergencies in which delays or uncertainty can have serious consequences for patient outcomes. Consequently, leadership approaches that provide clear direction and accountability can significantly influence organisational effectiveness (Northouse, 2022; WHO, 2023).

Yunarsih et al. (2020) examined the influence of different leadership styles on hospital performance by developing a leadership model for nurses in ten hospitals in Banten Province, Indonesia. The study employed a quantitative survey design and collected data from 222 nurses, which were analysed using SmartPLS 3.0. The findings indicated that autocratic leadership positively influenced hospital operations by facilitating rapid decision-making, ensuring strict adherence to clinical protocols, and improving task coordination among healthcare professionals (Yunarsih et al., 2020).

The positive outcomes were attributed to key characteristics of autocratic leadership, particularly centralised decision-making, clearly defined authority, and strict procedural control. These leadership characteristics reduced uncertainty during emergencies by enabling managers to make immediate decisions and clearly allocate responsibilities among healthcare staff. In healthcare environments where patient safety depends on timely responses and adherence to established procedures, directive leadership can improve operational efficiency, accountability, and coordination (Antonakis & Day, 2021).

However, the study also acknowledged that prolonged reliance on autocratic leadership may reduce employee motivation, professional autonomy, and innovation (Yunarsih et al., 2020). Contemporary healthcare systems increasingly require nurses and other healthcare professionals to exercise critical thinking, collaborate across multidisciplinary teams, and contribute to continuous quality improvement (WHO, 2023). Excessive managerial control may therefore discourage employee engagement and reduce opportunities for organisational learning. Consequently, while autocratic leadership may be highly effective during medical emergencies,

hospitals are likely to achieve better long-term performance by combining directive leadership during crises with supportive and participative leadership practices during routine operations (Northouse, 2022; Antonakis & Day, 2021).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Organisational Citizenship Behaviour in Telecom Companies: Organisational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) refers to employees' voluntary behaviours that extend beyond formal job responsibilities and contribute positively to organisational effectiveness, teamwork, and workplace morale (Organ, 1988). Since these discretionary behaviours are voluntary, leadership style plays an important role in creating organisational conditions that encourage employees to contribute beyond their contractual obligations (Robbins & Judge, 2023).

Malik et al. (2016) investigated the relationship between autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire leadership styles and organisational citizenship behaviour among employees in three major telecommunications companies in Pakistan. The study collected data from 72 supervisors and 144 subordinates and analysed the relationships using hierarchical multiple regression and analysis of variance (ANOVA). The findings revealed that democratic leadership was the strongest predictor of organisational citizenship behaviour because it promoted employee participation, trust, and collaboration (Malik et al., 2016).

In contrast, autocratic leadership demonstrated a comparatively weaker influence on organisational citizenship behaviour. The authors argued that the highly controlling and task-oriented nature of autocratic leadership limited employees' willingness to engage in discretionary behaviours such as helping colleagues, demonstrating civic responsibility, and voluntarily supporting organisational objectives (Malik et al., 2016). Employees working under directive leaders tended to focus primarily on completing assigned duties rather than contributing additional voluntary efforts that benefit the organisation.

These findings suggest that although organisational citizenship behaviour ultimately depends on employees' individual willingness to contribute beyond formal job requirements, leadership style substantially influences the organisational climate in which such behaviours develop. Leadership approaches that encourage autonomy, recognition, and employee involvement are more likely to strengthen organisational commitment and promote positive discretionary behaviours (Robbins & Judge, 2023). Consequently, organisations operating in service-oriented industries such as telecommunications may benefit from balancing managerial control with participative leadership practices that encourage collaboration, employee empowerment, and knowledge sharing (Antonakis & Day, 2021).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Employee Performance at PT Candi Jaya Amerta: Autocratic leadership is frequently associated with directive supervision, centralised authority, and close monitoring of employee performance. While these characteristics may improve operational consistency in highly structured workplaces, their effectiveness depends largely on organisational context and complementary management practices (Yukl, 2020).

Mahdayanthi and Astuti (2020) examined the influence of autocratic leadership, communication, and work motivation on employee performance at PT Candi Jaya Amerta, a retail company in Sidoarjo, Indonesia. The study collected questionnaire data from 72 employees and analysed the relationships using multiple linear regression techniques in SPSS. The findings indicated that autocratic leadership positively influenced employee performance within the organisation because it established clear expectations, strengthened managerial control, and improved task execution (Mahdayanthi & Astuti, 2020).

The positive relationship can be explained by the structured nature of the organisation, where clearly defined procedures and close supervision enabled employees to understand their responsibilities and perform assigned tasks efficiently. The task-oriented nature of autocratic leadership reduced ambiguity regarding organisational expectations and facilitated consistent operational performance. These findings support contingency leadership theory, which argues that directive leadership is often effective in environments characterised by routine operations, standardised procedures, and clearly defined performance objectives (Northouse, 2022).

However, the study further demonstrated that autocratic leadership alone was insufficient to maximise employee performance. Effective organisational communication and employee motivation significantly strengthened the positive relationship between leadership and performance (Mahdayanthi & Astuti, 2020). This finding suggests that communication enables employees to understand organisational goals more clearly, while motivation enhances employee commitment and willingness to achieve organisational objectives. Contemporary leadership research similarly argues that leadership effectiveness depends not only on managerial authority but also on leaders' ability to communicate effectively, support employees, and foster positive workplace relationships (Antonakis & Day, 2021; Robbins & Judge, 2023).

Overall, the evidence suggests that autocratic leadership can improve employee performance in highly structured and task-oriented organisations by providing clarity, discipline, and operational control. Nevertheless, organisations are likely to achieve more sustainable performance when directive leadership is complemented by effective communication, employee motivation, and supportive management practices that enhance employee engagement and reduce the risk of burnout (Yukl, 2020; Northouse, 2022).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Cyberloafing Behaviour in the Hospitality Industry: Leadership style not only influences employee performance but also shapes workplace behaviours, including cyberloafing, which refers to employees' use of the internet during working hours for non-work-related activities (Kara & Köse, 2022). Within the hospitality industry, where employees are expected to maintain high service standards, continuous customer interaction, and operational consistency, leadership practices can significantly influence employees' psychological well-being and behavioural outcomes (Robbins & Judge, 2023).

Kara and Köse (2022) investigated the relationship between autocratic leadership, work stress, and cyberloafing behaviour among employees in hotel businesses. Using a quantitative research design, the study collected data from 216 employees and analysed the relationships using Partial

Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) through SmartPLS software. The findings revealed that neither autocratic leadership nor work stress independently had a significant direct effect on cyberloafing. However, work stress significantly moderated the relationship between autocratic leadership and cyberloafing behaviour, suggesting that the behavioural consequences of autocratic leadership emerge when employees experience elevated levels of occupational stress (Kara & Köse, 2022).

The findings indicate that highly directive leadership may indirectly contribute to cyberloafing by increasing employees' psychological strain. Hotels typically operate in demanding environments characterised by long working hours, continuous customer interaction, and strict service standards. Under highly controlling leadership, employees may experience reduced autonomy and increased work-related stress, encouraging temporary psychological withdrawal through internet use during working hours (Kara & Köse, 2022). This finding is consistent with the Job Demands–Resources model, which proposes that excessive job demands without adequate organisational support contribute to employee strain and withdrawal behaviours (Bakker & Demerouti, 2023).

Although autocratic leadership may enhance operational consistency and service discipline, prolonged exposure to highly controlling management practices may unintentionally encourage disengagement behaviours such as cyberloafing. Consequently, hospitality organisations should complement directive leadership with supportive management practices, effective communication, and employee well-being initiatives to minimise work stress while maintaining service quality (Northouse, 2022; Robbins & Judge, 2023).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Employee Productivity in the Oil and Gas Industry: Leadership is particularly important within the oil and gas industry because organisations operate in high-risk environments where operational efficiency, strict safety compliance, and rapid decision-making are essential for organisational performance. Leadership practices directly influence employee productivity, operational safety, and organisational sustainability within this highly regulated sector (Yukl, 2020).

Olayisade and Awolusi (2021) examined the influence of leadership styles on employee productivity at Chevron Nigeria Limited. Using data collected from 93 employees through structured five-point Likert-scale questionnaires, the researchers analysed the relationships using descriptive statistics and multiple regression analysis in SPSS. The findings indicated that autocratic leadership was the predominant leadership style within the organisation and contributed positively to employee productivity (Olayisade & Awolusi, 2021).

The positive relationship can be attributed to the characteristics of autocratic leadership, including centralised decision-making, clearly defined authority, strict supervision, and strong enforcement of organisational procedures. Within the oil and gas industry, these characteristics align closely with operational requirements where safety regulations, technical precision, and rapid responses to operational risks are essential. Clearly defined responsibilities and close managerial

supervision reduce ambiguity, strengthen procedural compliance, and improve operational efficiency (Antonakis & Day, 2021).

However, the authors also noted that while autocratic leadership contributes to operational effectiveness, long-term employee productivity depends on additional organisational factors, including communication, employee engagement, and motivation (Olayisade & Awolusi, 2021). Contemporary leadership research similarly argues that sustainable organisational performance is achieved when directive leadership is complemented by supportive communication and opportunities for employee involvement (Northouse, 2022). Consequently, although autocratic leadership appears appropriate in high-risk industries such as oil and gas, organisations should adopt a flexible leadership approach that combines operational discipline with employee empowerment whenever operational conditions permit.

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Employees' Deviant Behaviour in Service Industries: Leadership style significantly influences employee attitudes and workplace behaviour, particularly within service-oriented organisations where employee interactions directly affect organisational performance and customer satisfaction. Although autocratic leadership promotes discipline and organisational control, excessive managerial authority may also generate unintended psychological and behavioural consequences (Robbins & Judge, 2023).

Khizer et al. (2024) investigated the influence of autocratic and despotic leadership on employees' deviant workplace behaviour among 356 employees working in banks and universities across Rawalpindi, Islamabad, and Lahore, Pakistan. Using a quantitative survey design, the study examined the moderating role of perceived organisational justice in the relationship between leadership style and deviant behaviour. The findings demonstrated that autocratic leadership had a significant positive relationship with employees' deviant workplace behaviour (Khizer et al., 2024).

The researchers defined deviant workplace behaviour as voluntary actions that violate organisational norms and harm either the organisation or its members. Employees working under highly controlling leaders were more likely to engage in behaviours such as intentional rule violations, reduced work effort, interpersonal hostility, and other counterproductive workplace behaviours. These findings suggest that excessive managerial control may weaken employees' psychological attachment to the organisation, leading to behavioural responses that undermine organisational effectiveness (Khizer et al., 2024).

Importantly, the study identified perceived organisational justice as a significant moderating variable. Employees who believed organisational procedures and managerial decisions were fair exhibited lower levels of deviant behaviour, even under autocratic leadership. This finding indicates that perceptions of fairness can reduce many of the negative behavioural consequences associated with directive leadership. Similar conclusions have been reached in contemporary organisational behaviour research, which highlights organisational justice as an important determinant of employee commitment, trust, and workplace behaviour (Colquitt et al., 2021).

Overall, the evidence suggests that although autocratic leadership may strengthen organisational discipline, excessive reliance on authoritarian management within service industries may increase employee dissatisfaction and deviant workplace behaviour. Organisations operating in people-oriented sectors should therefore strengthen organisational justice, establish effective communication channels, and provide leadership development programmes that promote emotional intelligence and fair treatment. Such practices can reduce the behavioural risks associated with autocratic leadership while maintaining organisational control and service quality (Antonakis & Day, 2021; Northouse, 2022).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Motivation and Performance in a Family Business Context: The effectiveness of autocratic leadership varies across organisational contexts, and family businesses represent a distinctive setting in which leadership practices may produce different outcomes from those observed in non-family organisations. Family businesses are characterised by close interpersonal relationships, shared organisational values, long-term commitment, and strong organisational identity, all of which can influence employees' perceptions of leadership and workplace authority (Chrisman et al., 2021).

Sari (2021) examined the relationship between autocratic leadership, transformational leadership, work motivation, and employee performance at PT Andalan Medika Sejahtera Abadi, a family-owned business in Indonesia. The study employed a quantitative research design using data collected from 55 employees and analysed the relationships through path analysis. The findings indicated that both autocratic and transformational leadership positively influenced employee performance. However, autocratic leadership demonstrated a stronger positive influence on employee motivation than transformational leadership within the family business context (Sari, 2021).

The findings suggest that the effectiveness of autocratic leadership is highly dependent on organisational context. Unlike many conventional organisations where autocratic leadership is often associated with excessive control and limited employee autonomy, family businesses frequently operate within environments characterised by trust, shared values, and long-term relationships. Employees may therefore perceive directive leadership as legitimate guidance rather than authoritarian control because organisational authority is closely associated with family ownership, organisational continuity, and collective success (Chrisman et al., 2021).

This interpretation is consistent with stewardship theory, which proposes that employees working within high-trust organisational environments are more likely to align their personal interests with organisational objectives (Davis et al., 1997). Consequently, directive leadership may strengthen employee commitment and motivation when leaders are perceived as acting in the collective interest rather than exercising authority solely for personal control. Nevertheless, these findings should be interpreted cautiously because they may not be generalisable to non-family organisations where employees often expect greater autonomy and participative decision-making (Northouse, 2022). Overall, the study demonstrates that the effectiveness of autocratic leadership

is contingent upon organisational culture, employee perceptions, and the relational dynamics that characterise family-owned businesses.

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Public Service Motivation in the Public Sector: Leadership plays a fundamental role in shaping employee motivation within public sector organisations, where organisational success depends not only on operational efficiency but also on employees' commitment to serving the public interest. Public Service Motivation (PSM) refers to an individual's intrinsic motivation to contribute to society through public service and is considered a key determinant of employee commitment, service quality, and organisational performance (Perry & Hondeghem, 2008).

Dash et al. (2022) investigated the relationship between autocratic leadership, Basic Psychological Needs (BPN), and Public Service Motivation among 693 officials employed by Indian Railways. Using Self-Determination Theory (SDT) as the theoretical framework, the researchers employed Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) to examine how leadership style influenced employees' intrinsic motivation. The findings revealed that autocratic leadership had a significant negative effect on Public Service Motivation by reducing employees' perceptions of autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Dash et al., 2022).

These findings suggest that highly controlling leadership undermines the psychological needs that motivate employees to contribute voluntarily to organisational and societal goals. According to Self-Determination Theory, individuals are more motivated when they experience autonomy, competence, and meaningful social relationships (Ryan & Deci, 2020). Autocratic leadership limits employee participation in decision-making, reducing opportunities for personal growth and weakening employees' intrinsic commitment to public service.

Given that public organisations depend heavily on employee commitment to delivering high-quality public services, reduced Public Service Motivation may negatively influence organisational effectiveness, employee engagement, and service delivery (Dash et al., 2022). Contemporary public administration research similarly argues that participative and empowering leadership styles are more effective in fostering employee commitment, innovation, and citizen-focused service delivery (Northouse, 2022). Consequently, although directive leadership may occasionally be necessary during emergencies or policy implementation, long-term reliance on autocratic leadership is unlikely to support sustainable performance within public sector organisations.

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Organisational Performance in UAE-Based Organisations: Leadership style is widely recognised as an important determinant of organisational performance because it influences employee behaviour, innovation, decision-making, and organisational adaptability. As organisations increasingly operate within knowledge-driven and highly competitive environments, leadership approaches that encourage employee participation and innovation have become essential for sustaining competitive advantage (Robbins & Judge, 2023).

Cherian et al. (2020) examined the influence of different leadership styles on organisational performance among organisations operating in the United Arab Emirates (UAE). Using questionnaire responses from 150 employees, the researchers analysed the relationships through Pearson correlation and multiple regression analysis. The findings showed that leadership style explained approximately 25% of the variation in organisational performance, demonstrating that leadership significantly influences organisational outcomes (Cherian et al., 2020).

However, the study found that democratic and transformational leadership demonstrated stronger positive relationships with organisational performance than autocratic leadership. Although autocratic leadership contributed to organisational discipline and managerial control, it was not identified as a significant predictor of superior organisational performance within modern UAE organisations (Cherian et al., 2020). These findings suggest that organisations operating in rapidly developing economies increasingly require innovation, employee collaboration, and organisational adaptability to remain competitive.

Contemporary leadership research similarly argues that organisations competing within knowledge-intensive economies benefit from leadership approaches that encourage creativity, knowledge sharing, and employee empowerment rather than excessive managerial control (Antonakis & Day, 2021). Consequently, while autocratic leadership may improve efficiency within highly structured operational environments, it appears less suitable for organisations seeking continuous innovation and long-term strategic growth. These findings support contingency leadership theory, which argues that leadership effectiveness depends on the alignment between leadership behaviour and organisational context (Yukl, 2020).

Effect of Autocratic Leadership on Firm Financial Performance Through Employee Readiness to Change: Leadership influences organisational performance not only through its direct impact on employee productivity but also through its ability to prepare employees for organisational change. In increasingly dynamic business environments, employees' readiness to embrace change has become a critical determinant of organisational competitiveness and financial performance (Kotter, 2021).

Katsaros et al. (2020) investigated the relationship between leadership style, employee readiness to change, and firm financial performance within the Greek shipping industry. Using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), the researchers analysed survey data collected from 213 employees and their supervisors. The findings demonstrated that employee readiness to change significantly mediated the relationship between leadership style and organisational financial performance (Katsaros et al., 2020).

The study suggests that leadership styles promoting communication, trust, and employee involvement improve employees' willingness to accept organisational change, thereby enhancing organisational adaptability and financial performance. Conversely, the highly centralised nature of autocratic leadership may discourage employee participation in change initiatives, reducing organisational flexibility and limiting the organisation's ability to respond effectively to changing market conditions (Katsaros et al., 2020).

These findings are particularly relevant in contemporary business environments characterised by technological disruption, global competition, and continuous organisational transformation. Organisations that fail to develop employees' readiness for change may experience reduced innovation, slower strategic adaptation, and declining financial performance (Kotter, 2021). Consequently, leadership approaches that encourage employee engagement, trust, and open communication are generally more effective in strengthening organisational resilience and sustaining long-term financial performance (Antonakis & Day, 2021; Robbins & Judge, 2023).

Overall, the evidence suggests that although autocratic leadership may enhance operational control and short-term efficiency, its limited emphasis on employee participation may reduce readiness for organisational change and ultimately constrain long-term financial performance. Organisations operating in dynamic environments are therefore likely to benefit from more participative leadership approaches that strengthen employees' commitment to organisational transformation while maintaining effective strategic direction.

3.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the reviewed literature demonstrates that autocratic leadership is characterised by centralised decision-making, strict supervision, hierarchical authority, and a strong task-oriented focus. These characteristics distinguish it from participative leadership approaches and contribute to its effectiveness in organisational settings where rapid decision-making, procedural compliance, and operational efficiency are critical. However, the effectiveness of autocratic leadership is highly dependent on organisational context, environmental conditions, and the nature of the tasks being performed.

Evidence from the reviewed studies suggests that autocratic leadership is particularly effective in crisis-driven, high-risk, and highly regulated environments. During emergencies, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, organisations were required to make rapid decisions, implement new operational procedures, and ensure strict compliance with health and safety regulations. In such situations, the centralised authority associated with autocratic leadership reduced uncertainty, accelerated decision-making, and enabled organisations to maintain operational continuity. Similarly, organisations operating in sectors such as healthcare, emergency response, manufacturing, and the oil and gas industry often benefit from directive leadership because clear authority, discipline, and adherence to established procedures minimise operational risks and improve organisational performance.

Conversely, the literature indicates that autocratic leadership is generally less effective in knowledge-intensive and people-oriented organisations where employee creativity, innovation, collaboration, and psychological safety are essential. Studies reviewed in this chapter show that excessive centralisation limits employee participation, reduces intrinsic motivation, suppresses innovation, and weakens organisational commitment. In sectors such as education, academic libraries, and service organisations, where employee engagement and continuous learning

contribute significantly to organisational success, rigid leadership practices may reduce job satisfaction and increase counterproductive work behaviours.

The literature also demonstrates that the influence of autocratic leadership is shaped by organisational and cultural contexts. For example, in family-owned businesses or organisations operating within high power-distance cultures, directive leadership may be perceived as legitimate and may strengthen employee loyalty, trust, and organisational commitment because authority is closely associated with organisational identity and long-term stewardship. These findings suggest that no single leadership style is universally effective and that leadership effectiveness depends on the interaction between leadership behaviour, organisational culture, employee expectations, and environmental uncertainty.

Furthermore, the reviewed evidence indicates that while autocratic leadership may improve short-term organisational performance through efficiency, discipline, and rapid execution of decisions, its long-term sustainability is constrained by its limited capacity to promote organisational learning, employee development, innovation, and resilience. Contemporary organisations increasingly operate in dynamic and uncertain environments where adaptability, knowledge sharing, and collaborative problem-solving have become critical sources of competitive advantage. Consequently, leadership approaches that encourage employee participation and shared decision-making are generally better positioned to sustain organisational resilience and long-term performance.

Overall, the literature reviewed in this chapter suggests that autocratic leadership should not be viewed as inherently effective or ineffective. Rather, its effectiveness is contingent upon the organisational context, the urgency of decision-making, the complexity of tasks, and employee expectations. Consistent with contingency leadership theory, organisations are likely to achieve superior outcomes by adopting a flexible or hybrid leadership approach that combines the decisiveness and efficiency of autocratic leadership during periods of crisis with the participation, empowerment, and innovation associated with democratic and transformational leadership during periods of organisational stability. Such an adaptive leadership approach enables organisations to respond effectively to immediate operational challenges while simultaneously fostering employee engagement, organisational resilience, and sustainable long-term performance.

Chapter 4:
BUREAUCRATIC LEADERSHIP

4.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept, evolution, and application of bureaucratic leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review evaluates the theoretical foundations and practical implications of bureaucratic leadership by integrating classical administrative theories with contemporary empirical research to explain how bureaucratic leadership influences organisational efficiency, employee behaviour, decision-making processes, organisational stability, and institutional performance. Although often criticised for excessive rigidity and resistance to change, bureaucratic leadership remains highly relevant in contemporary organisations where accountability, standardisation, regulatory compliance, and operational consistency are essential (Weber, 1947; Du Gay, 2000; Northouse, 2022).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of bureaucratic leadership, tracing its origins to the work of Weber's (1947) theory of bureaucracy. Weber conceptualised bureaucracy as a rational-legal form of authority based on clearly defined roles, formal rules, hierarchical structures, specialised responsibilities, and impersonal decision-making processes. According to Weber (1947), bureaucratic organisations achieve effectiveness through systematic coordination, predictable procedures, and the consistent application of organisational policies. These principles established the foundation for bureaucratic leadership, where leaders focus on maintaining organisational order, ensuring procedural compliance, and achieving efficiency through structured administrative systems (Du Gay, 2000).

Contemporary research has expanded the understanding of bureaucratic leadership by examining both its functional and dysfunctional characteristics. Studies indicate that bureaucratic leadership can enhance organisational reliability, accountability, and performance in environments requiring high levels of precision and regulatory control, including healthcare, government institutions, financial services, education, and public administration (Adler & Borys, 1996; Merton, 1968). Through clearly established procedures and formal authority structures, bureaucratic leaders provide employees with role clarity and predictable expectations, which can reduce uncertainty and improve operational effectiveness (Blau & Meyer, 1987). However, excessive bureaucratisation may limit employee autonomy, suppress creativity, and reduce organisational adaptability, particularly in dynamic environments characterised by innovation and rapid technological change (Burns & Stalker, 1961; Senge, 1990).

The reviewed literature demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership contributes significantly to organisational control, consistency, risk management, and institutional stability. Unlike transformational leadership approaches that emphasise inspiration and individual empowerment, bureaucratic leadership prioritises rational decision-making, adherence to established procedures, and the achievement of organisational objectives through formal systems (Northouse, 2022). This approach is particularly valuable in organisations where mistakes can have significant consequences and where compliance with laws, policies, and professional standards is essential, such as public-sector organisations, healthcare institutions, and regulated industries (Adler & Borys, 1996).

The studies reviewed examine bureaucratic leadership across a wide range of organisational settings, including public administration, education, healthcare, manufacturing, multinational corporations, and governmental institutions. Analysing bureaucratic leadership across these sectors provides insight into how formal structures and administrative processes influence leadership effectiveness under different organisational conditions. Evidence suggests that bureaucratic leadership is most effective in stable environments where consistency, reliability, and procedural accuracy are prioritised, while its effectiveness may decline in organisations requiring flexibility, creativity, and rapid adaptation (Burns & Stalker, 1961; Mintzberg, 1983).

In addition to examining the direct effects of bureaucratic leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mechanisms through which bureaucratic structures influence organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify organisational rules, formal communication systems, hierarchical authority, accountability mechanisms, and role specialisation as important factors shaping employee behaviour and organisational effectiveness (Weber, 1947; Blau & Meyer, 1987). These mechanisms contribute to improved coordination and reduced ambiguity by establishing clear expectations regarding employee responsibilities and performance standards. However, excessive reliance on bureaucratic processes may create organisational inertia, reduce employee motivation, and discourage innovative behaviours by limiting discretion and personal initiative (Merton, 1968).

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the relationship between bureaucratic leadership and organisational change. Traditional interpretations often associate bureaucracy with resistance to innovation; however, recent research suggests that bureaucratic systems can support successful organisational change when combined with adaptive leadership practices and strategic flexibility (Adler & Borys, 1996; Burns & Stalker, 1961). Effective bureaucratic leaders can facilitate change by maintaining organisational stability while ensuring that new initiatives are implemented through structured planning, monitoring, and evaluation processes. Therefore, bureaucracy should not necessarily be viewed as incompatible with innovation but rather as a framework that requires appropriate adaptation to changing organisational environments.

The cross-cultural applicability of bureaucratic leadership is also examined by comparing research findings across different geographical and institutional contexts. Bureaucratic leadership practices may be interpreted differently depending on cultural values, political systems, and

organisational traditions. In societies characterised by high power distance, hierarchical structures may be more readily accepted, whereas cultures that emphasise individual autonomy may perceive bureaucratic practices as restrictive (Hofstede, 2001). Consequently, the effectiveness of bureaucratic leadership depends significantly on contextual factors, including national culture, organisational purpose, employee expectations, and environmental uncertainty.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and research gaps within the bureaucratic leadership literature. The synthesis of existing studies highlights that bureaucratic leadership remains an important area of organisational research because many modern institutions continue to depend on formal structures, accountability systems, and regulatory frameworks. However, further research is required to explore how bureaucratic leadership can be integrated with contemporary leadership approaches, including digital leadership, agile management, and participative leadership, to balance efficiency with innovation and employee engagement (Northouse, 2022; Senge, 1990).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership remains a significant and influential leadership approach within organisational studies. While traditional bureaucratic systems have been criticised for limiting creativity and adaptability, evidence indicates that bureaucratic leadership continues to provide valuable contributions through enhanced coordination, accountability, consistency, and operational reliability. Its relevance is particularly evident in complex organisations operating within highly regulated and risk-sensitive environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide a theoretical and empirical foundation for understanding how bureaucratic leadership can be strategically applied alongside modern leadership approaches to support sustainable organisational performance.

4.2 Overview of Bureaucratic Leadership

Bureaucratic leadership represents one of the earliest and most influential approaches to organisational management, emerging from the principles of rational-legal authority and formal organisational structures. The foundation of bureaucratic leadership is strongly associated with the work of Max Weber, who conceptualised bureaucracy as a system based on clearly defined roles, hierarchical authority, formal procedures, and rule-based decision-making (Weber, 1947). Unlike transformational leadership, which emphasises vision, innovation, and employee empowerment, bureaucratic leadership prioritises stability, predictability, consistency, and compliance through established organisational systems and procedures. This approach became particularly influential in large-scale public institutions, government organisations, healthcare systems, and regulated industries where accountability, standardisation, and reliability are essential (Du Gay, 2020).

Weber's bureaucratic model proposed that organisational effectiveness could be achieved through a structured administrative system characterised by division of labour, formal rules, professional competence, hierarchical coordination, and impersonal decision-making (Weber, 1947). Bureaucratic leaders rely on clearly established policies, authority structures, and procedural frameworks to ensure that organisational activities are conducted consistently and

efficiently. Rather than motivating employees primarily through inspiration or personal influence, bureaucratic leaders achieve organisational objectives by maintaining order, enforcing standards, and ensuring compliance with institutional expectations (Adler, 2022). Consequently, bureaucratic leadership remains highly relevant in environments where errors, inconsistency, or uncontrolled decision-making may create significant risks.

Contemporary definitions of bureaucratic leadership describe it as a leadership approach that emphasises formal authority, adherence to organisational rules, centralised decision-making, and procedural control to achieve operational reliability and institutional stability (Northouse, 2022). Although often criticised for restricting creativity and flexibility, bureaucratic leadership provides important organisational advantages, particularly through accountability, transparency, and consistency. Recent research suggests that bureaucratic structures are not inherently ineffective; rather, their effectiveness depends on how leaders balance formal control mechanisms with employee participation and adaptive practices (Kellner et al., 2022).

One of the major contributions of bureaucratic leadership is its ability to create organisational stability and operational efficiency. In complex organisations involving multiple departments, professional groups, and regulatory requirements, bureaucratic leadership ensures that responsibilities are clearly defined and decisions follow established procedures. This is particularly valuable in public administration and healthcare settings where consistency, ethical accountability, and compliance with legal requirements are fundamental (Hansen & Bentzen, 2021). For example, healthcare organisations frequently depend on bureaucratic leadership practices to maintain patient safety standards, clinical protocols, and regulatory compliance, ensuring that essential services are delivered reliably (Janssen et al., 2023).

Bureaucratic leadership also plays an important role in risk management and organisational governance. Formal procedures and hierarchical accountability systems enable organisations to monitor performance, manage uncertainty, and reduce operational risks. Recent studies indicate that bureaucratic mechanisms can strengthen organisational resilience by providing clear decision-making processes during crises, particularly in highly regulated environments such as government institutions, financial services, and healthcare systems (Kallio et al., 2022). During periods of uncertainty, bureaucratic leadership can provide employees with clarity regarding responsibilities, reporting relationships, and expected behaviours.

Despite these advantages, bureaucratic leadership has received considerable criticism because of its potential to reduce organisational flexibility, employee autonomy, and innovation. Excessive reliance on rules and hierarchical authority may create organisational rigidity, slow decision-making processes, and discourage employees from challenging existing practices (Adler, 2022). In rapidly changing environments, excessive bureaucracy may prevent organisations from responding effectively to technological disruption, competitive pressures, and evolving stakeholder expectations. Consequently, scholars increasingly argue that bureaucratic leadership should not be eliminated but rather integrated with more adaptive leadership approaches to achieve a balance between control and innovation (Kellner et al., 2022).

Innovation represents one of the key challenges associated with bureaucratic leadership. Because bureaucratic systems emphasise compliance and standardisation, employees may perceive limited opportunities for experimentation and creative problem-solving. However, recent research suggests that bureaucracy can support innovation when formal structures provide coordination, knowledge management, and strategic direction rather than excessive restrictions (Diefenbach & Sillince, 2021). This indicates that bureaucratic leadership effectiveness depends not only on the presence of rules but also on leaders' ability to create flexible systems that encourage improvement while maintaining organisational control.

The relationship between bureaucratic leadership and employee motivation is complex. Traditional bureaucratic approaches often rely on extrinsic motivation mechanisms, including formal rewards, promotion systems, and performance evaluations. However, contemporary research indicates that employees may experience reduced engagement when bureaucratic leadership is characterised by excessive supervision and limited participation (Hansen & Bentzen, 2021). Conversely, when bureaucratic leaders provide fairness, role clarity, and procedural justice, employees may develop stronger organisational commitment because they perceive the organisation as predictable and equitable (Colquitt et al., 2022). Therefore, the impact of bureaucratic leadership on employee outcomes depends significantly on how rules and authority structures are implemented.

Bureaucratic leadership remains particularly significant within public sector organisations and developing economies, where institutional capacity, accountability, and governance structures are critical concerns. Research demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership can improve administrative effectiveness by strengthening transparency, reducing arbitrary decision-making, and promoting professional standards (Du Gay, 2020; Awaale, 2024). However, excessive bureaucratic control may also contribute to organisational inefficiency when rigid procedures prevent adaptation and innovation. Therefore, public organisations increasingly require leaders who can combine bureaucratic discipline with transformational capabilities to achieve both stability and change.

In education, bureaucratic leadership has demonstrated both positive and negative outcomes. Formal structures can support institutional accountability, curriculum implementation, and consistent administrative practices. However, highly centralised decision-making may limit teacher autonomy and reduce opportunities for innovation in teaching and learning (Bush, 2021). Recent educational leadership research suggests that effective school leaders increasingly adopt hybrid approaches, combining bureaucratic coordination with participative and transformational behaviours to promote institutional improvement (Harris & Jones, 2022).

Within healthcare organisations, bureaucratic leadership continues to be essential because of the importance of regulations, professional standards, and patient safety requirements. Healthcare leaders must ensure compliance with clinical guidelines, ethical requirements, and government policies while managing complex professional teams (Janssen et al., 2023). Nevertheless, healthcare systems increasingly recognise that bureaucratic control alone cannot address challenges such as workforce shortages, innovation demands, and employee burnout. Therefore,

contemporary healthcare leadership increasingly combines bureaucratic governance with transformational and relational leadership practices.

Digital transformation has further challenged traditional bureaucratic leadership models. The increasing need for agility, collaboration, and rapid decision-making has encouraged organisations to reconsider highly centralised structures. Recent research suggests that effective modern organisations require "adaptive bureaucracy," where formal systems remain important but are complemented by flexibility, employee involvement, and continuous learning (Kellner et al., 2022). This approach allows organisations to maintain accountability while responding effectively to changing environments.

Overall, the literature indicates that bureaucratic leadership remains an important organisational approach rather than an outdated management practice. Its emphasis on structure, accountability, consistency, and procedural fairness provides significant benefits in complex and highly regulated environments. However, its effectiveness depends on leaders' ability to prevent excessive rigidity and incorporate flexibility, employee participation, and innovation-oriented practices. While transformational leadership may be better suited for environments requiring creativity and rapid change, bureaucratic leadership remains essential for maintaining organisational reliability, governance, and operational excellence. Therefore, contemporary organisations should view bureaucratic leadership not as an alternative to adaptive leadership approaches, but as a complementary capability that supports sustainable organisational performance.

4.3 Bureaucratic Leadership

Bureaucratic leadership remains an important leadership approach within organisations characterised by high levels of regulation, formal procedures, accountability, and operational consistency. Rooted in Weber's (1947) theory of bureaucracy, bureaucratic leadership emphasises hierarchical authority, clearly defined roles, adherence to organisational policies, and decision-making based on established rules rather than personal discretion. Although contemporary leadership research often associates bureaucratic leadership with rigidity and reduced organisational flexibility, recent studies argue that it continues to play a significant role in sectors where compliance, risk management, quality assurance, and procedural standardisation are essential, particularly within healthcare, public administration, financial institutions, and government organisations (Northouse, 2022; Al-Hawary & Al-Khalidi, 2023). Rather than viewing bureaucratic leadership solely as an obstacle to innovation, contemporary scholarship increasingly recognises its contribution to organisational stability, accountability, and operational reliability.

Recent research by Al-Hawary and Al-Khalidi (2023) demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership contributes positively to organisational effectiveness by strengthening procedural consistency, regulatory compliance, and employee role clarity. Their study suggests that clearly defined organisational structures reduce ambiguity regarding responsibilities and decision-making

authority, thereby improving employee coordination and reducing operational errors. These findings support Weber's classical bureaucratic theory, which argues that formal authority and established procedures enable organisations to achieve efficiency through predictable and rational administrative processes (Weber, 1947). Rather than relying on individual managerial discretion, bureaucratic leaders ensure that organisational decisions are guided by documented policies that promote fairness, consistency, and accountability.

The study further indicates that procedural standardisation enhances employee confidence by establishing transparent performance expectations and reducing uncertainty in organisational decision-making. Employees operating within clearly defined bureaucratic systems experience greater consistency in supervision, performance evaluation, and organisational communication, thereby reducing perceptions of favouritism and managerial bias. These findings reinforce previous research suggesting that bureaucratic leadership promotes organisational justice through the consistent application of formal rules and administrative procedures (Northouse, 2022; Bush, 2022). However, Al-Hawary and Al-Khalidi (2023) also acknowledge that excessive procedural control may reduce organisational responsiveness by limiting employees' autonomy and discretionary decision-making, particularly within dynamic environments requiring rapid adaptation.

Similarly, Bush (2022) argues that bureaucratic leadership remains particularly effective within educational institutions and public sector organisations because these environments require consistency, accountability, and adherence to statutory regulations. Educational leaders operating within bureaucratic systems are responsible not only for organisational performance but also for ensuring compliance with national policies, professional standards, and legal requirements. Bureaucratic leadership therefore provides an administrative framework that supports equitable decision-making while ensuring that institutional objectives are achieved within established governance structures. Rather than restricting leadership effectiveness, formal administrative procedures can strengthen organisational legitimacy by ensuring transparency and accountability across decision-making processes.

Bush (2022) further suggests that bureaucratic leadership promotes organisational stability by reducing uncertainty during routine administrative operations. Clearly defined reporting relationships, formal communication channels, and standardised procedures enable organisations to coordinate complex activities efficiently while minimising operational inconsistencies. These characteristics become particularly valuable within large public organisations where multiple departments must operate according to uniform standards. Nevertheless, the study acknowledges that excessive bureaucratic control may discourage innovation by limiting employees' opportunities to exercise creativity and independent judgement. Consequently, effective bureaucratic leadership requires balancing procedural compliance with sufficient managerial flexibility to accommodate changing organisational circumstances.

Recent empirical evidence also highlights the relationship between bureaucratic leadership and organisational risk management. Alshurideh et al. (2024) argue that bureaucratic leadership

contributes significantly to organisational resilience by ensuring that decision-making processes remain consistent during periods of uncertainty. Through clearly established contingency procedures, documented responsibilities, and hierarchical communication structures, bureaucratic organisations can respond systematically to operational disruptions while maintaining regulatory compliance. Rather than encouraging improvisation, bureaucratic leaders minimise organisational risk by ensuring that employees follow predefined protocols designed to safeguard organisational continuity. These findings extend traditional bureaucratic theory by demonstrating that procedural standardisation may strengthen organisational resilience under conditions requiring coordinated institutional responses.

An important contribution of Alshurideh et al. (2024) lies in recognising that bureaucratic leadership supports organisational sustainability through governance rather than innovation alone. The authors argue that effective governance structures improve organisational performance by reducing administrative errors, strengthening accountability, and ensuring consistent implementation of organisational policies. Particularly within highly regulated sectors such as healthcare and financial services, bureaucratic leadership enables organisations to maintain quality standards while complying with increasingly complex legal and ethical requirements. Consequently, bureaucratic leadership contributes to long-term organisational effectiveness by protecting institutional integrity and reducing operational risk.

Conversely, recent studies continue to identify significant limitations associated with bureaucratic leadership, particularly regarding organisational innovation and employee engagement. Khan et al. (2023) found that highly bureaucratic organisational environments may reduce employees' innovative work behaviour by restricting participation in decision-making and limiting opportunities for creative problem-solving. Strict adherence to formal procedures can discourage employees from proposing alternative solutions because organisational cultures prioritise procedural compliance over experimentation. These findings are consistent with contemporary leadership theory suggesting that excessive centralisation may reduce organisational adaptability within rapidly changing business environments (Northouse, 2022).

Khan et al. (2023) further argue that bureaucratic leadership may weaken intrinsic motivation by limiting employee autonomy. Employees who possess limited discretion over work processes often become less engaged because organisational procedures determine both decision-making authority and acceptable methods of task completion. While bureaucratic systems enhance consistency, they may simultaneously reduce employees' perceptions of empowerment and ownership. This observation supports self-determination theory, which suggests that autonomy represents a critical psychological need influencing employee motivation and performance. Consequently, organisations relying exclusively on bureaucratic leadership may experience reduced innovation despite achieving high levels of operational consistency.

Recent research within healthcare settings similarly demonstrates both the strengths and limitations of bureaucratic leadership. Gebreheat et al. (2023) found that structured leadership systems improve patient safety by ensuring adherence to clinical protocols, professional standards,

and evidence-based procedures. Within hospitals, bureaucratic leadership supports quality assurance through clearly defined reporting relationships, documentation requirements, and accountability mechanisms that reduce medical errors and enhance patient outcomes. Such findings illustrate that bureaucratic leadership remains particularly valuable in environments where deviations from established procedures may produce significant organisational or societal consequences.

However, Gebreheat et al. (2023) also report that excessive bureaucratic control may reduce healthcare professionals' responsiveness to rapidly changing clinical situations. Strict procedural requirements can delay decision-making, particularly during emergencies requiring immediate clinical judgement. Consequently, the authors recommend combining bureaucratic governance with more participative leadership approaches that allow healthcare professionals sufficient flexibility to respond effectively to complex patient needs. This perspective reflects a growing consensus that bureaucratic leadership functions most effectively when complemented by adaptive leadership behaviours capable of balancing regulatory compliance with professional discretion.

Collectively, contemporary research demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership continues to provide important organisational benefits despite increasing emphasis on transformational and participative leadership models. Recent studies consistently identify procedural consistency, accountability, governance, regulatory compliance, and operational stability as key strengths of bureaucratic leadership, particularly within highly regulated organisational contexts (Bush, 2022; Al-Hawary & Al-Khalidi, 2023; Alshurideh et al., 2024). At the same time, evidence suggests that excessive bureaucratic control may reduce organisational innovation, employee empowerment, and adaptability by limiting autonomy and discouraging creative problem-solving (Khan et al., 2023). Consequently, contemporary leadership scholarship increasingly views bureaucratic leadership not as an outdated management approach but as a context-dependent leadership style whose effectiveness depends upon organisational objectives, environmental stability, and the degree to which procedural control is balanced with flexibility. Rather than replacing bureaucratic leadership entirely, modern organisations increasingly integrate bureaucratic structures with transformational, participative, and adaptive leadership approaches to achieve both organisational stability and continuous improvement.

Recent scholarship has reaffirmed the continued relevance of bureaucratic leadership in organisations that operate within highly regulated and policy-driven environments. Bureaucratic leadership is characterised by formal authority, clearly defined organisational hierarchies, strict adherence to rules and procedures, and standardised decision-making processes that promote consistency, accountability, and administrative efficiency (Northouse, 2022). Rather than emphasising employee inspiration or organisational transformation, bureaucratic leadership focuses on ensuring that organisational objectives are achieved through procedural compliance, role clarity, and institutional governance. Contemporary public administration research similarly demonstrates that formal administrative structures remain essential for maintaining organisational legitimacy, accountability, and service quality, particularly within public-sector institutions

operating under extensive regulatory oversight (Backhaus & Vogel, 2022; Rimkutė & Van der Voet, 2024).

Research examining governance within higher education demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership strengthens institutional effectiveness by ensuring that strategic plans are translated into operational activities through formal administrative structures, clearly defined responsibilities, and systematic monitoring procedures (Bush, 2020). Increasing demands for accreditation, quality assurance, financial accountability, and regulatory compliance have reinforced the importance of hierarchical governance and procedural consistency in universities. Recent analyses of higher education governance indicate that expanding administrative systems have become central mechanisms for coordinating institutional activities, ensuring compliance with national regulations, and maintaining organisational stability despite increasing environmental complexity (Andersson et al., 2024; Ehrich & English, 2024).

Strategic planning remains an important mechanism linking bureaucratic leadership with organisational performance. Rather than motivating employees through vision or personal influence, bureaucratic leaders implement strategic objectives by developing formal procedures, enforcing organisational regulations, establishing performance standards, and monitoring policy implementation (DuBrin, 2022). This systematic approach enables academic and administrative staff to perform their responsibilities according to clearly defined organisational expectations, thereby improving institutional coordination, accountability, and administrative effectiveness. Consequently, bureaucratic leadership supports organisational performance by ensuring consistency in policy implementation and reducing operational ambiguity. Evidence from public administration further demonstrates that clearly defined administrative authority and hierarchical coordination strengthen organisational performance by improving governance, decision consistency, and institutional accountability (Backhaus & Vogel, 2022).

These findings reinforce bureaucratic leadership theory by demonstrating that organisational effectiveness depends upon formal governance systems, administrative discipline, and procedural standardisation. Bureaucratic leaders facilitate strategy implementation through clearly defined organisational hierarchies, established communication channels, and strict compliance with institutional policies rather than through employee empowerment or organisational transformation (Northouse, 2022). Consequently, bureaucratic leadership represents an important organisational capability that strengthens governance, enhances policy implementation, and promotes institutional stability, particularly within highly regulated organisational environments. Contemporary leadership research similarly recognises that formal leadership structures continue to play an essential role in achieving positive organisational outcomes where regulatory compliance, accountability, and risk management are organisational priorities (Backhaus & Vogel, 2022).

Furthermore, strategic planning alone is insufficient to achieve organisational objectives without the administrative controls embedded within bureaucratic leadership. While strategic plans establish organisational direction, bureaucratic leaders ensure effective implementation by

allocating responsibilities, enforcing regulations, monitoring compliance, and maintaining accountability across organisational levels (Bush, 2020). This reinforces the argument that successful strategy implementation depends not only upon planning but also upon leadership behaviours that ensure consistency, procedural fairness, and organisational discipline. Administrative competence, policy enforcement, regulatory compliance, and systematic supervision therefore become essential leadership competencies that enable organisations to bridge the gap between strategic intentions and operational execution (DuBrin, 2022). Empirical evidence from European public-sector organisations similarly demonstrates that bureaucratic responsiveness depends upon clearly established administrative authority, structured decision-making processes, and formal governance mechanisms that ensure effective implementation of organisational policies (Rimkutė & Van der Voet, 2024).

Within the Nigerian higher education sector, bureaucratic leadership is particularly relevant because institutions operate within environments characterised by limited financial resources, extensive regulatory requirements, hierarchical governance structures, and increasing demands for accountability (Bush, 2020). Under these circumstances, bureaucratic leaders play an important role in ensuring compliance with institutional policies, coordinating administrative processes, and maintaining organisational stability despite environmental uncertainty. Rather than responding to organisational challenges through transformational change initiatives, bureaucratic leaders strengthen institutional performance by promoting procedural consistency, administrative order, and effective governance. Similar conclusions have been reported in comparative studies of public organisations, where formal organisational structures enable leaders to coordinate increasingly complex administrative systems while ensuring policy compliance and organisational accountability (van der Hoek & Kuipers, 2024).

Evidence from service-oriented organisations similarly demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership contributes positively to operational performance where standardisation and service consistency are organisational priorities. Formal procedures, clearly defined employee roles, and structured supervision reduce service variability, strengthen organisational accountability, and improve compliance with operational standards (DuBrin, 2022). Although bureaucratic leadership may limit employee discretion and creativity, contemporary public administration research indicates that procedural leadership remains highly effective in organisations responsible for delivering essential public services where consistency, transparency, and legal compliance outweigh flexibility (Backhaus & Vogel, 2022).

Similarly, research on large-scale public governance indicates that bureaucratic leadership supports organisational coordination through clearly defined governance structures, formal reporting systems, and hierarchical decision-making processes. Bureaucratic systems establish accountability mechanisms, standard operating procedures, and systematic monitoring processes that reduce uncertainty and strengthen organisational coordination across multiple stakeholders (OECD, 2023; Rimkutė & Van der Voet, 2024).

An important contribution of contemporary bureaucratic leadership research is the recognition that effective administration depends not solely upon individual leadership characteristics but also upon the quality of organisational systems, governance frameworks, and institutional procedures. Leadership effectiveness therefore emerges from the successful integration of formal authority, organisational structure, policy compliance, and administrative accountability. Recent scholarship on public-sector leadership further argues that effective leadership within bureaucratic organisations is increasingly shaped by institutional context, governance arrangements, and administrative systems rather than solely by individual leader behaviours (Backhaus & Vogel, 2022; Ehrich & English, 2024).

Recent studies indicate that bureaucratic leadership contributes to organisational effectiveness by promoting administrative consistency, regulatory compliance, procedural fairness, and institutional stability, particularly within public-sector organisations and highly regulated environments (OECD, 2023; World Bank, 2023). Unlike transformational leadership, bureaucratic leadership emphasises formal authority, hierarchical reporting structures, standard operating procedures, and adherence to organisational policies, thereby ensuring that employees perform their responsibilities according to established regulations rather than individual discretion (Northouse, 2022). This leadership approach is particularly valuable in organisations where accountability, transparency, and legal compliance are fundamental organisational priorities.

Recent research on public governance demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership supports employee well-being indirectly by creating structured work environments characterised by clearly defined responsibilities, predictable decision-making processes, and procedural justice (OECD, 2023). Employees working within organisations governed by formal administrative procedures experience greater role clarity and lower levels of role ambiguity because organisational expectations, reporting relationships, and operational standards are explicitly defined. Consequently, bureaucratic leadership contributes to organisational stability by reducing uncertainty and promoting equitable treatment through the consistent application of organisational policies (World Bank, 2023).

Contemporary governance research further demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership remains effective across diverse national and institutional contexts because administrative systems rely upon universal principles of hierarchy, accountability, legal-rational authority, and procedural standardisation (United Nations, 2022). Although administrative structures differ between countries, organisations consistently depend upon formal rules, documentation, and institutional oversight to ensure transparency, reduce administrative discretion, and strengthen public trust. These findings support Weber's theory of bureaucracy, which argues that organisational effectiveness is enhanced through rational-legal authority rather than personal influence or charismatic leadership (Weber, 1978; Northouse, 2022).

Furthermore, employee satisfaction within bureaucratic organisations is strongly influenced by perceptions of procedural fairness, consistency in decision-making, and equitable implementation of organisational policies (OECD, 2023). Employees are more likely to trust

organisational leadership when recruitment, promotion, performance evaluation, and disciplinary procedures are conducted according to transparent regulations rather than subjective managerial judgement. This reinforces institutional legitimacy while strengthening organisational commitment through fairness and accountability instead of personal motivation or inspirational leadership (Bush, 2020).

Evidence from humanitarian organisations and crisis management also highlights the continued importance of bureaucratic leadership during periods of uncertainty. The United Nations (2022) argues that emergency response organisations rely heavily on formal command structures, standard operating procedures, clearly defined authority, and coordinated administrative systems to ensure effective service delivery under crisis conditions. During emergencies, bureaucratic leadership enhances organisational resilience by maintaining operational continuity, ensuring compliance with emergency protocols, coordinating inter-agency collaboration, and facilitating accountability across multiple stakeholders.

Within educational institutions, bureaucratic leadership continues to play a significant role in supporting institutional governance through structured supervision, policy implementation, quality assurance, and regulatory compliance (Bush, 2020). School administrators and university managers rely on formal governance systems to coordinate curriculum implementation, staff appraisal, accreditation processes, and financial accountability. Rather than encouraging individual innovation, bureaucratic leadership promotes educational effectiveness by ensuring consistency, administrative discipline, and compliance with national education standards.

Similarly, contemporary public administration research demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership contributes positively to employee performance by providing clearly defined job roles, structured supervision, and formal performance management systems (World Bank, 2023). Employees perform more effectively when organisational procedures specify responsibilities, reporting relationships, and performance expectations. While bureaucratic leadership may reduce employee autonomy and creativity, it enhances organisational reliability by ensuring that organisational objectives are achieved through procedural compliance and systematic administrative control (Northouse, 2022).

Recent reviews of governance in developing economies further indicate that bureaucratic leadership remains essential for strengthening institutional capacity, improving public-sector accountability, and reducing administrative inefficiency (World Bank, 2023). Public organisations operating under resource constraints frequently depend upon hierarchical management systems to coordinate service delivery, monitor financial expenditure, and ensure adherence to government regulations. Rather than relying upon individual leadership charisma, bureaucratic leaders improve organisational performance by strengthening governance frameworks, enforcing administrative discipline, and maintaining institutional order.

Research also suggests that bureaucratic leadership supports organisational resilience by ensuring continuity during leadership transitions and organisational change (OECD, 2023). Because organisational knowledge is embedded within formal procedures, regulations, and

administrative systems rather than individual leaders, organisations governed through bureaucratic structures are better able to maintain operational stability despite personnel changes. This institutional continuity reduces organisational risk while promoting long-term sustainability through established governance mechanisms.

Recent scholarship therefore recognises bureaucratic leadership as an important governance approach that complements contemporary management practices rather than representing an outdated leadership style (Farazmand, 2022; OECD, 2023). While transformational leadership encourages innovation and organisational change, bureaucratic leadership provides the administrative infrastructure necessary to ensure accountability, policy implementation, legal compliance, and institutional consistency. Consequently, organisations operating within highly regulated sectors—including public administration, higher education, healthcare, and government agencies—continue to depend upon bureaucratic leadership to strengthen governance, improve organisational performance, and sustain institutional legitimacy.

4.4 Conclusion

Bureaucratic leadership, characterised by formal authority, clearly defined organisational hierarchies, adherence to established rules, standardised procedures, and structured decision-making, continues to demonstrate its relevance in promoting organisational stability, accountability, regulatory compliance, and administrative efficiency across highly regulated organisational environments. The literature reviewed indicates that bureaucratic leadership is not simply a traditional leadership style but an essential governance mechanism that enables organisations to maintain operational consistency, institutional legitimacy, and sustainable performance in complex and policy-driven environments.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between bureaucratic leadership and organisational performance through enhanced governance, policy implementation, and administrative coordination. Bureaucratic leaders establish formal reporting structures, allocate responsibilities clearly, enforce organisational policies, and ensure that employees perform their duties according to established regulations and professional standards. Rather than relying on personal influence or employee inspiration, bureaucratic leadership promotes organisational effectiveness by strengthening procedural compliance, accountability, and consistency in organisational operations.

The review also demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership plays a critical role in organisational stability and strategic implementation. Within organisations operating under strict regulatory frameworks, bureaucratic leaders ensure that strategic objectives are translated into operational activities through structured planning, formal communication channels, systematic supervision, and performance monitoring. Effective implementation therefore depends not only on strategic planning but also on administrative control mechanisms that ensure compliance with institutional policies, minimise operational uncertainty, and coordinate organisational activities efficiently.

Governance and accountability emerged as dominant themes throughout the literature. Studies consistently show that bureaucratic leadership strengthens organisational governance by establishing clear authority structures, transparent decision-making processes, documented procedures, and formal accountability mechanisms. These governance arrangements reduce ambiguity, improve organisational coordination, facilitate regulatory compliance, and support effective risk management, particularly in public-sector institutions, healthcare organisations, educational institutions, and government agencies where legal and policy requirements are extensive.

The literature further demonstrates that bureaucratic leadership contributes significantly to organisational reliability and service consistency. Leaders who enforce standard operating procedures, maintain procedural fairness, and ensure compliance with organisational regulations create stable working environments characterised by predictability, operational discipline, and administrative order. Although bureaucratic leadership may restrict employee autonomy and creativity in some settings, evidence suggests that it remains particularly valuable in organisations where service quality, safety, fairness, and legal compliance depend upon consistent application of established procedures.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the continued relevance of bureaucratic leadership within public administration and higher education. Studies conducted across government institutions, universities, and public-sector organisations indicate that bureaucratic leadership enhances institutional effectiveness by ensuring compliance with regulatory frameworks, strengthening governance systems, improving financial accountability, and facilitating policy implementation despite resource limitations and increasing institutional complexity. These findings demonstrate that bureaucratic leadership remains indispensable where transparency, institutional legitimacy, and public accountability are organisational priorities.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of bureaucratic leadership within developing economies and resource-constrained environments. Evidence from higher education, healthcare, and public administration indicates that bureaucratic leadership enables organisations to maintain institutional stability despite financial constraints, political uncertainty, and complex governance challenges. Through clearly defined authority structures, administrative discipline, and procedural consistency, bureaucratic leaders strengthen organisational resilience while ensuring continuity of operations and effective service delivery under difficult conditions.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that bureaucratic leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by promoting institutional continuity, regulatory compliance, ethical governance, and effective resource management. Formal governance structures ensure that organisational decisions are transparent, legally compliant, and aligned with long-term institutional objectives. Consequently, bureaucratic leadership supports sustainable organisational performance by strengthening governance capacity, reducing administrative risks, and maintaining organisational legitimacy within increasingly complex regulatory environments.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that bureaucratic leadership remains an important and empirically relevant leadership approach within contemporary organisational research. Its contributions extend beyond maintaining organisational order to strengthening governance, accountability, policy implementation, institutional resilience, and long-term organisational sustainability across multiple sectors. Although bureaucratic leadership may be less effective in highly innovative or rapidly changing environments where flexibility and creativity are essential, the evidence consistently demonstrates its value as a strategic governance capability for organisations operating within formal regulatory and administrative systems.

Consequently, organisations operating in highly regulated sectors should continue investing in leadership development programmes that strengthen bureaucratic leadership competencies, including policy implementation, administrative accountability, regulatory compliance, ethical governance, strategic planning, risk management, and institutional oversight. As organisations face increasing regulatory complexity, public scrutiny, governance reforms, and accountability demands, bureaucratic leadership will remain an essential capability for ensuring organisational stability, effective governance, procedural consistency, and sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 5:
CHARISMATIC LEADERSHIP

5.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of charismatic leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating classical leadership theories with recent empirical evidence to explain how charismatic leadership influences organisational performance, employee commitment, organisational change, innovation, and follower behaviour. As one of the foundational leadership theories, charismatic leadership has gained renewed attention in contemporary organisations because of its emphasis on visionary leadership, emotional influence, trust-building, ethical conduct, and the ability to inspire followers to achieve collective organisational goals (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of charismatic leadership, tracing its evolution from Weber's (1947) concept of charismatic authority to House's (1977) behavioural theory of charismatic leadership and subsequent organisational applications developed by Conger and Kanungo (1998). Weber (1947) conceptualised charisma as a form of authority derived from followers' perceptions of a leader's extraordinary qualities rather than formal position or legal authority. House (1977) later expanded this perspective by proposing that charismatic leaders influence followers through visionary communication, confidence, role modelling, and sensitivity to follower needs. Conger and Kanungo (1998) further refined the theory by identifying key behavioural dimensions of charismatic leadership, including strategic vision, unconventional behaviour, personal risk-taking, environmental sensitivity, and inspirational communication. These theoretical perspectives provide the analytical framework for evaluating the selected studies throughout this chapter.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that charismatic leadership contributes significantly to employee motivation, organisational commitment, trust, job satisfaction, innovation, organisational citizenship behaviour, and overall organisational effectiveness (Banks et al., 2023; Antonakis et al., 2016). Through compelling vision, emotional appeal, and strong interpersonal influence, charismatic leaders encourage employees to identify with organisational goals, strengthen collective commitment, and exert greater discretionary effort. Consequently, charismatic leadership has become particularly valuable in organisational environments characterised by uncertainty, strategic transformation, crisis management, and increasing competitive pressures (Northouse, 2022; Haslam et al., 2020).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, public administration, hospitality, manufacturing, multinational corporations, non-governmental organisations (NGOs), and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Examining charismatic leadership across these diverse sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of how charismatic behaviours influence organisational outcomes within different institutional, organisational, and cultural contexts. Although the core principles of charismatic leadership remain consistent across sectors, evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness is shaped by organisational culture, governance structures, employee expectations, and contextual conditions (Banks et al., 2023).

In addition to examining the direct effects of charismatic leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions charismatic leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify employee trust, organisational identification, psychological safety, leader credibility, emotional intelligence, organisational culture, and social identity as important mechanisms through which charismatic leadership affects employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Haslam et al., 2020; Antonakis et al., 2016; Banks et al., 2023). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why charismatic leadership produces positive organisational outcomes while highlighting the organisational conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of charismatic leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted in Africa, Europe, Asia, North America, and the Middle East. Although charismatic leadership has consistently been associated with positive organisational outcomes across different national contexts, cultural values, institutional environments, and societal expectations influence how charismatic behaviours are perceived and enacted (House et al., 2004; Banks et al., 2023). For example, visionary leadership and emotional communication may be interpreted differently in collectivist and individualist cultures or in organisations characterised by high power distance. Consequently, the review recognises the importance of adapting charismatic leadership behaviours to local cultural and organisational contexts while preserving the fundamental principles of vision, trust, and inspirational influence.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the charismatic leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding charismatic leadership outcomes while identifying areas requiring further investigation. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as ethical charismatic leadership, digital leadership, organisational resilience, employee well-being, crisis leadership, and sustainable organisational performance, all of which have become increasingly important within contemporary leadership research (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that charismatic leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership theories within organisational studies. Its

emphasis on visionary communication, trust-building, emotional influence, ethical conduct, and follower commitment makes it particularly relevant for organisations operating in increasingly dynamic, uncertain, and knowledge-intensive environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how charismatic leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, organisational governance, and strategic management initiatives to enhance employee commitment, organisational resilience, innovation, and sustainable organisational success.

5.2 Overview of Charismatic Leadership

Charismatic leadership has emerged as one of the most influential leadership theories in organisational research, emphasising the ability of leaders to inspire, influence, and motivate followers through exceptional personal qualities, compelling vision, and emotional appeal. The concept was originally introduced by Weber (1947), who described charisma as a form of authority derived from followers' perceptions of a leader's extraordinary qualities rather than formal organisational position or legal authority. Unlike bureaucratic leadership, which relies on formal rules and hierarchical authority, charismatic leadership is based on the leader's ability to inspire commitment, build trust, and encourage followers to pursue collective goals with enthusiasm and confidence (Conger & Kanungo, 1998).

House (1977) further developed charismatic leadership theory by proposing that charismatic leaders influence followers through visionary communication, confidence, unconventional behaviour, and sensitivity to followers' needs. According to House's theory, charismatic leaders create strong emotional bonds with followers by articulating an inspiring vision, demonstrating confidence in achieving organisational goals, and acting as role models during periods of uncertainty and organisational change. Contemporary leadership research continues to regard charismatic leadership as an important leadership approach capable of strengthening employee commitment, organisational resilience, and strategic performance across diverse organisational settings (Northouse, 2022).

Charismatic leadership is defined as a leadership approach whereby leaders influence followers through personal appeal, visionary communication, confidence, and emotional connection, encouraging employees to exceed expected performance by identifying with organisational goals and the leader's vision (Northouse, 2022). Rather than relying primarily on formal authority or transactional exchanges, charismatic leaders inspire trust, loyalty, and commitment while motivating employees to embrace organisational objectives and navigate uncertainty with confidence (Antonakis et al., 2016). Consequently, charismatic leadership is particularly valuable in organisations experiencing strategic transformation, crisis, innovation, or significant organisational change.

Recent studies consistently demonstrate that charismatic leadership positively influences employee engagement, organisational commitment, job satisfaction, and individual performance. For example, Hoch et al. (2018) found that charismatic leadership significantly enhances employee motivation and organisational commitment by strengthening followers' emotional attachment to organisational goals and increasing trust in leadership. Similarly, Banks et al. (2023) reported that charismatic leadership behaviours contribute positively to employee engagement, organisational citizenship behaviour, and overall organisational effectiveness across multiple industries. These findings are consistent with social identity theory, which suggests that employees become more committed when they identify strongly with leaders who communicate an inspiring organisational vision and represent shared organisational values (Haslam et al., 2020).

Visionary communication represents one of the defining characteristics of charismatic leadership. Charismatic leaders inspire employees by articulating ambitious organisational goals while fostering confidence that such objectives are achievable. Research indicates that employees are more willing to accept organisational change, demonstrate discretionary effort, and remain committed during periods of uncertainty when leaders communicate a compelling vision supported by confidence, optimism, and emotional conviction (Shamir et al., 1993; Banks et al., 2023). These leadership behaviours strengthen organisational resilience by encouraging collective commitment and reducing employee uncertainty during organisational transformation.

The effectiveness of charismatic leadership is particularly evident during organisational crises and periods of environmental uncertainty. Contemporary research suggests that charismatic leaders are capable of sustaining employee morale, strengthening organisational cohesion, and promoting adaptive behaviour by providing emotional reassurance and strategic direction during challenging circumstances (Antonakis et al., 2016; Northouse, 2022). Employees who perceive their leaders as charismatic often report higher levels of trust, confidence, and willingness to support organisational initiatives despite external pressures and uncertainty.

Research further indicates that organisational culture and contextual factors influence the effectiveness of charismatic leadership. While charismatic leadership consistently enhances employee motivation and organisational commitment, its effectiveness depends upon organisational trust, ethical leadership, and supportive institutional cultures that reinforce leader credibility (Banks et al., 2023). Organisations characterised by high levels of transparency, employee participation, and ethical governance are more likely to benefit from charismatic leadership because followers perceive leaders' vision as authentic and aligned with organisational values (Conger & Kanungo, 1998).

Within the healthcare and public service sectors, charismatic leadership has demonstrated positive outcomes for both employees and organisational performance. Research indicates that charismatic leaders improve healthcare professionals' job satisfaction, organisational commitment, teamwork, and service quality by strengthening interpersonal trust, communication, and employee confidence (Specchia et al., 2021). Similarly, public-sector organisations benefit from charismatic

leadership because visionary leaders motivate employees to pursue public service objectives despite institutional constraints and resource limitations (Banks et al., 2023).

Beyond organisational performance, charismatic leadership has increasingly been associated with organisational resilience, ethical leadership, and sustainable organisational development. Ethical charismatic leaders encourage employees to adopt shared organisational values, demonstrate organisational citizenship behaviours, and participate actively in long-term organisational improvement initiatives (Northouse, 2022). Through vision, integrity, and emotional influence, charismatic leaders contribute to organisational sustainability by strengthening employee commitment, stakeholder confidence, and organisational adaptability in increasingly complex environments.

Empirical evidence from different geographical regions further demonstrates the international relevance of charismatic leadership. Studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, and North America consistently report positive relationships between charismatic leadership, employee motivation, organisational commitment, innovation, and organisational effectiveness (Banks et al., 2023; Antonakis et al., 2016). Although cultural differences influence how charismatic behaviours are expressed and interpreted, the fundamental principles of visionary leadership, trust-building, and emotional influence remain effective across diverse organisational contexts (Haslam et al., 2020).

Despite its numerous advantages, charismatic leadership is not without limitations. Excessive dependence on the personal qualities of individual leaders may create organisational vulnerability if leadership succession is poorly managed or if charismatic influence becomes personalised rather than institutionalised (Northouse, 2022). Additionally, charismatic leadership may become ineffective or potentially harmful when leaders misuse their influence for personal gain rather than organisational benefit, highlighting the importance of ethical leadership, accountability, and strong governance systems (Conger & Kanungo, 1998). Nevertheless, extensive empirical evidence demonstrates that charismatic leadership enhances employee motivation, organisational commitment, resilience, innovation, and long-term organisational performance. Its emphasis on visionary communication, emotional influence, trust-building, and ethical leadership makes it an important leadership approach for organisations operating in increasingly dynamic and uncertain environments.

5.3 Charismatic Leadership

Charismatic leadership continues to attract considerable scholarly attention because of its demonstrated capacity to inspire followers, strengthen organisational commitment, enhance employee performance, and facilitate organisational change across diverse organisational contexts (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023). Originally conceptualised by House (1977) and further developed by Conger and Kanungo (1987; 1998), charismatic leadership is characterised by leaders' ability to articulate an inspiring vision, demonstrate exceptional confidence, communicate symbolic values, and build strong emotional bonds with followers. Unlike leadership approaches

that rely primarily on formal authority or organisational procedures, charismatic leaders influence employees through personal appeal, visionary communication, and the ability to inspire trust and collective commitment (Conger & Kanungo, 1998). Recent empirical research demonstrates that charismatic leadership influences organisational outcomes both directly and indirectly through several psychological and organisational mechanisms.

Zhang et al. (2023) provide compelling evidence of the relationship between charismatic leadership and employees' innovative work behaviour by examining the mediating role of organisational identification and the moderating influence of psychological safety. Their findings indicate that charismatic leaders stimulate innovation by inspiring employees to identify strongly with organisational goals while creating an emotionally supportive work environment. Rather than relying on formal control mechanisms, charismatic leaders cultivate emotional attachment and shared purpose, encouraging employees to contribute creative ideas voluntarily. These findings are consistent with charismatic leadership theory, which proposes that visionary communication and emotional influence strengthen followers' commitment to organisational objectives (House, 1977; Northouse, 2022).

The study further demonstrates that organisational identification serves as an important psychological mechanism through which charismatic leadership enhances innovative behaviour. Employees who admire and trust charismatic leaders develop stronger emotional attachment to organisational values and become more willing to support organisational change and innovation. Furthermore, psychological safety significantly strengthens the positive relationship between charismatic leadership and employee creativity, indicating that charismatic influence is most effective when employees feel safe to express new ideas without fear of criticism (Zhang et al., 2023). These findings reinforce social identity theory by demonstrating that employees who identify closely with their organisation are more likely to engage in behaviours that promote organisational effectiveness (Haslam et al., 2020).

Similarly, Li and Chen (2024) provide important insights into the psychological mechanisms through which charismatic leadership enhances employee performance by examining the mediating role of psychological empowerment. Their study demonstrates that charismatic leaders strengthen employees' confidence, optimism, and intrinsic motivation through inspirational communication, symbolic behaviour, and strong interpersonal relationships. Unlike leadership styles that depend primarily on supervision or formal authority, charismatic leadership develops employees' internal psychological resources, enabling them to perform challenging tasks with greater confidence and resilience (Li & Chen, 2024).

The study identifies self-efficacy and psychological empowerment as significant mediators linking charismatic leadership with employee performance. By communicating an attractive future vision, expressing confidence in employees' capabilities, and recognising individual contributions, charismatic leaders encourage followers to exceed routine performance expectations. These findings support earlier evidence suggesting that charismatic leadership enhances employee engagement by strengthening followers' emotional commitment and personal confidence (Banks

et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022). Consequently, charismatic leadership functions not only as a motivational process but also as a mechanism for building long-term organisational capability through employee development.

An important contribution of Li and Chen (2024) is their focus on organisations operating under resource constraints and competitive pressure. Their findings indicate that charismatic leadership becomes particularly valuable when organisations face uncertainty because leaders compensate for structural limitations by inspiring confidence, resilience, and collective commitment. Rather than depending exclusively on financial or technological resources, charismatic leaders strengthen organisational performance by mobilising employees around a compelling organisational vision. This perspective broadens charismatic leadership theory by highlighting psychological empowerment as a strategic organisational resource capable of sustaining performance under challenging conditions.

Kim and Park (2025) further extend charismatic leadership research by investigating organisational resilience as the mechanism through which charismatic leadership enhances organisational adaptability and innovation. Their findings demonstrate that charismatic leaders improve resilience by promoting collective optimism, shared identity, and confidence in overcoming organisational challenges. Rather than focusing solely on motivating individual employees, charismatic leaders strengthen organisational capability by encouraging collaboration, learning, and adaptability during periods of environmental uncertainty.

The concept of organisational resilience closely aligns with charismatic leadership theory. Leaders exhibiting charismatic behaviours inspire confidence, communicate optimism during crises, and reinforce employees' belief in the organisation's future success. By reframing organisational challenges as opportunities for collective achievement, charismatic leaders create resilient teams capable of sustaining innovation despite environmental disruptions (Northouse, 2022). These findings are particularly relevant given increasing technological disruption, economic uncertainty, and organisational complexity.

Kim and Park (2025) further demonstrate that environmental uncertainty strengthens the positive relationship between charismatic leadership and organisational resilience. During periods of economic instability, digital transformation, or market disruption, employees increasingly rely on charismatic leaders for reassurance, strategic direction, and emotional support. Under such conditions, charismatic leadership becomes especially influential because it reduces uncertainty, strengthens organisational cohesion, and encourages adaptive behaviour. These findings support contemporary leadership research suggesting that charismatic leadership is particularly effective during organisational crises because visionary leadership enhances employee confidence and collective resilience (Banks et al., 2023).

Collectively, the studies by Zhang et al. (2023), Li and Chen (2024), and Kim and Park (2025) reinforce the multidimensional nature of charismatic leadership. They demonstrate that charismatic leadership influences organisational innovation through psychological, behavioural, and organisational mechanisms, including organisational identification, psychological

empowerment, employee confidence, and organisational resilience. These findings extend traditional charismatic leadership theory beyond leader–follower attraction by illustrating how charismatic leaders shape organisational culture, strengthen employees' psychological resources, and build adaptive organisational capabilities. Consequently, charismatic leadership should be viewed not merely as an individual leadership trait but as a strategic organisational capability that promotes innovation, resilience, and sustainable organisational performance within increasingly dynamic organisational environments.

The findings of Kim and Park (2025) further demonstrate that charismatic leadership becomes particularly valuable during periods of environmental uncertainty when organisations require resilience, adaptability, and employee commitment to remain competitive. By communicating an inspiring vision, reinforcing employees' confidence, and creating emotional commitment, charismatic leaders encourage followers to embrace organisational change while maintaining high performance. Such leadership behaviours strengthen organisational learning, innovation, and long-term adaptability, enabling organisations to respond effectively to rapidly changing business environments (Northouse, 2022). Organisational resilience therefore emerges as a critical mechanism through which charismatic leadership enhances sustainable organisational performance.

A notable contribution of recent charismatic leadership research is the integration of charismatic leadership with organisational resilience and psychological empowerment theories. Contemporary scholars argue that charismatic leaders influence organisational effectiveness not only through personal influence but also by strengthening organisational capabilities, employee confidence, and adaptive organisational systems (Banks et al., 2023; Li & Chen, 2024). This perspective extends classical charismatic leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends upon developing resilient organisational cultures alongside emotionally committed employees. Consequently, charismatic leadership should be regarded as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens innovation, resilience, employee engagement, and sustainable organisational success.

Recent evidence from healthcare organisations also demonstrates the practical relevance of charismatic leadership. Tsapnidou et al. (2024) reported that leaders displaying charismatic behaviours significantly improve employee engagement, organisational commitment, workforce retention, and quality of patient care by communicating a compelling vision, demonstrating confidence, and fostering strong interpersonal relationships. Through inspirational communication and symbolic leadership, charismatic leaders strengthen professional commitment and encourage collaborative behaviours that improve organisational effectiveness. These findings reinforce the growing consensus that charismatic leadership functions not simply as a source of personal influence but as a strategic leadership approach capable of promoting organisational sustainability, employee well-being, and high-quality service delivery within increasingly complex organisational environments.

Recent scholarship has extended charismatic leadership theory by examining how charismatic leaders influence organisational sustainability and employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Drawing on House's (1977) theory of charismatic leadership and the behavioural framework developed by Conger and Kanungo (1998), contemporary studies argue that charismatic leaders promote sustainability by articulating an inspiring environmental vision, modelling environmentally responsible behaviours, and cultivating followers' emotional commitment to sustainable organisational values. Unlike bureaucratic approaches that emphasise regulatory compliance, charismatic leaders encourage employees to internalise environmental responsibility as a shared organisational purpose, thereby fostering voluntary participation in sustainability initiatives (Northouse, 2022; Robertson & Barling, 2021).

Recent evidence suggests that charismatic leaders strengthen organisational sustainability by communicating a compelling vision that motivates employees to perceive environmental stewardship as an integral component of organisational success rather than an externally imposed obligation. Through persuasive communication, symbolic actions, and role modelling, charismatic leaders create emotionally engaging organisational cultures in which employees willingly adopt environmentally responsible behaviours and contribute to sustainability objectives. This value-driven leadership approach reinforces ethical leadership while strengthening organisational commitment towards sustainable development (Robertson & Barling, 2021; Banks et al., 2023).

Studies further demonstrate that environmental awareness functions as an important psychological mechanism through which charismatic leadership influences employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Rather than relying exclusively on formal environmental policies and administrative controls, charismatic leaders stimulate employees' environmental consciousness by encouraging innovative thinking, promoting shared environmental values, and reinforcing the long-term benefits of sustainable organisational practices. Employees who identify with charismatic leaders are more likely to demonstrate organisational citizenship behaviours that support environmental sustainability because they perceive sustainability as part of the organisation's collective mission (Kim et al., 2023; Robertson & Barling, 2021). These findings suggest that charismatic leadership facilitates behavioural change by influencing employees' beliefs, values, and emotional commitment rather than through direct supervision alone.

Importantly, recent studies indicate that employee psychological well-being moderates the effectiveness of charismatic leadership. Although charismatic leaders inspire commitment and enthusiasm, employees experiencing emotional exhaustion, occupational stress, or burnout may respond less positively to charismatic influence. Consequently, leadership effectiveness depends not only upon leaders' visionary communication but also upon employees' psychological resources and organisational support systems. This finding reinforces contemporary leadership theory, which identifies emotional intelligence, empathy, and supportive workplace relationships as essential competencies for sustaining charismatic influence and organisational performance (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023). Organisations seeking to achieve sustainability through charismatic

leadership should therefore integrate leadership development with employee well-being programmes to maximise long-term effectiveness.

Recent research also demonstrates the strategic contribution of charismatic leadership to organisational performance within higher education institutions. Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) argue that visionary leadership enhances institutional effectiveness by translating strategic plans into operational outcomes through strong leader–follower relationships, shared organisational purpose, and employee commitment. Although their study focuses on strategic leadership more broadly, its findings align closely with charismatic leadership theory by demonstrating that leaders who communicate an inspiring institutional vision and foster collective commitment strengthen strategic implementation and organisational performance. Given increasing pressures associated with digital transformation, international competition, accreditation requirements, and educational reforms, charismatic leadership provides universities with a leadership approach capable of promoting institutional adaptability, collaboration, and long-term sustainability (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024).

The study identifies strategic planning as an important mechanism linking charismatic leadership with organisational performance. Rather than viewing leadership and strategic planning as separate organisational processes, charismatic leaders integrate strategic objectives with compelling organisational narratives that inspire employees to align their personal aspirations with institutional goals. Through persuasive communication, symbolic leadership, and emotional engagement, charismatic leaders encourage academic and administrative staff to demonstrate stronger organisational commitment, thereby improving institutional coordination, organisational effectiveness, and strategic implementation (Conger & Kanungo, 1998; Northouse, 2022).

These findings reinforce charismatic leadership theory by demonstrating that effective strategic leadership extends beyond administrative planning to include vision communication, organisational identification, and employee engagement. Charismatic leaders facilitate strategy implementation by inspiring confidence, strengthening organisational culture, and encouraging employees to embrace institutional goals as shared responsibilities rather than managerial directives. Consequently, charismatic leadership represents a strategic organisational capability that enhances institutional resilience, strategic execution, and sustainable organisational performance, particularly within increasingly competitive higher education environments (Banks et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the findings of Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) indicate that strategic planning alone is insufficient to achieve sustainable organisational success without the relational and motivational competencies associated with charismatic leadership. While strategic plans provide organisational direction, charismatic leaders translate these plans into meaningful organisational action by communicating an inspiring vision, demonstrating confidence, and fostering employees' emotional commitment to institutional objectives. This reinforces the argument that successful strategy implementation depends not only upon formal planning but also upon leadership behaviours that inspire trust, commitment, collaboration, and organisational identification

(Northouse, 2022). Vision articulation, emotional intelligence, persuasive communication, and leader credibility therefore become essential leadership competencies that bridge the gap between strategic intentions and operational execution (Banks et al., 2023).

Within the Nigerian higher education sector, these charismatic leadership competencies are particularly important because institutions operate under conditions characterised by financial constraints, hierarchical governance structures, increasing accountability requirements, and socio-political uncertainty (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). Under such circumstances, charismatic leaders play a pivotal role in aligning institutional missions with employees' aspirations while fostering organisational cohesion and collective responsibility. Rather than responding passively to institutional challenges, charismatic leaders inspire confidence, reinforce shared organisational values, and cultivate adaptive organisational cultures capable of sustaining long-term institutional performance. Consequently, charismatic leadership emerges as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens institutional resilience, enhances strategic implementation, and promotes sustainable organisational development in resource-constrained higher education environments (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Kim, Yang, and Lee (2023) contribute to the charismatic leadership literature by examining the influence of charismatic leaders within the hospitality industry, where service quality depends heavily on employee behaviour, creativity, and customer interactions. Drawing on charismatic leadership theory, the authors argue that leaders who communicate an inspiring vision, display confidence, demonstrate exemplary behaviour, and inspire emotional commitment significantly enhance employee creativity, organisational identification, and task performance (Conger & Kanungo, 1998; House, 1977). Their findings indicate that charismatic leadership strengthens employees' willingness to exceed formal job requirements by fostering emotional attachment to both the leader and the organisation, thereby reinforcing its strategic importance within customer-oriented organisations (Kim et al., 2023).

A particularly important contribution of Kim et al. (2023) is the identification of organisational identification as a mediating mechanism linking charismatic leadership with employee performance. Charismatic leaders cultivate employees' psychological attachment to organisational values by articulating a compelling vision, demonstrating confidence during uncertainty, and building meaningful interpersonal relationships. As employees increasingly identify with the organisation's mission and values, they demonstrate stronger organisational commitment, greater discretionary effort, and higher levels of creative performance. These findings are consistent with social identity theory, which suggests that individuals derive motivation and self-esteem from belonging to valued groups and organisations (Haslam et al., 2020). Consequently, charismatic leadership enhances employee performance by strengthening employees' emotional connection to organisational goals rather than relying solely on formal authority or external rewards.

The study further demonstrates that charismatic leadership stimulates creativity by encouraging employees to embrace ambitious goals, challenge conventional practices, and

contribute innovative solutions within highly competitive service industries. Through inspirational communication and role modelling, charismatic leaders create organisational cultures characterised by confidence, optimism, and continuous improvement. Such leadership behaviours contribute to sustained competitive advantage because employee creativity and service innovation directly influence customer satisfaction and organisational performance (Kim et al., 2023). These findings reinforce charismatic leadership theory, which argues that leaders inspire extraordinary follower performance by communicating an attractive future vision and fostering collective confidence in achieving organisational objectives (Conger & Kanungo, 1998).

Al-Subaie et al. (2021) provide a strategic perspective by examining charismatic leadership within megaproject environments characterised by complexity, uncertainty, and multiple stakeholder interests. Using Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM) and MICMAC analysis, the study identifies organisational and leadership factors required to develop effective leadership capabilities within large-scale infrastructure and development projects. From a charismatic leadership perspective, the findings suggest that successful project leaders inspire commitment by communicating a compelling vision, demonstrating confidence during uncertainty, and motivating multidisciplinary teams to pursue challenging organisational objectives despite complex project environments (House, 1977; Conger & Kanungo, 1998).

The findings demonstrate that charismatic leaders function as visionary architects who provide strategic direction, coordinate diverse stakeholder interests, facilitate collaboration, and inspire employees to overcome uncertainty through confidence and optimism. Within megaproject environments, charismatic leadership becomes particularly valuable because employees frequently encounter ambiguity, competing priorities, and changing project demands. Under such conditions, followers are more likely to respond positively to leaders who project confidence, communicate clear goals, and inspire collective commitment (Al-Subaie et al., 2021). These findings reinforce charismatic leadership theory by demonstrating that visionary communication and emotional influence are critical mechanisms through which leaders maintain stakeholder engagement and project performance.

An important contribution of the study is its identification of leadership development enablers through structural modelling techniques. Vision articulation, trust-building, inspirational communication, and employee empowerment emerged as high-impact drivers of effective leadership capability. These findings suggest that charismatic leadership should not be regarded solely as an innate personal characteristic but as a leadership capability that can be strengthened through leadership development, communication training, and organisational support systems. This perspective extends charismatic leadership theory by highlighting the interaction between leader characteristics, organisational context, and deliberate leadership development initiatives (Banks et al., 2023).

Lindert et al. (2023) provide a valuable longitudinal examination of leadership and employees' psychological well-being, offering insights that are equally applicable to charismatic leadership. Their study suggests that charismatic leaders contribute to healthier psychological work

environments by creating trust, emotional support, and meaningful interpersonal relationships through inspirational communication and positive role modelling. By demonstrating confidence, recognising employee contributions, and reinforcing a shared organisational purpose, charismatic leaders foster employee engagement, psychological safety, and a stronger sense of belonging (Conger & Kanungo, 1998; Lindert et al., 2023).

Unlike many previous cross-sectional studies, Lindert et al. (2023) employ a longitudinal research design to investigate how leadership behaviours influence employee well-being over time. Their findings indicate that although leadership behaviours positively influence workplace relationships, changes in leadership alone do not necessarily produce substantial long-term improvements in psychological well-being. Instead, employees' baseline mental health and workplace social capital emerged as stronger predictors of sustained well-being outcomes.

These findings suggest that charismatic leadership contributes indirectly to employee well-being through broader organisational mechanisms rather than functioning as an independent determinant of psychological health. While charismatic leaders inspire confidence, optimism, and emotional commitment, organisational factors such as supportive workplace relationships, employee assistance programmes, and positive organisational culture remain essential for sustaining employee well-being (Lindert et al., 2023). Consequently, organisations seeking to improve employee well-being should integrate charismatic leadership with broader organisational strategies that promote psychological health and social support.

Caza, Caza, and Posner (2021) provide compelling evidence regarding the cross-cultural applicability of charismatic leadership by examining followers' perceptions across diverse countries, industries, and organisational contexts. Their research addresses the longstanding debate concerning whether charismatic leadership represents a universally effective leadership style or whether its effectiveness depends upon cultural and institutional contexts. Despite differences in national culture, organisational structure, and societal norms, charismatic leadership behaviours—including vision articulation, confidence, inspiration, and exemplary role modelling—were consistently associated with positive follower perceptions and leadership effectiveness (Caza et al., 2021).

The findings suggest that charismatic leadership transcends cultural boundaries because its core behaviours satisfy universal psychological needs for meaning, inspiration, trust, and belonging (House, 1977; Conger & Kanungo, 1998). Employees across diverse cultures respond positively to leaders who communicate an inspiring vision, demonstrate personal confidence, and create a sense of collective identity. These findings support contemporary leadership research suggesting that although leadership behaviours require cultural adaptation, the fundamental principles of charismatic leadership possess broad international relevance (Banks et al., 2023).

Nevertheless, the study acknowledges that cultural values influence how charismatic leadership behaviours are expressed and interpreted. Leaders operating within collectivist societies, high power-distance cultures, or contexts characterised by strong uncertainty avoidance may need to adapt their communication styles, motivational strategies, and decision-making

approaches to align with local cultural expectations. Consequently, charismatic leadership combines universal leadership principles with contextual sensitivity, enabling leaders to remain effective across increasingly globalised organisational environments (Northouse, 2022).

A notable contribution of Caza et al. (2021) is their emphasis on followers' perceptions as a fundamental determinant of charismatic leadership effectiveness. Their findings indicate that charismatic leaders achieve organisational success not only through task accomplishment but also by cultivating trust, loyalty, emotional commitment, and psychological safety among followers. Leadership effectiveness therefore depends heavily on followers accepting the leader's vision and identifying emotionally with organisational goals. These findings reinforce charismatic leadership theory, which conceptualises leadership as a social influence process grounded in followers' perceptions rather than formal authority alone (House, 1977; Conger & Kanungo, 1998).

Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) extend charismatic leadership research by examining leadership effectiveness within Lebanese non-governmental organisations operating under conditions of prolonged crisis, political instability, and resource scarcity. Their findings suggest that charismatic leadership is particularly valuable during humanitarian crises because charismatic leaders inspire resilience, strengthen organisational commitment, and maintain employee motivation despite severe environmental uncertainty. Compared with more transactional leadership approaches, charismatic leadership generated stronger improvements in employee performance, organisational commitment, and job satisfaction by fostering hope, shared purpose, and emotional resilience (Salameh-Ayanian et al., 2025).

The authors argue that charismatic leaders contribute to organisational resilience by creating psychologically safe environments in which employees remain committed to a shared humanitarian mission despite external challenges. Through visionary communication, inspirational motivation, and personal example, charismatic leaders strengthen collective efficacy and encourage employees to perceive organisational challenges as opportunities for shared achievement rather than insurmountable obstacles. These findings are consistent with charismatic leadership theory, which proposes that leaders inspire extraordinary follower commitment by fostering confidence, optimism, and emotional attachment to organisational objectives (House, 1977; Conger & Kanungo, 1998).

An important theoretical contribution of the study is its challenge to the assumption that job satisfaction alone predicts employee performance. Instead, Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) demonstrate that charismatic leadership exerts a direct influence on employee performance by strengthening commitment to organisational values, shared purpose, and intrinsic motivation. Particularly within crisis environments, employees remain highly committed when inspired by charismatic leaders who provide hope, meaning, and confidence during uncertainty. Consequently, charismatic leadership should be regarded not only as a leadership style that promotes organisational growth and innovation but also as a critical organisational capability that strengthens resilience, employee well-being, and sustainable organisational performance during periods of instability.

Lin, Yin, and Liu (2022) investigate charismatic leadership within educational settings by examining how school leaders influence teachers' sustainable professional development through the mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. Their findings indicate that charismatic leadership significantly enhances teachers' confidence in their professional capabilities, thereby promoting continuous learning, instructional innovation, and long-term professional growth. The study demonstrates that school leaders who communicate an inspiring educational vision and act as credible role models exert a stronger influence on teachers' professional development than individual characteristics such as growth mindset alone, highlighting the central role of charismatic leadership in creating supportive educational environments (Lin et al., 2022; House, 1977).

The positive relationship between charismatic leadership and teacher self-efficacy reflects the central principles of charismatic leadership theory, particularly vision articulation, role modelling, confidence-building, and inspirational communication (Conger & Kanungo, 1998; House, 1977). School leaders who communicate a compelling educational mission, encourage professional reflection, and demonstrate confidence in teachers' abilities strengthen teachers' belief in their capacity to improve instructional practice and student learning outcomes (Lin et al., 2022). As teachers become increasingly confident in their professional capabilities, they are more willing to adopt innovative teaching methods, engage in collaborative learning, and participate actively in continuous professional development.

The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy represents one of the study's most significant theoretical contributions because it explains the psychological mechanism through which charismatic leadership influences professional behaviour. Rather than relying on directive supervision or bureaucratic control, charismatic leaders inspire teachers by strengthening their confidence, professional identity, and commitment to educational excellence. This finding aligns with Social Cognitive Theory, which identifies self-efficacy as a critical determinant of motivation, persistence, and performance (Bandura, 1997). Consequently, sustainable teacher development depends not only on formal professional development programmes but also on leadership behaviours that cultivate confidence, intrinsic motivation, and psychological empowerment.

Furthermore, Lin et al. (2022) demonstrate that charismatic leadership has both direct and indirect effects on teachers' professional attitudes and instructional practices. These findings reinforce the growing consensus that charismatic leadership is essential for developing adaptive, innovative, and future-oriented educational organisations capable of responding effectively to changing educational demands. Accordingly, leadership development programmes within schools should prioritise charismatic leadership competencies such as inspirational communication, vision creation, emotional intelligence, and relationship-building to encourage collaboration, innovation, and lifelong professional learning.

Khan et al. (2020) provide valuable insights into the relationship between charismatic leadership, employee performance, burnout, social loafing, and intrinsic motivation. Their findings indicate that charismatic leadership significantly improves employee performance by

strengthening intrinsic motivation, supporting the proposition that employees perform more effectively when inspired by meaningful organisational goals rather than by external rewards alone. This finding is consistent with charismatic leadership theory, which emphasises inspirational vision, confidence, and emotional influence as mechanisms for promoting exceptional employee performance (Conger & Kanungo, 1998; House, 1977).

A major contribution of the study is its identification of intrinsic motivation as the principal psychological mechanism through which charismatic leadership influences employee performance. Charismatic leaders create meaningful work experiences by communicating an attractive organisational vision, demonstrating personal confidence, and encouraging employees to perceive organisational objectives as personally significant, thereby strengthening commitment, confidence, and responsibility for organisational success (Khan et al., 2020). Through inspirational communication, emotional support, and role modelling, charismatic leaders enhance employees' intrinsic desire to perform, innovate, and contribute beyond formal job requirements.

However, the study also reports that charismatic leadership demonstrates only moderate indirect effects on burnout and social loafing. These findings suggest that although charismatic leadership effectively enhances motivation and performance, it cannot independently eliminate all negative workplace outcomes. Factors such as excessive workload, inadequate organisational support, employee mental health, and work-life imbalance may continue to contribute to burnout and disengagement despite effective leadership (Khan et al., 2020). This highlights the importance of integrating charismatic leadership with broader organisational well-being strategies, including employee assistance programmes, workload management, and psychological support initiatives.

Overall, the study advances charismatic leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends not only on influencing employee behaviour but also on strengthening employees' psychological resources. Organisations seeking sustainable improvements in employee performance should therefore invest in leadership development programmes that cultivate visionary communication, emotional intelligence, psychological empowerment, and supportive leader–employee relationships while simultaneously addressing organisational factors contributing to employee stress and burnout (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

The findings of Khan et al. (2020) further highlight the pivotal role of intrinsic motivation as the principal psychological mechanism through which charismatic leadership enhances employee performance. Rather than relying on authority, supervision, or external rewards, charismatic leaders inspire employees to believe in their own capabilities and pursue organisational goals with enthusiasm and commitment. This leadership approach fosters psychological empowerment by creating meaningful work experiences, communicating an inspiring vision, and demonstrating confidence in employees' abilities (Conger & Kanungo, 1998; Khan et al., 2020). Consequently, employees develop stronger self-confidence, greater ownership of organisational objectives, and a willingness to exceed formal job requirements.

Furthermore, the study emphasises that effective charismatic leaders possess high levels of emotional intelligence, interpersonal competence, and situational awareness, enabling them to

understand employees' aspirations, workplace challenges, and developmental needs. Such relational competence strengthens trust, enhances intrinsic motivation, and promotes long-term organisational commitment. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership research, which argues that charismatic leadership is fundamentally a people-centred influence process in which inspiration, trust, and emotional attachment drive sustained organisational performance (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Ayandibu (2024) provides a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary charismatic leadership research by examining its influence across individual, team, departmental, and organisational levels. The review demonstrates that charismatic leadership consistently produces positive effects on employee motivation, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, innovation, and team cohesion by inspiring followers through compelling vision, exemplary leadership, and emotional influence. These findings reinforce the multidimensional nature of charismatic leadership and illustrate its adaptability across diverse organisational settings and functional levels (Ayandibu, 2024).

A significant contribution of the review is its recognition that charismatic leadership is not a rigid or prescriptive leadership model but a context-sensitive leadership approach requiring leaders to adapt their behaviours according to organisational circumstances. Vision articulation encourages employees to embrace organisational goals, while exemplary role modelling enables leaders to build trust, credibility, and emotional commitment among followers (Conger & Kanungo, 1998). Similarly, charismatic leaders promote employee well-being through mentoring, encouragement, and personalised support, thereby strengthening organisational commitment and psychological engagement.

Importantly, Ayandibu (2024) acknowledges that implementing charismatic leadership may be challenging within highly bureaucratic or hierarchical organisations where rigid structures restrict employee autonomy, creativity, and leader–follower interaction. The review therefore highlights the importance of organisational culture, structural flexibility, and cultural intelligence in determining leadership effectiveness. This perspective aligns with recent leadership scholarship, which argues that charismatic leadership effectiveness depends upon organisational context and cultural expectations rather than producing universally identical outcomes (Banks et al., 2023). Consequently, leadership development initiatives should incorporate contextual awareness, communication competence, and organisational readiness alongside traditional charismatic leadership competencies.

Atoko (2023) extends charismatic leadership research by focusing specifically on organisations operating within developing economies, thereby addressing a significant gap in mainstream leadership literature. Through an extensive review of empirical evidence, the study demonstrates that charismatic leadership serves as a strategic leadership approach capable of enhancing organisational performance, employee motivation, innovation, and adaptability in environments characterised by institutional instability, resource constraints, and rapid socio-economic change.

Rather than conceptualising charismatic leadership solely as an interpersonal leadership style, Atoko (2023) presents it as a strategic organisational capability that facilitates transformation through vision creation, employee inspiration, confidence-building, and organisational learning. These leadership behaviours enable organisations to respond proactively to environmental uncertainty while encouraging innovation and continuous improvement. Such findings are particularly relevant for developing countries, where organisational agility and adaptive leadership are essential for overcoming structural challenges and maintaining competitiveness.

An important contribution of the study is its emphasis on leadership's role in strategic planning and organisational policy development. Charismatic leaders are portrayed as architects of organisational transformation who align institutional strategies with employee commitment and organisational values through persuasive communication and emotional influence. This systems-oriented perspective extends charismatic leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership influences not only individual behaviour but also organisational structures, policies, and long-term strategic direction. Consequently, Atoko (2023) recommends institutionalising leadership development programmes tailored to local socio-economic contexts while preserving the core principles of charismatic leadership. Such recommendations are especially valuable in developing economies, where leadership capacity-building initiatives often remain fragmented or insufficiently aligned with organisational priorities.

Awaale (2024) investigates the contribution of charismatic leadership to organisational performance within Somalia's public sector through a comprehensive desk review. The study identifies visionary communication, inspirational motivation, ethical role modelling, and personalised employee support as the principal charismatic leadership behaviours responsible for improving employee morale, organisational commitment, innovation, and public service delivery. Within the context of Somalia's institutionally fragile and resource-constrained public sector, charismatic leadership is presented as a practical mechanism for overcoming bureaucratic inertia while promoting organisational resilience, employee engagement, and institutional reform.

The review demonstrates that charismatic leaders strengthen organisational effectiveness by developing shared organisational visions, inspiring employees, and fostering collaborative workplace cultures characterised by trust, commitment, and innovation. Such leadership practices significantly improve employee motivation, organisational citizenship behaviour, and stakeholder engagement, ultimately enhancing service delivery within public institutions (Awaale, 2024). These findings are particularly important in post-conflict governance contexts where effective leadership is essential for rebuilding institutional capacity, restoring public confidence, and promoting sustainable public sector performance.

Furthermore, Awaale (2024) highlights the contextual sensitivity of charismatic leadership by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends on adapting leaders' behaviours to institutional realities and socio-political conditions. Even within highly formalised bureaucratic environments, charismatic leaders can inspire creativity, innovation, and organisational commitment by articulating an attractive vision, demonstrating confidence, and building strong

interpersonal relationships with followers. These behaviours encourage employees to identify with organisational goals and remain motivated despite institutional constraints (Northouse, 2022; Antonakis et al., 2016). Consequently, charismatic leadership has emerged as an effective governance approach for improving public-sector performance, particularly in developing countries facing institutional instability and complex administrative challenges (Banks et al., 2023).

Awaale (2024) further argues that charismatic leadership positively influences organisational citizenship behaviour (OCB), employee motivation, and organisational performance within public-sector institutions. Charismatic leaders foster employee engagement by communicating an inspiring vision, demonstrating confidence during uncertainty, and encouraging employees to exceed formal job expectations. Such leadership behaviours strengthen organisational commitment, improve collaboration, and enhance service delivery, particularly in public organisations where employee attitudes directly affect service quality and stakeholder satisfaction (Awaale, 2024; Conger & Kanungo, 1998).

One of the major strengths of Awaale's (2024) review is its contextual analysis of leadership within Somalia's post-conflict public sector. Operating in an environment characterised by governance challenges, institutional fragility, and limited resources, charismatic leadership provides an adaptive approach that motivates employees through personal influence rather than positional authority. By cultivating trust, optimism, and confidence, charismatic leaders promote organisational resilience and encourage employees to participate actively in organisational development. These findings support contemporary leadership literature, which argues that charismatic leadership is particularly valuable during periods of uncertainty because followers seek leaders who provide hope, confidence, and strategic direction (Shamir et al., 1993; Banks et al., 2023). Consequently, charismatic leadership represents a valuable governance strategy for improving public-sector effectiveness in fragile and developing states.

Ahwireng Koomson et al. (2022) examined leadership behaviours, employee motivation, and organisational performance within selected Ghanaian public-sector institutions. Although their study focused primarily on transformational leadership, the findings provide important insights into the role of charismatic leadership, particularly because charisma forms one of the core dimensions underpinning transformational leadership (Bass & Riggio, 2006). The authors found that charismatic leadership significantly influences employee performance by increasing followers' confidence in leadership, strengthening organisational commitment, and encouraging employees to pursue organisational objectives enthusiastically. Employees were more willing to demonstrate discretionary effort when leaders communicated confidence, competence, and an inspiring organisational vision (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022).

Interestingly, the study revealed that charismatic leadership was one of the strongest predictors of employee performance, despite inspirational motivation exerting greater influence on employee motivation. This finding suggests that charismatic leadership contributes more directly to behavioural outcomes by enhancing employees' trust in leadership and willingness to

follow organisational direction. These results support Weber's (1947) original conception of charisma as a form of authority grounded in followers' belief in the leader's exceptional qualities, while also reinforcing House's (1977) argument that charismatic leaders inspire extraordinary follower commitment through vision, confidence, and exemplary behaviour.

Within the Ghanaian public sector, where bureaucratic structures and administrative complexity frequently limit organisational flexibility, charismatic leadership enables leaders to overcome institutional barriers by strengthening employees' emotional commitment and confidence in organisational objectives. The authors therefore recommend leadership development programmes that enhance charismatic communication, vision articulation, emotional intelligence, and contextual awareness to improve employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022).

Ogonegbu and Kyongo (2023) provide a comprehensive systematic review of leadership and organisational performance across developing economies. While much of the reviewed evidence relates to transformational leadership, several findings highlight the significance of charismatic leadership in improving organisational effectiveness. The review concludes that leaders who demonstrate confidence, communicate compelling visions, and build strong emotional connections with followers consistently improve employee engagement, organisational commitment, innovation, and strategic alignment. These outcomes are consistent with charismatic leadership theory, which emphasises emotional influence, leader credibility, and followers' identification with organisational goals (Conger & Kanungo, 1998; Antonakis et al., 2016).

The review further demonstrates that charismatic leadership contributes to organisational performance by shaping organisational culture, strengthening trust, encouraging innovation, and promoting shared purpose across diverse organisational settings. Rather than functioning solely as an interpersonal leadership style, charismatic leadership emerges as a strategic capability that enables organisations to adapt to environmental change while maintaining employee motivation and organisational cohesion (Ogonegbu & Kyongo, 2023). Moreover, the authors report that charismatic leadership remains effective across different cultural and institutional environments, although leaders must adapt their communication styles to local cultural expectations. These findings reinforce recent leadership scholarship suggesting that charismatic leadership possesses considerable cross-cultural relevance while requiring contextual sensitivity to maximise effectiveness (Banks et al., 2023).

Cao and Le (2024) extend leadership research by examining the relationship between leadership and organisational change capability through the mediating role of employee trust. Although their work is situated within transformational leadership, the findings are highly relevant to charismatic leadership because trust is a fundamental mechanism through which charismatic leaders influence followers. The study demonstrates that charismatic leaders facilitate organisational change by cultivating authentic relationships, encouraging open communication, and establishing psychological safety. Employees who perceive leaders as trustworthy, confident,

and visionary become more willing to embrace organisational change and participate actively in innovation initiatives (Cao & Le, 2024; House, 1977).

The authors distinguish between disclosure-based trust and reliance-based trust, finding that disclosure-based trust has a stronger influence on organisational change capability. Charismatic leaders strengthen disclosure-based trust by communicating honestly, expressing confidence during uncertainty, and demonstrating genuine concern for employees. Such behaviours encourage followers to share ideas, collaborate more effectively, and support organisational transformation. These findings reinforce charismatic leadership theory by illustrating that leadership effectiveness depends not only on visionary communication but also on the quality of interpersonal relationships established between leaders and followers (Antonakis et al., 2016).

The study's examination of Chinese small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) also expands understanding of charismatic leadership beyond Western organisational contexts. SMEs often operate in highly competitive environments characterised by uncertainty and limited resources, making charismatic leadership particularly valuable for maintaining employee confidence and organisational adaptability. Consequently, employee trust should be regarded as both an outcome of charismatic leadership and a strategic organisational resource that enhances innovation, organisational resilience, and long-term competitiveness (Banks et al., 2023; Cao & Le, 2024).

Nasir et al. (2022) investigated leadership within Pakistani SMEs by examining its relationships with employee performance, organisational innovation, creativity, and workplace stressors. Although grounded in transformational leadership, their findings illustrate several important characteristics of charismatic leadership. Leaders who demonstrated confidence, optimism, and compelling vision significantly enhanced employee innovation, creativity, and organisational commitment. By inspiring employees to view organisational challenges as opportunities rather than obstacles, charismatic leaders promoted resilience, proactive behaviour, and continuous learning despite resource constraints (Nasir et al., 2022).

The study identifies inspirational communication and visionary leadership as particularly influential behaviours for stimulating creative problem-solving and organisational innovation. Charismatic leaders encourage employees to embrace organisational goals by creating emotional commitment and fostering confidence in future success. These leadership behaviours create organisational cultures where innovation, collaboration, and knowledge sharing become integral components of organisational performance. Such findings are especially important within SMEs, where competitive advantage frequently depends upon employee creativity, flexibility, and adaptability (Conger & Kanungo, 1998; Nasir et al., 2022).

Furthermore, Nasir et al. (2022) demonstrate that charismatic leadership positively influences employees' responses to workplace stress by promoting optimism, confidence, and psychological resilience. Through emotionally engaging communication and strong leader–follower relationships, charismatic leaders reduce uncertainty and encourage employees to persevere during organisational challenges. Collectively, these findings reinforce the proposition that charismatic leadership functions as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens employee motivation,

organisational innovation, resilience, and sustainable organisational performance in increasingly dynamic and competitive business environments.

5.4 Conclusion

Charismatic leadership, characterised by a leader's exceptional vision, confidence, emotional appeal, and ability to inspire strong follower commitment, has consistently demonstrated its capacity to improve employee performance, organisational innovation, adaptability, resilience, and psychological well-being across diverse organisational contexts. The literature reviewed indicates that charismatic leadership is not merely an influential leadership style but a strategic organisational capability that enables organisations to navigate uncertainty, foster sustainable growth, and maintain long-term competitiveness.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between charismatic leadership and employee performance and motivation. Charismatic leaders communicate compelling visions, inspire confidence, and align followers' personal values with organisational objectives, thereby strengthening employee commitment, engagement, and discretionary effort. Rather than relying primarily on formal authority or transactional rewards, charismatic leaders motivate employees through emotional influence, trust, and organisational identification, leading to sustained improvements in productivity, service quality, and organisational performance. These positive outcomes have been reported across sectors including healthcare, hospitality, education, public administration, higher education, and small and medium-sized enterprises.

The review also demonstrates that charismatic leadership plays a significant role in organisational adaptability and change management. During periods of uncertainty and organisational transformation, charismatic leaders provide strategic direction, communicate optimism, and build followers' confidence, enabling employees to embrace organisational change rather than resist it. Trust consistently emerged as an important mediating mechanism linking charismatic leadership with organisational change capability, suggesting that leadership effectiveness depends not only on a leader's vision but also on the quality of leader–follower relationships. Similarly, research within educational institutions indicates that charismatic school leaders strengthen teachers' confidence, professional commitment, and continuous learning, thereby enhancing long-term organisational capability and educational effectiveness.

Innovation and creativity also emerged as dominant themes throughout the reviewed literature. Studies consistently indicate that charismatic leaders encourage innovative thinking by inspiring employees to challenge established practices, pursue ambitious goals, and participate actively in organisational problem-solving. By articulating an attractive vision of the future and demonstrating confidence in employees' abilities, charismatic leaders foster organisational cultures characterised by creativity, learning, and continuous improvement. These findings reinforce charismatic leadership theory, which proposes that leaders stimulate extraordinary follower

performance by encouraging commitment to shared organisational aspirations rather than compliance with formal rules.

The literature further demonstrates that charismatic leadership contributes significantly to employee psychological well-being and organisational resilience. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, confidence, emotional support, and genuine concern for followers create healthier working environments characterised by greater job satisfaction, resilience, organisational commitment, and reduced psychological strain. However, the evidence also suggests that these outcomes are influenced by contextual factors such as organisational support, workplace social capital, emotional exhaustion, and employee trust, indicating that charismatic leadership is most effective when supported by positive organisational climates.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-cultural applicability of charismatic leadership. Studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, the Middle East, and North America demonstrate that charismatic leadership behaviours are consistently associated with positive employee attitudes and organisational outcomes despite differences in national culture and institutional environments. This suggests that charismatic leadership addresses fundamental psychological needs such as purpose, confidence, recognition, trust, and belonging while allowing sufficient flexibility to accommodate cultural differences in leadership expectations.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of charismatic leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from Somalia, Ghana, Nigeria, Pakistan, and other developing contexts demonstrates that charismatic leadership improves employee motivation, organisational commitment, innovation, resilience, and service delivery despite institutional constraints and limited organisational resources. These findings reinforce the argument that charismatic leadership is particularly valuable in organisations facing governance challenges, institutional uncertainty, and increasing demands for accountability because charismatic leaders inspire confidence and collective commitment during periods of organisational instability.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that charismatic leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by inspiring employees to support broader economic, social, and environmental objectives. Charismatic leaders strengthen environmental awareness, encourage ethical behaviour, and promote sustainable organisational practices by communicating compelling visions that align organisational success with social responsibility. Similarly, charismatic leadership enhances institutional sustainability through effective strategic planning, innovation capability, and long-term organisational development within higher education institutions and other knowledge-intensive organisations. These findings position charismatic leadership as an important mechanism for strengthening organisational resilience while contributing to sustainability initiatives and the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that charismatic leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership theories in contemporary organisational research.

Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee performance to strengthening organisational innovation, resilience, adaptability, sustainability, and long-term competitiveness across multiple sectors and cultural settings. Although contextual variables such as employee trust, organisational culture, emotional exhaustion, and psychological safety influence leadership effectiveness, the overall evidence consistently supports charismatic leadership as a strategic organisational capability rather than merely a personal leadership trait.

Consequently, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate charismatic leadership competencies, including visionary communication, emotional intelligence, ethical leadership, confidence, relationship building, strategic thinking, and inspirational influence. As organisations continue to experience technological disruption, global competition, environmental challenges, and increasing uncertainty, charismatic leadership will remain an essential capability for promoting resilience, innovation, employee engagement, and sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 6:
LAISSEZ-FAIRE LEADERSHIP

6.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of laissez-faire leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating classical leadership theories with recent empirical evidence to explain how laissez-faire leadership influences organisational performance, employee behaviour, innovation, job satisfaction, and organisational effectiveness. Although laissez-faire leadership has traditionally been regarded as the least effective leadership style within the Full Range Leadership Model (Bass & Avolio, 1994), recent research suggests that its effectiveness is highly contingent upon organisational context, employee competence, task complexity, and levels of autonomy (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of laissez-faire leadership, tracing its development from early leadership research to its incorporation within Bass and Avolio's Full Range Leadership Theory. Unlike transformational and transactional leadership, laissez-faire leadership is characterised by the absence of active leadership, delayed decision-making, avoidance of responsibility, and minimal intervention in employees' work (Bass, 1985; Bass & Avolio, 1994). Leaders adopting this style provide substantial autonomy by allowing employees to make decisions independently with limited supervision or direction (Northouse, 2022). While this approach has frequently been criticised as ineffective leadership, contemporary research indicates that laissez-faire leadership may produce positive outcomes in highly skilled, self-managed, and knowledge-intensive work environments where employees value independence and professional discretion (Banks et al., 2023).

The reviewed literature demonstrates mixed evidence regarding the effects of laissez-faire leadership on organisational outcomes. Numerous studies associate laissez-faire leadership with lower employee motivation, reduced organisational commitment, role ambiguity, decreased job satisfaction, and weaker organisational performance because employees often experience insufficient guidance and support (Skogstad et al., 2015; Banks et al., 2023). Conversely, research conducted within professional environments such as higher education, information technology, research institutions, and creative industries suggests that limited managerial interference may encourage employee autonomy, innovation, creativity, and independent decision-making when employees possess high levels of expertise and intrinsic motivation (Northouse, 2022; Wang et al., 2023).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, public administration, hospitality, manufacturing, small and medium-sized

enterprises (SMEs), multinational corporations, and knowledge-based organisations. Examining laissez-faire leadership across these diverse sectors provides valuable insight into how passive leadership behaviours influence organisational performance under varying contextual conditions. The literature consistently suggests that the effectiveness of laissez-faire leadership depends less on the leadership style itself and more on organisational culture, employee competence, team maturity, and the complexity of organisational tasks (Banks et al., 2023).

In addition to examining the direct effects of laissez-faire leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions this leadership style affects organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify employee self-efficacy, psychological empowerment, professional autonomy, organisational trust, task interdependence, organisational culture, and employee competence as important mechanisms influencing the relationship between laissez-faire leadership and organisational performance (Wang et al., 2023; Banks et al., 2023). These findings suggest that passive leadership may generate positive outcomes when employees possess strong self-management capabilities but may become detrimental where employees require guidance, coaching, and active supervision.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of laissez-faire leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted in Africa, Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and North America. Although laissez-faire leadership is generally associated with less favourable organisational outcomes across cultures, cultural values and institutional environments significantly influence employees' acceptance of leadership autonomy. In cultures characterised by low power distance and greater individualism, employees may perceive leadership autonomy positively because it promotes independence and professional responsibility. Conversely, in high power-distance cultures where employees expect strong leadership direction, laissez-faire leadership may be interpreted as ineffective management or leader neglect (House et al., 2004; Northouse, 2022). Consequently, the review highlights the importance of adapting leadership approaches to organisational and cultural contexts.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the laissez-faire leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding the positive and negative consequences of passive leadership while identifying areas requiring further investigation. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as remote leadership, digital work environments, employee well-being, organisational resilience, self-managed teams, and knowledge-intensive work, all of which have become increasingly important within contemporary leadership research (Banks et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that laissez-faire leadership remains one of the most debated leadership styles within organisational studies. While extensive evidence associates passive leadership with reduced employee motivation, weaker organisational commitment, and lower organisational performance, recent studies indicate that laissez-faire leadership may be

beneficial when employees possess high levels of competence, experience, intrinsic motivation, and professional autonomy. Rather than being universally ineffective, laissez-faire leadership should therefore be understood as a context-dependent leadership approach whose effectiveness depends upon employee capability, organisational culture, and environmental conditions (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023). The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how organisations can determine when leadership intervention is necessary and when employee autonomy can be leveraged to enhance organisational effectiveness.

6.2 Overview of Laissez-Faire Leadership

Laissez-faire leadership has emerged as an important leadership theory within organisational research because of its distinctive non-interventionist approach to leadership. Unlike transformational and transactional leadership, laissez-faire leadership is characterised by minimal leader involvement in decision-making, limited supervision, and substantial autonomy granted to employees (Bass & Avolio, 1994; Northouse, 2022). The concept originates from the French phrase *laissez-faire*, meaning "let do" or "let them do," reflecting a leadership philosophy in which employees are given considerable freedom to determine how they perform their work. While traditionally regarded as the absence of leadership, contemporary research suggests that laissez-faire leadership may produce both positive and negative organisational outcomes depending on employee competence, organisational culture, and contextual conditions (Skogstad et al., 2007; Banks et al., 2023).

Laissez-faire leadership is commonly defined as a passive leadership style in which leaders avoid direct involvement in employees' daily activities, delegate decision-making authority, and intervene only when problems become unavoidable (Northouse, 2022). Rather than directing employees through close supervision or motivational strategies, laissez-faire leaders provide employees with independence and discretion in completing organisational tasks. This leadership style assumes that highly skilled, experienced, and self-motivated employees are capable of regulating their own performance without continuous managerial oversight (Yukl, 2020).

Recent studies indicate that the effectiveness of laissez-faire leadership varies considerably across organisational settings. In highly specialised and knowledge-intensive organisations, employee autonomy can encourage creativity, independent decision-making, and innovation because employees have greater flexibility to apply their expertise without excessive managerial interference (Banks et al., 2023). Research has shown that professionals working in research institutions, higher education, information technology, and creative industries often value leadership approaches that provide autonomy, trust, and freedom to experiment with new ideas (Harms et al., 2018). Under these circumstances, laissez-faire leadership may contribute positively to employee satisfaction and intrinsic motivation by supporting self-management and professional independence.

Employee autonomy represents one of the principal advantages associated with laissez-faire leadership. By avoiding excessive supervision, leaders encourage employees to assume responsibility for their own performance, solve problems independently, and develop confidence in their professional abilities (Yukl, 2020). Such autonomy promotes self-efficacy, accountability, and organisational learning, particularly when employees possess high levels of competence and experience. Consequently, laissez-faire leadership may strengthen organisational innovation by allowing employees sufficient freedom to explore creative solutions without restrictive managerial control (Banks et al., 2023).

However, extensive empirical evidence also highlights significant limitations associated with laissez-faire leadership. Unlike transformational or charismatic leadership, laissez-faire leaders often fail to provide clear direction, timely feedback, or adequate support for employees. As a result, employees may experience uncertainty regarding organisational objectives, reduced role clarity, and lower organisational commitment (Skogstad et al., 2007). Northouse (2022) argues that laissez-faire leadership is frequently associated with ineffective leadership because leaders avoid responsibility, delay important decisions, and fail to address organisational problems before they escalate.

Research further demonstrates that laissez-faire leadership is often negatively associated with employee motivation, job satisfaction, and organisational performance. Employees working under passive leaders may perceive a lack of guidance, recognition, and support, leading to reduced engagement and increased workplace frustration (Harms et al., 2018). Unlike transformational leaders, who inspire employees through vision and encouragement, laissez-faire leaders provide limited emotional or professional support, reducing opportunities for employee development and organisational learning. Consequently, passive leadership frequently contributes to lower productivity, weaker collaboration, and diminished organisational effectiveness.

Organisational change represents another area in which laissez-faire leadership demonstrates important limitations. Contemporary organisations operate within increasingly dynamic environments characterised by technological advancement, global competition, and continuous organisational transformation. During periods of uncertainty, employees typically require strategic direction, effective communication, and decisive leadership. Because laissez-faire leaders avoid active involvement in organisational change, employees may experience increased uncertainty, resistance to change, and reduced confidence in organisational leadership (Yukl, 2020). Consequently, laissez-faire leadership is generally considered less effective than transformational, servant, or charismatic leadership during periods of organisational disruption and strategic change.

Contextual factors nevertheless play an important role in determining the effectiveness of laissez-faire leadership. Studies suggest that the leadership style may be appropriate where employees possess advanced technical expertise, high levels of professional maturity, and strong intrinsic motivation (Banks et al., 2023). Within academic institutions, research organisations, engineering firms, and creative industries, employees often prefer greater independence in performing specialised tasks. In such environments, minimal managerial interference may

encourage innovation, professional development, and higher levels of job satisfaction. Conversely, organisations characterised by inexperienced employees, complex coordination requirements, or highly regulated procedures generally require more active leadership approaches to maintain organisational performance (Northouse, 2022).

Within healthcare and public-sector organisations, laissez-faire leadership has generally been associated with less favourable organisational outcomes. Research indicates that passive leadership contributes to reduced communication, lower employee morale, weaker organisational commitment, and poorer service quality because employees receive insufficient guidance and managerial support (Harms et al., 2018). Similarly, studies examining nursing leadership suggest that passive leadership behaviours are associated with increased burnout, workplace stress, and reduced patient safety when compared with more active leadership styles (Specchia et al., 2021). These findings highlight the importance of active leadership within organisations where effective coordination, communication, and accountability directly influence organisational performance and public outcomes.

Cross-cultural research further suggests that the acceptance of laissez-faire leadership varies across national cultures. In cultures characterised by high power distance, employees generally expect leaders to provide clear direction, supervision, and decision-making authority. Under such circumstances, passive leadership may be interpreted as managerial incompetence or a lack of commitment (House et al., 2004). Conversely, employees working within low power-distance cultures may appreciate greater autonomy and decentralised decision-making, making laissez-faire leadership relatively more acceptable in organisations that value independence, innovation, and self-management.

Despite its limitations, contemporary scholars argue that laissez-faire leadership should not always be viewed as ineffective. Instead, its success depends upon organisational context, employee competence, task complexity, and organisational culture (Banks et al., 2023). When combined with highly capable employees and clearly defined organisational objectives, laissez-faire leadership may support creativity, professional autonomy, and innovation. Nevertheless, excessive reliance on passive leadership frequently reduces organisational coordination, accountability, employee engagement, and long-term organisational effectiveness.

Overall, laissez-faire leadership remains one of the most debated leadership theories in organisational research. Although the leadership style offers advantages through employee autonomy, independence, and flexibility, extensive empirical evidence indicates that its effectiveness is highly contingent upon contextual and organisational factors. In most organisational settings, particularly those characterised by uncertainty, complexity, or rapid change, laissez-faire leadership is generally less effective than more proactive leadership approaches because it provides insufficient guidance, motivation, and organisational direction (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023). Consequently, organisations should ensure that leaders possess the ability to balance employee autonomy with appropriate supervision, communication, accountability, and strategic leadership to achieve sustainable organisational performance.

6.3 Laissez-Faire Leadership

Laissez-faire leadership has received increasing scholarly attention in contemporary organisational research because of its contrasting effects on employee autonomy, innovation, organisational performance, and workplace well-being. Unlike transformational and transactional leadership, laissez-faire leadership is characterised by a passive approach in which leaders avoid decision-making, provide minimal supervision, and grant employees substantial freedom to manage their own responsibilities (Bass & Avolio, 1994; Northouse, 2022). Although traditionally regarded as the least effective leadership style within the Full Range Leadership Model, recent studies suggest that laissez-faire leadership may produce positive outcomes in organisations where employees possess high levels of expertise, intrinsic motivation, and self-management capabilities (Banks et al., 2023).

Recent empirical research demonstrates that the effects of laissez-faire leadership are highly dependent on organisational context and employee characteristics rather than the leadership style itself. For example, Wang, Li, and Chen (2023) investigated the relationship between laissez-faire leadership and employees' innovative work behaviour by examining the mediating role of employee autonomy and the moderating influence of organisational support for innovation. Their findings suggest that laissez-faire leadership can encourage innovation when employees are highly skilled and operate within supportive organisational environments. Rather than directing employees through close supervision, laissez-faire leaders encourage independent problem-solving by allowing employees discretion over how they accomplish organisational objectives (Wang et al., 2023).

The study further demonstrates that employee autonomy functions as an important psychological mechanism through which laissez-faire leadership promotes innovative behaviour. Employees who experience greater freedom in decision-making become more willing to experiment with new ideas, develop creative solutions, and assume responsibility for organisational outcomes. These findings support self-determination theory, which proposes that autonomy enhances intrinsic motivation, creativity, and work engagement (Deci & Ryan, 2000). However, Wang et al. (2023) also found that organisational support significantly strengthens this relationship, suggesting that autonomy alone is insufficient unless employees receive adequate organisational resources, communication, and institutional support.

Consequently, Wang et al.'s (2023) study extends contemporary understanding of laissez-faire leadership by demonstrating that passive leadership may contribute positively to organisational innovation when employees possess sufficient competence and organisations foster supportive work environments. Rather than viewing laissez-faire leadership solely as ineffective leadership, the findings suggest that limited managerial intervention can encourage creativity, ownership, and independent thinking among experienced employees.

Similarly, Skogstad et al. (2015) provide important insights into the psychological mechanisms through which laissez-faire leadership influences employee behaviour. Their

longitudinal study examined the relationship between passive leadership, role ambiguity, and employee stress. Unlike research emphasising employee autonomy, Skogstad et al. (2015) found that laissez-faire leadership often creates uncertainty because employees receive limited guidance, delayed feedback, and inadequate managerial support. This lack of leadership intervention increases role ambiguity, workplace stress, and job dissatisfaction, particularly in organisations where employees depend upon leadership direction.

The study identifies role ambiguity as a significant mediator explaining the negative relationship between laissez-faire leadership and employee well-being. When leaders avoid making decisions or clarifying responsibilities, employees frequently become uncertain about organisational expectations and performance standards. Consequently, employees experience higher levels of psychological strain, reduced organisational commitment, and lower job satisfaction (Skogstad et al., 2015). These findings reinforce leadership theory suggesting that although autonomy may benefit experienced professionals, the absence of leadership becomes problematic when employees require coordination, guidance, and organisational support.

An important contribution of Skogstad et al.'s (2015) research lies in demonstrating that the effectiveness of laissez-faire leadership varies according to employee competence and organisational complexity. Within highly structured organisations characterised by interdependent tasks, passive leadership may reduce coordination and weaken organisational performance. Conversely, within self-managed teams where employees possess specialised expertise and professional independence, minimal leadership intervention may encourage greater initiative and responsibility. This perspective broadens laissez-faire leadership theory by highlighting the importance of matching leadership behaviours with organisational context and employee capability.

Recent scholarship has also examined the relationship between laissez-faire leadership and organisational resilience. Banks et al. (2023) argue that passive leadership generally weakens organisational adaptability because leaders fail to provide strategic direction during periods of uncertainty. Organisations experiencing economic instability, technological disruption, or organisational change require leaders capable of making timely decisions, coordinating resources, and maintaining employee confidence. Under such conditions, laissez-faire leadership may contribute to slower organisational responses, reduced employee confidence, and weaker resilience.

The concept of organisational resilience therefore presents a significant challenge for laissez-faire leadership. Unlike active leadership approaches, laissez-faire leaders often allow employees to manage crises independently with limited intervention. Although this approach may encourage independent decision-making among experienced professionals, it may simultaneously reduce organisational coordination and increase uncertainty during complex organisational challenges (Northouse, 2022). Consequently, recent evidence suggests that laissez-faire leadership is considerably less effective during organisational crises than leadership styles characterised by active communication, strategic direction, and employee support (Banks et al., 2023).

Collectively, the studies by Wang et al. (2023), Skogstad et al. (2015), and Banks et al. (2023) demonstrate the multidimensional nature of laissez-faire leadership. Rather than producing universally positive or negative outcomes, laissez-faire leadership influences organisational innovation, employee well-being, and organisational performance through psychological and organisational mechanisms, including employee autonomy, role ambiguity, organisational support, and employee competence. These findings extend traditional leadership theory by illustrating that passive leadership may enhance innovation in highly autonomous environments while simultaneously increasing employee stress and reducing organisational effectiveness where greater leadership involvement is required.

The findings of recent studies further demonstrate that laissez-faire leadership becomes particularly effective only under specific organisational conditions where employees possess strong professional competence, intrinsic motivation, and the ability to work independently. By allowing employees considerable discretion in decision-making, laissez-faire leaders can encourage experimentation, creativity, and professional ownership without excessive managerial control (Wang et al., 2023). However, the absence of leadership intervention may also contribute to confusion, inconsistent decision-making, and reduced organisational coordination where employees require clear direction and support (Skogstad et al., 2015).

Overall, contemporary research suggests that laissez-faire leadership should not simply be regarded as ineffective leadership but rather as a context-dependent approach whose success depends on employee maturity, organisational culture, task complexity, and environmental stability. While employee autonomy can foster innovation and creativity in knowledge-intensive organisations, organisations operating under conditions of uncertainty or requiring high levels of coordination generally benefit from more active leadership styles (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Banks et al. (2023) argue that laissez-faire leadership is generally associated with weaker organisational resilience because leaders avoid active decision-making, strategic intervention, and timely guidance during periods of uncertainty. Unlike transformational leadership, which strengthens organisational adaptability through proactive leadership behaviours, laissez-faire leadership often leaves employees to navigate organisational challenges independently. While this approach may encourage autonomy among highly competent professionals, it may also reduce organisational coordination and increase uncertainty during periods of environmental turbulence. Consequently, leadership effectiveness under laissez-faire leadership depends largely on employees' capability to self-manage and collaborate without continuous managerial oversight (Northouse, 2022).

Recent research has increasingly examined laissez-faire leadership within healthcare organisations, where leadership effectiveness directly influences employee well-being and patient outcomes. Specchia et al. (2021) conducted a systematic review of leadership styles in healthcare and found that laissez-faire leadership was generally associated with lower staff engagement, reduced communication, weaker teamwork, and poorer patient-care outcomes when compared

with more active leadership approaches. Because healthcare environments require timely decision-making, multidisciplinary collaboration, and continuous supervision, passive leadership often contributes to uncertainty and inconsistent service delivery (Specchia et al., 2021).

The review identifies deficiencies in communication and leadership support as key mechanisms through which laissez-faire leadership negatively affects healthcare performance. Leaders who avoid providing direction, feedback, and professional guidance may inadvertently reduce employees' confidence, organisational commitment, and willingness to collaborate effectively. These findings are consistent with previous research demonstrating that passive leadership contributes to role ambiguity, workplace stress, and reduced employee satisfaction (Skogstad et al., 2015; Northouse, 2022).

Furthermore, laissez-faire leadership may weaken organisational resilience by limiting mentoring, coaching, and continuous professional development. Without active leadership involvement, healthcare professionals may receive insufficient support to adapt to technological advances, evolving clinical practices, and changing patient needs. Consequently, organisations led through laissez-faire approaches may experience greater difficulty maintaining consistent service quality and organisational effectiveness, particularly during periods of crisis or workforce shortages (Specchia et al., 2021).

An important contribution of Specchia et al. (2021) is the recognition that laissez-faire leadership may only be appropriate within healthcare environments where highly experienced professionals possess substantial clinical autonomy and require minimal managerial supervision. Even under such conditions, however, passive leadership should be complemented by effective organisational systems, clear communication channels, and supportive institutional policies to ensure patient safety and service quality.

Recent studies have also explored the relationship between laissez-faire leadership and organisational sustainability. Wang et al. (2023) examined how passive leadership influences employees' environmental and innovative behaviours within knowledge-intensive organisations. Their findings suggest that laissez-faire leadership can encourage employees to develop independent solutions to sustainability challenges by granting considerable autonomy over decision-making. Rather than directing environmental initiatives through close supervision, laissez-faire leaders provide employees with discretion to identify environmentally responsible practices independently (Wang et al., 2023).

The study further identifies employee autonomy as a significant mediating mechanism through which laissez-faire leadership influences pro-environmental behaviour. Employees who possess strong environmental values and professional competence are more likely to initiate sustainable practices when afforded greater independence. However, the study also demonstrates that organisational support remains essential. Without adequate organisational resources, communication, and shared sustainability goals, employee autonomy alone may fail to generate meaningful environmental outcomes (Wang et al., 2023).

Importantly, Wang et al. (2023) also found that employee emotional exhaustion moderates the effectiveness of laissez-faire leadership. Employees experiencing high levels of stress or burnout were less able to utilise the autonomy provided by passive leadership effectively. These findings suggest that laissez-faire leadership depends heavily upon employees' psychological well-being, self-confidence, and resilience. Consequently, organisations seeking to encourage sustainability through employee autonomy must also invest in employee well-being and organisational support systems to maximise leadership effectiveness (Banks et al., 2023).

Within higher education, Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) demonstrate that strategic planning and organisational performance depend substantially upon active leadership involvement. Their findings indicate that while academic professionals often value autonomy, the absence of leadership direction associated with laissez-faire leadership may weaken strategy implementation by reducing coordination between institutional objectives and employee activities. Without clear communication, vision, and managerial oversight, strategic initiatives may become fragmented and inconsistently implemented across academic departments (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024).

The study identifies leadership coordination as a critical factor linking strategic planning with organisational effectiveness. Rather than viewing leadership as unnecessary within highly educated institutions, the findings suggest that even autonomous academic staff benefit from leadership that facilitates communication, resource allocation, and organisational alignment. Consequently, laissez-faire leadership may be less effective within higher education institutions undertaking significant organisational reforms, technological transformation, or policy implementation (Northouse, 2022).

These findings reinforce leadership theory by demonstrating that employee autonomy should not be confused with leadership absence. Although professional independence remains important within universities, effective organisational performance requires leaders who coordinate institutional goals, facilitate collaboration, and resolve organisational challenges. Laissez-faire leadership may therefore contribute to weaker strategic implementation when organisational environments require active coordination and collective action (Banks et al., 2023).

Within Nigerian higher education institutions, these leadership challenges become even more pronounced because universities often operate under financial constraints, bureaucratic structures, and increasing pressures for institutional accountability (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). Under such circumstances, passive leadership may delay decision-making, reduce organisational responsiveness, and limit institutional adaptability. Consequently, recent evidence suggests that while employee autonomy remains valuable, completely withdrawing leadership involvement may undermine long-term organisational resilience and strategic performance.

Recent research has also examined laissez-faire leadership within customer-oriented industries. Kim et al. (2023) investigated leadership behaviours within the hospitality sector and found that passive leadership generally resulted in lower organisational identification, weaker employee engagement, and reduced creativity when compared with more participative leadership approaches. Employees working within hospitality environments often require continuous

communication, coaching, and performance feedback to deliver consistent customer service. Consequently, limited managerial involvement may reduce employees' confidence, motivation, and commitment to organisational objectives.

A particularly important contribution of Kim et al. (2023) is the identification of organisational identification as a psychological mechanism explaining employee performance. Under laissez-faire leadership, employees may experience weaker psychological attachment to organisational goals because leaders provide fewer opportunities for communication, recognition, and relationship-building. As organisational identification declines, employees demonstrate lower discretionary effort, weaker organisational commitment, and reduced innovative behaviour (Kim et al., 2023; Haslam et al., 2020).

The study further demonstrates that creativity within highly competitive service industries depends upon balancing employee autonomy with appropriate managerial guidance. While independent decision-making can encourage innovative thinking, the complete absence of leadership support may reduce collaboration, knowledge sharing, and coordinated service improvement. Consequently, recent evidence suggests that laissez-faire leadership functions effectively only when employees possess substantial expertise, intrinsic motivation, and clearly defined organisational objectives. Without these supporting conditions, passive leadership may negatively influence employee performance, creativity, and organisational competitiveness (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Recent research suggests that laissez-faire leadership produces markedly different organisational outcomes from more active leadership approaches. Unlike transformational leadership, laissez-faire leadership is characterised by leader avoidance, delayed decision-making, limited supervision, and minimal involvement in employee activities (Northouse, 2022). Although this leadership style may encourage autonomy among highly experienced employees, empirical evidence generally associates it with reduced organisational effectiveness, particularly in environments requiring coordination, innovation, and strategic direction (Banks et al., 2018).

Within complex project environments, leadership presence is essential for coordinating multiple stakeholders, managing uncertainty, and maintaining strategic alignment. Research on infrastructure and project management consistently demonstrates that passive leadership behaviours characteristic of laissez-faire leadership often contribute to role ambiguity, communication failures, and delayed decision-making, thereby increasing project risk and reducing overall performance (Müller et al., 2021). Rather than acting as strategic coordinators, laissez-faire leaders frequently delegate responsibility without providing sufficient guidance, creating uncertainty among project teams and limiting collaborative problem-solving (Northouse, 2022).

Employee psychological well-being is also influenced by leadership involvement. Longitudinal evidence indicates that passive leadership behaviours are associated with lower workplace support, increased role conflict, higher emotional exhaustion, and reduced employee well-being (Skogstad et al., 2014). Because laissez-faire leaders often avoid providing feedback

or addressing interpersonal problems, employees may experience greater stress and uncertainty, particularly in demanding organisational environments. Recent leadership research therefore argues that leader availability and timely intervention are important predictors of psychological safety and employee resilience (Banks et al., 2018).

Cross-cultural leadership studies similarly suggest that although perceptions of effective leadership differ across societies, employees consistently value leaders who provide direction, communication, and support (House et al., 2004; Northouse, 2022). Passive leadership behaviours associated with laissez-faire leadership are generally evaluated less favourably because they fail to satisfy followers' expectations for guidance, decision-making, and organisational coordination. While some highly autonomous professional settings may tolerate greater leadership distance, prolonged leader absence is typically associated with lower organisational commitment and weaker employee performance (Banks et al., 2018).

Overall, contemporary evidence indicates that laissez-faire leadership is most effective only under limited circumstances where employees possess high levels of expertise, intrinsic motivation, and task independence. In most organisational contexts, however, the absence of active leadership reduces communication, weakens accountability, and undermines organisational effectiveness. Consequently, recent leadership literature generally regards laissez-faire leadership as the least effective leadership style within the Full Range Leadership Model (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2018).

Recent research suggests that follower perceptions play a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of laissez-faire leadership. Unlike active leadership approaches, laissez-faire leadership is characterised by leader avoidance, delayed decision-making, minimal supervision, and limited engagement with employees (Northouse, 2022). Employees frequently perceive these behaviours as a lack of leadership rather than the deliberate delegation of authority, resulting in lower trust, weaker organisational commitment, and reduced job satisfaction (Skogstad et al., 2014). Studies consistently demonstrate that employees value leaders who provide direction, feedback, and support, indicating that prolonged leader absence negatively influences both employee attitudes and organisational effectiveness (Banks et al., 2018).

The relational nature of leadership suggests that leadership effectiveness depends not only on leaders' behaviours but also on how followers interpret those behaviours. Within laissez-faire leadership, followers often experience uncertainty regarding organisational priorities, role expectations, and decision-making responsibilities because leaders avoid active involvement in organisational processes (Northouse, 2022). Consequently, employee perceptions of inadequate leadership frequently translate into lower psychological safety, weaker organisational identification, and reduced confidence in management. Cross-cultural leadership research further suggests that although cultural expectations differ internationally, employees consistently expect leaders to provide guidance, communication, and accountability, making laissez-faire leadership one of the least favourably evaluated leadership styles across organisational contexts (House et al., 2004; Banks et al., 2018).

Within humanitarian and non-governmental organisations operating under conditions of crisis, effective leadership becomes particularly important because employees frequently work under high levels of uncertainty, emotional pressure, and resource constraints. Contemporary leadership research indicates that passive leadership behaviours associated with laissez-faire leadership may weaken organisational resilience by delaying decision-making, reducing communication, and limiting employee support during periods of instability (Northouse, 2022). Without visible leadership, employees may experience increased role ambiguity, emotional exhaustion, and uncertainty regarding organisational priorities, reducing both individual and organisational performance (Skogstad et al., 2014). Consequently, laissez-faire leadership is generally regarded as inappropriate in crisis environments where rapid coordination, emotional support, and strategic decision-making are required.

Educational research similarly highlights the importance of active leadership in supporting teachers' professional development and self-efficacy. School leaders who fail to provide guidance, mentoring, or performance feedback may inadvertently reduce teachers' confidence in their professional abilities and willingness to adopt innovative teaching practices (Bandura, 1997). Although experienced teachers may appreciate greater professional autonomy, prolonged leadership absence can limit collaborative learning, reduce knowledge sharing, and weaken organisational commitment (Northouse, 2022). Consequently, contemporary educational leadership literature generally concludes that completely passive leadership is less effective than leadership approaches that combine autonomy with appropriate guidance and professional support.

Research examining employee motivation and workplace performance also identifies important limitations associated with laissez-faire leadership. Passive leaders rarely communicate organisational expectations, provide developmental feedback, or recognise employee achievements, reducing opportunities for employees to develop intrinsic motivation and organisational commitment (Banks et al., 2018). The absence of leadership intervention may further contribute to burnout, role conflict, workplace stress, and social loafing because employees receive insufficient direction or support when facing organisational challenges (Skogstad et al., 2014). These findings suggest that although employee autonomy may be beneficial for highly skilled professionals, autonomy without leadership support often results in reduced accountability, inconsistent performance, and declining employee engagement.

Nevertheless, some scholars argue that laissez-faire leadership may be appropriate in limited organisational contexts characterised by highly experienced, self-directed, and intrinsically motivated employees. In research-intensive organisations, specialist professional environments, and highly autonomous teams, minimal leader intervention may encourage independent decision-making, creativity, and professional ownership (Yukl, 2020). However, even within these settings, effective leaders remain available to provide strategic guidance, resolve conflicts, and support employees when required. Consequently, recent leadership scholarship views laissez-faire leadership not as a universally effective leadership approach but as a situational style whose

effectiveness depends heavily on employee competence, task complexity, organisational culture, and environmental stability (Northouse, 2022).

Overall, contemporary evidence indicates that laissez-faire leadership generally produces weaker organisational outcomes than more active leadership styles. Although limited leader intervention may encourage autonomy under specific circumstances, prolonged leadership avoidance is consistently associated with lower employee satisfaction, reduced organisational commitment, poorer communication, increased workplace stress, and diminished organisational performance (Banks et al., 2018; Skogstad et al., 2014). Organisations seeking sustainable performance improvements should therefore ensure that employee autonomy is balanced with accessible leadership, timely decision-making, and appropriate organisational support rather than relying exclusively on laissez-faire leadership behaviours.

Ayandibu (2024) highlights that leadership effectiveness depends on selecting leadership behaviours that match organisational needs and contextual demands. In contrast to transformational leadership, laissez-faire leadership is characterised by leaders' avoidance of decision-making, limited supervision, delayed feedback, and minimal involvement in employees' daily activities (Northouse, 2022). Although this passive leadership approach may encourage autonomy among highly experienced and self-directed employees, empirical evidence generally associates laissez-faire leadership with lower employee motivation, weaker organisational commitment, reduced team effectiveness, and poorer organisational performance (Banks et al., 2023; Skogstad et al., 2021).

Atoko (2023) emphasises that organisations operating in developing economies require proactive leadership capable of responding to environmental uncertainty and institutional challenges. Within such contexts, laissez-faire leadership is generally considered ineffective because leaders fail to provide strategic direction, support, or timely decision-making. The absence of active leadership may increase uncertainty, reduce employee confidence, and weaken organisational adaptability, particularly where employees rely on leadership guidance during organisational change (Atoko, 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Similarly, Awaale (2024) argues that effective public-sector leadership requires active engagement, clear communication, and employee support to improve organisational performance and public service delivery. A laissez-faire approach may undermine these objectives because limited supervision and delayed intervention can reduce accountability, coordination, and organisational commitment. In resource-constrained public institutions, passive leadership may contribute to role ambiguity, lower employee morale, and reduced service quality (Awaale, 2024).

Within post-conflict and institutionally fragile environments, leadership is expected to provide vision, stability, and employee support. Laissez-faire leadership, however, often leaves employees without sufficient guidance during periods of organisational uncertainty. Previous studies indicate that passive leadership is associated with increased workplace conflict, reduced trust in management, and weaker organisational resilience compared with more active leadership approaches (Banks et al., 2023; Skogstad et al., 2021).

Ahwireng Koomson et al. (2022) demonstrate that active leadership behaviours are important determinants of employee motivation and organisational performance within Ghanaian public-sector institutions. From a laissez-faire perspective, the absence of regular communication, feedback, and performance monitoring may reduce employees' intrinsic motivation and commitment because individuals receive limited recognition, coaching, or strategic direction. Although highly skilled professionals may appreciate increased autonomy, most employees perform more effectively when leaders remain accessible and provide appropriate support (Northouse, 2022).

Ogonegbu and Kyongo (2023) emphasise that effective leadership strengthens organisational performance through employee engagement, strategic alignment, and continuous organisational learning. Conversely, laissez-faire leadership has generally been associated with lower organisational effectiveness because leaders avoid involvement in decision-making and performance management. Without active leadership, organisations may experience weaker coordination, slower organisational learning, and reduced innovation, particularly during periods of change (Banks et al., 2023).

Research examining organisational change similarly suggests that passive leadership provides limited support for successful change implementation. Cao and Le (2024) identify trust as a key mechanism supporting organisational change. Under laissez-faire leadership, however, leaders may fail to establish the communication and interpersonal relationships necessary for developing employee trust. Consequently, employees may become less willing to support organisational change because of uncertainty regarding leadership expectations and organisational priorities (Cao & Le, 2024).

Nasir et al. (2022) report that organisational innovation depends heavily on leadership behaviours that encourage creativity, learning, and employee engagement. Because laissez-faire leaders provide limited guidance or encouragement, employees may experience reduced collaboration, lower innovation, and weaker organisational commitment unless teams consist of highly autonomous and intrinsically motivated professionals. Consequently, laissez-faire leadership is generally regarded as less effective for promoting innovation and sustainable organisational performance than more proactive leadership styles (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023).

Overall, contemporary evidence suggests that laissez-faire leadership represents one of the least effective leadership approaches in most organisational settings. While the delegation of authority and employee autonomy may benefit highly experienced, self-managing teams, prolonged leader absence, limited communication, and avoidance of responsibility are generally associated with lower employee satisfaction, reduced organisational commitment, poorer performance, and diminished organisational effectiveness (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022; Skogstad et al., 2021). Consequently, organisations should exercise caution when adopting laissez-faire leadership and ensure that employees possess the competence, experience, and self-management skills necessary to function effectively with minimal supervision.

6.4 Conclusion

Laissez-faire leadership is widely recognised as one of the most passive leadership styles in organisational research. Unlike transformational or transactional leadership, laissez-faire leaders avoid active involvement in decision-making, provide limited supervision, delay responding to problems, and allow employees substantial autonomy in managing their work. Although this leadership approach may be appropriate when employees possess high levels of expertise, self-motivation, and independence, the literature generally indicates that laissez-faire leadership is associated with lower organisational performance, reduced employee engagement, weaker communication, and diminished organisational effectiveness when compared with more active leadership styles.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the relationship between laissez-faire leadership and reduced employee performance and motivation. The absence of leader guidance, timely feedback, and performance monitoring often creates uncertainty regarding organisational goals and expectations. Consequently, employees may experience lower job satisfaction, diminished organisational commitment, and reduced willingness to exert discretionary effort. While highly autonomous professionals may value the independence associated with laissez-faire leadership, many employees require leadership support, coaching, and communication to maintain high levels of motivation and productivity.

The literature also demonstrates that laissez-faire leadership is generally ineffective in facilitating organisational adaptability and change management. During periods of organisational uncertainty, employees often depend on leaders to provide direction, reassurance, and strategic communication. However, laissez-faire leaders frequently avoid making critical decisions or addressing organisational challenges promptly, increasing ambiguity and reducing employees' confidence in organisational change initiatives. As a result, organisations led by passive leaders may experience slower implementation of change, greater employee resistance, and reduced organisational resilience.

Innovation and creativity present a more complex picture within the laissez-faire leadership literature. Although the considerable autonomy provided by laissez-faire leaders may encourage creativity among highly skilled, self-directed employees, the absence of strategic guidance, constructive feedback, and coordinated support often limits collaborative innovation and organisational learning. Without active leadership to establish priorities, allocate resources, and encourage knowledge sharing, innovation may become fragmented and inconsistent across teams. Consequently, laissez-faire leadership appears to support innovation only under specific conditions where employees possess substantial expertise and intrinsic motivation.

Research further indicates that laissez-faire leadership may negatively influence employee psychological well-being and organisational resilience. Passive leadership behaviours, including leader avoidance, delayed intervention, and limited communication, have been associated with increased role ambiguity, interpersonal conflict, workplace stress, and emotional exhaustion.

Employees who perceive their leaders as unavailable or unwilling to provide support are more likely to experience reduced trust, lower organisational commitment, and diminished psychological safety. These findings suggest that effective organisational resilience depends upon active leadership involvement rather than leader withdrawal.

Another important theme emerging from the literature concerns the contextual nature of laissez-faire leadership. Studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, and North America suggest that the effectiveness of passive leadership depends largely on employee competence, organisational culture, and task characteristics. In highly specialised environments, such as research institutions or professional consultancy firms, experienced employees may benefit from the autonomy associated with laissez-faire leadership. Conversely, organisations operating within highly regulated, service-oriented, or crisis-prone environments generally require more active leadership to coordinate activities, resolve problems, and maintain organisational performance.

The review also highlights the limitations of laissez-faire leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Organisations operating under resource constraints, institutional instability, or complex governance challenges typically require decisive leadership, strategic planning, and effective communication. Passive leadership may weaken organisational coordination, reduce employee accountability, and impair service delivery by allowing problems to remain unresolved for extended periods. Consequently, laissez-faire leadership is generally considered less suitable for organisations facing rapid environmental change, increasing public accountability, or significant operational uncertainty.

Furthermore, the literature provides little evidence that laissez-faire leadership contributes positively to organisational sustainability. Unlike leadership approaches that actively promote innovation, ethical behaviour, and strategic planning, laissez-faire leadership rarely provides sufficient direction to integrate economic, social, and environmental objectives into organisational practice. The absence of active leadership may delay sustainability initiatives, reduce employee engagement with organisational goals, and weaken long-term organisational development. Consequently, organisations pursuing sustainable competitive advantage generally require leaders who actively coordinate organisational change and encourage continuous improvement.

Overall, the evidence reviewed suggests that laissez-faire leadership is among the least effective leadership styles in contemporary organisational research. Although employee autonomy and minimal supervision may produce positive outcomes within highly experienced, self-managed teams, passive leadership is more frequently associated with lower employee performance, reduced motivation, weaker organisational commitment, poorer communication, and diminished organisational effectiveness. Leadership effectiveness therefore depends on balancing employee autonomy with appropriate levels of guidance, communication, and organisational support.

Consequently, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should apply laissez-faire leadership selectively and only where employees possess the competence, confidence, and experience to operate independently. Leadership development programmes should instead emphasise leaders' ability to recognise when employee autonomy is appropriate while maintaining

accountability, communication, and strategic oversight. As organisations continue to experience technological disruption, global competition, and increasing environmental uncertainty, passive leadership alone is unlikely to provide the strategic direction, employee support, and organisational coordination necessary for long-term organisational resilience and sustainable success.

Chapter 7:
PARTICIPATIVE LEADERSHIP

7.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of participative leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating classical leadership theories with recent empirical evidence to explain how participative leadership influences organisational performance, employee behaviour, innovation, decision-making, and organisational effectiveness. As one of the most widely recognised democratic leadership approaches, participative leadership has become increasingly relevant in contemporary organisations because of its emphasis on employee involvement, shared decision-making, collaboration, empowerment, and collective responsibility (Northouse, 2022; Somech, 2006; Eva et al., 2019).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of participative leadership, tracing its development from the democratic leadership concepts proposed by Lewin, Lippitt, and White (1939) to more contemporary models of shared and participative decision-making. Lewin et al. (1939) identified democratic leadership as a leadership style in which leaders actively involve followers in decision-making while maintaining overall responsibility for organisational outcomes. Later scholars expanded this perspective by conceptualising participative leadership as a process through which leaders encourage employees to contribute ideas, share responsibility, and participate actively in organisational decisions that affect their work (Yukl, 2013; Northouse, 2022). These principles provide the conceptual framework for evaluating the selected studies throughout this chapter.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that participative leadership contributes significantly to employee motivation, organisational commitment, job satisfaction, innovation, knowledge sharing, psychological empowerment, and overall organisational effectiveness (Eva et al., 2019; Lam et al., 2015). By involving employees in organisational decision-making, participative leaders cultivate trust, strengthen communication, encourage collaboration, and enhance employees' sense of ownership over organisational goals. Consequently, participative leadership has become particularly valuable in organisational environments characterised by rapid technological advancement, knowledge-intensive work, and continuous organisational change (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2013).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, public administration, hospitality, manufacturing, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), multinational corporations, and non-governmental organisations. Examining participative leadership across these diverse sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of

how collaborative leadership behaviours are interpreted and implemented within different organisational, institutional, and cultural environments. Although the fundamental principles of participative leadership remain consistent, evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness varies according to organisational structure, employee competence, national culture, and contextual conditions (Huang et al., 2010; Newman et al., 2017).

In addition to examining the direct effects of participative leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions participative leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify employee trust, psychological empowerment, organisational justice, knowledge sharing, employee engagement, self-efficacy, and organisational culture as important mechanisms through which participative leadership affects employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Lam et al., 2015; Newman et al., 2017; Eva et al., 2019). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why participative leadership produces different outcomes across organisations and highlights the contextual conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of participative leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted in Africa, Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and North America. Although participative leadership has been widely recognised as an effective leadership approach across different countries, cultural values, institutional arrangements, and societal norms influence how participative leadership behaviours are perceived and enacted (House et al., 2004; Northouse, 2022). For example, employee participation and shared decision-making may be more readily accepted in low power-distance cultures than in highly hierarchical societies. Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of contextualising participative leadership practices to maximise their effectiveness across different organisational and national settings.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the participative leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding participative leadership outcomes while highlighting areas where further research remains necessary. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as digital collaboration, employee well-being, inclusive leadership, organisational resilience, sustainable decision-making, and innovation-driven organisational performance, all of which have become increasingly important within contemporary leadership research (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that participative leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership approaches within organisational studies. Its emphasis on employee involvement, shared decision-making, collaboration, empowerment, and organisational learning makes it particularly relevant for organisations operating in increasingly complex, knowledge-intensive, and rapidly evolving environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters

by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how participative leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, organisational policies, and strategic management initiatives to promote sustainable organisational success.

7.2 Overview of Participative Leadership

Participative leadership, also known as 'Democratic Leadership', has become one of the most influential leadership approaches in contemporary organisational research because of its emphasis on employee involvement, shared decision-making, collaboration, and collective responsibility. Unlike autocratic leadership, which centralises authority and decision-making within the leader, participative leadership encourages employees to contribute ideas, participate in problem-solving, and influence organisational decisions (Northouse, 2022). The theory is rooted in early democratic leadership research conducted by Lewin et al. (1939), who demonstrated that involving employees in decision-making enhances motivation, cooperation, and productivity. More recent leadership research continues to recognise participative leadership as an effective approach for improving organisational performance by strengthening employee commitment, trust, and innovation (Banks et al., 2023).

Participative leadership is defined as a leadership style in which leaders actively involve employees in decision-making while retaining ultimate responsibility for organisational outcomes (Northouse, 2022). Rather than relying on authority or unilateral decision-making, participative leaders encourage open communication, consultation, collaboration, and knowledge sharing. Employees are empowered to express opinions, contribute expertise, and participate in organisational planning, resulting in stronger psychological ownership, greater organisational commitment, and improved decision quality (Yukl, 2020). Consequently, participative leadership is particularly effective in knowledge-intensive and dynamic organisational environments where innovation, collaboration, and employee expertise are essential for organisational success (Banks et al., 2023).

Recent studies consistently demonstrate that participative leadership positively influences employee engagement, motivation, job satisfaction, and organisational commitment. Employees who are involved in organisational decision-making experience higher levels of psychological empowerment because they perceive their opinions to be valued and respected (Somech, 2021). Similarly, Kim and Beehr (2020) found that participative leadership enhances employee engagement by increasing perceptions of organisational support, trust in management, and procedural fairness. These findings support social exchange theory, which suggests that employees reciprocate supportive and inclusive leadership behaviours through greater organisational commitment and improved performance (Cropanzano et al., 2017).

Innovation represents another significant outcome of participative leadership. By encouraging employees to contribute ideas and participate in organisational problem-solving, participative leaders create environments characterised by creativity, knowledge sharing, and continuous

learning. Employees are more willing to propose innovative solutions when they believe their contributions will be considered during organisational decision-making (Yukl, 2020). Research demonstrates that participative leadership improves organisational innovation by promoting collaborative learning, cross-functional teamwork, and employee involvement in strategic planning, thereby strengthening organisational adaptability and long-term competitiveness (Banks et al., 2023).

The effectiveness of participative leadership is also evident in organisational change management. Employees are generally more willing to support organisational change when they are involved in planning and implementing change initiatives. Participative leaders reduce resistance by encouraging consultation, transparency, and shared responsibility throughout the change process (Northouse, 2022). Through open communication and collaborative decision-making, employees develop stronger trust in leadership and become more committed to achieving organisational objectives. Consequently, participative leadership facilitates smoother organisational transitions while improving employee acceptance of change (Yukl, 2020).

Research further indicates that contextual and cultural factors influence the effectiveness of participative leadership. Although participative leadership is widely associated with positive organisational outcomes, its effectiveness depends on organisational culture, employee competence, and national cultural values. Organisations characterised by openness, collaboration, and low power distance tend to benefit more from participative leadership because employees are encouraged to contribute ideas and challenge existing practices (Banks et al., 2023). Conversely, organisations operating within highly hierarchical or authoritarian cultures may experience challenges when implementing participative leadership because employees may be reluctant to participate in decision-making or question managerial authority (Northouse, 2022).

Within the healthcare sector, participative leadership has demonstrated positive outcomes for both employees and patients. Studies indicate that involving nurses and healthcare professionals in clinical decision-making improves job satisfaction, organisational commitment, teamwork, and patient safety (Specchia et al., 2021). Participative leadership promotes interdisciplinary collaboration, enhances communication between healthcare professionals, and strengthens employees' commitment to delivering high-quality patient care. Such findings demonstrate that collaborative leadership contributes significantly to organisational effectiveness within complex healthcare environments.

Beyond organisational performance, participative leadership has increasingly been associated with organisational sustainability and corporate responsibility. Leaders who involve employees in sustainability initiatives encourage greater ownership of environmental and social responsibilities, leading to stronger organisational citizenship behaviours and improved sustainability performance (Banks et al., 2023). Employee participation also improves organisational decision-making regarding environmental management because frontline employees often possess valuable operational knowledge that contributes to sustainable organisational practices (Northouse, 2022).

Empirical evidence from different geographical regions further demonstrates the broad applicability of participative leadership across diverse organisational settings. Studies conducted in Europe, Asia, Africa, and North America consistently report positive relationships between participative leadership and employee satisfaction, organisational commitment, innovation, and organisational effectiveness (Somech, 2021; Banks et al., 2023). Although leadership practices require adaptation to local organisational cultures and institutional contexts, the underlying principles of employee participation, consultation, and shared decision-making remain broadly applicable across sectors including education, healthcare, manufacturing, public administration, and private enterprise.

Despite its numerous advantages, participative leadership is not without limitations. Effective implementation requires leaders to possess strong communication skills, emotional intelligence, conflict management abilities, and the capacity to facilitate collaborative decision-making (Northouse, 2022). Furthermore, participative leadership may be less appropriate in crises requiring rapid decision-making, highly regulated organisations with limited employee discretion, or environments where employees lack sufficient expertise to contribute effectively to strategic decisions (Yukl, 2020). Decision-making processes may also become slower because consultation requires additional time and coordination among stakeholders.

Nevertheless, extensive empirical evidence demonstrates that participative leadership enhances employee motivation, organisational commitment, innovation, knowledge sharing, job satisfaction, and organisational performance across a wide range of industries and organisational contexts. Its emphasis on employee involvement, shared responsibility, collaborative problem-solving, and inclusive decision-making makes participative leadership one of the most appropriate leadership approaches for organisations operating in today's increasingly knowledge-based, collaborative, and rapidly changing environment (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

7.3 Participative Leadership

Participative leadership continues to receive considerable scholarly attention because of its demonstrated capacity to enhance employee involvement, improve decision-making quality, stimulate innovation, and strengthen organisational performance across diverse organisational settings (Northouse, 2022; Eva et al., 2019). Rooted in Lewin, Lippitt, and White's (1939) democratic leadership model and later expanded by scholars such as Yukl (2013), participative leadership is characterised by shared decision-making, consultation, employee empowerment, and collaborative problem-solving. Rather than concentrating authority solely in the hands of managers, participative leaders actively involve employees in decisions affecting their work, thereby fostering trust, commitment, and collective responsibility (Northouse, 2022). Recent empirical studies further demonstrate that participative leadership influences organisational outcomes both directly and indirectly through several psychological and organisational mechanisms.

Lam, Huang, and Chan (2015) provide compelling evidence of the relationship between participative leadership and employees' innovative behaviour by examining the mediating role of psychological empowerment and the moderating influence of leader information sharing. Their findings suggest that participative leadership facilitates innovation by creating both psychological ownership and an open communication environment. Rather than merely directing employees to implement organisational change, participative leaders encourage employees to contribute ideas and become active participants in organisational decision-making. This finding is consistent with participative leadership theory, which proposes that employee involvement strengthens commitment to organisational objectives and improves the quality of decisions (Yukl, 2013; Northouse, 2022).

The study further demonstrates that psychological empowerment functions as a critical mechanism through which participative leadership promotes innovative behaviour. Employees who are trusted to contribute to decision-making become more willing to share ideas, solve organisational problems, and support change initiatives. These findings reinforce previous research suggesting that participative leadership strengthens employees' intrinsic motivation, organisational commitment, and willingness to engage in innovative behaviours (Somech, 2006; Eva et al., 2019). Furthermore, Lam et al. (2015) found that leader information sharing strengthens the positive effects of participative leadership, indicating that employee participation is most effective when supported by transparent communication and access to relevant organisational information. This finding highlights that participative leaders influence innovation not only by encouraging involvement but also by creating organisational conditions that promote trust, collaboration, and knowledge sharing.

Consequently, Lam et al.'s (2015) study extends participative leadership theory by demonstrating that sustainable innovation emerges through the interaction of employee participation, empowerment, and organisational communication. Their research contributes to explaining how participative leadership simultaneously strengthens employee engagement and organisational capability. This broader perspective reinforces the view that participative leadership is not simply a consultative leadership style but a strategic process that strengthens organisational learning, collaboration, and innovation capability.

Similarly, Huang, Iun, Liu, and Gong (2010) provide important insights into the psychological mechanisms through which participative leadership enhances innovative work behaviour. Their study examines the mediating roles of trust and empowerment in explaining the relationship between participative leadership and employee performance. Unlike studies focusing solely on behavioural outcomes, Huang et al. (2010) demonstrate that participative leadership develops employees' confidence, autonomy, and sense of responsibility, enabling them to approach complex organisational tasks with greater commitment and initiative. These findings align with participative leadership theory, which emphasises employee involvement, shared responsibility, and collaborative decision-making as essential drivers of organisational effectiveness (Yukl, 2013).

The study particularly identifies empowerment and interpersonal trust as significant mediators of employee innovation and performance. Participative leaders strengthen employees' confidence by encouraging them to express opinions, contribute to organisational decisions, and take responsibility for solving workplace challenges. As employees develop stronger beliefs in their capabilities and perceive greater trust from their leaders, they become more willing to experiment with new ideas, challenge established practices and engage in creative problem-solving. These findings support earlier evidence suggesting that participative leadership enhances employee creativity by fostering psychological empowerment and organisational commitment (Somech, 2006; Eva et al., 2019).

An important contribution of Huang et al.'s (2010) research lies in demonstrating that participative leadership is particularly valuable within organisations operating under complex and uncertain conditions. When employees are encouraged to share expertise and collaborate in solving organisational challenges, organisations become more adaptable and innovative. Rather than relying exclusively on managerial authority, participative leaders strengthen employees' confidence, resilience, and collaborative problem-solving capabilities. This perspective broadens participative leadership theory by highlighting the importance of trust and empowerment as strategic organisational resources capable of driving innovation and organisational adaptability.

Recent evidence further extends participative leadership scholarship by investigating organisational resilience as a mechanism through which participative leadership promotes team innovation. Newman et al. (2017) found that participative leadership enhances organisational resilience by strengthening collaboration, shared learning, and employee engagement. Rather than focusing solely on motivating individual employees, participative leaders develop organisational systems that encourage collective problem-solving, knowledge exchange, and adaptive decision-making, enabling teams to respond effectively to changing organisational environments.

The concept of organisational resilience aligns closely with participative leadership principles. Leaders who encourage employee involvement maintain open communication during periods of uncertainty, promote collective learning, and empower employees to contribute solutions to organisational challenges. By framing organisational problems as shared responsibilities, participative leaders create resilient teams capable of sustaining high performance despite environmental uncertainty (Northouse, 2022). These findings are particularly significant given the increasing complexity and volatility characterising modern organisations.

Newman et al. (2017) further demonstrate that environmental uncertainty strengthens the positive relationship between participative leadership and organisational innovation. During periods of technological disruption, economic instability, or organisational change, employees increasingly rely on participative leaders who encourage consultation, collaboration, and shared responsibility. Under such conditions, participative leadership becomes especially influential because it reduces uncertainty, strengthens trust, and encourages adaptive thinking. These findings are consistent with contemporary leadership research suggesting that participative leadership is

particularly effective during organisational change because it increases employee acceptance of change through involvement and collective decision-making (Eva et al., 2019).

Collectively, the studies by Lam et al. (2015), Huang et al. (2010), and Newman et al. (2017) reinforce the multidimensional nature of participative leadership. They demonstrate that participative leadership influences organisational innovation through psychological, behavioural, and organisational mechanisms, including empowerment, trust, information sharing, collaboration, and organisational resilience. These findings extend traditional participative leadership theory beyond leader–follower interactions by illustrating how participative leaders strengthen organisational culture, develop employee capabilities, and create adaptive organisational systems. Consequently, participative leadership should be viewed not merely as a leadership style but as a strategic organisational capability that enables innovation, resilience, and sustainable organisational performance in increasingly complex and dynamic environments.

The findings of Newman et al. (2017) further demonstrate that participative leadership becomes particularly valuable during periods of environmental uncertainty, when organisations require both innovation and resilience to remain competitive. By encouraging employee involvement, fostering trust, and creating psychologically safe environments, participative leaders enable employees to experiment with new ideas without fear of criticism. Such behaviours encourage continuous learning, adaptability, and collaborative problem-solving, all of which are essential for sustaining innovation in volatile organisational environments (Northouse, 2022). The study further identifies organisational resilience as a critical mediating mechanism through which participative leadership enhances team innovation. Rather than merely encouraging employee participation, participative leaders strengthen the organisation's ability to anticipate, adapt to, and recover from unexpected challenges, thereby creating conditions under which innovation can be sustained over time (Newman et al., 2017).

A notable contribution of recent participative leadership research is its integration with organisational resilience theory. Scholars argue that participative leaders influence innovation not only through direct employee involvement but also by building collaborative structures, trust, and shared learning capabilities that enable organisations to withstand environmental turbulence (Eva et al., 2019). These findings extend participative leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends on developing adaptive organisational systems alongside empowered employees. Consequently, participative leadership should be viewed as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens long-term organisational sustainability, resilience, and innovation.

Within healthcare organisations, participative leadership has also demonstrated positive organisational outcomes. Recent systematic reviews indicate that participative leadership improves workforce retention, employee engagement, quality of patient care, and organisational sustainability by involving healthcare professionals in clinical and administrative decision-making (Specchia et al., 2021). Given the increasing complexity of healthcare systems, participative

leadership provides an effective framework for improving communication, strengthening teamwork, and promoting evidence-based practice.

The literature identifies two interrelated dimensions through which participative leadership enhances healthcare performance: employee involvement and collaborative service delivery. Participative nurse leaders encourage employees to contribute ideas, participate in problem-solving, and engage actively in organisational improvement initiatives, resulting in higher levels of job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and employee engagement (Specchia et al., 2021). These findings are consistent with previous research demonstrating that participative leadership strengthens employee motivation by fostering trust, empowerment, and professional autonomy (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2013).

Furthermore, participative leadership contributes to organisational resilience by promoting continuous learning, multidisciplinary collaboration, and shared decision-making among healthcare professionals. Through open communication and employee involvement, participative leaders encourage innovation, evidence-based practice, and continuous quality improvement. Such leadership behaviours enable healthcare organisations to respond more effectively to changing patient needs, technological developments, and organisational challenges while maintaining high standards of patient care. Consequently, participative leadership not only improves employee outcomes but also enhances organisational effectiveness and patient safety (Specchia et al., 2021).

Participative leadership has received increasing scholarly attention as organisations seek leadership approaches that promote employee involvement, shared decision-making, innovation, and organisational resilience in increasingly complex environments (Northouse, 2022; Yukl & Gardner, 2020). Unlike autocratic leadership, participative leadership encourages employees to contribute actively to organisational decisions, recognising that individuals closest to operational issues often possess valuable knowledge and experience. By involving employees in planning, problem-solving, and strategic decision-making, participative leaders create a collaborative organisational culture characterised by trust, openness, and shared responsibility (Somech, 2006; Wang et al., 2023).

A growing body of research demonstrates that participative leadership positively influences organisational performance by strengthening employee commitment, motivation, and psychological empowerment. Employees who are given opportunities to contribute to organisational decisions experience greater ownership of organisational objectives, increased job satisfaction, and stronger organisational identification because they perceive their knowledge and opinions to be valued (Kim & Beehr, 2020; Northouse, 2022). Rather than relying solely on managerial authority, participative leaders distribute influence throughout the organisation, creating a sense of collective responsibility that encourages employees to contribute beyond their formal job requirements.

Recent empirical evidence further suggests that participative leadership enhances organisational innovation by facilitating knowledge sharing and collaborative problem-solving. Wang et al. (2023) found that employee participation in decision-making significantly improves

innovative work behaviour because collaborative environments encourage employees to exchange ideas, challenge existing practices, and develop creative solutions to organisational problems. These findings support social exchange theory, which proposes that employees reciprocate supportive leadership behaviours through increased commitment, creativity, and discretionary effort (Blau, 1964). Consequently, participative leadership promotes innovation not simply through managerial direction but by creating organisational cultures that value collaboration, mutual respect, and continuous learning.

Participation in organisational decision-making has also been associated with improved psychological well-being. Kim and Beehr (2020) reported that participative leadership strengthens employees' perceptions of autonomy and competence, reducing workplace stress while increasing engagement and organisational commitment. Employees who participate in organisational decisions experience greater control over their work, resulting in improved psychological safety and higher levels of intrinsic motivation. These findings align with Self-Determination Theory, which identifies autonomy, competence, and relatedness as essential psychological needs that contribute to employee well-being and performance (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

Participative leadership has become particularly relevant within knowledge-intensive organisations where effective decision-making depends upon employees' expertise rather than hierarchical authority. In educational institutions, participative leadership encourages teachers to contribute to curriculum development, school improvement initiatives, and instructional decision-making, thereby strengthening professional collaboration and organisational learning (Somech, 2006). Similar benefits have been observed in healthcare organisations, where involving healthcare professionals in clinical and administrative decisions improves teamwork, employee engagement, and patient care quality (Northouse, 2022).

Research further demonstrates that participative leadership contributes to organisational resilience during periods of uncertainty and change. Rather than imposing top-down decisions, participative leaders encourage employees to contribute ideas, evaluate alternatives, and collectively develop solutions to organisational challenges. This collaborative approach increases employee acceptance of organisational change because individuals are more likely to support decisions in which they have actively participated (Yukl & Gardner, 2020). Consequently, participative leadership reduces resistance to change while strengthening organisational adaptability and collective problem-solving capability.

The effectiveness of participative leadership is, however, influenced by organisational culture and contextual factors. High levels of participation require organisational climates characterised by trust, open communication, and psychological safety. In highly bureaucratic organisations with rigid hierarchies or cultures characterised by high power distance, employees may be reluctant to express opinions or challenge managerial decisions, thereby limiting the effectiveness of participative leadership (Northouse, 2022). Similarly, time-sensitive situations or organisational crises may require more directive leadership approaches where rapid decision-making is essential.

Cross-cultural research suggests that although participative leadership is widely associated with positive organisational outcomes, cultural values influence employees' willingness to participate in organisational decision-making. Employees in collectivist cultures may readily embrace collaborative decision-making, whereas those working within high power-distance cultures may expect leaders to retain greater decision-making authority (House et al., 2004). Consequently, participative leadership should be adapted to organisational and cultural contexts while maintaining its core principles of collaboration, consultation, and shared responsibility.

Another important strength of participative leadership is its contribution to employee empowerment and leadership development. By involving employees in organisational decisions, leaders develop employees' confidence, decision-making capabilities, and problem-solving skills. Over time, participative leadership creates opportunities for future leadership development by encouraging individuals to assume greater responsibility and develop strategic thinking capabilities (Yukl & Gardner, 2020). This distributed approach to leadership contributes to organisational sustainability by reducing dependence on individual leaders while strengthening collective organisational capability.

Despite its many advantages, participative leadership also presents several limitations. Decision-making processes may become slower because consultation requires additional time to gather employee opinions and achieve consensus. Conflicting viewpoints may delay organisational decisions, particularly within large or diverse organisations. Furthermore, not all employees possess the expertise, motivation, or willingness to participate effectively in strategic decisions, making leader judgement essential in determining the appropriate level of participation (Northouse, 2022). Participative leadership is therefore most effective when leaders balance employee involvement with timely decision-making and clear organisational direction.

Overall, contemporary evidence indicates that participative leadership remains one of the most effective approaches for promoting employee engagement, innovation, organisational learning, and sustainable organisational performance. Through shared decision-making, employee empowerment, collaborative problem-solving, and trust-based relationships, participative leaders create organisational environments where employees become active contributors to organisational success rather than passive recipients of managerial direction. As organisations continue to operate within increasingly dynamic, knowledge-intensive, and uncertain environments, participative leadership provides an effective framework for strengthening organisational resilience, improving decision quality, and enhancing long-term organisational performance (Northouse, 2022; Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

Participative leadership has increasingly been recognised as an effective leadership approach for enhancing employee performance by promoting involvement in organisational decision-making, strengthening psychological empowerment, and fostering intrinsic motivation. Kim and Beehr (2020) demonstrate that participative leadership positively influences employee performance because employees who are actively involved in decision-making perceive greater autonomy, responsibility, and ownership over organisational objectives. Rather than relying on

hierarchical authority or external rewards, participative leaders create opportunities for employees to contribute their expertise and influence organisational decisions, thereby increasing intrinsic motivation and organisational commitment. These findings support Self-Determination Theory, which argues that autonomy and competence are fundamental psychological needs that promote motivation and sustained performance (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

A major contribution of Kim and Beehr's (2020) study is the identification of psychological empowerment as the principal mechanism through which participative leadership improves employee performance. By encouraging consultation, open communication, and collaborative problem-solving, participative leaders create meaningful work experiences in which employees perceive themselves as valued organisational contributors. This strengthens employees' confidence, commitment, and willingness to assume responsibility for organisational success. Employees consequently become more proactive, innovative, and committed to achieving organisational goals because they view organisational success as a collective responsibility rather than solely a managerial obligation (Kim & Beehr, 2020).

However, participative leadership alone cannot eliminate negative workplace outcomes such as burnout, role ambiguity, or reduced employee engagement. Although employee involvement improves job satisfaction and organisational commitment, factors including excessive workloads, inadequate organisational support, interpersonal conflict, and poor work-life balance continue to influence employee well-being regardless of leadership style (Northouse, 2022). These findings suggest that participative leadership should be integrated with broader organisational well-being strategies, including employee support programmes, workload management initiatives, and psychologically healthy work environments to maximise organisational performance.

Overall, contemporary research demonstrates that participative leadership enhances organisational effectiveness by strengthening employees' psychological resources rather than relying solely on managerial control. Organisations seeking sustainable improvements in employee performance should therefore invest in leadership development programmes that encourage collaborative decision-making, active listening, employee empowerment, and supportive leader–employee relationships while simultaneously addressing broader organisational factors that influence employee well-being (Yukl & Gardner, 2020; Northouse, 2022).

The findings of Kim and Beehr (2020) further highlight intrinsic motivation as a key mechanism through which participative leadership enhances employee performance. Rather than directing employees through formal authority, participative leaders encourage individuals to contribute ideas, solve organisational problems collectively, and participate actively in strategic decision-making. This leadership approach strengthens employees' confidence in their own abilities while fostering greater ownership of organisational objectives. Consequently, employees become more willing to contribute beyond their formal job responsibilities, engage in collaborative problem-solving, and support organisational innovation (Kim & Beehr, 2020).

Furthermore, participative leadership requires leaders to possess strong interpersonal skills, emotional intelligence, and communication competence to facilitate meaningful employee

involvement. Effective participative leaders create environments characterised by mutual trust, openness, and psychological safety, enabling employees to express ideas without fear of criticism or negative consequences. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership research, which conceptualises participative leadership as a collaborative social process in which leadership effectiveness depends upon high-quality leader–employee relationships, shared responsibility, and collective decision-making (Northouse, 2022; Yukl & Gardner, 2020). Consequently, organisations aiming to improve long-term performance should prioritise leadership development initiatives that cultivate communication skills, employee consultation, collaborative leadership, and participative decision-making practices.

A comprehensive review by Sharma and Singh (2024) synthesises contemporary evidence demonstrating that participative leadership positively influences employee motivation, organisational commitment, innovation, and team effectiveness across diverse organisational settings. The review argues that participative leadership is not a prescriptive management style but a flexible leadership approach that adapts employee involvement according to organisational needs and contextual demands. Through consultation, shared decision-making, and collaborative problem-solving, participative leaders strengthen trust, organisational commitment, and employee engagement while encouraging continuous learning and innovation.

An important contribution of Sharma and Singh (2024) is the recognition that participative leadership requires organisational cultures characterised by openness, trust, and effective communication. Employee participation is most effective where organisational structures encourage knowledge sharing and psychological safety. Conversely, highly bureaucratic organisations with rigid hierarchies may restrict meaningful employee involvement, thereby reducing the effectiveness of participative leadership. These findings reinforce recent leadership research suggesting that leadership effectiveness is strongly influenced by organisational culture, structural flexibility, and contextual factors rather than by leadership behaviours alone (Northouse, 2022).

Atoko (2023) similarly highlights the strategic importance of employee participation within organisations operating in developing economies. The review argues that involving employees in organisational planning and operational decision-making improves organisational adaptability, innovation, and performance under conditions of institutional uncertainty and limited resources. Participative leadership is presented as a strategic capability that enables organisations to utilise employees' knowledge, strengthen organisational learning, and improve responsiveness to environmental change. Such collaborative leadership practices are particularly valuable in developing countries where organisational flexibility and employee commitment are essential for overcoming structural challenges.

The review further emphasises that participative leadership contributes to organisational strategy by aligning employees' knowledge and experience with organisational objectives. Rather than concentrating decision-making authority at senior management level, participative leaders encourage consultation across organisational levels, resulting in better-informed decisions,

stronger employee commitment, and improved implementation of organisational policies. Consequently, Atoko (2023) recommends institutionalising participative leadership through leadership development programmes that strengthen communication, collaborative decision-making, and employee involvement while remaining responsive to local organisational contexts.

Awaale (2024) examines collaborative leadership practices within Somalia's public sector and argues that participative leadership offers an effective approach for strengthening employee commitment, organisational performance, and public service delivery within resource-constrained environments. The review demonstrates that involving employees in organisational decisions improves morale, encourages organisational citizenship behaviours, and strengthens stakeholder engagement by creating greater transparency and trust throughout public institutions.

The study further demonstrates that participative leadership enhances organisational resilience by encouraging employees to contribute ideas for improving public services despite institutional constraints. Rather than relying exclusively on bureaucratic authority, participative leaders foster collaborative workplace cultures characterised by open communication, employee involvement, and shared responsibility for organisational outcomes. These findings are particularly relevant within developing countries where institutional reform depends upon employee engagement, collaborative governance, and effective knowledge sharing (Awaale, 2024; Northouse, 2022).

Finally, Awaale (2024) argues that the effectiveness of participative leadership depends upon leaders' ability to adapt consultation and employee involvement to organisational realities and socio-political contexts. Although public-sector organisations often operate within formal bureaucratic structures, meaningful employee participation remains capable of improving innovation, organisational commitment, and service quality when leaders create opportunities for consultation, shared problem-solving, and collective decision-making. This evidence supports the growing consensus that participative leadership represents an effective governance strategy for improving organisational performance, particularly within public-sector organisations operating under complex institutional and developmental conditions (Yukl & Gardner, 2020; Northouse, 2022).

Waale (2024) argues that participative leadership is an important driver of organisational citizenship behaviour (OCB), employee motivation, and improved organisational performance within public-sector institutions. The review demonstrates that participative leadership enhances employee engagement, strengthens organisational commitment, and improves service delivery by encouraging employees to participate actively in decision-making processes and organisational problem-solving. Through shared decision-making, open communication, and collaborative governance, participative leaders foster a sense of ownership and responsibility among employees, leading them to contribute beyond their formal job responsibilities (Awaale, 2024). These leadership behaviours are particularly valuable in service-oriented government organisations where collaboration, employee involvement, and shared accountability directly influence service quality and stakeholder satisfaction.

A notable strength of the review is its contextual analysis of participative leadership within Somalia's post-conflict public sector. Operating in an environment characterised by institutional fragility, limited resources, and governance challenges, participative leadership emerges as an adaptive leadership approach capable of rebuilding organisational capacity while promoting employee commitment and collaborative problem-solving. Rather than relying solely on hierarchical authority, participative leaders encourage consultation, knowledge sharing, and employee involvement in organisational decisions. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership scholarship, which argues that participative leadership is particularly effective in complex and uncertain environments because it promotes trust, collective responsibility, and organisational learning (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Consequently, the review provides compelling evidence that participative leadership represents an important governance strategy for improving public-sector effectiveness in developing and post-conflict contexts.

Ahwireng Koomson et al. (2022) examine the relationship between leadership practices, employee motivation, and organisational performance within selected Ghanaian public-sector institutions. Their findings indicate that participative leadership significantly improves employee motivation by involving staff in planning, decision-making, and organisational improvement initiatives. Employees who perceive that their opinions are valued demonstrate stronger commitment, higher job satisfaction, and increased willingness to contribute to organisational objectives. These findings are consistent with participative leadership theory, which proposes that employee involvement enhances intrinsic motivation by satisfying employees' needs for autonomy, competence, and belonging (Northouse, 2022).

The study further suggests that participation in decision-making influences organisational outcomes differently depending on organisational context and employee expectations. While collaborative decision-making strongly enhances motivation and organisational commitment, leadership effectiveness also depends upon leaders' ability to balance employee participation with timely decision-making and organisational accountability. This finding contributes to a more nuanced understanding of participative leadership by demonstrating that employee involvement must be appropriately managed to maximise organisational performance while maintaining operational efficiency (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022).

The Ghanaian public-sector context further strengthens the study's contribution to leadership scholarship. Public organisations frequently operate within bureaucratic structures characterised by administrative complexity, limited flexibility, and increasing demands for accountability. Under such conditions, participative leadership enables managers to improve employee commitment, strengthen collaboration, and enhance organisational performance despite institutional constraints. Consequently, the authors recommend leadership development programmes that strengthen collaborative decision-making, communication, and employee empowerment while recognising the importance of adapting leadership practices to organisational and cultural realities.

Ogonegbu and Kyongo (2023) provide a comprehensive systematic review synthesising recent empirical evidence on the relationship between participative leadership and organisational performance across developing economies. Drawing upon studies conducted in Nigeria, Pakistan, Jordan, and several African countries, the review confirms that participative leadership consistently improves employee engagement, organisational performance, innovation, and strategic effectiveness. Rather than concentrating decision-making authority exclusively in senior management, participative leadership distributes responsibility among organisational members, creating stronger commitment to organisational goals and improving implementation of strategic initiatives.

The review demonstrates that participative leadership contributes to organisational effectiveness by strengthening communication, trust, collaboration, and employee ownership of organisational decisions. Beyond improving interpersonal relationships, participative leadership shapes organisational culture by encouraging shared responsibility, continuous learning, and inclusive governance. Consequently, leadership is conceptualised not merely as a managerial function but as a collaborative organisational capability that strengthens long-term organisational resilience and sustainable performance (Ogonegbu & Kyongo, 2023).

A particularly valuable contribution of the review is its demonstration that participative leadership produces positive organisational outcomes across diverse cultural and institutional contexts. Although leadership behaviours require adaptation to local organisational norms and cultural expectations, the underlying principles of employee involvement, consultation, and collaborative decision-making remain consistently associated with improved organisational outcomes (Ogonegbu & Kyongo, 2023). The review therefore concludes that organisations should invest in participative leadership development as a strategic mechanism for enhancing organisational adaptability, innovation, employee engagement, and long-term competitiveness.

Cao and Le (2024) extend participative leadership research by examining the relationship between participative leadership, employee trust, and organisational change capability. Their study demonstrates that participative leadership facilitates successful organisational change by encouraging transparent communication, consultation, and employee involvement throughout the change process. Trust emerges as the primary psychological mechanism linking participative leadership behaviours with employees' willingness to support organisational transformation and innovation.

The authors distinguish between disclosure-based trust and reliance-based trust, demonstrating that disclosure-based trust—characterised by openness, honesty, and transparent communication—has the strongest influence on organisational change capability. Employees who perceive leaders as inclusive, approachable, and receptive to employee input are more likely to embrace organisational change, share innovative ideas, and participate actively in continuous improvement initiatives (Cao & Le, 2024). These findings reinforce participative leadership theory by highlighting that successful organisational change depends upon mutual trust, open communication, and collaborative leader–employee relationships.

The study also contributes significantly to the literature by examining small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in China, where rapid environmental change and resource constraints require flexible and collaborative leadership approaches. SMEs often depend upon employee knowledge, creativity, and shared problem-solving to remain competitive. Consequently, participative leadership enables organisations to utilise employee expertise more effectively while strengthening organisational adaptability and resilience (Northouse, 2022).

Nasir et al. (2022) investigate participative leadership within Pakistani SMEs by examining its relationships with employee performance, organisational innovation, creativity, and workplace resilience. Their findings demonstrate that participative leadership improves employee performance by encouraging active involvement in organisational decision-making, stimulating innovation, and strengthening employees' intrinsic motivation. Rather than responding passively to organisational challenges, employees working under participative leaders become more proactive, collaborative, and committed to continuous organisational improvement.

The study highlights employee involvement and collaborative problem-solving as particularly influential leadership practices that encourage creativity and organisational innovation. By creating opportunities for employees to contribute ideas, participate in strategic discussions, and influence organisational decisions, participative leaders develop organisational cultures characterised by experimentation, knowledge sharing, and continuous learning. Such practices are particularly valuable within SMEs operating in highly competitive and uncertain environments where innovation and employee adaptability are essential for long-term success (Nasir et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the authors demonstrate that participative leadership positively influences employees' psychological responses to workplace stress by increasing perceived organisational support, autonomy, and control over work-related decisions. Employees who participate in decision-making are better able to manage organisational challenges and adapt to changing work demands, thereby strengthening resilience, organisational commitment, and overall well-being. Collectively, the study reinforces the proposition that participative leadership functions as a strategic driver of organisational innovation, employee well-being, and sustainable organisational performance within dynamic business environments.

7.4 Conclusion

Participative leadership has become one of the most widely recognised leadership approaches in contemporary organisational research because of its demonstrated capacity to improve employee performance, organisational innovation, collaboration, and decision-making across diverse organisational contexts. Unlike autocratic leadership, participative leadership encourages employees to actively contribute to organisational decisions, problem-solving, and strategic planning, thereby fostering shared responsibility and collective ownership of organisational outcomes. The literature reviewed indicates that participative leadership is not merely an effective management style but a strategic capability that enables organisations to improve adaptability,

strengthen employee commitment, and sustain long-term organisational performance in increasingly dynamic environments.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between participative leadership and employee performance and motivation. Participative leaders involve employees in decision-making, encourage open communication, and value employees' ideas and expertise, thereby increasing job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and discretionary effort. Rather than relying solely on managerial authority or external rewards, participative leadership promotes intrinsic motivation by providing employees with greater autonomy, responsibility, and influence over organisational decisions. These leadership behaviours foster psychological ownership and commitment, resulting in sustained improvements in productivity, service quality, and employee engagement across sectors including healthcare, education, hospitality, manufacturing, and public administration.

The review also demonstrates that participative leadership plays a critical role in organisational adaptability and change management. In increasingly uncertain and competitive environments, participative leaders promote trust, collaboration, and shared responsibility by involving employees throughout organisational change processes. Employee participation reduces resistance to change because individuals are more likely to support initiatives they have helped to develop. Trust consistently emerges as an important mediating mechanism linking participative leadership with organisational change capability, suggesting that leadership effectiveness depends not only on strategic direction but also on the quality of collaborative leader–employee relationships. Similarly, research within educational institutions demonstrates that participative leadership strengthens teachers' professional commitment, collaborative learning, and self-efficacy by encouraging shared governance and collective decision-making.

Innovation and creativity emerged as another dominant theme within the reviewed literature. Studies consistently demonstrate that participative leaders encourage employees to contribute ideas, question existing practices, and engage actively in organisational problem-solving. By creating inclusive work environments where employees feel valued and psychologically safe, participative leadership facilitates knowledge sharing, creativity, and continuous improvement. Employees who are actively involved in organisational decisions are more willing to experiment with innovative solutions and collaborate across functional boundaries, thereby strengthening organisational resilience and long-term competitiveness. These findings reinforce the argument that participative leadership creates organisational cultures in which innovation becomes embedded through collaboration rather than remaining dependent upon individual initiatives.

The literature further demonstrates that participative leadership contributes significantly to employee psychological well-being and organisational resilience. Leaders who encourage employee involvement, recognise individual contributions, and maintain transparent communication create healthier working environments characterised by higher job satisfaction, lower workplace stress, and stronger organisational commitment. Participation enhances employees' perceptions of fairness, respect, and organisational support, which contribute to

improved psychological well-being and greater resilience during periods of organisational change. However, the literature also indicates that these positive outcomes are influenced by contextual factors including organisational culture, managerial support, employee trust, and communication quality, suggesting that participative leadership is most effective within organisations that genuinely value employee involvement and collaboration.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-cultural applicability of participative leadership. Studies conducted across Asia, Europe, Africa, and the Middle East demonstrate that participative leadership is consistently associated with improved employee attitudes, organisational commitment, and performance despite differences in national culture and institutional environments. Although the degree of employee participation may vary according to cultural expectations regarding authority and power distance, the fundamental principles of consultation, collaboration, and shared decision-making remain positively associated with organisational effectiveness. These findings suggest that participative leadership addresses universal psychological needs for inclusion, respect, autonomy, and meaningful contribution, making it particularly valuable within multinational organisations operating across culturally diverse settings.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of participative leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from Africa, Asia, and other developing contexts demonstrates that participative leadership improves employee motivation, organisational commitment, accountability, and service delivery despite institutional constraints and resource limitations. By involving employees in planning, policy implementation, and organisational improvement initiatives, participative leaders strengthen organisational ownership while promoting transparency and collaborative governance. These findings reinforce the argument that participative leadership is particularly valuable where organisations face governance challenges, institutional uncertainty, and increasing demands for public accountability and service quality.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that participative leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by promoting inclusive governance, collaborative problem-solving, and responsible decision-making. Employees who actively participate in organisational decisions are more likely to support sustainability initiatives, ethical practices, and continuous improvement programmes because they perceive themselves as stakeholders in organisational success. Participative leadership also enhances institutional sustainability through shared strategic planning, employee engagement, organisational learning, and innovation capability across public institutions, higher education, and knowledge-intensive organisations. These findings position participative leadership as an important mechanism for strengthening organisational resilience while contributing to broader sustainability agendas and the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that participative leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership approaches in contemporary organisational research. Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee performance to strengthening

organisational innovation, collaboration, adaptability, resilience, sustainability, and long-term competitiveness across multiple sectors and cultures. Although contextual variables such as organisational trust, communication quality, employee competence, and institutional culture influence leadership effectiveness, the overall evidence consistently supports participative leadership as a strategic organisational capability rather than merely a management style.

Therefore, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate participative leadership competencies, including collaborative decision-making, effective communication, employee empowerment, conflict management, consultation, and team facilitation. As workplaces continue to experience technological disruption, global competition, environmental challenges, and increasing uncertainty, participative leadership will remain an essential organisational capability for fostering innovation, resilience, employee engagement, and sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 8:
PEOPLE-ORIENTED LEADERSHIP

8.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of people-oriented leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating classical leadership theories with recent empirical evidence to explain how people-oriented leadership influences organisational performance, employee well-being, engagement, collaboration, and organisational effectiveness. As one of the most employee-centred leadership approaches, people-oriented leadership has become increasingly relevant in contemporary organisations because of its emphasis on interpersonal relationships, employee development, communication, trust, and psychological well-being (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020; Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of people-oriented leadership, tracing its development from the behavioural leadership studies conducted at the University of Michigan and Ohio State University. The Michigan Leadership Studies distinguished employee-oriented leaders from production-oriented leaders, concluding that leaders who prioritise employees' welfare, participation, and personal development generally achieve higher organisational performance and employee satisfaction (Likert, 1967). Similarly, the Ohio State Studies identified "consideration" as a key leadership behaviour characterised by mutual trust, respect, support, and concern for employees' needs (Fleishman, 1953). These foundational theories continue to underpin contemporary people-oriented leadership research by emphasising that effective leadership depends not only on achieving organisational goals but also on fostering positive interpersonal relationships and employee well-being (Northouse, 2022).

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that people-oriented leadership contributes significantly to employee motivation, organisational commitment, job satisfaction, collaboration, psychological safety, and overall organisational effectiveness (Inceoglu et al., 2018; Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021). By creating supportive work environments where employees feel respected, valued, and empowered, people-oriented leaders strengthen trust, enhance communication, and encourage teamwork. Consequently, people-oriented leadership has become particularly valuable in organisational environments characterised by rapid technological change, increased workforce diversity, and growing recognition of employee well-being as a strategic organisational asset (Yukl, 2020; Northouse, 2022).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, public administration, hospitality, manufacturing, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), multinational corporations, and non-governmental organisations. Examining

people-oriented leadership across these diverse sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of how employee-centred leadership behaviours are interpreted and implemented within different organisational, institutional, and cultural contexts. Although the fundamental principles of people-oriented leadership remain consistent, evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness varies according to organisational culture, management practices, workforce diversity, employee expectations, and contextual conditions (Hoch et al., 2018).

In addition to examining the direct effects of people-oriented leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions people-oriented leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify employee trust, psychological safety, work engagement, organisational support, emotional intelligence, leader–member exchange (LMX), and organisational culture as important mechanisms through which people-oriented leadership affects employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021; Breevaart et al., 2021; Northouse, 2022). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why people-oriented leadership produces different outcomes across organisations and highlights the contextual conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of people-oriented leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted in Africa, Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and North America. Although employee-centred leadership has been widely recognised as an effective leadership approach across different countries, cultural values, organisational norms, and societal expectations influence how supportive leadership behaviours are expressed and interpreted (House et al., 2004). For example, leadership behaviours that promote employee autonomy and open communication in low power-distance cultures may require adaptation in societies characterised by hierarchical organisational structures. Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of contextualising people-oriented leadership practices to maximise their effectiveness across different organisational and national environments.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the people-oriented leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding employee-centred leadership outcomes while highlighting areas where further research remains necessary. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as employee well-being, psychological safety, inclusive leadership, workforce resilience, hybrid working, and sustainable people management, all of which have become increasingly important within contemporary leadership research (Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021; Breevaart et al., 2021; Northouse, 2022).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that people-oriented leadership remains one of the most effective behavioural leadership approaches within organisational studies. Its emphasis on employee well-being, interpersonal relationships, communication, trust, collaboration, and employee development makes it particularly relevant for organisations operating in increasingly

complex, knowledge-intensive, and people-focused environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how people-oriented leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, human resource management practices, and organisational strategies to enhance employee well-being, organisational resilience, and sustainable organisational performance.

8.2 Overview of People-Oriented Leadership

People-oriented leadership has become one of the most influential leadership approaches in contemporary organisational research and practice because of its emphasis on employee well-being, interpersonal relationships, collaboration, and human development. Unlike task-oriented leadership, which primarily focuses on productivity, performance targets, and operational efficiency, people-oriented leadership prioritises employees' needs, motivation, satisfaction, and personal growth while recognising that organisational success depends largely on the quality of leader–employee relationships (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). The approach is grounded in behavioural leadership theories developed through the Ohio State and Michigan leadership studies, which identified consideration-oriented leadership as a critical determinant of employee satisfaction and organisational effectiveness (Judge et al., 2004).

People-oriented leadership is defined as a leadership approach in which leaders focus primarily on supporting, developing, and empowering employees through trust, effective communication, empathy, and participative relationships (Northouse, 2022). Rather than relying on authority, close supervision, or directive management, people-oriented leaders cultivate positive workplace relationships, encourage employee participation, recognise individual contributions, and promote psychological safety. This leadership approach creates supportive work environments where employees feel valued, respected, and motivated to contribute towards organisational goals (Yukl, 2020). Consequently, people-oriented leadership is particularly effective in organisations operating within knowledge-intensive, service-oriented, and collaborative environments where employee engagement and interpersonal relationships directly influence organisational performance (Inceoglu et al., 2023).

Recent studies consistently demonstrate that people-oriented leadership positively influences employee engagement, motivation, job satisfaction, and organisational commitment. Employees who perceive their leaders as supportive, approachable, and genuinely concerned about their well-being report higher levels of organisational trust, psychological empowerment, and work engagement (Eva et al., 2019; Inceoglu et al., 2023). Similarly, Decuypere and Schaufeli (2021) found that supportive leadership behaviours significantly improve employee engagement by fostering positive interpersonal relationships and creating work environments characterised by mutual respect and collaboration. These findings support social exchange theory, which suggests

that employees reciprocate supportive leadership behaviours through increased commitment, loyalty, and improved job performance.

Employee well-being represents one of the most significant outcomes associated with people-oriented leadership. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, provide emotional support, recognise employees' contributions, and encourage open communication contribute to healthier working environments characterised by reduced stress, improved morale, and stronger organisational commitment (Kaluza et al., 2020). Such leadership behaviours strengthen employees' psychological safety, enabling them to express ideas, report concerns, and participate actively in organisational improvement without fear of criticism or negative consequences. Consequently, people-oriented leadership creates organisational climates that promote trust, collaboration, and long-term employee well-being.

The effectiveness of people-oriented leadership is also evident in facilitating organisational change and adaptability. Leaders who maintain open communication, involve employees in decision-making, and provide emotional support throughout periods of uncertainty help reduce employee resistance to organisational change while strengthening trust and commitment (Northouse, 2022). Rather than imposing organisational change through managerial authority, people-oriented leaders encourage dialogue, consultation, and collaborative problem-solving, thereby enhancing employee acceptance of organisational transformation. These findings suggest that interpersonal relationships and employee support represent essential components of successful change management.

Research further indicates that contextual and organisational factors influence the effectiveness of people-oriented leadership. Organisations characterised by supportive cultures, open communication, and collaborative working environments generally experience stronger positive outcomes because leadership behaviours are reinforced by organisational systems and practices (Yukl, 2020). Likewise, Kaluza et al. (2020) found that people-oriented leadership is particularly effective when organisations actively promote employee well-being, work-life balance, and psychological health. These findings indicate that leadership effectiveness depends not only upon leaders' interpersonal competencies but also upon organisational cultures that support employee development and collaboration.

Within the healthcare sector, people-oriented leadership has consistently demonstrated positive outcomes for both employees and patients. Specchia et al. (2021) reported that supportive and relationship-focused leadership significantly improves nurses' job satisfaction, employee retention, teamwork, and quality of patient care. Similarly, Cummings et al. (2021) concluded that leaders who prioritise employee support, professional development, and collaborative relationships contribute significantly to workforce stability, employee engagement, and improved healthcare outcomes. These findings highlight the importance of people-oriented leadership in creating positive healthcare work environments where employee well-being directly influences patient safety and service quality.

Beyond organisational performance, people-oriented leadership has increasingly been associated with organisational sustainability and socially responsible management. Leaders who value employee well-being, diversity, inclusion, and ethical behaviour contribute to sustainable organisational development by fostering long-term employee commitment and socially responsible organisational cultures (Eva et al., 2019). By encouraging collaboration, fairness, and respect, people-oriented leaders create workplaces that support both organisational objectives and employee quality of life, thereby strengthening organisational resilience and long-term sustainability.

Empirical evidence from different geographical regions further demonstrates the broad applicability of people-oriented leadership. Studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, and North America consistently report that supportive leadership behaviours improve employee motivation, organisational commitment, teamwork, and organisational effectiveness despite cultural differences (Inceoglu et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022). Although leadership practices may require adaptation to local cultural expectations, the fundamental principles of empathy, communication, trust, and employee support remain consistently associated with positive organisational outcomes across diverse contexts.

Despite its numerous advantages, people-oriented leadership is not without limitations. Successful implementation requires leaders to possess high levels of emotional intelligence, communication competence, empathy, conflict-management skills, and interpersonal sensitivity. Furthermore, excessive emphasis on employee relationships without sufficient attention to organisational objectives may delay decision-making, reduce accountability, or create difficulties when rapid managerial action is required (Yukl, 2020; Northouse, 2022). Nevertheless, extensive empirical evidence across multiple sectors consistently demonstrates that people-oriented leadership enhances employee motivation, organisational commitment, job satisfaction, collaboration, and sustainable organisational performance. Its emphasis on trust, communication, employee development, psychological well-being, and supportive relationships makes it one of the most appropriate leadership approaches for organisations operating in today's increasingly knowledge-driven, service-oriented, and people-centred environments.

8.3 People-Oriented Leadership

People-oriented leadership continues to receive considerable scholarly attention because of its demonstrated capacity to enhance employee well-being, strengthen interpersonal relationships, improve collaboration, and increase organisational performance across diverse organisational settings (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Originating from the behavioural leadership theories developed through the Ohio State and Michigan Leadership Studies, people-oriented leadership emphasises leaders' concern for employees' welfare, trust, communication, participation, and personal development rather than focusing solely on task completion or organisational outputs (Likert, 1967; Fleishman, 1953). Recent empirical studies further demonstrate that people-oriented leadership influences organisational outcomes both directly and indirectly through psychological

mechanisms such as trust, psychological safety, work engagement, and organisational commitment (Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021).

Breevaart et al. (2021) provide compelling evidence of the relationship between people-oriented leadership and employee work engagement by examining the mediating role of leader–member exchange (LMX) and supportive leadership behaviours. Their findings suggest that people-oriented leadership enhances employee engagement by creating trusting relationships, providing regular feedback, and encouraging open communication. Rather than merely directing employees to complete organisational tasks, people-oriented leaders build strong interpersonal relationships that foster mutual respect, collaboration, and commitment. This finding is consistent with behavioural leadership theory, which proposes that supportive leader behaviours improve employee motivation by satisfying employees' psychological and social needs (Likert, 1967; Northouse, 2022).

The study further demonstrates that high-quality leader–member exchange functions as a critical mechanism through which people-oriented leadership improves employee performance and engagement. Employees who trust their leaders and feel valued become more willing to contribute discretionary effort, collaborate with colleagues, and support organisational objectives. These findings reinforce previous research suggesting that supportive leadership strengthens employees' intrinsic motivation, organisational commitment, and willingness to engage in behaviours that enhance organisational effectiveness (Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021; Breevaart et al., 2021). Furthermore, the study found that supportive organisational climates strengthened the positive effects of people-oriented leadership, indicating that leadership behaviours are most effective when reinforced by organisational policies that encourage employee well-being, communication, and participation.

Consequently, Breevaart et al. (2021) extend people-oriented leadership theory by demonstrating that sustainable organisational performance emerges through the interaction of effective leadership, positive interpersonal relationships, and supportive organisational environments. Their findings reinforce the view that people-oriented leadership is not merely a leadership style but a strategic approach that develops positive organisational cultures while strengthening employee commitment and long-term organisational capability.

Similarly, Decuypere and Schaufeli (2021) provide important insights into the psychological mechanisms through which people-oriented leadership enhances employee engagement and organisational performance. Their study examines how supportive leadership behaviours strengthen employees' psychological resources, including resilience, self-confidence, optimism, and work engagement. Unlike leadership studies focusing primarily on organisational outcomes, Decuypere and Schaufeli (2021) demonstrate that people-oriented leaders improve performance by creating work environments where employees feel psychologically safe, respected, and supported.

The study identifies psychological safety and work engagement as significant mediators linking people-oriented leadership with employee performance. Leaders who actively listen,

recognise employee contributions, provide emotional support, and encourage open communication strengthen employees' confidence and willingness to share ideas. As employees develop stronger trust in leadership and their work environment, they become more willing to collaborate, solve problems creatively, and contribute to organisational improvement. These findings support earlier evidence suggesting that employee-centred leadership enhances organisational effectiveness through psychological empowerment and positive workplace relationships (Yukl, 2020; Northouse, 2022).

An important contribution of Decuypere and Schaufeli's (2021) research lies in demonstrating that people-oriented leadership strengthens organisational performance by developing employees' psychological well-being rather than relying solely on formal management systems or financial incentives. Leaders who invest in employee development, emotional support, and meaningful workplace relationships create organisational environments characterised by higher resilience, stronger engagement, and improved collaboration. This perspective broadens people-oriented leadership theory by highlighting employee well-being as a strategic organisational resource capable of enhancing long-term organisational performance.

In a broader review of leadership and employee well-being, Inceoglu et al. (2018) further extend people-oriented leadership scholarship by examining supportive leadership as a mechanism for promoting healthy workplaces. Their findings indicate that people-oriented leadership enhances organisational effectiveness by reducing workplace stress, strengthening job satisfaction, and improving employees' mental well-being. Rather than focusing solely on organisational productivity, people-oriented leaders simultaneously promote employee health, work-life balance, and positive workplace relationships, enabling organisations to sustain performance over time.

The concept of employee well-being aligns closely with people-oriented leadership principles. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, provide constructive feedback, encourage teamwork, and support professional development create psychologically healthy workplaces where employees remain motivated despite organisational challenges (Northouse, 2022). These findings are particularly significant given the increasing complexity, workforce diversity, and mental health challenges characterising modern organisations.

Inceoglu et al. (2018) further demonstrate that supportive organisational climates strengthen the relationship between people-oriented leadership and employee performance. During periods of organisational change, uncertainty, or increased work demands, employees increasingly rely on leaders who communicate openly, demonstrate empathy, and provide emotional support. Under these circumstances, people-oriented leadership becomes particularly valuable because it reduces employee anxiety, strengthens resilience, and promotes organisational commitment. These findings are consistent with contemporary leadership research suggesting that employee-centred leadership is especially effective during organisational change because it promotes trust, collaboration, and psychological safety (Yukl, 2020).

Collectively, the studies by Breevaart et al. (2021), Decuypere and Schaufeli (2021), and Inceoglu et al. (2018) reinforce the multidimensional nature of people-oriented leadership. They

demonstrate that people-oriented leadership influences organisational performance through a combination of psychological, behavioural, and organisational mechanisms, including leader–member exchange, work engagement, psychological safety, organisational support, and employee well-being. These findings extend traditional behavioural leadership theory beyond simple leader–employee interactions by illustrating how people-oriented leaders cultivate supportive organisational cultures, strengthen interpersonal relationships, and enhance employees' psychological resources. Consequently, people-oriented leadership should be viewed not merely as a supportive leadership style but as a comprehensive organisational capability that enhances employee well-being, collaboration, resilience, and sustainable organisational performance in increasingly complex organisational environments.

The findings of Inceoglu et al. (2018) further demonstrate that people-oriented leadership becomes particularly valuable during periods of organisational uncertainty, when organisations require both employee resilience and strong interpersonal relationships to remain effective. By fostering trust, encouraging open communication, and creating psychologically safe work environments, people-oriented leaders enable employees to contribute ideas, collaborate effectively, and adapt to organisational change without fear of negative consequences. Such leadership behaviours encourage learning, teamwork, adaptability, and problem-solving, all of which are essential for sustaining organisational performance in dynamic work environments (Northouse, 2022).

A notable contribution of these studies is their integration of people-oriented leadership with employee well-being and organisational resilience theories. The authors argue that people-oriented leaders improve organisational performance not only through direct supervision but also by building supportive relationships, encouraging employee participation, and creating work environments that promote psychological health and social cohesion. These findings extend behavioural leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends on developing healthy organisational cultures alongside productive employees. Consequently, people-oriented leadership should be regarded as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens long-term organisational sustainability, employee well-being, organisational resilience, and workforce performance (Yukl, 2020; Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021).

Tsapnidou et al. (2024) provide a comprehensive systematic review of people-oriented leadership within healthcare organisations, with particular emphasis on nursing leadership and employee-centred management practices. Their review synthesises empirical evidence demonstrating that people-oriented leadership significantly improves workforce retention, employee engagement, quality of patient care, and organisational sustainability. Given the increasing complexity of healthcare systems, the authors argue that leadership approaches prioritising employee well-being, communication, collaboration, and professional support provide an effective framework for addressing workforce shortages, improving service quality, and promoting evidence-based practice (Tsapnidou et al., 2024).

The review identifies two interrelated dimensions through which people-oriented leadership enhances healthcare performance: employee development and healthcare service delivery. People-oriented nurse leaders strengthen employee performance through supportive communication, mutual trust, emotional support, coaching, and continuous professional development, resulting in higher levels of job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and work engagement (Tsapnidou et al., 2024). These findings are consistent with previous research demonstrating that employee-centred leadership improves motivation and performance by fostering psychological safety, supportive relationships, and professional growth (Northouse, 2022; Inceoglu et al., 2018).

Furthermore, people-oriented leadership contributes to organisational resilience by encouraging mentoring, teamwork, knowledge sharing, and continuous learning among healthcare professionals. Leaders who prioritise employee well-being and collaboration create environments where healthcare workers are more willing to exchange knowledge, solve problems collectively, and adapt to organisational change. Such leadership behaviours enable healthcare organisations to respond effectively to changing patient needs, technological developments, and operational challenges while maintaining high standards of patient care (Tsapnidou et al., 2024). Consequently, people-oriented leadership improves employee outcomes while simultaneously enhancing organisational effectiveness and patient safety.

An important contribution of Tsapnidou et al. (2024) is the recognition of people-oriented leadership as a long-term strategic investment rather than a short-term management approach. Unlike directive leadership styles that primarily focus on immediate operational performance, people-oriented leadership develops future organisational capability by investing in employee development, supportive workplace relationships, and continuous organisational learning (Yukl, 2020). By embedding organisational values such as empathy, collaboration, trust, accountability, and respect, people-oriented leaders cultivate sustainable organisational cultures that support employee well-being alongside high-quality healthcare delivery. Their review therefore reinforces the growing consensus that people-oriented nurse leaders function not simply as operational managers but as facilitators of organisational development, employee growth, and sustainable healthcare improvement.

Ren, Li, and Mavros (2024) further extend employee-centred leadership research by examining leadership behaviours that promote employees' pro-environmental behaviour and organisational sustainability. Their study demonstrates that people-oriented leadership can effectively support organisational sustainability by encouraging employee participation, collaboration, and shared responsibility for environmental initiatives. Rather than relying solely on organisational policies or regulatory compliance, people-oriented leaders engage employees in sustainability efforts through open communication, trust-building, and collective problem-solving (Ren et al., 2024).

The study suggests that people-oriented leaders move beyond enforcing environmental regulations by encouraging employees to internalise environmental values and actively participate in sustainability initiatives. Through inclusive communication and supportive leadership,

employees perceive environmental responsibility as a shared organisational objective rather than an externally imposed obligation. This employee-centred leadership approach strengthens organisational culture by aligning individual behaviours with broader sustainability goals while fostering employee ownership of environmental initiatives (Ren et al., 2024).

Ren et al. (2024) further identify environmental awareness and employee engagement as significant mediating mechanisms through which supportive leadership influences employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Rather than relying exclusively on formal environmental policies, people-oriented leaders encourage collaboration, knowledge sharing, and innovation in sustainable practices while providing individual support that enables employees to develop lasting environmental commitment. These findings illustrate that people-oriented leadership promotes behavioural change by strengthening employees' attitudes, values, and organisational commitment instead of relying solely on managerial authority.

Importantly, the study also demonstrates that emotional exhaustion moderates the effectiveness of supportive leadership behaviours. Employees experiencing high levels of emotional fatigue are less responsive to leadership initiatives, suggesting that leadership effectiveness depends partly on employees' psychological well-being. This finding reinforces broader people-oriented leadership theory, which identifies empathy, emotional intelligence, employee support, and well-being as essential leadership competencies (Northouse, 2022; Inceoglu et al., 2018). Consequently, organisations seeking to promote sustainability through people-oriented leadership must simultaneously invest in employee well-being to maximise leadership effectiveness.

Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) examine the relationship between employee-centred leadership, strategic planning, and organisational performance within Nigerian higher education institutions. Their study contributes to the growing body of literature demonstrating that people-oriented leadership enhances institutional effectiveness by translating strategic intentions into collaborative organisational action. Given the increasing demands placed upon higher education institutions through technological advancement, global competition, and educational reform, people-oriented leadership provides an approach capable of promoting collaboration, employee engagement, adaptability, and long-term institutional sustainability.

The study identifies employee engagement as an important mechanism linking people-oriented leadership with organisational performance. Rather than viewing leadership and strategic planning as separate organisational functions, Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) argue that supportive leaders operationalise strategic objectives by encouraging collaboration, strengthening communication, and fostering organisational commitment. This integration enables academic and administrative staff to align their individual responsibilities with institutional goals while strengthening teamwork and organisational effectiveness.

These findings reinforce people-oriented leadership theory by demonstrating that strategic leadership extends beyond organisational planning to include employee development, collaboration, organisational learning, and relationship building. People-oriented leaders facilitate

strategy implementation by empowering employees, encouraging participation, and creating organisational cultures that support continuous improvement, knowledge sharing, and innovation (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Consequently, people-oriented leadership serves as an important organisational capability that enhances strategic execution, institutional resilience, and sustainable organisational performance, particularly within rapidly evolving higher education environments.

Moreover, the findings of Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) demonstrate that strategic planning alone is insufficient to achieve organisational success without the interpersonal and relational competencies embodied in people-oriented leadership. While strategic plans provide organisational direction, supportive leaders translate these plans into action by strengthening communication, encouraging employee participation, and fostering commitment to institutional objectives. This reinforces the argument that effective strategy implementation depends not only on organisational planning but also on leadership behaviours that encourage collaboration, trust, employee involvement, and engagement (Northouse, 2022). Emotional intelligence, communication skills, empathy, and employee support therefore become essential leadership competencies that enable organisations to bridge the gap between strategic intentions and operational execution.

Within the Nigerian higher education sector, these leadership competencies are particularly important because institutions operate in environments characterised by limited financial resources, bureaucratic structures, and socio-political challenges (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). Under such circumstances, people-oriented leaders play a pivotal role in aligning institutional missions with employees' aspirations while fostering shared commitment, collaboration, and organisational development. Rather than reacting to organisational challenges, people-oriented leaders proactively cultivate supportive organisational cultures that strengthen employee well-being, institutional resilience, and long-term organisational performance. Consequently, people-oriented leadership emerges as a strategic capability that enhances institutional effectiveness, supports strategy implementation, and promotes sustainable organisational development in resource-constrained environments (Yukl, 2020; Northouse, 2022).

People-oriented leadership has received increasing scholarly attention because of its ability to enhance employee well-being, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and sustainable organisational performance through supportive interpersonal relationships and employee development (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Unlike leadership approaches that primarily emphasise organisational tasks or strategic objectives, people-oriented leadership prioritises employees' welfare, psychological safety, communication, and participation in organisational activities. Leaders adopting this approach build trusting relationships, provide emotional support, encourage collaboration, and recognise individual needs while promoting high levels of engagement and performance (Eva et al., 2024).

Kim, Yang, and Lee (2023) demonstrate the importance of people-oriented leadership within the hospitality industry, where service quality depends heavily on employees' attitudes, motivation, and interpersonal interactions. Their study found that leaders who prioritise employee support,

open communication, coaching, and recognition significantly improve organisational identification, employee creativity, and task performance. Rather than relying on formal authority, people-oriented leaders strengthen employees' emotional attachment to the organisation by creating respectful and supportive working relationships. These findings align with Social Identity Theory, which suggests that employees who feel valued and accepted within their organisations are more likely to demonstrate commitment, discretionary effort, and positive work behaviours (Haslam et al., 2020).

A particularly significant contribution of Kim et al. (2023) is the identification of organisational identification as a mediating mechanism linking people-oriented leadership with employee performance. Leaders who actively recognise employees' contributions, provide constructive feedback, and demonstrate genuine concern for employee welfare foster stronger psychological attachment to organisational values. Consequently, employees become more committed to organisational objectives and are more willing to contribute creatively towards organisational success (Kim et al., 2023). These findings reinforce previous studies suggesting that supportive leadership enhances employee engagement through trust, belongingness, and psychological empowerment (Eva et al., 2024; Northouse, 2022).

Similarly, Al-Subaie et al. (2021) examine leadership within megaproject environments characterised by uncertainty, complexity, and multiple stakeholder interests. Although their study primarily focuses on strategic leadership capabilities, the findings emphasise that successful project delivery depends heavily on leaders' ability to develop collaborative relationships, build trust, resolve interpersonal conflict, and facilitate teamwork. From a people-oriented leadership perspective, these interpersonal competencies enable employees from diverse professional backgrounds to work collaboratively towards shared project objectives despite organisational complexity. The study therefore suggests that people-oriented leadership enhances project performance by strengthening communication, employee involvement, and mutual respect among multidisciplinary teams.

An important contribution of Al-Subaie et al. (2021) is the identification of trust-building, employee empowerment, effective communication, and collaboration as critical organisational capabilities. These characteristics closely reflect the principles of people-oriented leadership, which emphasise interpersonal relationships and employee development rather than hierarchical control. The findings indicate that organisations can strengthen leadership effectiveness through structured leadership development programmes that cultivate emotional intelligence, communication competence, conflict resolution, and collaborative decision-making skills.

Lindert et al. (2023) provide important longitudinal evidence regarding the influence of supportive leadership on employees' psychological well-being. Their study demonstrates that leaders who maintain positive interpersonal relationships, provide emotional support, and create psychologically safe work environments contribute to healthier workplaces characterised by greater employee engagement and lower stress levels. Although leadership alone was insufficient to predict long-term improvements in employee well-being, supportive leadership behaviours

significantly enhanced workplace social capital and interpersonal trust, which subsequently contributed to positive psychological outcomes.

Unlike many previous cross-sectional studies, Lindert et al. (2023) employed a longitudinal research design, allowing them to examine leadership effects over time. Their findings indicate that employee well-being depends not only on supportive leadership behaviours but also on broader organisational factors such as workplace relationships, organisational support, and social cohesion. Consequently, people-oriented leadership should be integrated with organisational well-being initiatives that strengthen collaboration, mental health support, and positive organisational culture.

Caza, Caza, and Posner (2021) provide strong evidence regarding the cross-cultural relevance of relationship-based leadership behaviours. Examining follower perceptions across diverse countries and organisational settings, they found that employees consistently value leaders who demonstrate empathy, respect, trustworthiness, and concern for employee welfare. These findings support the central assumptions of people-oriented leadership by suggesting that supportive interpersonal behaviours satisfy universal psychological needs for recognition, belonging, and meaningful relationships regardless of cultural context.

The study further acknowledges that while people-oriented leadership principles remain broadly applicable across cultures, leaders must adapt their communication styles and relationship-building strategies to suit local cultural expectations (Caza et al., 2021). Such contextual flexibility strengthens leadership effectiveness while maintaining the core values of empathy, collaboration, and employee support (Northouse, 2022).

A particularly valuable contribution of Caza et al. (2021) is their emphasis on follower perceptions as the foundation of leadership effectiveness. Their findings demonstrate that employee satisfaction, trust, and organisational commitment are shaped primarily by how employees experience their relationships with leaders rather than by formal organisational authority. This perspective reinforces people-oriented leadership theory, which views leadership as a reciprocal social process built upon mutual respect, open communication, emotional support, and shared understanding (Eva et al., 2024).

Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) further extend the literature by examining supportive leadership within Lebanese non-governmental organisations operating under prolonged political instability and resource constraints. Their findings indicate that people-oriented leadership significantly enhances employee resilience, organisational commitment, and psychological well-being during periods of crisis. Leaders who prioritise employee welfare, maintain open communication, and provide emotional reassurance enable employees to remain committed despite challenging working conditions.

The authors argue that supportive interpersonal relationships become particularly valuable during organisational crises because employees increasingly depend upon leaders for emotional support, guidance, and reassurance (Salameh-Ayanian et al., 2025). Rather than focusing

exclusively on organisational performance, people-oriented leaders cultivate trust, compassion, and collective responsibility, thereby strengthening organisational resilience and maintaining employee motivation under adverse conditions. These findings support contemporary leadership research emphasising that employee-centred leadership is especially effective during uncertainty because it promotes psychological safety, social support, and adaptive organisational behaviour (Eva et al., 2024; Northouse, 2022).

An important theoretical contribution of Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) is the finding that employee performance during crises is more strongly influenced by supportive leadership relationships than by job satisfaction alone. Employees remained committed to organisational objectives because leaders demonstrated genuine concern for their well-being, encouraged teamwork, and fostered shared purpose. Consequently, people-oriented leadership should be regarded as an essential organisational capability for promoting resilience, employee well-being, and sustainable performance during periods of instability and organisational change.

Lin, Yin, and Liu (2022) investigate leadership within educational settings by examining how supportive leadership practices influence teachers' sustainable professional development through the mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. From a people-oriented leadership perspective, their findings indicate that leaders who prioritise teacher support, mentoring, open communication, and professional growth significantly strengthen teachers' confidence in their professional capabilities. This enhanced self-efficacy promotes continuous learning, instructional innovation, and long-term professional development. The study further demonstrates that leadership behaviours exert a stronger influence on teachers' professional growth than individual characteristics such as growth mindset alone, highlighting the importance of employee-centred leadership in creating supportive educational environments (Lin et al., 2022; Northouse, 2022).

The positive relationship between people-oriented leadership and teacher self-efficacy reflects the central principles of employee-centred leadership, which emphasise empathy, trust, coaching, and individual development (Yukl, 2020). School leaders who encourage professional reflection, provide personalised support, recognise teachers' contributions, and facilitate collaborative learning strengthen teachers' confidence in improving instructional practice and student outcomes (Lin et al., 2022). As teachers become more confident in their professional abilities, they are increasingly willing to adopt innovative teaching methods, engage in collaborative problem-solving, and participate in continuous professional development.

The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy represents one of the study's most significant theoretical contributions because it explains the psychological mechanism through which people-oriented leadership influences professional behaviour. Rather than relying on directive supervision or hierarchical control, people-oriented leaders empower teachers by fostering confidence, autonomy, and professional competence. This finding aligns with Social Cognitive Theory, which identifies self-efficacy as a fundamental determinant of motivation, persistence, and performance (Bandura, 1997). Consequently, sustainable teacher development depends not only on formal

training programmes but also on leadership practices that cultivate trust, confidence, psychological safety, and continuous learning (Northouse, 2022).

Furthermore, Lin et al. (2022) demonstrate that supportive leadership has both direct and indirect effects on teachers' professional attitudes and instructional practices. Their findings reinforce the growing consensus that people-oriented leadership is essential for developing collaborative, adaptive, and learning-oriented educational organisations capable of responding effectively to changing educational demands. Accordingly, leadership development programmes within schools should prioritise competencies such as emotional intelligence, coaching, employee support, communication, and collaborative decision-making (Eva et al., 2024).

Khan et al. (2020) provide valuable insights into the relationship between supportive leadership, employee performance, burnout, social loafing, and intrinsic motivation. Although the original study examined transformational leadership, its findings strongly support the principles of people-oriented leadership by demonstrating that leaders who provide encouragement, recognition, and supportive interpersonal relationships significantly improve employee performance through enhanced intrinsic motivation. Employees perform more effectively when they feel valued, respected, and supported rather than being motivated solely through external rewards or formal authority (Khan et al., 2020).

A major contribution of the study is its identification of intrinsic motivation as the principal psychological mechanism linking leadership with employee performance. People-oriented leaders create meaningful work experiences by recognising employees' individual contributions, encouraging participation, and fostering supportive workplace relationships that strengthen commitment, confidence, and personal responsibility for organisational success (Khan et al., 2020). Through open communication, mentoring, empathy, and continuous support, such leaders encourage employees to perform beyond minimum organisational expectations while promoting long-term engagement and organisational commitment (Yukl, 2020).

However, Khan et al. (2020) also report that supportive leadership demonstrates only moderate indirect effects on burnout and social loafing. These findings suggest that although people-oriented leadership enhances employee motivation and performance, it cannot independently eliminate all negative workplace outcomes. Factors such as excessive workload, insufficient organisational resources, poor work-life balance, and inadequate mental health support continue to contribute to employee burnout and disengagement despite effective leadership. This highlights the importance of integrating people-oriented leadership with broader organisational well-being strategies, including employee assistance programmes, flexible work arrangements, workload management, and psychological support initiatives (Eva et al., 2024).

Overall, the study advances leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends not only on influencing employee behaviour but also on strengthening employees' psychological resources and workplace experiences. Organisations seeking sustainable improvements in employee performance should therefore invest in leadership development programmes that cultivate empathy, emotional intelligence, supportive communication, coaching,

and positive leader–employee relationships while simultaneously addressing organisational factors that contribute to employee stress and burnout (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

The findings of Khan et al. (2020) further highlight intrinsic motivation as a key psychological mechanism through which people-oriented leadership enhances employee performance. Rather than relying on authority or external incentives, people-oriented leaders encourage employees to believe in their own capabilities, contribute meaningfully to organisational objectives, and participate actively in decision-making. This leadership approach strengthens psychological empowerment by creating meaningful work experiences, encouraging collaboration, and demonstrating genuine concern for employees' personal and professional development (Khan et al., 2020; Eva et al., 2024). Consequently, employees develop greater self-confidence, stronger ownership of organisational goals, and a willingness to contribute beyond their formal job responsibilities.

Furthermore, the study emphasises that effective people-oriented leaders possess strong interpersonal competence, emotional intelligence, and situational awareness, enabling them to understand employees' individual needs, aspirations, and workplace challenges. Such relational competence strengthens trust, enhances intrinsic motivation, and promotes long-term organisational commitment. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership research, which argues that people-oriented leadership is fundamentally a relationship-based process in which employee support, mutual trust, and meaningful leader–employee interactions drive sustained organisational performance (Northouse, 2022; Eva et al., 2024). Consequently, organisations seeking sustainable performance improvements should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate supportive communication, empathy, coaching skills, employee empowerment, and emotionally intelligent leadership.

Ayandibu (2024) provides a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary leadership research by examining the influence of employee-centred leadership across individual, team, departmental, and organisational levels. The review demonstrates that people-oriented leadership consistently enhances employee motivation, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, collaboration, innovation, and team cohesion. These findings reinforce the multidimensional nature of people-oriented leadership, illustrating its adaptability across diverse organisational settings and functional levels.

A significant contribution of the review is its recognition that people-oriented leadership is not a rigid or prescriptive leadership model but rather a flexible approach requiring leaders to adapt their behaviours according to employees' needs and organisational circumstances. Leaders who encourage employee participation, provide mentoring, facilitate open communication, and demonstrate empathy create workplace environments characterised by trust, collaboration, and psychological safety (Ayandibu, 2024). These supportive leadership behaviours strengthen employee well-being, increase organisational commitment, and enhance psychological engagement.

Importantly, Ayandibu (2024) acknowledges that implementing people-oriented leadership may be challenging within highly bureaucratic or hierarchical organisations where rigid structures restrict employee autonomy, communication, and participation. The review therefore highlights the importance of organisational culture, structural flexibility, and leadership competence in determining leadership effectiveness. This perspective aligns with contemporary leadership scholarship, which argues that people-oriented leadership is contingent upon organisational context, employee expectations, and cultural values rather than producing identical outcomes across all organisations (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Consequently, leadership development initiatives should incorporate contextual awareness, emotional intelligence, coaching skills, and organisational readiness alongside the interpersonal competencies associated with people-oriented leadership.

Atoko (2023) extends the literature on people-oriented leadership by examining its relevance within organisations operating in developing economies, addressing an important gap in leadership research. Through a review of empirical evidence, the study argues that leaders who prioritise employee welfare, collaboration, communication, and interpersonal relationships contribute significantly to organisational performance, employee motivation, innovation, and adaptability in environments characterised by institutional instability, resource constraints, and socio-economic uncertainty. Rather than relying on directive authority, people-oriented leaders strengthen organisational effectiveness by fostering supportive workplace relationships, encouraging employee participation, and promoting mutual trust (Atoko, 2023).

The study conceptualises people-oriented leadership as a strategic capability that enhances organisational resilience through employee empowerment, relationship building, open communication, and continuous organisational learning. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, provide emotional support, and encourage collaboration enable employees to respond more effectively to environmental uncertainty while maintaining motivation and commitment. These findings are particularly relevant in developing economies where organisational success often depends on strong interpersonal relationships and effective people management rather than technological or financial resources alone (Atoko, 2023; Northouse, 2022).

A significant contribution of Atoko's (2023) review is its emphasis on leadership as both a strategic and relational process. Rather than focusing exclusively on organisational structures or policies, the study argues that effective leadership aligns institutional objectives with employees' needs, aspirations, and values. This people-centred perspective suggests that organisations should invest in leadership development programmes that strengthen interpersonal communication, emotional intelligence, employee support, and collaborative decision-making while remaining responsive to local socio-economic conditions.

Similarly, Awaale (2024) examines the contribution of people-oriented leadership to organisational performance within Somalia's public sector through a comprehensive desk review. The study demonstrates that leadership behaviours characterised by empathy, employee support, collaboration, and participative communication improve employee morale, organisational

commitment, innovation, and public service delivery. Within Somalia's institutionally fragile and resource-constrained public sector, people-oriented leadership is presented as an effective approach for strengthening organisational resilience by building trust and encouraging employee involvement in organisational improvement initiatives.

The review further shows that leaders who prioritise employees' well-being create supportive workplace cultures characterised by cooperation, mutual respect, and shared responsibility. Such leadership behaviours significantly improve organisational citizenship behaviour, employee engagement, and stakeholder satisfaction while enhancing service delivery within public institutions (Awaale, 2024). These findings reinforce contemporary leadership research suggesting that employee-centred leadership enhances organisational effectiveness by strengthening psychological safety, trust, and workplace relationships (Yukl, 2023).

Awaale (2024) also highlights the importance of adapting leadership behaviours to institutional realities and socio-political contexts. Even within highly bureaucratic environments, leaders who encourage employee participation, demonstrate empathy, and support professional development can improve organisational commitment and innovation. These findings support recent leadership research indicating that people-oriented leadership is particularly effective in developing countries because it strengthens employees' psychological well-being while enhancing organisational resilience (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2023).

Ahwireng Koomson et al. (2022) investigate leadership, employee motivation, and organisational performance within selected Ghanaian public-sector institutions. Although their study considers several leadership dimensions, the findings particularly emphasise the importance of employee support, communication, and interpersonal relationships in promoting motivation and performance. Leaders who actively involve employees, recognise individual contributions, and provide developmental support significantly enhance employees' commitment to organisational objectives (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022).

The study demonstrates that supportive leadership behaviours positively influence employee motivation by creating meaningful work experiences and strengthening employees' sense of belonging within the organisation. Employees who perceive their leaders as approachable, caring, and supportive exhibit greater commitment, higher job satisfaction, and stronger organisational citizenship behaviours. These findings are consistent with people-oriented leadership theory, which emphasises that effective leadership depends on understanding employees' needs and fostering collaborative workplace relationships (Northouse, 2022).

The Ghanaian public-sector context further reinforces the importance of people-oriented leadership. Public organisations frequently operate within bureaucratic systems characterised by administrative complexity and limited organisational flexibility. Under these conditions, leaders who encourage collaboration, maintain open communication, and demonstrate genuine concern for employees help improve organisational commitment despite institutional constraints. Accordingly, Ahwireng Koomson et al. (2022) recommend leadership development programmes

that emphasise interpersonal competence, emotional intelligence, employee engagement, and contextual awareness.

Ogonegbu and Kyongo (2023) provide a comprehensive systematic review synthesising empirical evidence regarding leadership and organisational performance across several developing economies. Their review demonstrates that leadership approaches characterised by strong interpersonal relationships, employee involvement, trust, and collaboration consistently improve employee engagement, innovation, organisational performance, and long-term organisational sustainability. Rather than viewing leadership solely as a managerial function, the review conceptualises leadership as a relational capability that strengthens organisational culture through mutual respect, communication, and employee empowerment.

The review further demonstrates that organisations characterised by supportive leadership cultures experience higher levels of organisational learning, knowledge sharing, and employee commitment. Leaders who maintain positive relationships with employees create psychologically safe environments where individuals feel comfortable expressing ideas, solving problems collaboratively, and contributing to organisational improvement (Ogonegbu & Kyongo, 2023).

These findings support contemporary leadership theory, which emphasises that people-oriented leadership strengthens organisational resilience by fostering trust and collaboration (Yukl, 2023).

Another important contribution of the review is its demonstration that people-oriented leadership produces positive organisational outcomes across diverse national and cultural contexts. Although leadership practices require cultural adaptation, the fundamental principles of employee support, respect, communication, and collaboration remain consistently associated with improved organisational effectiveness. Consequently, organisations should invest in leadership development programmes that cultivate interpersonal competence, coaching skills, employee engagement, and collaborative leadership behaviours (Ogonegbu & Kyongo, 2023).

Cao and Le (2024) examine organisational change capability through the mediating role of employee trust. Their findings indicate that leadership enhances organisational adaptability by developing trusting relationships that encourage employees to embrace organisational change and innovation. Employees who perceive leaders as authentic, supportive, and approachable are more likely to participate actively in organisational improvement initiatives and contribute innovative ideas.

The authors distinguish between disclosure-based trust and reliance-based trust, demonstrating that open communication, emotional transparency, and supportive interpersonal relationships exert the strongest influence on organisational change capability. These findings reinforce people-oriented leadership theory by emphasising that organisational change depends upon psychological safety, mutual respect, and high-quality leader–employee relationships rather than formal authority alone (Cao & Le, 2024).

The study also contributes to leadership research by examining small and medium-sized enterprises in China, where limited resources make effective interpersonal leadership particularly valuable. By fostering trust, collaboration, and employee participation, people-oriented leaders strengthen organisational agility and long-term resilience. Consequently, trust should be regarded as both an outcome and a strategic resource generated through supportive leadership practices (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2023).

Nasir et al. (2022) examine leadership within Pakistani SMEs by investigating relationships between leadership, employee performance, innovation, creativity, and workplace stress. Their findings demonstrate that supportive leadership improves employee performance primarily by strengthening intrinsic motivation, encouraging collaboration, and creating psychologically safe work environments where employees feel confident contributing new ideas.

The study highlights that leaders who provide emotional support, recognise employee contributions, and encourage collaborative problem-solving create organisational cultures characterised by continuous learning, innovation, and knowledge sharing. Such behaviours are particularly valuable within SMEs operating under competitive and uncertain conditions, where organisational survival depends upon adaptability and employee commitment (Nasir et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the authors demonstrate that supportive leadership positively influences employees' responses to workplace stress. Employees who experience understanding, encouragement, and constructive feedback from leaders demonstrate greater resilience, lower psychological withdrawal, and stronger organisational commitment. These findings suggest that people-oriented leadership contributes not only to employee performance but also to employee well-being by fostering supportive interpersonal relationships and psychologically healthy work environments (Nasir et al., 2022; Yukl, 2023).

Overall, the reviewed evidence indicates that people-oriented leadership is an effective leadership approach for improving employee motivation, organisational commitment, innovation, resilience, and organisational performance across diverse organisational contexts. By prioritising employees' well-being, encouraging participation, and building trusting relationships, people-oriented leaders create organisational environments that support both individual development and long-term organisational success (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2023).

8.4 Conclusion

People-oriented leadership has consistently demonstrated its capacity to improve employee performance, organisational commitment, collaboration, psychological well-being, and long-term organisational effectiveness across diverse organisational contexts. Unlike task-oriented leadership, which primarily focuses on achieving organisational objectives, people-oriented leadership prioritises employees' needs, interpersonal relationships, communication, trust, and professional development while recognising that organisational success is achieved through motivated and supported employees. The literature reviewed indicates that people-oriented

leadership is not merely an effective interpersonal management style but a strategic leadership capability that enables organisations to build resilient workforces, navigate organisational change, and sustain competitive advantage.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between people-oriented leadership and employee motivation and performance. People-oriented leaders create supportive working environments by encouraging collaboration, recognising employee contributions, involving staff in decision-making, and fostering open communication. Rather than relying solely on formal authority or external rewards, these leaders strengthen employees' intrinsic motivation, organisational commitment, and sense of belonging, resulting in sustained improvements in productivity, service quality, and organisational citizenship behaviour. This pattern is evident across sectors including healthcare, education, hospitality, public administration, and small and medium-sized enterprises.

The review also demonstrates that people-oriented leadership plays a critical role in organisational adaptability and change management. In increasingly volatile and uncertain environments, leaders who cultivate trust, empathy, psychological safety, and collaborative relationships enable employees to embrace organisational change rather than resist it. Trust consistently emerges as a key mediating mechanism linking supportive leadership behaviours with organisational adaptability, suggesting that leadership effectiveness depends not only on strategic direction but also on the quality of leader–employee relationships. Similarly, research within educational settings shows that supportive school leadership strengthens teachers' self-efficacy, professional confidence, and continuous professional development, highlighting the long-term contribution of people-oriented leadership to organisational learning and capability development.

Employee collaboration, knowledge sharing, and innovation also emerge as important themes throughout the reviewed literature. Studies consistently demonstrate that leaders who encourage participation, value employee opinions, and create psychologically safe workplaces enhance creativity and collaborative problem-solving. Employees are more willing to share ideas, learn from colleagues, and contribute to organisational improvement when they perceive their leaders as supportive, approachable, and genuinely concerned about their well-being. Rather than innovation being driven solely by organisational systems, people-oriented leadership creates organisational cultures in which collaboration, continuous learning, and employee involvement become integral components of everyday practice.

The literature further demonstrates that people-oriented leadership contributes significantly to employee psychological well-being and organisational resilience. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, provide emotional support, recognise individual needs, and promote work-life balance create healthier working environments characterised by greater resilience, higher job satisfaction, and reduced workplace stress. However, evidence also indicates that these positive outcomes are influenced by broader organisational factors such as social capital, organisational support, workplace culture, and employees' psychological well-being. Consequently, people-oriented

leadership is most effective when complemented by supportive organisational systems that reinforce employee welfare and psychological safety.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-cultural applicability of people-oriented leadership. Studies conducted across Asia, Europe, Africa, and the Middle East consistently demonstrate that leadership behaviours characterised by empathy, trust, respect, collaboration, and employee support are positively associated with employee engagement, commitment, and organisational performance despite differences in cultural values and institutional environments. These findings suggest that people-oriented leadership addresses universal human needs for belonging, recognition, trust, and interpersonal support, making it highly relevant for multinational organisations operating in culturally diverse environments.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of people-oriented leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from Somalia, Ghana, Pakistan, Nigeria, and other developing contexts demonstrates that supportive leadership enhances employee motivation, organisational commitment, service quality, and organisational resilience despite institutional constraints and limited resources. By strengthening interpersonal relationships, encouraging participation, and promoting mutual trust, people-oriented leaders improve organisational performance while helping institutions respond more effectively to governance challenges and changing stakeholder expectations.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that people-oriented leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by promoting employee well-being, ethical leadership, collaborative decision-making, and responsible organisational behaviour. Leaders who value employee development, encourage inclusive participation, and create supportive workplace cultures strengthen organisational resilience while simultaneously contributing to social sustainability. Within higher education, healthcare, and knowledge-intensive organisations, people-oriented leadership enhances long-term institutional development by investing in employees' professional growth, psychological well-being, and collaborative learning. These findings position people-oriented leadership as an important mechanism for achieving sustainable organisational performance while contributing to broader organisational and societal development goals.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that people-oriented leadership remains one of the most influential and practically relevant leadership approaches in contemporary organisational research. Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee performance to strengthening organisational commitment, innovation, resilience, adaptability, employee well-being, and long-term organisational sustainability across multiple sectors and cultures. Although contextual variables such as organisational culture, trust, psychological safety, and institutional support influence leadership effectiveness, the overall evidence consistently supports people-oriented leadership as a strategic organisational capability rather than simply an interpersonal management style.

Subsequently, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate people-oriented leadership competencies, including emotional intelligence, active listening, empathy, communication, coaching, conflict resolution, employee empowerment, and relationship management. As organisations continue to experience technological disruption, global competition, demographic change, and increasing workplace complexity, people-oriented leadership will remain an essential capability for promoting employee well-being, organisational resilience, continuous improvement, and sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 9:
SERVANT LEADERSHIP

9.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of servant leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating classical servant leadership theory with recent empirical evidence to explain how servant leadership influences organisational performance, employee well-being, organisational citizenship behaviour, innovation, and sustainable organisational development. As one of the most influential people-centred leadership theories, servant leadership has gained increasing attention because of its emphasis on serving followers, ethical behaviour, empowerment, empathy, stewardship, and community building (Northouse, 2022; Eva et al., 2019; Gandolfi & Stone, 2022).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of servant leadership, tracing its development from Greenleaf's (1977) original conceptualisation to contemporary multidimensional models. Greenleaf (1977) argued that effective leaders should first be servants whose primary responsibility is to support the growth, well-being, and development of others before pursuing organisational objectives. Subsequent scholars expanded this philosophy by identifying core servant leadership characteristics, including listening, empathy, healing, awareness, persuasion, conceptualisation, foresight, stewardship, commitment to follower growth, and community building (Spears, 2010; Northouse, 2022). More recently, servant leadership has been conceptualised as a leadership approach that balances employee development with organisational performance through ethical leadership, empowerment, and relational trust (Eva et al., 2019).

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that servant leadership contributes significantly to employee engagement, organisational commitment, psychological safety, job satisfaction, knowledge sharing, organisational citizenship behaviour, and overall organisational effectiveness (Saleem et al., 2022; Gandolfi & Stone, 2022; Iqbal et al., 2023). By prioritising employees' personal and professional development, servant leaders cultivate high levels of trust, collaboration, and intrinsic motivation while creating organisational cultures characterised by mutual respect and shared responsibility. Consequently, servant leadership has become particularly valuable within organisations operating in environments characterised by increasing complexity, workforce diversity, employee well-being challenges, and continuous organisational change (Northouse, 2022).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, hospitality, public administration, manufacturing, financial services, small

and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), multinational organisations, and non-governmental organisations. Examining servant leadership across these sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of how service-oriented leadership behaviours influence employee attitudes and organisational outcomes within different institutional and cultural environments. Although the fundamental principles of servant leadership remain consistent, evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness varies according to organisational culture, employee expectations, institutional structures, and national context (Eva et al., 2019; Gandolfi & Stone, 2022).

In addition to examining the direct effects of servant leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions servant leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify psychological safety, employee empowerment, organisational trust, work engagement, leader–member exchange, organisational justice, and emotional intelligence as important mechanisms through which servant leadership improves employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Saleem et al., 2022; Iqbal et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why servant leadership produces different outcomes across organisations and highlights the contextual conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of servant leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted in Africa, Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and North America. Although servant leadership has been widely recognised as an effective leadership approach across different cultural settings, national values, organisational norms, and institutional arrangements influence how servant leadership behaviours are interpreted and enacted (Eva et al., 2019; Gandolfi & Stone, 2022). For example, employee empowerment and participative decision-making may be interpreted differently in cultures characterised by high power distance than in more egalitarian organisational environments. Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of adapting servant leadership practices to different organisational and cultural contexts while maintaining its fundamental principles of service, ethical leadership, and follower development.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the servant leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding servant leadership outcomes while highlighting areas where further research remains necessary. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as employee well-being, organisational resilience, sustainable leadership, ethical organisational cultures, digital workplaces, diversity and inclusion, and innovation-driven organisational performance, all of which have become increasingly important within contemporary leadership research (Iqbal et al., 2023; Gandolfi & Stone, 2022).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that servant leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership theories within contemporary organisational research. Its emphasis on ethical leadership, employee empowerment, trust, collaboration, and service to others

makes it particularly relevant for organisations operating in increasingly complex, knowledge-intensive, and people-focused environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how servant leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, organisational policies, and strategic management initiatives to promote employee well-being, sustainable organisational performance, and long-term organisational success.

9.2 Overview of Servant Leadership

Servant leadership has become one of the most influential leadership theories in contemporary organisational research and practice because of its strong emphasis on serving employees before pursuing organisational objectives. The theory was first introduced by Greenleaf (1977), who argued that the primary responsibility of a leader is to serve others by supporting their growth, well-being, and development. Unlike traditional leadership approaches that prioritise organisational power and hierarchical authority, servant leadership places employees' needs at the centre of leadership practice, with organisational success emerging because of empowered, motivated, and ethically supported employees (Greenleaf, 1977). This philosophy represents a fundamental shift from leader-centred to follower-centred leadership by encouraging leaders to promote service, humility, stewardship, and community building.

Building upon Greenleaf's original work, Spears (1995) identified ten core characteristics of servant leadership: listening, empathy, healing, awareness, persuasion, conceptualisation, foresight, stewardship, commitment to the growth of people, and building community. These characteristics provide a comprehensive framework for understanding servant leadership behaviours and have formed the basis of contemporary servant leadership research. Later scholars, particularly Liden et al. (2008), operationalised servant leadership within organisational settings by identifying behaviours such as emotional healing, empowering employees, helping subordinates grow, behaving ethically, conceptual skills, creating value for the community, and putting followers first. These dimensions distinguish servant leadership from other leadership theories by emphasising employee development and ethical responsibility as primary leadership functions.

Servant leadership is therefore defined as a leadership approach in which leaders prioritise serving employees, supporting their personal and professional growth, fostering ethical behaviour, and promoting collaborative relationships that ultimately improve organisational performance (Northouse, 2022). Rather than motivating employees primarily through authority, rewards, or charismatic influence, servant leaders build trust by demonstrating humility, active listening, empathy, and genuine concern for employee well-being (Eva et al., 2019). Consequently, servant leadership is particularly effective within organisations seeking to strengthen employee engagement, collaboration, ethical decision-making, and long-term organisational sustainability.

Recent studies consistently demonstrate that servant leadership positively influences employee engagement, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and individual performance. For example, Sousa and van Dierendonck (2023) found that servant leadership strengthens employee engagement by fostering trust, psychological safety, and meaningful leader–employee relationships. Similarly, Eva et al. (2019) concluded through a comprehensive systematic review that servant leadership consistently improves organisational citizenship behaviour, employee commitment, and workplace well-being across diverse organisational contexts. These findings support social exchange theory, suggesting that employees reciprocate supportive and ethical leadership through increased loyalty, commitment, and discretionary effort.

Employee empowerment represents another significant outcome of servant leadership. Unlike leadership approaches that emphasise control or directive supervision, servant leaders encourage autonomy, participation, and professional development by investing in employees' capabilities and creating opportunities for continuous learning. Lemoine et al. (2019) argue that servant leaders develop employees' confidence and competence through coaching, mentoring, and supportive communication, thereby improving individual performance and organisational effectiveness. Similarly, Northouse (2022) suggests that servant leadership strengthens intrinsic motivation because employees feel valued, respected, and trusted within supportive organisational environments.

The effectiveness of servant leadership is also evident in facilitating organisational change and resilience. By fostering high-quality interpersonal relationships, servant leaders create psychologically safe workplaces where employees are willing to embrace organisational change, share innovative ideas, and collaborate in solving organisational problems. Eva et al. (2019) argue that servant leadership promotes organisational adaptability because employees who trust their leaders demonstrate greater willingness to participate in change initiatives and organisational improvement. Rather than imposing change through authority, servant leaders encourage shared ownership of organisational goals by involving employees in decision-making processes and supporting them throughout periods of uncertainty.

Research further indicates that organisational culture significantly influences the effectiveness of servant leadership. Organisations characterised by openness, collaboration, ethical values, and employee participation experience stronger positive outcomes from servant leadership than highly bureaucratic or authoritarian organisations (Lemoine et al., 2019). Furthermore, servant leadership has been shown to enhance psychological capital, including employees' resilience, optimism, hope, and self-efficacy, enabling organisations to respond more effectively to complex organisational challenges (Eva et al., 2019). These findings suggest that servant leadership is most effective when organisational cultures reinforce employee empowerment, learning, and mutual respect.

Within the healthcare sector, servant leadership has consistently demonstrated positive outcomes for employees, patients, and healthcare organisations. Studies indicate that servant leaders improve nurses' job satisfaction, employee retention, teamwork, and quality of patient care by creating supportive work environments characterised by compassion, trust, and professional

development (Specchia et al., 2021). Similarly, servant leadership strengthens interdisciplinary collaboration and ethical clinical decision-making, contributing to improved patient safety and healthcare quality. These findings highlight the importance of servant leadership within healthcare systems where employee well-being directly influences patient outcomes and organisational performance.

Beyond organisational performance, servant leadership has increasingly been associated with organisational sustainability and corporate social responsibility. Because servant leaders emphasise stewardship, ethical decision-making, community service, and concern for future generations, they encourage employees to engage in socially responsible and environmentally sustainable practices (Eva et al., 2019). By aligning organisational objectives with broader societal interests, servant leadership contributes to sustainable organisational development while strengthening organisational reputation and stakeholder trust.

Empirical evidence from diverse geographical regions further demonstrates the broad applicability of servant leadership across different cultural and organisational contexts. Studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, North America, and the Middle East consistently report positive relationships between servant leadership, employee satisfaction, organisational commitment, ethical behaviour, and organisational performance (Eva et al., 2019; Sousa & van Dierendonck, 2023). Although servant leadership behaviours may require cultural adaptation, its underlying principles of humility, service, trust, and employee development appear to address universal human needs, making servant leadership highly relevant within multinational organisations operating across culturally diverse environments.

Despite its numerous advantages, servant leadership is not without limitations. Effective implementation requires leaders to possess high levels of emotional intelligence, empathy, ethical judgement, communication competence, and interpersonal skills. Additionally, servant leadership may be challenging to implement within highly bureaucratic organisations characterised by rigid hierarchies, limited employee autonomy, or performance systems focused primarily on short-term financial outcomes (Northouse, 2022). Nevertheless, substantial empirical evidence consistently demonstrates that servant leadership enhances employee motivation, psychological well-being, organisational commitment, ethical behaviour, collaboration, and sustainable organisational performance. Its emphasis on service, employee development, ethical leadership, stewardship, and community building makes servant leadership one of the most appropriate leadership approaches for organisations seeking long-term sustainability, employee well-being, and responsible organisational success.

9.3 Servant Leadership

Servant leadership continues to receive considerable scholarly attention because of its demonstrated capacity to improve employee well-being, organisational commitment, ethical behaviour, innovation, and sustainable organisational performance across diverse organisational settings (Northouse, 2022; Gandolfi & Stone, 2022). Originating from Greenleaf's (1977)

philosophy that leadership begins with a genuine desire to serve others, servant leadership differs fundamentally from traditional leadership models by placing followers' needs, growth, and development ahead of leaders' personal interests. Rather than exercising authority primarily to achieve organisational objectives, servant leaders seek to empower employees, foster trust, promote collaboration, and develop individuals into future leaders (Eva et al., 2019). Contemporary research demonstrates that servant leadership influences organisational outcomes both directly and indirectly through a range of psychological, relational, and organisational mechanisms.

Saleem et al. (2022) provide compelling evidence of the relationship between servant leadership and employee innovative behaviour by examining the mediating roles of psychological empowerment and work engagement. Their findings suggest that servant leadership promotes innovation by creating supportive work environments in which employees feel valued, respected, and empowered to contribute creative ideas. Rather than directing employees through authority or control, servant leaders encourage autonomy, participation, and professional growth, enabling employees to develop confidence in their abilities while strengthening their commitment to organisational goals. These findings align with servant leadership theory, which proposes that leaders create value by investing in followers' development and enabling them to reach their full potential (Greenleaf, 1977; Northouse, 2022).

The study further demonstrates that psychological empowerment serves as a significant mechanism through which servant leadership enhances innovative work behaviour. Employees who perceive their leaders as supportive, trustworthy, and committed to their personal development become more willing to experiment with new ideas, solve organisational problems creatively, and participate actively in continuous improvement initiatives (Saleem et al., 2022). Work engagement further strengthens this relationship, indicating that servant leadership enhances innovation not only through employee motivation but also by fostering meaningful work experiences characterised by enthusiasm, dedication, and psychological safety. These findings reinforce previous research suggesting that servant leadership encourages discretionary behaviours by creating organisational cultures built upon mutual respect, trust, and collaboration (Eva et al., 2019).

Consequently, Saleem et al. (2022) extend servant leadership theory by demonstrating that sustainable innovation emerges through the interaction of supportive leadership, employee empowerment, and work engagement. Their research highlights that innovation depends not solely on organisational resources but also on leadership practices that cultivate psychological ownership, intrinsic motivation, and collaborative relationships. This perspective positions servant leadership as a strategic organisational capability that simultaneously enhances employee well-being and organisational innovation.

Similarly, Iqbal et al. (2023) provide important insights into the mechanisms through which servant leadership improves organisational performance by examining the mediating roles of employee engagement and organisational citizenship behaviour (OCB). Their findings indicate

that servant leaders strengthen organisational effectiveness by creating supportive relationships characterised by trust, fairness, ethical conduct, and genuine concern for employee welfare. Unlike leadership approaches primarily focused on achieving performance targets, servant leadership encourages employees to contribute voluntarily beyond their formal job responsibilities because they feel respected, appreciated, and psychologically supported.

The study identifies employee engagement as a critical mediator linking servant leadership with organisational performance. Employees working under servant leaders demonstrate higher levels of enthusiasm, commitment, and emotional attachment to organisational objectives because leaders actively invest in their professional development and well-being (Iqbal et al., 2023). Organisational citizenship behaviour further strengthens this relationship, as employees become more willing to assist colleagues, share knowledge, and contribute to organisational success beyond contractual expectations. These findings support social exchange theory, which proposes that employees reciprocate supportive leadership behaviours through greater organisational commitment and discretionary effort (Blau, 1964; Eva et al., 2019).

An important contribution of Iqbal et al.'s (2023) research lies in demonstrating that servant leadership develops organisational capability through relational rather than hierarchical processes. By strengthening employee trust, engagement, and citizenship behaviour, servant leaders enable organisations to achieve sustainable performance improvements without relying solely on formal authority or external rewards. This perspective broadens servant leadership theory by emphasising relational capital as a strategic organisational resource capable of enhancing long-term organisational effectiveness.

Aboramadan et al. (2022) further extend servant leadership scholarship by investigating psychological safety as a mechanism through which servant leadership promotes employee creativity and innovative work behaviour. Their findings indicate that servant leadership enhances organisational innovation by creating psychologically safe environments where employees feel comfortable expressing ideas, taking calculated risks, and learning from mistakes without fear of criticism or punishment. Rather than emphasising leader authority, servant leaders cultivate supportive interpersonal relationships that encourage openness, trust, and collaborative problem-solving.

The concept of psychological safety aligns closely with servant leadership principles. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, humility, active listening, and ethical behaviour create workplace environments characterised by trust and mutual respect, enabling employees to participate confidently in organisational decision-making (Northouse, 2022). These findings are particularly significant in increasingly complex organisational environments where innovation depends upon employees' willingness to communicate openly, collaborate effectively, and continuously improve organisational processes.

Furthermore, Aboramadan et al. (2022) demonstrate that servant leadership becomes particularly valuable during periods of organisational uncertainty because employees rely on supportive leaders for guidance, emotional support, and professional development. Under

conditions of rapid organisational change, servant leaders strengthen resilience by maintaining trust, encouraging participation, and fostering collective responsibility for organisational success. These findings are consistent with contemporary servant leadership research, which suggests that service-oriented leadership strengthens organisational adaptability by developing empowered and resilient employees capable of responding constructively to uncertainty (Gandolfi & Stone, 2022).

Collectively, the studies by Saleem et al. (2022), Iqbal et al. (2023), and Aboramadan et al. (2022) reinforce the multidimensional nature of servant leadership. They demonstrate that servant leadership influences organisational innovation and performance through a combination of psychological, behavioural, and relational mechanisms, including psychological empowerment, work engagement, organisational citizenship behaviour, and psychological safety. These findings extend traditional servant leadership theory beyond leader–follower relationships by illustrating how servant leaders strengthen organisational culture, build relational trust, and develop employees' capabilities. Consequently, servant leadership should be viewed not merely as an ethical leadership philosophy but as a comprehensive organisational capability that enhances innovation, resilience, employee well-being, and sustainable organisational performance within increasingly dynamic organisational environments.

Servant leadership has increasingly attracted scholarly attention because of its demonstrated ability to enhance employee well-being, ethical behaviour, organisational commitment, and sustainable organisational performance across a wide range of organisational settings (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022). Originating from Greenleaf's (1977) philosophy that leaders should prioritise serving others before pursuing organisational objectives, servant leadership is characterised by behaviours such as empathy, listening, stewardship, humility, empowerment, ethical conduct, and a genuine commitment to followers' personal and professional growth (Greenleaf, 1977; Spears, 2010). Contemporary research demonstrates that servant leadership influences organisational outcomes both directly and indirectly through psychological, relational, and organisational mechanisms that foster trust, collaboration, and employee development.

Ren, Li, and Mavros (2024) provide valuable insights into the relationship between servant leadership and organisational sustainability by examining leadership behaviours that encourage employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Although their original study focused on environmentally specific transformational leadership, the findings align closely with servant leadership principles because both leadership approaches emphasise ethical responsibility, stewardship, and serving the broader interests of society. Servant leaders promote environmental sustainability by modelling responsible behaviour, encouraging employees to internalise environmental values, and fostering a culture in which sustainability becomes a shared organisational responsibility rather than merely a compliance requirement (Eva et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2024). By demonstrating concern for future generations and organisational stakeholders, servant leaders encourage employees to voluntarily engage in environmentally responsible practices.

The study further identifies environmental awareness as an important psychological mechanism through which leadership influences employees' pro-environmental behaviour (Ren et al., 2024). From a servant leadership perspective, leaders encourage employees to think critically about environmental issues, support sustainable innovation, and create opportunities for meaningful employee participation in environmental initiatives. Rather than relying solely on formal environmental policies, servant leaders nurture employees' ethical values, social responsibility, and commitment to sustainability. These findings reinforce servant leadership theory, which proposes that leaders create lasting behavioural change by developing followers' values, moral responsibility, and commitment to serving the wider community (Greenleaf, 1977; Van Dierendonck, 2011).

Importantly, Ren et al. (2024) also found that emotional exhaustion weakens the relationship between leadership and employees' pro-environmental behaviour. This finding is particularly relevant to servant leadership because one of its defining characteristics is genuine concern for employee well-being. Servant leaders recognise that employees experiencing excessive stress or emotional fatigue are less able to contribute effectively to organisational objectives. Consequently, servant leadership promotes employee care, emotional support, and work-life balance alongside sustainability initiatives, thereby creating healthier workplaces that enable employees to contribute meaningfully to organisational and environmental goals (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022).

Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) examine the relationship between leadership, strategic planning, and organisational performance within Nigerian higher education institutions. Although the study was originally framed within transformational leadership, its findings can also be interpreted through a servant leadership perspective because effective strategy implementation depends heavily on employee engagement, collaboration, trust, and shared commitment. Servant leaders facilitate strategic planning by involving employees in decision-making, encouraging open communication, and ensuring that institutional goals reflect the needs of both employees and organisational stakeholders (Northouse, 2022).

The study identifies strategic planning as an important mechanism linking leadership with organisational performance (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). From a servant leadership perspective, strategy implementation is achieved not through authority or control but through empowering academic and administrative staff, fostering shared ownership of institutional goals, and supporting collaborative problem-solving. Employees who feel valued, respected, and involved in organisational decisions are more likely to demonstrate organisational commitment and actively contribute to institutional success. These findings reinforce previous servant leadership research demonstrating that employee empowerment and participative leadership strengthen organisational effectiveness and long-term sustainability (Eva et al., 2019; Liden et al., 2014).

Furthermore, the findings demonstrate that strategic planning alone is insufficient to achieve organisational success without strong interpersonal relationships and employee-centred leadership practices (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). Servant leaders translate organisational strategies into meaningful action by listening to employees, developing their capabilities, and creating inclusive

organisational cultures that promote collaboration and continuous learning. Within resource-constrained higher education environments, servant leadership becomes particularly valuable because it develops institutional resilience by strengthening employee trust, commitment, and collective responsibility for organisational success.

Kim, Yang, and Lee (2023) investigate leadership within the hospitality industry, where organisational success depends heavily on employee behaviour, service quality, creativity, and customer relationships. While the study adopts a transformational leadership framework, its findings closely align with servant leadership because employee creativity and organisational performance are strongly influenced by supportive leader-follower relationships and employee empowerment. Servant leaders prioritise employees' development by providing coaching, encouragement, and opportunities for personal growth, thereby creating conditions in which creativity and innovation can flourish (Liden et al., 2014).

A particularly significant contribution of Kim et al. (2023) is the identification of organisational identification as a mediating mechanism linking leadership with employee performance. From a servant leadership perspective, employees develop stronger organisational identification when leaders demonstrate genuine concern for their well-being, treat them fairly, and cultivate respectful workplace relationships. As employees increasingly identify with organisational values, they demonstrate greater organisational commitment, higher discretionary effort, and improved service performance. These findings are consistent with social identity theory, which suggests that employees who experience belonging and positive interpersonal relationships become more committed to organisational success (Haslam et al., 2020).

The study further demonstrates that supportive leadership enhances employee creativity by encouraging participation, knowledge sharing, and continuous learning (Kim et al., 2023). Rather than directing employees through hierarchical control, servant leaders create psychologically safe environments where employees feel comfortable proposing new ideas and contributing to service improvement. Consequently, servant leadership functions as an important organisational resource capable of simultaneously strengthening employee commitment, creativity, and organisational performance.

Al-Subaie et al. (2021) contribute a strategic perspective by examining leadership within complex megaproject environments characterised by uncertainty, multiple stakeholders, and organisational complexity. Although the original study focuses on transformational leadership, many of its findings are consistent with servant leadership principles. Servant leaders facilitate collaboration by prioritising stakeholder relationships, encouraging teamwork, and fostering mutual trust across multidisciplinary project teams. Within complex project environments, leadership effectiveness depends not only on technical expertise but also on leaders' ability to serve employees, stakeholders, and communities while promoting ethical decision-making and shared responsibility.

The study identifies employee empowerment, trust-building, strategic communication, and collaborative leadership as critical enablers of successful project delivery (Al-Subaie et al., 2021).

These findings strongly support servant leadership theory, which views empowerment and stewardship as fundamental leadership responsibilities (Greenleaf, 1977; Spears, 2010). Rather than viewing leadership as positional authority, servant leadership conceptualises leaders as facilitators who enable employees and project teams to perform effectively through guidance, support, and shared decision-making. Consequently, leadership capability should be developed systematically through organisational learning, mentoring, and values-based leadership development programmes.

Lindert et al. (2023) provide valuable longitudinal evidence regarding leadership and employee psychological well-being. Their study demonstrates that leadership contributes to healthier workplace environments characterised by trust, support, and meaningful interpersonal relationships. These findings closely reflect servant leadership theory, which places employee well-being at the centre of effective leadership practice (Eva et al., 2019). Servant leaders seek to create supportive work environments by listening actively, showing empathy, promoting inclusion, and investing in employees' personal and professional growth.

Unlike many cross-sectional studies, Lindert et al. (2023) examine leadership and well-being over time, finding that leadership alone does not guarantee long-term improvements in employee psychological well-being. Instead, workplace social capital, supportive organisational relationships, and baseline psychological health emerged as stronger predictors of employee well-being. These findings suggest that servant leadership contributes indirectly to employee well-being by strengthening organisational trust, collaboration, and supportive workplace cultures rather than acting as an isolated determinant of psychological health.

From a servant leadership perspective, these findings reinforce the importance of integrating leadership with broader organisational well-being strategies. Although servant leaders promote empathy, support, and employee development, sustainable improvements in employee well-being also require organisational investment in psychological health, social support, workload management, and inclusive organisational cultures. Consequently, servant leadership should be viewed as one component of a comprehensive organisational strategy that promotes employee flourishing, organisational resilience, and sustainable performance (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022).

Caza, Caza, and Posner (2021) provide compelling evidence regarding the cross-cultural applicability of servant leadership by examining followers' perceptions of leadership behaviours across diverse countries, industries, and organisational contexts. Although their original study focused on transformational leadership, its findings strongly support servant leadership because behaviours such as trust-building, ethical conduct, follower development, and relationship-centred leadership consistently received positive evaluations across cultural settings. This research contributes to the long-standing debate concerning whether servant leadership represents a universally effective leadership approach or whether its effectiveness is dependent upon cultural context. Despite differences in national culture, organisational structure, and institutional environments, follower-centred leadership behaviours were consistently associated with positive

employee perceptions, suggesting that servant leadership addresses fundamental human needs for trust, respect, belonging, and personal development (Caza et al., 2021; Eva et al., 2019).

The findings indicate that servant leadership possesses broad cross-cultural relevance because its core characteristics, empathy, stewardship, ethical behaviour, listening, empowerment, and commitment to follower growth, respond to universal psychological needs for dignity, recognition, and supportive interpersonal relationships (Greenleaf, 1977; Spears, 2010). These findings support contemporary servant leadership research demonstrating that employees across different cultural settings respond positively to leaders who prioritise their well-being, professional development, and personal success (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022). Nevertheless, the study acknowledges that cultural values influence how servant leadership behaviours are expressed and interpreted. While the underlying philosophy of serving others remains consistent, servant leaders must adapt their communication styles, decision-making approaches, and relationship-building strategies to align with local cultural norms and organisational expectations.

A notable contribution of Caza et al. (2021) is their emphasis on followers' perceptions as fundamental determinants of leadership effectiveness. From a servant leadership perspective, organisational success depends not only on achieving organisational objectives but also on fostering trust, loyalty, psychological safety, and a genuine sense of belonging among employees. High levels of follower satisfaction reflect servant leadership's relational nature, whereby leadership effectiveness is built upon authentic interpersonal relationships rather than positional authority or hierarchical control (Van Dierendonck, 2011). These findings reinforce the view that servant leadership is fundamentally a social influence process in which leaders create positive organisational outcomes by serving employees, encouraging mutual respect, and developing supportive workplace cultures (Northouse, 2022).

The study further highlights leadership as a co-constructed process shaped by both leaders' behaviours and followers' interpretations of those behaviours (Caza et al., 2021). This perspective aligns closely with servant leadership theory, which views leadership as a reciprocal relationship characterised by trust, collaboration, shared purpose, and mutual growth (Eva et al., 2019). Consequently, servant leadership can be regarded as a globally relevant leadership approach that combines universal ethical principles with sufficient flexibility to accommodate cultural diversity within increasingly international organisations.

Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) extend leadership research by examining leadership effectiveness within Lebanese non-governmental organisations (NGOs) operating under conditions of prolonged crisis, political instability, and resource scarcity. Although the study was conducted within a transformational leadership framework, its findings strongly support servant leadership principles because they highlight the importance of employee care, resilience, psychological support, and shared purpose during periods of uncertainty. The study demonstrates that leadership centred on employee well-being significantly improves resilience, motivation, organisational commitment, and performance in humanitarian organisations where employees regularly encounter emotionally demanding working conditions.

The authors argue that leaders contribute to organisational resilience by creating psychologically safe workplaces where employees remain committed to a shared humanitarian mission despite external adversity (Salameh-Ayanian et al., 2025). From a servant leadership perspective, leaders achieve this by demonstrating empathy, active listening, emotional support, and genuine concern for employees' welfare. By prioritising employees' needs before organisational objectives, servant leaders strengthen collective efficacy, trust, and resilience, enabling employees to remain committed despite operational pressures (Eva et al., 2019; Greenleaf, 1977). These findings reinforce previous servant leadership research suggesting that ethical, caring leadership is particularly valuable during organisational crises because it promotes hope, resilience, and collaborative problem-solving.

An important contribution of Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) is the recognition that employee performance during crises depends heavily upon meaningful relationships, organisational values, and psychological support rather than solely on job satisfaction. This finding aligns closely with servant leadership philosophy, which proposes that employees achieve sustainable performance when they feel respected, valued, and supported by leaders who genuinely prioritise their well-being (Liden et al., 2014). Consequently, servant leadership should be regarded not only as an approach to enhancing organisational effectiveness but also as a critical leadership capability for sustaining employee well-being, resilience, and organisational continuity during periods of instability.

Lin, Yin, and Liu (2022) investigate leadership within educational settings by examining its influence on teachers' sustainable professional development through the mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. Although the study originally examined transformational leadership, its findings are equally relevant to servant leadership because both leadership approaches emphasise employee development, empowerment, and supportive leader-follower relationships. The study demonstrates that leadership significantly enhances teachers' confidence in their professional capabilities, thereby promoting continuous learning, instructional innovation, and long-term professional growth.

From a servant leadership perspective, school leaders strengthen teacher self-efficacy by providing encouragement, mentoring, individual support, and opportunities for professional development (Lin et al., 2022). Rather than directing teachers through authority and supervision, servant leaders create nurturing educational environments where teachers feel valued, respected, and empowered to improve their instructional practices. These findings reflect Greenleaf's (1977) central proposition that effective leaders serve by helping others develop their full potential. As teachers become increasingly confident in their professional capabilities, they are more willing to adopt innovative teaching approaches, collaborate with colleagues, and engage in lifelong professional learning.

The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy represents one of the study's most significant theoretical contributions because it explains the psychological mechanism through which servant leadership influences professional behaviour. By investing in teachers' personal growth and

strengthening their confidence, servant leaders cultivate intrinsic motivation, professional autonomy, and commitment to continuous improvement. These findings are consistent with social cognitive theory, which identifies self-efficacy as a key determinant of motivation, persistence, and performance (Bandura, 1997), while also reinforcing servant leadership's emphasis on follower growth and empowerment (Van Dierendonck, 2011).

Furthermore, Lin et al. (2022) demonstrate that supportive leadership has both direct and indirect effects on teachers' professional attitudes and instructional practices. These findings reinforce the growing consensus that servant leadership contributes to adaptive, collaborative, and future-oriented educational organisations by fostering trust, professional development, and shared responsibility for educational excellence. Consequently, leadership development programmes within schools should prioritise servant leadership competencies such as empathy, coaching, stewardship, ethical leadership, and teacher empowerment to strengthen sustainable educational improvement.

Khan et al. (2020) provide valuable insights into the relationship between leadership, employee performance, burnout, social loafing, and intrinsic motivation. From a servant leadership perspective, the findings suggest that leaders improve employee performance by fostering intrinsic motivation through genuine care, empowerment, and supportive relationships rather than relying on authority or external rewards (Eva et al., 2019). Servant leaders prioritise employees' growth and well-being, creating meaningful work environments in which individuals feel valued and motivated to contribute towards organisational success (Northouse, 2022).

A major contribution of the study is its identification of intrinsic motivation as a key psychological mechanism through which leadership influences employee performance (Khan et al., 2020). Servant leaders encourage employees to view organisational objectives as personally meaningful by promoting autonomy, trust, and professional development. Through active listening, empathy, coaching, and ethical support, servant leaders strengthen employees' commitment, confidence, and willingness to exceed formal job requirements (Greenleaf, 1977; Liden et al., 2014). Consequently, employees become more engaged, innovative, and committed to achieving both personal and organisational goals.

However, the study also reports that leadership demonstrates only moderate indirect effects on burnout and social loafing (Khan et al., 2020). Although servant leadership enhances employee motivation and engagement, it cannot independently eliminate workplace challenges such as excessive workloads, inadequate organisational support, poor work-life balance, or limited organisational resources. These findings suggest that servant leadership should be complemented by organisational well-being initiatives, including workload management, employee assistance programmes, and mental health support, to achieve sustainable employee performance (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022).

Overall, the study supports servant leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends not only on influencing employee behaviour but also on strengthening employees' psychological and emotional resources. Organisations seeking sustainable

improvements in employee performance should therefore invest in leadership development programmes that cultivate empathy, emotional intelligence, ethical decision-making, empowerment, and supportive leader–employee relationships while simultaneously addressing organisational factors contributing to employee stress and burnout (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022).

The findings of Khan et al. (2020) further highlight intrinsic motivation as a central mechanism through which servant leadership enhances employee performance. Rather than relying on positional authority or external incentives, servant leaders inspire employees by demonstrating genuine concern for their personal and professional development. This leadership approach promotes psychological empowerment by creating meaningful work experiences, encouraging participation in decision-making, and providing continuous support for employee growth (Liden et al., 2014). Consequently, employees develop stronger self-confidence, greater ownership of organisational objectives, and a willingness to contribute beyond their formal responsibilities.

Furthermore, the study emphasises the importance of leaders possessing strong interpersonal skills and situational awareness to understand employees' individual needs, aspirations, and workplace challenges. These relational competencies strengthen trust, enhance intrinsic motivation, and foster long-term organisational commitment. This reflects servant leadership theory, which views leadership as a people-centred process focused on serving followers and enabling them to reach their full potential (Greenleaf, 1977; Eva et al., 2019). Organisations seeking sustainable performance improvements should therefore prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate servant leadership behaviours such as active listening, humility, empowerment, stewardship, and ethical service.

Van Dierendonck (2011) provides a comprehensive synthesis of servant leadership research by examining its influence across individual, team, and organisational levels. The review identifies core servant leadership characteristics—including empowerment, humility, accountability, authenticity, stewardship, and forgiveness—and demonstrates their positive effects on employee motivation, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, collaboration, and team effectiveness. These findings reinforce the multidimensional nature of servant leadership and illustrate its relevance across diverse organisational settings.

A significant contribution of the review is its recognition that servant leadership is not a rigid leadership model but rather a flexible, values-based approach that adapts to organisational circumstances while maintaining its emphasis on serving others (Van Dierendonck, 2011). Servant leaders encourage employees to develop their capabilities, share knowledge, and participate in decision-making while acting as ethical role models who build trust and organisational credibility. Through mentoring, coaching, and personalised support, servant leaders strengthen employees' psychological engagement, organisational commitment, and overall well-being.

Importantly, servant leadership may encounter challenges within highly bureaucratic or hierarchical organisations where rigid structures restrict employee participation and

empowerment. Consequently, organisational culture, structural flexibility, and ethical values become important determinants of servant leadership effectiveness (Eva et al., 2019). Leadership development initiatives should therefore incorporate servant leadership competencies alongside contextual awareness and organisational readiness to maximise leadership effectiveness.

Atoko (2023) extends leadership research by focusing specifically on organisations operating within developing economies. From a servant leadership perspective, the review demonstrates that leaders who prioritise employee development, ethical stewardship, and community building enhance organisational performance, employee motivation, innovation, and adaptability despite institutional instability and resource constraints.

Rather than viewing leadership solely as a management function, Atoko (2023) presents leadership as a strategic capability that supports organisational transformation through employee empowerment, ethical decision-making, trust, and organisational learning. These servant leadership behaviours enable organisations to respond proactively to environmental uncertainty while promoting collaboration and continuous improvement. Such findings are particularly relevant for developing countries where organisational resilience depends heavily on strong relationships, ethical leadership, and employee commitment.

An important contribution of the study is its emphasis on leadership's role in strategic planning and organisational development. Servant leaders align institutional strategies with employee well-being and organisational values while fostering inclusive decision-making and shared responsibility. Consequently, Atoko (2023) recommends leadership development programmes that strengthen ethical leadership, employee empowerment, and service-oriented leadership practices tailored to local socio-economic conditions.

Awaale (2024) investigates leadership within Somalia's public sector and demonstrates that servant leadership principles can improve organisational performance, employee commitment, innovation, and public service delivery. In institutionally fragile and resource-constrained environments, servant leaders promote organisational resilience by prioritising employees' needs, fostering collaboration, and building trusting workplace relationships.

The review demonstrates that servant leaders strengthen organisational effectiveness by empowering employees, encouraging participation in decision-making, and cultivating supportive organisational cultures characterised by trust, respect, and shared responsibility (Awaale, 2024). Such leadership practices improve employee motivation, organisational citizenship behaviour, stakeholder engagement, and service quality within public institutions.

Furthermore, Awaale (2024) highlights the contextual nature of servant leadership by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends upon adapting service-oriented leadership behaviours to institutional realities and socio-political conditions. Even within highly bureaucratic environments, servant leaders remain capable of promoting innovation, organisational commitment, and employee resilience by emphasising humility, ethical service, and employee empowerment (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022). These findings suggest that servant leadership

represents an effective governance strategy for strengthening public-sector performance within developing and post-conflict states.

Ahwireng Koomson et al. (2022) examine leadership, employee motivation, and organisational performance within Ghanaian public-sector institutions. Viewed through the servant leadership lens, the findings suggest that leadership behaviours centred on employee support, empowerment, and ethical stewardship positively influence employee motivation and organisational performance. Leaders who invest in employees' development, recognise individual contributions, and encourage participation strengthen employees' intrinsic commitment and organisational engagement.

The Ghanaian public-sector context further demonstrates that servant leadership enables organisations to improve employee commitment and performance despite bureaucratic constraints. Consequently, leadership development programmes should integrate servant leadership competencies such as empathy, stewardship, ethical responsibility, and employee empowerment while recognising the importance of adapting leadership practices to organisational and cultural contexts.

Ogonegbu and Kyongo (2023) synthesise empirical evidence demonstrating that people-centred leadership approaches consistently improve employee engagement, organisational performance, innovation, and strategic alignment across diverse national contexts. From a servant leadership perspective, these findings reinforce the argument that organisations achieve sustainable success by prioritising employee well-being, ethical leadership, trust, and continuous development. Servant leadership shapes organisational culture through stewardship, collaboration, shared values, and organisational learning, strengthening long-term resilience and competitiveness.

Cao and Le (2024) examine leadership and organisational change capability through the mediating role of employee trust. Their findings strongly align with servant leadership theory because servant leaders cultivate trusting relationships through authenticity, transparency, empathy, and ethical behaviour. Employees who perceive leaders as trustworthy and supportive are more willing to embrace organisational change, share innovative ideas, and participate actively in organisational improvement initiatives (Cao & Le, 2024). Trust therefore emerges as a strategic organisational resource that strengthens adaptability, innovation, and long-term organisational resilience.

Nasir et al. (2022) investigate leadership within Pakistani SMEs and demonstrate that employee performance, innovation, and resilience improve when leaders create supportive environments that encourage learning, creativity, and personal growth. From a servant leadership perspective, these findings highlight the importance of empowering employees, encouraging collaboration, and reframing workplace challenges as opportunities for learning and development. By fostering trust, empathy, and employee well-being, servant leaders strengthen organisational innovation, resilience, and sustainable organisational performance within highly competitive business environments (Eva et al., 2019; Northouse, 2022).

9.4 Conclusion

Servant leadership has emerged as one of the most influential people-centred leadership theories in contemporary organisational research because of its demonstrated capacity to enhance employee well-being, organisational commitment, ethical behaviour, innovation, and sustainable organisational performance. Unlike leadership approaches that primarily emphasise organisational outcomes, servant leadership places the needs, development, and empowerment of followers at the centre of leadership practice. The literature reviewed indicates that servant leadership is not merely an ethical leadership style but a strategic organisational capability that strengthens trust, collaboration, resilience, and long-term organisational success.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between servant leadership and employee motivation, engagement, and performance. Servant leaders create supportive work environments by prioritising employees' personal and professional growth, encouraging participation in decision-making, and fostering meaningful interpersonal relationships. Rather than relying on authority, control, or transactional rewards, servant leaders cultivate intrinsic motivation through empowerment, trust, empathy, and stewardship. Employees consequently demonstrate higher organisational commitment, stronger job satisfaction, increased discretionary effort, and improved service quality across sectors including healthcare, education, hospitality, public administration, and small and medium-sized enterprises.

The review further demonstrates that servant leadership plays a critical role in organisational adaptability and change management. Organisations operating within increasingly uncertain and dynamic environments require leaders who build trust, psychological safety, and collaborative cultures that encourage employees to embrace change rather than resist it. The reviewed literature consistently identifies trust as a key mediating mechanism through which servant leadership enhances organisational adaptability and employee resilience. By practising authenticity, active listening, humility, and ethical decision-making, servant leaders strengthen leader–follower relationships, enabling employees to contribute confidently to organisational learning, innovation, and continuous improvement.

Employee development also emerged as a dominant theme throughout the literature. Servant leaders invest in coaching, mentoring, and continuous learning opportunities that enable employees to develop confidence, competence, and professional capability. Studies within educational settings demonstrate that servant leadership enhances teachers' self-efficacy, professional growth, and collaborative learning, while research conducted in business organisations shows that servant leadership strengthens employee creativity, innovation, and knowledge sharing by encouraging autonomy and empowerment. Rather than directing employees through formal authority, servant leaders create environments in which individuals willingly contribute ideas, solve problems collaboratively, and pursue continuous personal development.

Innovation and organisational learning are also strengthened through servant leadership. Although servant leadership does not explicitly emphasise intellectual stimulation in the same

manner as transformational leadership, it promotes innovation by creating psychologically safe workplaces characterised by trust, collaboration, and employee empowerment. Employees who experience supportive leadership are more willing to share knowledge, experiment with new ideas, and participate in organisational problem-solving without fear of criticism or failure. Consequently, servant leadership contributes to organisational resilience by embedding learning, collaboration, and continuous improvement within organisational culture.

The reviewed literature further demonstrates that servant leadership contributes significantly to employee psychological well-being and organisational resilience. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, compassion, humility, and genuine concern for employees' welfare create healthier workplaces characterised by higher morale, lower stress, stronger organisational commitment, and greater emotional resilience. However, the evidence also indicates that these positive outcomes are influenced by organisational factors including social support, workload, organisational culture, and employee well-being initiatives. Accordingly, servant leadership is most effective when supported by organisational systems that reinforce employee health, inclusion, and psychological safety.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-cultural applicability of servant leadership. Studies conducted across Asia, Africa, Europe, the Middle East, and North America consistently demonstrate that servant leadership behaviours, including empathy, stewardship, humility, ethical conduct, and community building, are positively associated with employee satisfaction, organisational commitment, and organisational performance despite differences in national culture and institutional environments. These findings suggest that servant leadership addresses universal human needs for respect, belonging, trust, and personal growth while remaining sufficiently flexible to accommodate cultural differences in leadership practice.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of servant leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from countries such as Somalia, Ghana, Nigeria, Pakistan, and other developing contexts suggests that servant leadership enhances employee motivation, organisational commitment, collaboration, and public service delivery despite institutional constraints and limited resources. By emphasising ethical stewardship, employee empowerment, and community service, servant leaders strengthen organisational resilience and governance while rebuilding trust within institutions operating under challenging socio-economic conditions.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that servant leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by integrating ethical responsibility, social responsibility, employee well-being, and stewardship into organisational practice. Servant leaders encourage employees to adopt socially responsible and environmentally sustainable behaviours by modelling ethical conduct, promoting shared responsibility, and fostering long-term stakeholder relationships. Leadership grounded in stewardship therefore supports organisational sustainability by balancing

economic performance with employee welfare, community development, and environmental responsibility.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that servant leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership theories within contemporary organisational research. Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee performance to strengthening organisational commitment, innovation, adaptability, resilience, employee well-being, ethical behaviour, and sustainable organisational performance across multiple sectors and cultural contexts. Although contextual variables such as organisational culture, employee trust, psychological safety, and institutional support influence leadership effectiveness, the overall evidence consistently supports servant leadership as a strategic organisational capability rather than simply a leadership style.

Accordingly, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate servant leadership competencies, including empathy, active listening, ethical decision-making, stewardship, humility, emotional intelligence, employee empowerment, and community building. As organisations continue to experience technological disruption, global competition, increasing workforce diversity, and complex social and environmental challenges, servant leadership will remain an essential capability for promoting organisational resilience, employee well-being, continuous learning, and sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 10:
TASK-ORIENTED LEADERSHIP

10.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of task-oriented leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating classical leadership theories with recent empirical evidence to explain how task-oriented leadership influences organisational performance, employee productivity, operational efficiency, quality management, and organisational effectiveness. As one of the most established behavioural leadership approaches, task-oriented leadership remains highly relevant in contemporary organisations because of its emphasis on goal achievement, role clarity, planning, coordination, performance monitoring, and efficient resource utilisation (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of task-oriented leadership, tracing its origins to the behavioural leadership studies conducted at Ohio State University and the University of Michigan, which distinguished leadership behaviours focused on task accomplishment from those centred on interpersonal relationships (Northouse, 2022). The Ohio State studies conceptualised initiating structure as a leadership behaviour characterised by organising work, establishing procedures, defining responsibilities, and ensuring that organisational objectives are achieved. Similarly, the Michigan studies identified production-oriented leadership as a style emphasising productivity, efficiency, and performance standards (Yukl, 2020). These foundational theories continue to provide the conceptual framework for understanding task-oriented leadership within modern organisations.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that task-oriented leadership contributes significantly to employee productivity, operational efficiency, service quality, role clarity, and organisational performance (Derue et al., 2021; Inam et al., 2023). By establishing clear objectives, defining expectations, allocating responsibilities, and monitoring performance, task-oriented leaders enable employees to understand organisational priorities and execute their responsibilities efficiently. Consequently, task-oriented leadership remains particularly valuable in organisations operating within highly structured, technology-driven, manufacturing, logistics, healthcare, construction, and public-sector environments where consistency, accuracy, and operational control are essential (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, manufacturing, engineering, construction, public administration, military organisations, hospitality, and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Examining task-oriented leadership across these diverse sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of how

structured leadership behaviours influence organisational performance under different environmental and operational conditions. Although the fundamental principles of task-oriented leadership remain consistent, evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness varies according to organisational complexity, technological requirements, workforce competence, organisational culture, and environmental uncertainty (Eva et al., 2024).

In addition to examining the direct effects of task-oriented leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions task-oriented leadership influences organisational outcomes. Recent studies identify role clarity, employee competence, procedural fairness, performance management systems, organisational commitment, psychological safety, and communication quality as important mechanisms through which task-oriented leadership affects employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Inam et al., 2023; Specchia et al., 2021). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why task-oriented leadership produces different outcomes across organisations and highlights the contextual conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of task-oriented leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted across Africa, Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and North America. Although task-oriented leadership has been widely recognised as an effective leadership approach across different countries, cultural values, institutional arrangements, workforce expectations, and organisational norms influence how directive leadership behaviours are perceived and implemented (House et al., 2004; Northouse, 2022). For example, highly structured leadership may be particularly effective in industries requiring standardisation and regulatory compliance, whereas organisations operating within creative and knowledge-intensive sectors may require greater flexibility and employee autonomy. Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of adapting task-oriented leadership practices to organisational and cultural contexts to maximise effectiveness.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the task-oriented leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding task-oriented leadership outcomes while highlighting areas where further research remains necessary. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as digital leadership, project management, operational excellence, employee well-being, organisational resilience, and technology-enabled performance management, all of which have become increasingly important within contemporary leadership research (Yukl, 2020; Northouse, 2022; Inam et al., 2023).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that task-oriented leadership remains one of the most influential behavioural leadership approaches within organisational studies. Its emphasis on planning, coordination, accountability, performance monitoring, and operational efficiency makes it particularly relevant for organisations operating in increasingly complex, technology-driven, and

performance-oriented environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how task-oriented leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, performance management systems, operational strategies, and organisational policies to improve productivity, service quality, and sustainable organisational performance.

10.2 Overview of Task-Oriented Leadership

Task-oriented leadership has become one of the most influential leadership approaches in organisational research and practice because of its emphasis on planning, organising, coordinating, and monitoring work activities to achieve organisational goals efficiently (Northouse, 2022). Unlike relationship-oriented leadership approaches that prioritise employee development and interpersonal relationships, task-oriented leadership focuses primarily on clarifying roles, setting performance expectations, establishing procedures, and ensuring that tasks are completed according to organisational standards (Fleishman, 1953). The approach emerged from the behavioural leadership tradition, particularly the Ohio State and Michigan leadership studies, which identified initiating structure as a key leadership behaviour associated with effective task performance (Northouse, 2022).

The Ohio State Leadership Studies introduced the concept of initiating structure, describing leaders who define work responsibilities, establish clear objectives, coordinate employee activities, and monitor performance to achieve organisational goals (Northouse, 2022). Similarly, the Michigan Leadership Studies distinguished production-oriented leaders from employee-oriented leaders, with production-oriented leadership closely reflecting the characteristics of task-oriented leadership by emphasising productivity, efficiency, and operational effectiveness (Yukl, 2020). Since then, task-oriented leadership has been widely applied across manufacturing, construction, healthcare, logistics, military organisations, and project management because of its ability to improve coordination, productivity, and operational control.

Task-oriented leadership is defined as a leadership approach in which leaders focus primarily on organising work, assigning responsibilities, setting performance standards, monitoring progress, and ensuring the efficient completion of organisational tasks (Northouse, 2022). Leaders adopting this approach establish clear expectations, provide structured guidance, and monitor employee performance to ensure that organisational objectives are achieved efficiently and consistently (Yukl, 2020). Consequently, task-oriented leadership is particularly effective in environments characterised by routine operations, high accountability, strict quality requirements, and time-sensitive objectives.

Recent studies consistently demonstrate that task-oriented leadership positively influences employee performance, productivity, and operational efficiency. For example, Sousa and Rocha (2022) found that leaders who establish clear performance expectations, define responsibilities,

and provide regular performance monitoring significantly improve employee productivity and organisational effectiveness. Similarly, Ahmed et al. (2023) reported that task-oriented leadership enhances employee performance by improving work coordination, role clarity, and accountability, thereby reducing ambiguity and increasing operational efficiency. These findings support Goal-Setting Theory, which proposes that specific, clearly defined goals improve employee motivation and performance by directing attention towards measurable outcomes (Locke & Latham, 2002).

Operational efficiency represents another important outcome of task-oriented leadership. By developing standardised procedures, coordinating work activities, and closely monitoring task completion, task-oriented leaders reduce errors, improve workflow consistency, and strengthen organisational productivity (Yukl, 2020). Recent evidence indicates that structured leadership practices are particularly beneficial in industries where precision, compliance, and quality assurance are critical, such as healthcare, manufacturing, engineering, and logistics (Ahmed et al., 2023). Such findings suggest that task-oriented leadership creates organisational structures that support consistent performance and effective resource utilisation.

Task-oriented leadership is also recognised for its effectiveness in project execution and organisational coordination. Leaders who establish clear objectives, allocate responsibilities effectively, and monitor project milestones improve organisational performance by ensuring that resources are used efficiently and deadlines are consistently achieved (Northouse, 2022). Within project-based environments, effective task coordination minimises uncertainty, strengthens accountability, and improves communication among team members, thereby increasing the likelihood of successful project delivery (Yukl, 2020).

Research further indicates that contextual factors influence the effectiveness of task-oriented leadership. According to contingency theories of leadership, task-oriented leadership is particularly effective in highly structured environments where tasks are clearly defined, organisational procedures are established, and performance can be objectively measured (Fiedler, 1967). However, in environments characterised by high uncertainty, rapid innovation, or knowledge-intensive work, excessive task control may reduce employee autonomy, creativity, and intrinsic motivation (Northouse, 2022). These findings suggest that task-oriented leadership is most effective when organisational structures support standardisation while allowing sufficient flexibility to address changing operational demands.

Within the healthcare sector, task-oriented leadership has demonstrated positive outcomes for patient safety, operational efficiency, and quality improvement. Leaders who establish clear clinical procedures, coordinate multidisciplinary teams, and monitor compliance with professional standards contribute to improved healthcare performance and patient outcomes (Specchia et al., 2021). Likewise, structured leadership enhances accountability, communication, and coordination among healthcare professionals, thereby supporting safe and effective service delivery (Northouse, 2022).

Beyond operational performance, task-oriented leadership has increasingly been associated with organisational sustainability through efficient resource management, performance

monitoring, and continuous process improvement. Leaders who establish measurable performance indicators, monitor resource utilisation, and promote operational excellence contribute to long-term organisational sustainability by improving efficiency and reducing waste (Ahmed et al., 2023). These structured management practices enable organisations to remain competitive while responding effectively to changing operational and environmental demands.

Empirical evidence from different geographical regions further demonstrates the broad applicability of task-oriented leadership. Studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, and the Middle East consistently show that structured leadership behaviours improve employee performance, operational efficiency, service quality, and project success, particularly in organisations characterised by formal procedures and high accountability (Sousa & Rocha, 2022; Ahmed et al., 2023). These findings suggest that task-oriented leadership provides a universally relevant framework for improving organisational productivity while supporting consistent service delivery across diverse organisational contexts.

Despite its numerous advantages, task-oriented leadership is not without limitations. Successful implementation requires leaders to balance close supervision with appropriate employee autonomy to avoid excessive control, reduced innovation, and lower employee satisfaction (Northouse, 2022). Furthermore, an exclusive focus on task completion may overlook employees' psychological needs, interpersonal relationships, and professional development, potentially reducing long-term engagement and organisational commitment (Yukl, 2020). Nevertheless, extensive empirical evidence demonstrates that task-oriented leadership enhances productivity, operational efficiency, accountability, and organisational performance across multiple sectors. Its emphasis on planning, coordination, monitoring, performance management, and operational control makes it one of the most effective leadership approaches for organisations operating in structured, performance-driven, and quality-focused environments.

10.3 Task-Oriented Leadership

Task-oriented leadership continues to receive considerable scholarly attention because of its demonstrated capacity to improve operational efficiency, employee productivity, task performance, and organisational effectiveness across diverse organisational settings (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Originating from the behavioural leadership studies conducted at Ohio State University and the University of Michigan, task-oriented leadership focuses on initiating structure, production orientation, planning, goal setting, performance monitoring, and ensuring that organisational objectives are achieved efficiently (Northouse, 2022). Unlike relationship-oriented leadership approaches, task-oriented leaders prioritise organising work, clarifying employee responsibilities, establishing performance standards, and coordinating resources to maximise organisational productivity (Yukl, 2020). Recent empirical studies further demonstrate that task-oriented leadership influences organisational outcomes both directly and indirectly through several organisational and psychological mechanisms.

Recent research by Inam et al. (2023) provides compelling evidence of the relationship between task-oriented leadership and employee performance by examining the mediating roles of role clarity and work engagement. Their findings suggest that task-oriented leadership enhances employee effectiveness by establishing clear expectations, structured work processes, and measurable performance objectives. Rather than relying primarily on inspirational motivation, task-oriented leaders minimise ambiguity by clearly defining responsibilities and ensuring employees understand how their individual tasks contribute to organisational objectives. This finding is consistent with behavioural leadership theory, which argues that initiating structure improves employee performance by increasing coordination, accountability, and efficiency (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

The study further demonstrates that role clarity functions as a critical mechanism through which task-oriented leadership improves organisational performance. Employees who clearly understand their responsibilities, reporting relationships, and performance expectations experience greater confidence, higher work engagement, and improved productivity (Inam et al., 2023). Furthermore, structured supervision and continuous performance feedback strengthen employees' ability to complete tasks accurately while reducing role conflict and operational errors. These findings reinforce previous research suggesting that task-oriented leadership is particularly effective in environments where operational consistency, standardisation, and accountability are organisational priorities (Derue et al., 2021; Yukl, 2020).

Consequently, Inam et al.'s (2023) study extends task-oriented leadership theory by demonstrating that sustainable organisational performance emerges through the interaction of effective planning, clearly defined work processes, and employee understanding of organisational expectations. Their findings illustrate that task-oriented leadership functions not merely as a supervisory approach but as a systematic management process that strengthens organisational coordination and operational capability.

Similarly, Specchia et al. (2021) provide important insights into the organisational mechanisms through which task-oriented leadership enhances performance within healthcare organisations. Their systematic review demonstrates that leadership behaviours emphasising planning, coordination, adherence to clinical protocols, and performance monitoring significantly improve service quality, patient safety, and operational effectiveness. Unlike leadership approaches that primarily emphasise interpersonal relationships, task-oriented leadership ensures that healthcare professionals follow evidence-based procedures while maintaining consistent standards of care. These findings align with behavioural leadership theory, which emphasises organising work activities and maintaining performance standards to achieve organisational objectives (Northouse, 2022).

The review particularly identifies structured communication, clearly defined responsibilities, and continuous performance monitoring as significant contributors to organisational effectiveness. Task-oriented leaders strengthen employees' confidence by providing clear operational guidance, establishing measurable objectives, and monitoring compliance with organisational procedures.

As employees gain greater clarity regarding work expectations, they become more capable of completing complex tasks accurately and efficiently, thereby improving organisational outcomes (Specchia et al., 2021). These findings support earlier evidence suggesting that task-oriented leadership enhances organisational effectiveness by promoting procedural consistency, accountability, and quality management (Yukl, 2020).

An important contribution of Specchia et al.'s (2021) research lies in its emphasis on highly regulated organisational environments, where adherence to established procedures is essential for organisational success. In sectors such as healthcare, aviation, manufacturing, and engineering, task-oriented leadership reduces operational errors by ensuring employees consistently follow established standards and protocols. This perspective broadens task-oriented leadership theory by highlighting the importance of operational discipline as a strategic organisational resource that can improve performance in complex, high-risk conditions.

Recent work by Derue et al. (2021) further extends behavioural leadership scholarship by examining the relationship between leadership behaviours and organisational performance across diverse industries. Their findings indicate that task-oriented leadership enhances organisational effectiveness by strengthening coordination, improving decision-making processes, and ensuring consistent implementation of organisational strategies. Rather than focusing primarily on motivating employees emotionally, task-oriented leaders establish systematic work procedures that enable teams to perform efficiently under changing organisational conditions.

The concept of operational coordination aligns closely with task-oriented leadership principles. Leaders who exhibit strong initiating structure behaviours establish priorities, allocate resources effectively, monitor progress, and ensure that organisational objectives are achieved according to predetermined standards (Northouse, 2022). These findings are particularly significant given the increasing complexity, technological advancement, and operational demands characterising modern organisations.

Derue et al. (2021) further demonstrate that task-oriented leadership becomes especially valuable in environments characterised by operational complexity and performance pressure. During periods requiring rapid execution, project delivery, or strict regulatory compliance, employees increasingly depend on leaders who provide clear direction, effective coordination, and continuous monitoring. Under these circumstances, task-oriented leadership improves organisational performance by reducing uncertainty, improving workflow efficiency, and ensuring consistent implementation of organisational objectives.

Collectively, the studies by Inam et al. (2023), Specchia et al. (2021), and Derue et al. (2021) reinforce the multidimensional nature of task-oriented leadership. They demonstrate that task-oriented leadership influences organisational performance through a combination of behavioural and organisational mechanisms, including role clarity, structured communication, performance monitoring, operational coordination, and procedural consistency. These findings extend traditional behavioural leadership theory beyond simple supervisory control by illustrating how task-oriented leaders create organisational systems that improve productivity, quality

management, and operational reliability. Consequently, task-oriented leadership should be viewed not merely as a directive leadership style but as a comprehensive organisational capability that enables efficiency, accountability, and sustainable organisational performance in increasingly complex and competitive environments.

The findings of Derue et al. (2021) demonstrate that task-oriented leadership becomes particularly valuable during periods of operational complexity, when organisations require both efficiency and coordination to remain competitive. By establishing clear objectives, defining work procedures, monitoring performance, and ensuring accountability, task-oriented leaders enable employees to complete tasks accurately while minimising errors and delays. Such behaviours encourage discipline, consistency, and effective resource utilisation, all of which are essential for maintaining organisational performance in demanding operational environments (Northouse, 2022). The study further identifies operational coordination as a critical mechanism through which task-oriented leadership enhances organisational effectiveness. Rather than relying solely on employee motivation, task-oriented leaders strengthen organisational capability by developing structured systems, performance controls, and standard operating procedures that ensure consistent organisational outcomes.

A notable contribution of Derue et al.'s (2021) work is its integration of behavioural leadership theory with contemporary organisational performance research. The authors argue that task-oriented leaders influence organisational effectiveness not only through direct supervision but also by establishing organisational structures and management systems that facilitate efficient task execution. These findings extend traditional task-oriented leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends on creating well-organised operational systems alongside competent employees. Consequently, task-oriented leadership should be viewed as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens long-term organisational efficiency, quality assurance, operational resilience, and sustainable performance (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

Recent research demonstrates that task-oriented leadership plays a significant role in improving organisational performance within healthcare organisations, particularly where patient safety, operational efficiency, and adherence to clinical procedures are essential (Specchia et al., 2021). A systematic review by Specchia et al. (2021) found that leadership approaches emphasising planning, coordination, performance monitoring, and compliance with clinical protocols significantly improve workforce productivity, service quality, and patient outcomes. Given the increasing complexity of healthcare systems, task-oriented leadership provides a structured management approach capable of addressing workforce shortages, improving operational efficiency, and supporting evidence-based healthcare delivery.

The review identifies two interrelated dimensions through which task-oriented leadership enhances healthcare performance: operational coordination and quality assurance. Task-oriented nurse managers improve employee performance by establishing clear responsibilities, defining work procedures, monitoring compliance with clinical standards, and ensuring that organisational objectives are achieved efficiently (Specchia et al., 2021). These leadership behaviours increase

role clarity, reduce operational errors, and improve teamwork, resulting in higher levels of organisational effectiveness and service quality. These findings are consistent with behavioural leadership theory, which argues that initiating structure improves employee performance by providing direction, organisation, and accountability (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

Furthermore, task-oriented leadership contributes to organisational resilience by promoting standardised procedures, continuous performance evaluation, and effective resource allocation. Through careful planning, coordination, and performance monitoring, task-oriented leaders encourage evidence-based decision-making, continuous quality improvement, and compliance with organisational standards. Such leadership behaviours enable healthcare organisations to respond effectively to changing patient needs, technological developments, and operational challenges while maintaining consistent standards of patient care (Specchia et al., 2021). Consequently, task-oriented leadership improves not only employee performance but also organisational efficiency, patient safety, and service reliability.

An important contribution of Specchia et al. (2021) is the recognition of task-oriented leadership as a long-term organisational capability rather than merely a supervisory management style. Rather than focusing solely on interpersonal motivation, task-oriented leadership develops organisational capacity by establishing effective systems, structured workflows, performance standards, and accountability mechanisms (Northouse, 2022). By embedding organisational values such as discipline, consistency, efficiency, and quality assurance into everyday operations, task-oriented leaders cultivate sustainable organisational systems that support both employee productivity and high-quality patient care.

Task-oriented leadership has also been increasingly linked to organisational sustainability through its emphasis on structured environmental management systems and operational control. Ren et al. (2024) demonstrate that leadership focused on clearly defined environmental objectives, systematic implementation of sustainability policies, and performance monitoring significantly improves employees' pro-environmental behaviour and organisational sustainability. Rather than relying primarily on inspirational communication, task-oriented leaders establish environmental performance standards, assign responsibilities, monitor compliance, and evaluate sustainability outcomes, thereby integrating environmental management into routine organisational operations.

The study suggests that organisations achieve stronger sustainability performance when environmental responsibilities are embedded within formal work processes rather than depending solely on employees' voluntary commitment. Through clear environmental policies, measurable objectives, and regular performance evaluation, task-oriented leaders encourage employees to incorporate sustainable practices into their daily work activities (Ren et al., 2024). This structured approach strengthens organisational accountability by aligning individual responsibilities with broader sustainability objectives.

Ren et al. (2024) further identify environmental awareness and procedural compliance as important mechanisms through which structured leadership enhances employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Employees who receive clear environmental expectations, operational

guidance, and regular performance feedback demonstrate greater consistency in implementing sustainable workplace practices. These findings illustrate that task-oriented leadership promotes behavioural change by providing employees with clear operational procedures and measurable performance expectations rather than relying solely on intrinsic motivation.

Importantly, the study also demonstrates that emotional exhaustion moderates the effectiveness of leadership interventions designed to improve environmental performance. Employees experiencing high levels of fatigue are less responsive to structured performance requirements, suggesting that task-oriented leadership should be complemented by appropriate workload management and organisational support to maximise effectiveness (Ren et al., 2024). Consequently, organisations seeking to improve sustainability through task-oriented leadership should combine structured management systems with employee well-being initiatives.

Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) examine the relationship between leadership, strategic planning, and organisational performance within Nigerian higher education institutions. Their findings demonstrate that effective organisational performance depends upon translating strategic plans into operational activities through systematic planning, coordination, and performance management. Within higher education, task-oriented leadership supports institutional effectiveness by defining operational priorities, allocating responsibilities, monitoring progress, and ensuring accountability throughout strategy implementation.

The study identifies strategic planning as a critical mechanism linking task-oriented leadership with organisational performance. Rather than treating strategic planning and leadership as separate organisational functions, task-oriented leaders coordinate institutional activities by establishing measurable objectives, assigning responsibilities, monitoring implementation, and evaluating organisational performance (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). This structured management approach enables academic and administrative staff to align their daily activities with institutional priorities while improving organisational efficiency.

These findings reinforce behavioural leadership theory by demonstrating that effective strategic leadership extends beyond planning to include operational coordination, performance measurement, and continuous evaluation (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Consequently, task-oriented leadership serves as an important organisational capability that enhances strategic execution, operational control, and institutional effectiveness, particularly within complex higher education environments.

Moreover, the findings of Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) demonstrate that strategic planning alone is insufficient to achieve organisational success without effective implementation mechanisms. While strategic plans establish organisational direction, task-oriented leaders ensure successful execution by allocating responsibilities, monitoring performance, coordinating activities, and evaluating progress against predetermined objectives. This reinforces the argument that successful strategy implementation depends upon structured leadership behaviours that promote accountability, operational efficiency, and continuous performance monitoring (Northouse, 2022).

Within the Nigerian higher education sector, these leadership competencies are particularly important because institutions operate within environments characterised by limited financial resources, bureaucratic structures, and increasing performance demands (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). Under such conditions, task-oriented leaders improve organisational effectiveness by developing structured operational systems capable of supporting long-term institutional performance. Consequently, task-oriented leadership emerges as a strategic capability that strengthens organisational efficiency, enhances strategy implementation, and supports sustainable institutional development in resource-constrained environments.

Kim et al. (2023) extend leadership research by examining organisational performance within the hospitality industry, where service quality depends heavily upon operational consistency, employee productivity, and efficient service delivery. Their findings indicate that leadership behaviours emphasising planning, coordination, clearly defined work procedures, and performance monitoring significantly improve employee task performance and service quality.

A particularly important contribution of Kim et al. (2023) is the identification of role clarity and operational coordination as mechanisms linking structured leadership with employee performance. Task-oriented leaders establish clear performance standards, define employee responsibilities, and monitor work outcomes, thereby reducing ambiguity and improving operational efficiency. As employees gain greater clarity regarding organisational expectations, they demonstrate improved task performance, higher productivity, and more consistent service delivery. These findings are consistent with role theory, which suggests that clearly defined work responsibilities improve employee effectiveness by reducing uncertainty and enhancing accountability (Northouse, 2022).

The study further demonstrates that structured work processes contribute significantly to performance within highly competitive service industries. By standardising procedures, monitoring service quality, and continuously evaluating employee performance, task-oriented leaders create organisational environments characterised by operational excellence and service consistency. Such leadership behaviours contribute directly to competitive advantage because efficient service delivery enhances customer satisfaction and organisational performance (Kim et al., 2023). Furthermore, the mediating role of role clarity suggests that task-oriented leadership influences employee behaviour primarily through effective work organisation and operational control rather than through emotional or inspirational leadership. Consequently, task-oriented leadership functions as a strategic organisational capability capable of simultaneously enhancing employee productivity, operational efficiency, and organisational performance.

Task-oriented leadership has been widely examined as an effective leadership approach for enhancing operational performance, efficiency, and goal attainment, particularly in organisations where productivity, quality, and adherence to procedures are critical (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Unlike transformational leadership, which primarily focuses on inspiring and developing followers, task-oriented leadership emphasises planning, organising, coordinating work activities, clarifying employee roles, monitoring performance, and ensuring that organisational objectives

are achieved efficiently (House, 1996; Northouse, 2022). Recent empirical studies suggest that task-oriented leadership remains highly effective in environments requiring standardisation, accountability, and consistent execution, although its effectiveness is influenced by organisational context, employee characteristics, and workplace demands (Banks et al., 2023).

Ayandibu (2024) provides a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary leadership research and demonstrates that task-oriented leadership consistently improves employee productivity, organisational efficiency, and performance across individual, team, departmental, and organisational levels. The review highlights that leaders who establish clear performance expectations, define responsibilities, monitor progress, and provide regular feedback create structured work environments that minimise ambiguity and improve operational effectiveness. These findings are consistent with task-oriented leadership theory, which proposes that leadership effectiveness depends largely on leaders' ability to coordinate work processes and maintain focus on organisational goals (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020).

A significant contribution of Ayandibu's (2024) review is its recognition that task-oriented leadership is not a rigid management approach but rather one that requires leaders to adapt task structures according to organisational circumstances and operational complexity. Effective task-oriented leaders balance planning, supervision, performance monitoring, and resource allocation while ensuring employees possess the knowledge and resources necessary to complete assigned responsibilities successfully. Rather than relying primarily on inspirational leadership behaviours, task-oriented leaders achieve organisational effectiveness through systematic coordination, procedural clarity, and continuous performance evaluation (Ayandibu, 2024). These findings reinforce contingency perspectives suggesting that leadership effectiveness depends on matching leadership behaviours to task requirements and organisational conditions (Fiedler, 1967; Northouse, 2022).

Research also demonstrates that task-oriented leadership contributes to employee performance by strengthening role clarity and reducing workplace uncertainty. Khan et al. (2020) found that clearly defined objectives, structured supervision, and regular performance monitoring significantly improved employee performance by reducing ambiguity and increasing employees' understanding of organisational expectations. Employees who receive explicit guidance regarding task priorities and performance standards are generally more confident in completing assignments efficiently, particularly within organisations characterised by complex workflows or high operational demands. These findings align with Path–Goal Theory, which suggests that directive and task-focused leadership behaviours improve employee performance by clarifying work expectations and removing obstacles to goal attainment (House, 1996).

However, Khan et al. (2020) also observed that task-focused leadership alone has limited capacity to reduce employee burnout and social loafing when broader organisational factors such as excessive workloads, inadequate staffing, poor work-life balance, or insufficient organisational support remain unaddressed. While clear direction and supervision improve operational performance, employee well-being requires complementary organisational interventions that

address workload management, psychological health, and supportive workplace practices. Consequently, task-oriented leadership should be integrated with broader human resource management strategies to ensure that operational efficiency is achieved without compromising employee well-being (Northouse, 2022).

Lindert et al. (2023) provide additional insights into the relationship between leadership behaviours and employee psychological well-being through a longitudinal study examining workplace social capital and leadership effectiveness. Although the study was not exclusively focused on task-oriented leadership, its findings suggest that structured leadership practices contribute positively to psychological well-being when accompanied by supportive organisational environments. Leaders who establish clear work procedures, communicate expectations consistently, and provide reliable performance feedback reduce uncertainty and workplace stress by enabling employees to understand their responsibilities and performance requirements. Nevertheless, the authors conclude that leadership behaviours alone are insufficient to sustain long-term psychological well-being without supportive organisational cultures characterised by trust, collaboration, and social support (Lindert et al., 2023).

Task-oriented leadership has also demonstrated considerable value in complex project environments. Al-Subaie et al. (2021) examined leadership within large-scale megaprojects and found that successful project delivery depends heavily on leaders' ability to coordinate multidisciplinary teams, establish clear operational objectives, allocate resources efficiently, and monitor project performance continuously. Their findings suggest that structured planning, performance control, risk management, and effective communication represent essential leadership competencies within highly complex organisational settings. Rather than focusing primarily on employee inspiration, task-oriented leaders ensure that organisational activities remain aligned with project objectives, timelines, and quality standards despite environmental uncertainty.

An important contribution of Al-Subaie et al. (2021) is the identification of organisational systems that strengthen leadership effectiveness. Strategic planning, communication systems, role clarification, and performance monitoring emerged as critical enablers of successful project implementation. These findings reinforce task-oriented leadership theory by demonstrating that effective leadership depends not only on individual leader behaviours but also on organisational structures that support coordination, accountability, and operational control (Yukl, 2020).

Educational research similarly supports the effectiveness of task-oriented leadership in promoting organisational performance. Lin et al. (2022) found that school leaders who established clear instructional objectives, monitored teaching performance, and implemented structured professional development programmes significantly enhanced teachers' instructional effectiveness and professional competence. By providing clear expectations, systematic evaluation, and continuous feedback, task-oriented school leaders strengthened teachers' confidence and instructional quality. These findings support leadership theories suggesting that effective

performance management contributes to both organisational efficiency and professional development (Northouse, 2022).

Cross-cultural evidence further demonstrates the broad applicability of task-oriented leadership. Caza et al. (2021) found that leadership behaviours centred on goal clarity, accountability, consistency, and performance monitoring were positively perceived across multiple countries and organisational settings, although implementation strategies varied according to cultural expectations. While employees from different cultures may prefer varying levels of autonomy or participation, clear communication of organisational objectives and consistent performance expectations remained universally valued. These findings suggest that task-oriented leadership possesses considerable cross-cultural relevance because employees generally benefit from structured work environments that reduce uncertainty and clarify performance requirements (Caza et al., 2021).

Task-oriented leadership has also proven particularly valuable during organisational crises and resource-constrained environments. Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) found that humanitarian organisations operating under political instability and resource scarcity achieved stronger operational performance when leaders prioritised planning, coordination, accountability, and effective resource allocation. Under crisis conditions, clearly defined responsibilities and structured decision-making processes enabled organisations to maintain service delivery despite external uncertainty. These findings indicate that task-oriented leadership enhances organisational resilience by ensuring continuity of operations through disciplined planning and effective coordination.

Overall, the reviewed literature demonstrates that task-oriented leadership remains a highly effective leadership approach for improving organisational performance, operational efficiency, project execution, and service delivery. Across healthcare, education, humanitarian organisations, large-scale infrastructure projects, and multinational settings, task-oriented leadership consistently enhances role clarity, accountability, coordination, and productivity through structured planning, performance monitoring, and systematic supervision (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). However, the evidence also indicates that its effectiveness is greatest when balanced with supportive organisational practices that address employee well-being, communication, and organisational culture. Consequently, task-oriented leadership should be viewed not merely as a supervisory approach but as a strategic organisational capability that enables consistent performance, operational excellence, and effective goal achievement in increasingly complex organisational environments.

Task-oriented leadership has been recognised as an effective leadership approach in organisations where operational efficiency, role clarity, and performance consistency are essential. However, recent research acknowledges that implementing task-oriented leadership can present challenges within highly bureaucratic or hierarchical organisations where rigid procedures may reduce flexibility and employee responsiveness. While task-oriented leaders establish clear objectives, monitor performance, and standardise work processes, excessive emphasis on task

completion may restrict employee initiative and adaptive problem-solving when organisational environments become highly dynamic (Northouse, 2022). Consequently, recent leadership scholarship argues that the effectiveness of task-oriented leadership depends largely on organisational context, workforce characteristics, and the complexity of operational tasks rather than representing a universally effective leadership approach (Yukl, 2020; Derue et al., 2011). Leadership development programmes should therefore equip managers with competencies in planning, coordination, communication, and situational decision-making while ensuring sufficient flexibility to respond to changing organisational demands.

Recent studies conducted in developing economies demonstrate that task-oriented leadership remains particularly valuable where organisations operate under resource constraints, institutional instability, and increasing performance expectations. In such environments, leaders who establish clear performance standards, define employee responsibilities, and closely monitor operational activities improve organisational efficiency and reduce uncertainty (Musinguzi et al., 2023). Rather than relying primarily on inspirational leadership behaviours, task-oriented leaders promote organisational effectiveness through systematic planning, efficient allocation of resources, structured supervision, and continuous performance monitoring. These leadership behaviours enable organisations to maintain operational stability while improving accountability and service delivery, particularly where institutional capacity remains limited.

A significant contribution of contemporary task-oriented leadership research is its recognition that leadership extends beyond interpersonal influence to encompass operational planning, resource management, and organisational coordination. Task-oriented leaders facilitate organisational effectiveness by developing clear procedures, aligning employee activities with organisational objectives, and ensuring that strategic priorities are translated into measurable operational outcomes (Northouse, 2022). This systems-oriented perspective positions task-oriented leadership as a management capability that enhances organisational performance through effective coordination, planning, and performance control rather than through employee inspiration alone. Consequently, leadership development initiatives should strengthen managers' competencies in project planning, work scheduling, quality assurance, and performance evaluation while adapting these practices to local organisational conditions.

Within the public sector, task-oriented leadership has been widely recognised as an effective approach for improving administrative efficiency, accountability, and service delivery. Public organisations frequently operate within highly formalised structures where compliance with regulations, performance standards, and operational procedures is essential. Under such conditions, task-oriented leaders improve organisational effectiveness by establishing clear work objectives, monitoring employee performance, coordinating departmental activities, and ensuring compliance with organisational policies (Fernandez & Moldogaziev, 2021). These leadership behaviours contribute to greater organisational consistency, improved service quality, and enhanced public accountability, particularly in developing countries where administrative systems often face institutional challenges.

Research further suggests that task-oriented leadership contributes to organisational resilience by maintaining operational stability during periods of organisational change. Rather than relying on visionary communication, task-oriented leaders minimise uncertainty through structured planning, detailed work allocation, and continuous supervision. These practices provide employees with clear expectations and procedural guidance, reducing ambiguity and improving organisational coordination during periods of institutional reform or environmental uncertainty (Yukl, 2020). Consequently, task-oriented leadership represents an important governance strategy for strengthening organisational performance within public-sector organisations operating under resource constraints and complex administrative environments.

Recent evidence also indicates that task-oriented leadership positively influences organisational citizenship behaviours when accompanied by fair performance management and supportive organisational practices. Employees working under leaders who establish clear expectations, monitor performance consistently, and provide constructive feedback often demonstrate greater role clarity, accountability, and commitment to organisational objectives (Judge et al., 2004). However, contemporary studies caution that task-oriented leadership alone may not fully promote discretionary employee behaviours unless balanced with interpersonal support and effective communication. This finding highlights the importance of integrating task-oriented management practices with appropriate relationship-oriented behaviours to maximise organisational effectiveness.

Studies conducted within African public-sector organisations further reinforce the importance of task-oriented leadership for improving organisational performance. Research demonstrates that leaders who clearly define responsibilities, allocate resources effectively, establish measurable performance targets, and monitor employee progress achieve higher levels of productivity and organisational efficiency despite institutional constraints (Musinguzi et al., 2023). These findings suggest that task-oriented leadership is particularly effective where organisations require strong coordination, operational discipline, and consistent implementation of organisational policies.

Contemporary leadership research also demonstrates that different dimensions of task-oriented leadership contribute differently to organisational outcomes. Planning, work coordination, goal setting, performance monitoring, and procedural control each influence employee behaviour in distinct ways. Goal clarification and performance monitoring are consistently associated with improved employee productivity, while effective work scheduling and resource coordination strengthen organisational efficiency and reduce operational delays (Yukl, 2020). These findings suggest that task-oriented leadership should not be viewed as a single behavioural construct but rather as a multidimensional management approach that integrates planning, supervision, coordination, and performance evaluation.

The effectiveness of task-oriented leadership is particularly evident within public-sector organisations characterised by administrative complexity, limited resources, and increasing accountability requirements. Under such conditions, leaders who establish clear objectives, monitor progress systematically, and maintain consistent operational control improve employee

performance while ensuring compliance with organisational standards (Northouse, 2022). Nevertheless, scholars emphasise that effective task-oriented leadership requires adaptation to organisational context, recognising that different organisational environments require varying levels of supervision, employee autonomy, and operational flexibility. Consequently, leadership development programmes should integrate competencies in planning, coordination, performance management, and situational leadership to ensure that task-oriented leadership remains responsive to organisational and cultural realities.

Task-oriented leadership has consistently been associated with improved organisational performance through its emphasis on planning, coordination, role clarification, and performance monitoring. Recent systematic reviews indicate that task-oriented leadership remains one of the most effective leadership approaches for organisations seeking operational efficiency, particularly within environments characterised by high task interdependence and clearly defined organisational objectives (Yukl, 2020; Northouse, 2022). Drawing upon empirical evidence from diverse organisational settings, contemporary research demonstrates that leaders who establish clear goals, define employee responsibilities, and monitor task completion contribute significantly to productivity, service quality, and organisational effectiveness (Musinguzi et al., 2023). Unlike leadership approaches that primarily focus on employee inspiration or empowerment, task-oriented leadership promotes organisational success by ensuring that work processes are systematically organised and aligned with strategic objectives.

The literature further demonstrates that the principal dimensions of task-oriented leadership—including goal setting, work planning, task allocation, performance monitoring, and procedural control—consistently contribute to enhanced organisational performance across a wide range of sectors. By establishing clear expectations and maintaining effective supervision, task-oriented leaders reduce role ambiguity, improve coordination, and ensure that organisational resources are utilised efficiently (Judge et al., 2004). Beyond improving individual performance, task-oriented leadership strengthens organisational systems by promoting accountability, operational consistency, and effective decision-making. Consequently, task-oriented leadership is increasingly recognised as a strategic management capability that enhances organisational productivity, operational resilience, and long-term organisational effectiveness (Yukl, 2020).

A particularly significant contribution of recent leadership research is the recognition that task-oriented leadership maintains its effectiveness across diverse organisational and cultural settings, although its implementation requires adaptation to contextual conditions. Organisations operating within manufacturing, healthcare, logistics, public administration, and project-based industries consistently report positive relationships between structured leadership behaviours and employee performance because clearly defined procedures reduce uncertainty and improve workflow coordination (Northouse, 2022). Contemporary leadership scholars therefore argue that organisations should invest in developing task-oriented leadership competencies such as operational planning, performance management, quality control, and effective resource

coordination to strengthen organisational performance and achieve sustainable operational success (Musinguzi et al., 2023).

Recent research has also extended task-oriented leadership theory by examining its contribution to organisational change capability. Studies demonstrate that effective organisational change depends not only on employee commitment but also on leaders' ability to establish structured implementation plans, coordinate resources, define responsibilities, and monitor progress throughout the change process (Yukl, 2020). Rather than relying primarily on interpersonal influence, task-oriented leaders facilitate organisational change through systematic planning, continuous supervision, and clear communication of operational expectations. These leadership behaviours reduce uncertainty by ensuring that employees understand their responsibilities and performance requirements during organisational transitions.

Furthermore, effective communication and procedural clarity have been identified as important mechanisms through which task-oriented leadership enhances organisational adaptability. Employees who receive explicit guidance, regular performance feedback, and clearly communicated objectives are more likely to support organisational change because uncertainty regarding work expectations is substantially reduced (Northouse, 2022). This evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness during organisational transformation depends not only upon interpersonal relationships but also upon the leader's capacity to organise work effectively, coordinate employee activities, and ensure consistent implementation of organisational strategies.

The importance of task-oriented leadership is particularly evident within small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), where limited financial and human resources require efficient operational management. SMEs frequently operate under conditions of intense competition and environmental uncertainty, making effective planning, scheduling, and resource utilisation essential for organisational survival (Müller & Turner, 2021). Research indicates that task-oriented leaders improve organisational performance by establishing measurable objectives, monitoring workflow, and ensuring that limited organisational resources are allocated efficiently. Through structured coordination and systematic supervision, these leaders strengthen operational efficiency while enabling organisations to respond effectively to changing business demands.

Similarly, contemporary research demonstrates that task-oriented leadership contributes positively to employee performance by reducing ambiguity, clarifying performance expectations, and encouraging disciplined execution of organisational objectives. Employees working under task-oriented leaders typically experience greater role clarity because leaders specify responsibilities, establish deadlines, monitor performance, and provide corrective feedback where necessary (Judge et al., 2004). These leadership practices contribute to improved productivity by ensuring that employees remain focused on organisational priorities while maintaining high standards of work quality and accountability.

Task-oriented leadership also influences employees' responses to workplace stress by reducing uncertainty associated with poorly defined roles and inconsistent supervision. Clearly structured work procedures, effective planning, and regular performance monitoring enable

employees to manage workloads more efficiently and understand organisational expectations (Yukl, 2020). However, scholars caution that excessive emphasis on task completion without sufficient interpersonal support may reduce employee satisfaction and organisational commitment. Consequently, contemporary leadership research increasingly recommends combining task-oriented leadership with relationship-oriented behaviours to balance operational efficiency with employee well-being (Northouse, 2022).

Collectively, recent empirical evidence reinforces the proposition that task-oriented leadership represents a strategic organisational capability for improving operational efficiency, employee performance, and organisational effectiveness. Rather than relying primarily on inspirational communication or charismatic influence, task-oriented leaders achieve organisational success by establishing clear objectives, coordinating organisational resources, monitoring performance, and maintaining procedural consistency. These leadership behaviours are particularly valuable in organisations characterised by complex operations, resource constraints, and high performance expectations, where systematic planning and effective execution directly influence organisational competitiveness and long-term sustainability (Musinguzi et al., 2023; Yukl, 2020).

10.4 Conclusion

Task-oriented leadership, characterised by systematic planning, goal setting, task allocation, performance monitoring, and adherence to organisational procedures, has consistently demonstrated its capacity to improve employee performance, operational efficiency, organisational effectiveness, and service quality across diverse organisational contexts. The literature reviewed indicates that task-oriented leadership is not merely a supervisory leadership style but a strategic management capability that enables organisations to achieve operational objectives, maintain performance standards, and enhance organisational productivity through structured coordination and effective resource management.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between task-oriented leadership and employee performance. Task-oriented leaders establish clear expectations, define employee responsibilities, monitor work progress, and provide timely feedback, thereby improving role clarity, accountability, and productivity. Rather than relying primarily on inspirational communication or personal influence, these leaders enhance employee performance by creating structured work environments in which employees clearly understand organisational objectives, performance standards, and expected outcomes. This pattern has been observed across sectors including manufacturing, healthcare, construction, logistics, public administration, and project management, where operational efficiency and procedural consistency are fundamental to organisational success.

The review also demonstrates that task-oriented leadership plays a significant role in organisational planning and change implementation. In increasingly complex and competitive environments, task-oriented leaders facilitate organisational change by establishing

implementation plans, coordinating resources, defining responsibilities, and monitoring progress throughout the change process. Rather than reducing uncertainty through inspirational vision alone, task-oriented leaders minimise ambiguity by providing employees with clear procedures, measurable objectives, and structured guidance. Research suggests that leadership effectiveness during organisational change depends not only on organisational direction but also on leaders' ability to coordinate activities, maintain operational control, and ensure consistent execution of organisational strategies.

Operational efficiency and performance consistency emerged as dominant themes throughout the reviewed literature. Studies consistently demonstrate that task-oriented leaders improve organisational effectiveness by emphasising planning, scheduling, quality control, and systematic supervision. Through clearly defined work procedures and continuous performance monitoring, these leaders minimise operational errors, reduce role ambiguity, and improve workflow coordination. Such structured leadership practices contribute to organisational resilience by ensuring that work processes remain efficient and predictable despite changing organisational demands. These findings reinforce classical leadership theory, which identifies initiating structure as a key determinant of employee performance and organisational effectiveness.

The literature further demonstrates that task-oriented leadership contributes to organisational stability and operational resilience by promoting discipline, accountability, and consistent execution of organisational policies. Leaders who establish performance standards, monitor employee activities, and ensure compliance with organisational procedures create work environments characterised by reliability, efficiency, and improved service delivery. Nevertheless, evidence also indicates that leadership effectiveness depends upon contextual factors including organisational culture, employee competence, task complexity, and environmental uncertainty. Excessive emphasis on supervision or procedural control may reduce employee autonomy and creativity, suggesting that task-oriented leadership is most effective when balanced with appropriate interpersonal support and situational flexibility.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-sector applicability of task-oriented leadership. Studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, and North America demonstrate that structured leadership behaviours such as planning, coordination, task clarification, and performance monitoring consistently improve organisational outcomes despite differences in organisational structure, industry, and national context. Although leadership practices require adaptation to local organisational conditions, the fundamental principles of task-oriented leadership remain highly relevant because organisations universally require effective planning, coordination, and performance management to achieve strategic objectives.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of task-oriented leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence suggests that organisations operating under institutional constraints, resource limitations, and increasing accountability requirements benefit significantly from leadership approaches that emphasise operational discipline, procedural

compliance, and efficient resource utilisation. By establishing measurable objectives, coordinating employee activities, and maintaining effective supervision, task-oriented leaders improve organisational accountability, service delivery, and administrative performance despite challenging operating environments. These findings reinforce the argument that task-oriented leadership is particularly valuable where organisational success depends upon effective coordination, standardisation, and consistent implementation of policies and procedures.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that task-oriented leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by strengthening operational efficiency, improving resource management, and enhancing long-term organisational performance. Effective planning, quality assurance, risk management, and continuous performance evaluation enable organisations to minimise waste, optimise resource utilisation, and maintain consistent service standards. Within sectors such as healthcare, construction, manufacturing, and public administration, these leadership practices contribute to organisational resilience by ensuring operational continuity while supporting sustainable organisational development and effective governance.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that task-oriented leadership remains one of the most effective leadership approaches for organisations seeking operational excellence, consistent performance, and organisational efficiency. Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee productivity to strengthening organisational coordination, accountability, planning, service quality, and long-term organisational effectiveness across multiple sectors. Although contextual variables such as organisational culture, employee capability, task complexity, and environmental uncertainty influence leadership effectiveness, the overall evidence consistently supports task-oriented leadership as a strategic organisational capability rather than merely a supervisory management style.

Consequently, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that strengthen task-oriented competencies, including strategic planning, project management, performance monitoring, quality assurance, operational decision-making, and resource coordination. As organisations continue to experience technological advancement, increasing competition, and growing demands for operational efficiency, task-oriented leadership will remain an essential capability for achieving organisational effectiveness, maintaining service quality, and supporting long-term organisational success.

Chapter 11:
TRANSACTIONAL LEADERSHIP

11.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of transactional leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review integrates classical leadership theory with recent empirical evidence to evaluate how transactional leadership influences employee performance, organisational efficiency, job satisfaction, compliance, and operational effectiveness. As one of the foundational leadership theories in organisational behaviour, transactional leadership remains highly relevant in contemporary organisations because of its emphasis on structured supervision, performance management, accountability, and reward-based motivation (Northouse, 2022; Antonakis & Day, 2021).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of transactional leadership, tracing its origins to Burns' (1978) distinction between transformational and transactional leadership, and then considering Bass's (1985) expansion of the theory within organisational settings. Burns (1978) described transactional leadership as an exchange process whereby leaders and followers satisfy mutual needs through negotiated rewards and obligations. Bass (1985) subsequently operationalised the theory by identifying two principal dimensions: contingent reward and management-by-exception, with the latter comprising both active and passive forms of leadership intervention (Bass & Avolio, 1994). These dimensions provide the conceptual framework for evaluating the studies reviewed throughout this chapter.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that transactional leadership contributes significantly to employee performance, role clarity, organisational efficiency, procedural compliance, and short-term organisational effectiveness (Purwanto et al., 2023; Ali et al., 2022). By establishing clear performance expectations, monitoring employee behaviour, and reinforcing achievement through rewards and corrective actions, transactional leaders ensure that organisational objectives are achieved efficiently. Consequently, transactional leadership remains particularly valuable in organisations characterised by routine operations, standardised procedures, regulatory requirements, and measurable performance outcomes (Northouse, 2022).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, manufacturing, education, public administration, financial services, logistics, engineering, hospitality, and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Examining transactional leadership across these diverse sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of how reward systems, performance monitoring, and supervisory practices influence organisational outcomes within different institutional and cultural contexts. Although the fundamental principles of transactional leadership remain relatively consistent, evidence suggests that its effectiveness

depends upon organisational structure, employee characteristics, task complexity, and environmental stability (Antonakis & Day, 2021).

In addition to examining the direct effects of transactional leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions transactional leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify contingent reward systems, employee motivation, organisational commitment, job satisfaction, role clarity, organisational justice, and performance feedback as important mechanisms through which transactional leadership improves employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Purwanto et al., 2023; Nguyen & Pham, 2022). Understanding these mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why transactional leadership produces positive outcomes in structured organisational environments while also highlighting the conditions under which its effectiveness may be constrained.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of transactional leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted in Asia, Europe, Africa, the Middle East, and North America. Although transactional leadership has been widely recognised as an effective leadership approach across different national contexts, cultural values, institutional arrangements, and organisational norms influence how reward systems, authority, and supervisory practices are perceived by employees (Northouse, 2022). For example, employees operating within high power-distance cultures often respond positively to clearly defined authority relationships and structured performance expectations, whereas employees in more participative organisational cultures may prefer greater autonomy and empowerment. Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of adapting transactional leadership practices to suit different organisational and cultural environments.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the transactional leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding transactional leadership outcomes while highlighting areas requiring further investigation. Particular attention is given to contemporary issues such as digital performance management, remote supervision, employee well-being, organisational resilience, performance measurement, and the integration of transactional leadership with other leadership approaches (Antonakis & Day, 2021; Northouse, 2022).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that transactional leadership remains one of the most influential and practically applicable leadership theories within organisational studies. Its emphasis on contingent rewards, performance monitoring, accountability, and operational control makes it particularly relevant for organisations operating in structured, compliance-driven, and performance-oriented environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how transactional leadership can be integrated into leadership

development programmes, organisational policies, and performance management systems to improve organisational effectiveness while maintaining operational stability and accountability.

11.2 Overview of Transactional Leadership

Transactional leadership is one of the most established leadership theories in organisational research and practice. The theory was first conceptualised by Burns (1978), who distinguished transactional leadership from transformational leadership. According to Burns (1978), transactional leadership is based on exchanges between leaders and followers, whereby employees receive rewards, recognition, or corrective feedback in return for achieving predetermined organisational objectives. Unlike transformational leadership, which seeks to inspire employees to exceed expectations through shared vision and personal development, transactional leadership focuses primarily on maintaining organisational stability, ensuring compliance with established procedures, and achieving short-term performance goals.

Bass (1985) further developed the theory by proposing that transactional leadership operates through two principal dimensions: contingent reward and management-by-exception. Contingent reward involves clarifying performance expectations and providing rewards when employees successfully achieve agreed objectives, while management-by-exception involves monitoring employee performance and intervening when deviations from established standards occur (Bass, 1985; Bass & Avolio, 1994). Management-by-exception may be active, where leaders continuously monitor performance and correct errors immediately, or passive, where intervention occurs only after problems have become significant (Northouse, 2022). These dimensions make transactional leadership particularly suitable for organisations requiring consistency, efficiency, compliance, and operational control.

Transactional leadership is defined as a leadership approach that motivates employees through structured exchanges, performance monitoring, and the use of rewards and corrective actions to achieve organisational objectives (Northouse, 2022). Rather than emphasising employee empowerment or organisational transformation, transactional leaders establish clear expectations, allocate responsibilities, measure performance against predefined standards, and reinforce desired behaviours through incentives and accountability mechanisms (Antonakis & Day, 2021). Consequently, transactional leadership is particularly effective in organisations operating within stable environments where efficiency, standardisation, quality control, and regulatory compliance are critical.

Recent empirical studies continue to demonstrate that transactional leadership positively influences employee performance, productivity, and operational efficiency. For example, Thanh and Quang (2023) found that contingent reward leadership significantly improved employee performance by clarifying expectations and reinforcing desired workplace behaviours. Similarly, Ali et al. (2022) reported that transactional leadership enhanced employee productivity and organisational effectiveness through structured supervision, performance monitoring, and reward systems. These findings support reinforcement theory, which suggests that employees are more

likely to repeat behaviours that are positively reinforced through rewards and recognition (Skinner, 1953).

Employee motivation remains an important outcome of transactional leadership, particularly where extrinsic rewards play a central role. Transactional leaders motivate employees by linking effort directly to measurable rewards such as financial incentives, promotion opportunities, recognition, and performance bonuses (Northouse, 2022). Recent evidence suggests that contingent reward leadership strengthens employee commitment and task performance by providing clarity regarding organisational expectations and performance standards (Purwanto et al., 2023). Employees are therefore encouraged to achieve organisational objectives because they understand the direct relationship between performance and rewards.

Transactional leadership has also demonstrated considerable effectiveness in improving operational performance within manufacturing, logistics, healthcare, and public-sector organisations. In environments characterised by routine operations, strict regulations, and clearly defined procedures, transactional leaders ensure consistency by monitoring performance, correcting deviations, and maintaining quality standards (Antonakis & Day, 2021). Studies have shown that organisations employing transactional leadership often experience improvements in productivity, process efficiency, and service reliability because employees understand their responsibilities and remain accountable for meeting organisational targets (Nguyen & Pham, 2022).

Within healthcare organisations, transactional leadership contributes to patient safety and operational efficiency by ensuring adherence to clinical guidelines, regulatory standards, and evidence-based procedures. Nurse managers adopting contingent reward systems have been shown to improve staff compliance with organisational policies while maintaining consistent healthcare quality (Specchia et al., 2021). Likewise, healthcare administrators frequently utilise transactional leadership to coordinate multidisciplinary teams, allocate resources effectively, and ensure compliance with patient safety protocols.

Transactional leadership is also widely recognised for its effectiveness during organisational implementation and project execution. Leaders establish measurable objectives, allocate resources, monitor progress, and provide regular performance feedback to ensure projects remain on schedule and within budget (Northouse, 2022). Such leadership behaviours are particularly valuable in construction, engineering, manufacturing, and public administration, where organisational success depends upon adherence to established procedures, deadlines, and performance indicators.

Research further suggests that contextual factors influence the effectiveness of transactional leadership. While contingent reward consistently demonstrates positive relationships with employee performance and job satisfaction, passive management-by-exception is generally associated with lower employee morale, reduced engagement, and weaker organisational commitment because leaders intervene only after problems emerge (Antonakis & Day, 2021). Conversely, active management-by-exception may contribute positively to organisational

performance where continuous monitoring, quality assurance, and risk management are organisational priorities.

Cross-cultural research indicates that transactional leadership remains effective across different national contexts, particularly within cultures characterised by higher power distance and stronger preferences for organisational structure and clear authority relationships (Northouse, 2022). Studies conducted in Asia, the Middle East, and Africa have shown that employees frequently respond positively to clearly defined performance expectations, formal authority, and reward-based leadership because these behaviours reduce uncertainty and provide role clarity (Purwanto et al., 2023). Nevertheless, leadership effectiveness continues to depend on organisational culture, employee expectations, and institutional context.

Despite its strengths, transactional leadership has several recognised limitations. Because the approach relies primarily on extrinsic motivation, employees may focus only on achieving minimum performance requirements necessary to obtain rewards rather than pursuing innovation or continuous improvement (Northouse, 2022). Furthermore, transactional leadership is generally less effective in environments characterised by rapid technological change, organisational transformation, and knowledge-intensive work, where creativity, collaboration, and adaptability are essential (Antonakis & Day, 2021). Excessive reliance on monitoring and corrective action may also reduce employee autonomy, intrinsic motivation, and organisational commitment over time.

Nevertheless, extensive empirical evidence continues to support transactional leadership as an effective approach for improving organisational efficiency, accountability, and performance in stable organisational environments. Its emphasis on contingent rewards, performance monitoring, procedural compliance, and operational control makes it particularly appropriate for organisations where standardisation, quality assurance, and measurable performance outcomes are strategic priorities. As organisations continue to balance operational efficiency with increasing environmental complexity, transactional leadership remains an essential leadership approach that complements other leadership styles by ensuring consistency, accountability, and the effective achievement of organisational objectives.

11.3 Transactional Leadership

Transactional leadership continues to receive considerable scholarly attention because of its effectiveness in improving organisational efficiency, employee performance, procedural compliance, and operational control across diverse organisational settings (Northouse, 2022; Antonakis & Day, 2021). Originating from Burns' (1978) distinction between transformational and transactional leadership and subsequently expanded by Bass (1985), transactional leadership is characterised by two principal dimensions: contingent reward and management-by-exception. Through these mechanisms, leaders clarify performance expectations, monitor employee behaviour, and reinforce desired outcomes using rewards and corrective actions (Bass & Avolio, 1994). Recent empirical studies demonstrate that transactional leadership continues to influence

organisational performance through structured management practices, accountability systems, and clearly defined performance standards.

Purwanto et al. (2023) provide compelling evidence of the relationship between transactional leadership and employee performance by examining the role of contingent reward systems in enhancing organisational effectiveness. Their findings indicate that employees perform more effectively when leaders establish clear objectives, communicate explicit expectations, and provide rewards contingent upon successful task completion. Rather than encouraging employees to pursue organisational change through inspiration, transactional leaders motivate performance by linking effort directly to measurable organisational outcomes. These findings are consistent with transactional leadership theory, which proposes that employees respond positively when performance expectations and rewards are clearly defined (Bass, 1985; Northouse, 2022).

The study further demonstrates that contingent reward functions as a significant motivational mechanism through which transactional leadership improves employee productivity. Employees who understand organisational expectations and perceive reward systems as fair become more committed to achieving performance targets and maintaining organisational standards. These findings reinforce previous research suggesting that transactional leadership enhances employee accountability, task performance, and organisational efficiency through structured supervision and performance-based incentives (Ali et al., 2022; Purwanto et al., 2023). Furthermore, the authors found that organisational support, including effective performance appraisal systems and transparent reward policies, strengthens the positive effects of transactional leadership, indicating that leadership effectiveness depends upon appropriate organisational systems and management processes.

Consequently, Purwanto et al.'s (2023) study extends transactional leadership theory by demonstrating that sustained organisational performance emerges through the interaction of effective reward systems, performance monitoring, and organisational support. Their findings suggest that transactional leadership should be viewed not merely as a supervisory style but as an operational management process that aligns employee behaviour with organisational objectives through clearly defined performance expectations and accountability mechanisms.

Similarly, Ali et al. (2022) provide important insights into the organisational mechanisms through which transactional leadership enhances employee performance. Their study examines the mediating role of organisational commitment in explaining the relationship between transactional leadership and employee productivity. Unlike research focusing primarily on inspirational leadership behaviours, Ali et al. (2022) demonstrate that transactional leadership improves employee performance by establishing predictable work environments characterised by fairness, consistency, and performance-based rewards. These findings align with transactional leadership theory, which emphasises that employees are motivated when leaders consistently recognise achievement and apply organisational policies objectively (Bass & Avolio, 1994).

The study identifies contingent reward as the strongest predictor of employee performance. Leaders who recognise employee achievements, provide constructive feedback, and reward

successful performance encourage greater task completion and organisational commitment. Employees therefore become more willing to meet organisational objectives because they perceive a clear relationship between performance and organisational rewards. These findings support earlier evidence suggesting that transactional leadership strengthens employee motivation through reinforcement and performance management rather than through intrinsic inspiration or organisational vision (Antonakis & Day, 2021; Northouse, 2022).

An important contribution of Ali et al.'s (2022) research lies in its focus on organisations operating within developing economies, where resource constraints and organisational uncertainty often require efficient performance management systems. Under such conditions, transactional leadership provides organisational stability by reinforcing accountability, ensuring procedural compliance, and maintaining consistent employee performance despite environmental challenges. Rather than relying primarily on organisational transformation, transactional leaders maintain operational effectiveness through systematic supervision and clearly communicated performance standards.

Nguyen and Pham (2022) further extend transactional leadership research by investigating operational performance within manufacturing organisations. Their findings indicate that transactional leadership enhances productivity by strengthening organisations' ability to monitor quality standards, coordinate production activities, and maintain operational consistency. Rather than focusing primarily on organisational change or innovation, transactional leaders establish structured management systems that enable employees to complete tasks efficiently while minimising operational errors.

The concept of operational control aligns closely with transactional leadership principles. Leaders adopting contingent reward systems establish clear production targets, monitor compliance with organisational procedures, and intervene promptly when performance deviates from expected standards. Through active management-by-exception, leaders identify potential operational problems before they affect organisational performance, thereby ensuring consistent productivity and quality assurance (Northouse, 2022). These findings are particularly significant in industries characterised by routine operations, standardisation, and strict quality requirements.

Nguyen and Pham (2022) further demonstrate that structured performance monitoring strengthens the positive relationship between transactional leadership and operational efficiency. In highly competitive manufacturing environments, employees rely upon clear supervision, regular performance evaluation, and objective feedback to achieve production targets. Under these circumstances, transactional leadership becomes increasingly valuable because it reduces ambiguity, reinforces accountability, and improves organisational coordination. These findings support contemporary leadership research suggesting that transactional leadership is particularly effective where organisations prioritise efficiency, quality control, and operational reliability (Antonakis & Day, 2021).

Collectively, the studies by Purwanto et al. (2023), Ali et al. (2022), and Nguyen and Pham (2022) reinforce the multidimensional nature of transactional leadership. They demonstrate that

transactional leadership influences organisational performance through structured management practices, including contingent rewards, performance monitoring, organisational commitment, and operational control. These findings extend traditional transactional leadership theory beyond simple reward exchanges by illustrating how effective performance management systems strengthen organisational efficiency and employee accountability. Consequently, transactional leadership should be viewed not merely as a supervisory leadership style but as a strategic management capability that enhances operational performance, organisational stability, and sustainable productivity.

The findings of Nguyen and Pham (2022) further demonstrate that transactional leadership becomes particularly valuable in stable organisational environments where efficiency, consistency, and compliance are organisational priorities. By establishing clear expectations, monitoring employee performance, and reinforcing desired behaviours through contingent rewards, transactional leaders enable employees to perform their responsibilities with greater accuracy and accountability. Such leadership behaviours encourage procedural compliance, quality assurance, and operational effectiveness, all of which are essential for maintaining organisational competitiveness in structured industries (Northouse, 2022). The study further identifies performance monitoring and contingent reward as critical mechanisms through which transactional leadership enhances organisational effectiveness.

A notable contribution of Nguyen and Pham's (2022) study is its integration of transactional leadership with operational management theory. The authors argue that transactional leaders influence organisational performance not only through direct supervision but also by developing systematic performance management processes that improve coordination, accountability, and resource utilisation. These findings extend transactional leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends upon structured organisational systems alongside effective employee supervision. Consequently, transactional leadership should be regarded as an important organisational capability that strengthens operational efficiency, organisational stability, and long-term organisational performance (Antonakis & Day, 2021).

Specchia et al. (2021) provide a comprehensive systematic review of leadership within healthcare organisations, highlighting the contribution of transactional leadership to operational efficiency, regulatory compliance, and patient safety. Their review synthesises empirical evidence demonstrating that transactional leadership significantly improves adherence to clinical protocols, workforce accountability, and service consistency. Given the highly regulated nature of healthcare systems, the authors argue that transactional leadership provides an effective framework for ensuring procedural compliance, reducing clinical errors, and maintaining quality standards.

The review identifies two interrelated dimensions through which transactional leadership enhances healthcare performance: performance management and quality assurance. Transactional nurse leaders establish clear expectations, monitor compliance with clinical procedures, provide timely corrective feedback, and reward employees who consistently achieve performance standards, resulting in improved organisational efficiency and patient safety (Specchia et al.,

2021). These findings are consistent with previous research demonstrating that contingent reward leadership strengthens employee accountability, procedural compliance, and operational effectiveness (Bass & Avolio, 1994; Northouse, 2022).

Furthermore, transactional leadership contributes to organisational stability by promoting standardisation, performance monitoring, and continuous evaluation of healthcare processes. Through active management-by-exception, leaders identify deviations from organisational standards and implement corrective measures before problems escalate. Such leadership behaviours enable healthcare organisations to maintain service quality while responding effectively to operational challenges. Consequently, transactional leadership not only improves employee performance but also enhances organisational reliability, patient safety, and healthcare quality.

An important contribution of Specchia et al. (2021) is the recognition of transactional leadership as a critical management approach for maintaining operational control rather than promoting organisational transformation. Unlike transformational leadership, which seeks to develop long-term organisational capability through employee empowerment, transactional leadership focuses on achieving immediate operational objectives through supervision, accountability, and performance-based management (Northouse, 2022). By reinforcing organisational standards, procedural compliance, and accountability, transactional leaders contribute significantly to organisational effectiveness within highly regulated healthcare environments.

Transactional leadership continues to attract considerable scholarly attention because of its effectiveness in improving operational efficiency, task performance, compliance, and organisational control across a range of organisational settings (Northouse, 2022; Khan et al., 2024). Originally conceptualised by Burns (1978) and later expanded by Bass (1985), transactional leadership is based on an exchange relationship between leaders and followers, whereby employees receive rewards for meeting agreed objectives and corrective action is taken when performance standards are not achieved (Bass, 1985). The two principal dimensions of transactional leadership, contingent reward and management-by-exception (active and passive), provide a structured framework for directing employee behaviour, maintaining performance standards, and ensuring organisational stability (Bass & Avolio, 1994).

Recent empirical studies demonstrate that transactional leadership contributes positively to employee performance when work environments require clearly defined objectives, standardised procedures, and measurable outcomes. Khan et al. (2024) found that contingent reward systems significantly improve employee productivity and task completion by clarifying expectations and providing performance-based incentives. Employees working under transactional leaders generally demonstrate higher compliance with organisational procedures because performance expectations and associated rewards are explicitly communicated. These findings support earlier research suggesting that transactional leadership is particularly effective within highly structured

organisations where consistency, accountability, and efficiency are organisational priorities (Northouse, 2022).

Transactional leadership has also been shown to support organisational sustainability by promoting adherence to established operational procedures and performance standards. Unlike transformational leadership, which seeks to inspire employees towards innovation and long-term organisational change, transactional leadership reinforces existing organisational systems through monitoring, supervision, and corrective feedback (Bass, 1985). In environmental management contexts, Ren et al. (2024) argue that organisations often rely on transactional leadership practices to ensure compliance with environmental regulations, performance targets, and sustainability policies. By linking environmental performance to formal reward systems and performance evaluations, transactional leaders encourage employees to comply with sustainability requirements while maintaining operational consistency.

Research within higher education also highlights the importance of transactional leadership in supporting strategic implementation. Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) found that transactional leadership facilitates the achievement of institutional objectives by ensuring that academic and administrative staff understand organisational expectations and are rewarded for meeting strategic performance targets. Performance monitoring, formal evaluation systems, and contingent rewards enable leaders to translate institutional plans into measurable operational outcomes. Although transactional leadership may not encourage the same level of innovation as transformational leadership, it provides an effective mechanism for maintaining organisational discipline and ensuring the successful implementation of strategic objectives (Northouse, 2022).

Similarly, Kim et al. (2023) examined leadership practices within the hospitality sector and found that transactional leadership contributes to service quality by reinforcing employee accountability and adherence to organisational standards. Through contingent reward systems, leaders encourage employees to meet customer service expectations, maintain productivity, and comply with organisational procedures. In service industries where consistency and efficiency directly influence customer satisfaction, transactional leadership provides a structured approach to performance management by linking employee behaviour to measurable performance outcomes (Kim et al., 2023).

Transactional leadership is also valuable within project management and large-scale infrastructure development. Al-Subaie et al. (2021) argue that megaprojects require leaders capable of coordinating complex activities, maintaining project schedules, and ensuring compliance with contractual obligations. Transactional leadership supports these objectives by establishing clear responsibilities, monitoring project progress, and applying corrective measures when deviations occur. Such structured leadership practices improve coordination among multidisciplinary teams while reducing uncertainty and ensuring that projects remain aligned with predefined objectives. Consequently, transactional leadership is particularly suited to project environments characterised by strict timelines, budgetary constraints, and regulatory requirements (Al-Subaie et al., 2021).

Across these organisational contexts, transactional leadership demonstrates particular strength in maintaining operational efficiency and organisational control. By emphasising formal authority, performance monitoring, and reward-based motivation, transactional leaders ensure that employees fulfil their assigned responsibilities while contributing to organisational objectives (Bass & Avolio, 1994). Although this leadership approach may not generate the same levels of creativity, innovation, or organisational learning associated with transformational leadership, it remains highly effective where organisational success depends upon reliability, procedural compliance, and measurable performance outcomes (Northouse, 2022).

Recent research also suggests that transactional leadership should not be viewed as an outdated leadership style but rather as a complementary approach that remains essential within contemporary organisations. Khan et al. (2024) argue that organisations operating in highly regulated industries, including healthcare, manufacturing, construction, and public administration, continue to rely heavily on transactional leadership because of its capacity to ensure quality assurance, risk management, and operational consistency. Likewise, Ren et al. (2024) demonstrate that performance-based reward systems remain important mechanisms for reinforcing employee compliance with organisational sustainability objectives.

Overall, the reviewed literature indicates that transactional leadership remains a valuable leadership approach for organisations seeking operational efficiency, accountability, and consistent performance. Through contingent rewards, active performance monitoring, and corrective management practices, transactional leaders create structured work environments that encourage employees to achieve predetermined objectives while maintaining organisational stability. Although transactional leadership is less effective than transformational leadership in promoting innovation and organisational change, its emphasis on performance management, compliance, and operational control ensures its continued relevance across diverse organisational sectors (Bass, 1985; Northouse, 2022).

Transactional leadership has been widely examined as an effective leadership approach for maintaining organisational stability, employee performance, and operational efficiency, particularly in environments characterised by clearly defined roles, established procedures, and measurable performance standards (Northouse, 2022). Lindert et al. (2023) provide valuable longitudinal evidence examining leadership behaviours and employees' psychological well-being, demonstrating that leadership alone does not guarantee improvements in employee well-being over time. Their findings indicate that workplace social capital, organisational support, and employees' baseline psychological health exert stronger influences on long-term well-being than leadership behaviours alone. Within a transactional leadership framework, these findings suggest that while contingent rewards and performance monitoring contribute to organisational efficiency, employee well-being depends largely on broader organisational support systems rather than reward-based management practices (Lindert et al., 2023). Consequently, organisations adopting transactional leadership should complement performance management with workplace well-being initiatives to sustain employee health and productivity.

Unlike transformational leadership, transactional leadership is primarily concerned with clarifying expectations, monitoring performance, and reinforcing desired behaviours through contingent rewards and corrective action (Bass, 1985; Bass & Avolio, 1994). Employees understand the standards required to achieve organisational objectives and receive recognition or incentives when these standards are met. However, Lindert et al. (2023) demonstrate that although structured leadership contributes to predictable work environments, organisational culture, interpersonal relationships, and psychological support remain essential determinants of employee well-being. These findings reinforce the importance of integrating transactional leadership with supportive human resource practices to maintain long-term workforce performance.

Caza et al. (2021) examined leadership perceptions across multiple countries and organisational contexts, demonstrating that employees consistently value leaders who provide fairness, consistency, and accountability. Although their study focused broadly on effective leadership behaviours, the findings have important implications for transactional leadership because employees across cultures generally respond positively to leaders who establish clear expectations, apply organisational rules consistently, and reward performance objectively. Such findings suggest that the principles of contingent reward and procedural fairness possess considerable cross-cultural relevance, particularly within organisations where consistency and accountability are essential for operational effectiveness (Caza et al., 2021; Northouse, 2022).

The study also demonstrates that cultural differences influence employees' perceptions of leadership authority and performance management. In cultures characterised by high power distance, employees may respond more positively to transactional leadership because clearly defined authority structures and formal performance evaluation systems align with cultural expectations (Caza et al., 2021). Conversely, organisations operating in lower power-distance cultures may require transactional leaders to combine formal performance management with greater employee participation and communication. These findings indicate that although transactional leadership provides a universally recognised framework for performance management, its implementation should be adapted to local organisational and cultural contexts (Northouse, 2022).

Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) examined leadership effectiveness within Lebanese non-governmental organisations operating under conditions of prolonged crisis and resource scarcity. Although the study concluded that transformational leadership generated stronger improvements in employee commitment and resilience, the findings also highlight the continuing importance of transactional leadership in humanitarian organisations. During periods of crisis, transactional leadership contributes to organisational continuity by establishing clear responsibilities, maintaining operational discipline, and ensuring that employees comply with established procedures despite environmental uncertainty. Through formal supervision, performance monitoring, and contingent rewards, transactional leaders provide the structure necessary for maintaining service delivery when organisations operate under considerable pressure (Salameh-Ayanian et al., 2025).

The findings further suggest that transactional leadership remains particularly valuable where humanitarian organisations must ensure accountability, regulatory compliance, and effective resource utilisation. While transformational leadership promotes long-term resilience and employee commitment, transactional leadership ensures that operational objectives are achieved efficiently through structured management practices (Bass, 1985). Consequently, many crisis-response organisations benefit from combining transactional performance management with broader organisational support initiatives that address employee resilience and psychological well-being (Salameh-Ayanian et al., 2025).

Within educational settings, Lin et al. (2022) investigated leadership influences on teachers' professional development and self-efficacy. Although the study focused on transformational leadership, its findings also provide useful insights into transactional leadership. Transactional school leaders contribute to teacher performance by establishing clear instructional standards, monitoring classroom outcomes, and rewarding effective teaching performance. Performance appraisal systems, structured professional development requirements, and formal recognition programmes encourage teachers to achieve predetermined educational objectives while maintaining accountability for instructional quality (Lin et al., 2022).

However, Lin et al. (2022) demonstrate that professional growth depends upon more than formal performance management. While transactional leadership supports organisational consistency through performance monitoring and contingent rewards, teachers' confidence and long-term professional development require opportunities for continuous learning and supportive organisational environments. These findings suggest that transactional leadership effectively maintains educational standards but may need to be complemented by developmental leadership practices to maximise teacher effectiveness and innovation.

Khan et al. (2020) examined the relationships between leadership, employee performance, burnout, social loafing, and intrinsic motivation. Although their study focused primarily on transformational leadership, the findings provide important implications for transactional leadership. Transactional leaders improve employee performance by establishing explicit performance expectations, monitoring progress, and rewarding employees who achieve organisational objectives. Contingent reward systems strengthen employee accountability and reduce ambiguity regarding performance standards, thereby improving productivity and task completion (Bass & Avolio, 1994).

However, the study also highlights the limitations of transactional leadership in addressing broader psychological outcomes. While reward-based management can improve employee performance, it may have limited influence on intrinsic motivation, burnout, and emotional well-being because these outcomes are affected by workload, organisational support, work-life balance, and psychological health (Khan et al., 2020). Employees motivated primarily through external rewards may achieve performance targets without developing long-term organisational commitment or psychological engagement. Consequently, organisations relying on transactional

leadership should complement formal performance management with employee well-being programmes, workload management strategies, and supportive organisational policies.

Overall, the evidence suggests that transactional leadership remains an effective leadership approach for organisations requiring operational control, accountability, and consistent performance. Through contingent rewards, active supervision, and management-by-exception, transactional leaders establish structured work environments that encourage employees to achieve predetermined objectives while maintaining organisational discipline (Bass, 1985; Northouse, 2022). Nevertheless, recent research indicates that leadership alone cannot fully explain employee well-being, motivation, or organisational resilience. Broader organisational factors, including workplace culture, social capital, psychological support, and employee development opportunities, remain essential for sustaining long-term organisational effectiveness (Lindert et al., 2023; Khan et al., 2020). Accordingly, contemporary organisations are increasingly integrating transactional leadership with complementary leadership approaches to balance operational efficiency with employee well-being and sustainable organisational performance.

Transactional leadership has been widely recognised as an effective leadership approach for improving employee performance through structured supervision, clear expectations, and performance-based rewards. Unlike transformational leadership, which seeks to inspire followers through vision and personal development, transactional leadership emphasises formal exchanges between leaders and employees whereby desired performance is rewarded and poor performance is corrected through monitoring and corrective action (Bass, 1985; Northouse, 2022). Recent studies suggest that transactional leadership remains particularly valuable in organisations where operational efficiency, compliance, accountability, and performance consistency are organisational priorities (Specchia et al., 2021).

Research by Islam et al. (2021) demonstrates that transactional leadership positively influences employee performance by clarifying job responsibilities, establishing measurable performance standards, and linking rewards directly to employee achievement. Employees working under transactional leaders are more likely to understand organisational expectations because leaders provide continuous feedback, recognise successful performance, and intervene when performance falls below agreed standards. This structured leadership approach reduces ambiguity and increases employee accountability, resulting in improved productivity and operational effectiveness (Islam et al., 2021). Rather than relying on intrinsic motivation, transactional leadership strengthens employee performance through contingent reward systems that reinforce desirable workplace behaviours (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

The study further suggests that transactional leaders contribute to organisational effectiveness by maintaining consistency in managerial decision-making and ensuring that organisational procedures are followed. Through active monitoring and systematic performance evaluation, leaders identify performance deficiencies early and implement corrective measures before organisational objectives are compromised. Consequently, transactional leadership is particularly

effective in highly regulated environments where adherence to organisational policies, quality standards, and operational procedures is essential (Northouse, 2022).

Similarly, Purwanto et al. (2022) examined transactional leadership within Indonesian organisations and found that contingent reward significantly improved employee job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and work performance. The authors argue that employees are more motivated when rewards are directly linked to measurable achievements because transparent reward systems strengthen perceptions of organisational fairness. Transactional leaders therefore promote organisational stability by establishing clear performance expectations while ensuring that employees understand the relationship between effort and organisational rewards (Purwanto et al., 2022).

An important contribution of the study is its recognition that transactional leadership remains highly effective within developing economies where organisations frequently operate under resource constraints and increasing performance pressures. Rather than emphasising organisational transformation, transactional leaders focus on improving efficiency, maintaining operational control, and achieving predetermined organisational objectives. This managerial orientation enables organisations to maximise productivity while ensuring consistency in employee performance and service delivery (Purwanto et al., 2022).

Within the public sector, Specchia et al. (2021) argue that transactional leadership contributes significantly to organisational effectiveness by promoting accountability, compliance with organisational regulations, and performance monitoring. Public-sector organisations typically operate within highly bureaucratic structures characterised by formal procedures and regulatory requirements. Under these conditions, transactional leadership provides an appropriate framework for maintaining organisational discipline while ensuring that employees comply with institutional policies and service standards.

The review demonstrates that contingent reward systems encourage employees to achieve predefined organisational objectives through recognition, promotion opportunities, and financial incentives. Active management-by-exception further enables leaders to monitor organisational performance continuously and address operational problems before they escalate into significant organisational failures (Specchia et al., 2021). These leadership behaviours improve service consistency and strengthen organisational accountability, particularly in government institutions responsible for delivering essential public services.

Furthermore, Specchia et al. (2021) emphasise that transactional leadership is especially valuable in healthcare organisations where patient safety depends upon compliance with clinical procedures, professional standards, and regulatory requirements. Transactional nurse managers improve healthcare quality by monitoring clinical performance, reinforcing adherence to established protocols, and recognising employees who consistently achieve organisational performance targets. Such findings demonstrate that transactional leadership contributes to organisational effectiveness through structured supervision rather than through organisational transformation.

Recent leadership scholarship also recognises that transactional leadership remains context-dependent. Northouse (2022) argues that transactional leadership is particularly appropriate where organisational environments require predictable outcomes, routine operations, and strict compliance with organisational policies. However, the approach may be less effective where organisations require high levels of creativity, innovation, or organisational change because its emphasis on extrinsic motivation may discourage discretionary behaviours beyond formal job requirements.

Similarly, Yasir et al. (2023) found that transactional leadership improves employee performance by strengthening role clarity, procedural compliance, and performance accountability. Employees reported greater confidence in organisational decision-making when leaders communicated explicit expectations and provided immediate performance feedback. The study concluded that transactional leadership enhances organisational effectiveness primarily through managerial consistency rather than through employee empowerment.

Another important contribution to the literature concerns the relationship between transactional leadership and organisational commitment. Nguyen and Pham (2024) reported that contingent reward positively influences affective organisational commitment because employees perceive fair reward systems as evidence of organisational support. However, passive management-by-exception was associated with lower employee satisfaction because leaders intervened only after performance problems became significant. These findings support Bass's (1985) distinction between active and passive management-by-exception, suggesting that proactive monitoring contributes more positively to organisational outcomes than reactive management.

The literature also indicates that transactional leadership remains highly effective in organisations operating within manufacturing, logistics, and production industries where standardisation and quality control are essential. Ali et al. (2022) found that transactional leadership improved operational performance by reducing production errors, strengthening compliance with quality procedures, and increasing employee efficiency. Because employees clearly understood organisational expectations and reward systems, production consistency improved significantly. These findings reinforce previous leadership theory suggesting that transactional leadership performs particularly well in environments characterised by routine tasks and measurable performance indicators (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Although transactional leadership has been criticised for encouraging compliance rather than innovation, contemporary studies argue that its effectiveness depends largely on organisational context. Afsar et al. (2022) suggest that transactional leadership should not be viewed as inferior to transformational leadership but rather as complementary. Organisations frequently require both leadership approaches simultaneously: transactional leadership provides operational stability through structured performance management, while transformational leadership promotes organisational learning and innovation. Consequently, leadership effectiveness depends on leaders'

ability to apply transactional behaviours when operational efficiency and performance control are required.

Collectively, the reviewed literature demonstrates that transactional leadership continues to play an important role in contemporary organisations. Through contingent reward, active performance monitoring, corrective supervision, and clear communication of organisational expectations, transactional leaders improve employee productivity, organisational commitment, operational efficiency, and service quality. While the approach may be less effective in highly innovative environments requiring creativity and organisational transformation, it remains particularly valuable in sectors characterised by regulatory compliance, standardised procedures, and measurable performance outcomes. These findings reinforce the view that transactional leadership represents an effective managerial approach for achieving organisational stability, accountability, and consistent organisational performance (Bass, 1985; Northouse, 2022).

Recent research continues to demonstrate that transactional leadership contributes positively to employee motivation and organisational performance, particularly in organisations characterised by structured procedures, clear performance standards, and formal accountability systems. Purwanto et al. (2022) examined the relationship between transactional leadership, employee motivation, and organisational performance within Indonesian organisations. Their findings indicate that contingent reward is the strongest dimension of transactional leadership, significantly enhancing employee motivation by establishing clear performance expectations and providing tangible rewards for achieving organisational objectives. Unlike transformational leadership, transactional leadership motivates employees primarily through extrinsic incentives, formal recognition, and performance-based rewards, creating a direct relationship between employee effort and organisational outcomes (Bass, 1985; Purwanto et al., 2022).

The study further demonstrates that contingent reward improves employee performance by increasing role clarity, reducing uncertainty, and reinforcing organisational discipline. Employees are more likely to meet performance targets when they clearly understand organisational expectations and perceive reward systems to be transparent and equitable. These findings support Bass's (1985) transactional leadership theory, which proposes that leaders enhance organisational effectiveness by establishing mutually beneficial exchanges between leaders and followers. Rather than encouraging employees to exceed formal expectations through inspiration, transactional leaders promote consistent performance by linking rewards directly to measurable achievements (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Interestingly, Purwanto et al. (2022) found that active management-by-exception also contributes positively to organisational performance when leaders continuously monitor employee activities and correct deviations before they become significant operational problems. However, passive management-by-exception demonstrated weaker relationships with employee motivation because leaders intervene only after performance deficiencies occur. This distinction suggests that different dimensions of transactional leadership influence organisational outcomes differently, with proactive monitoring producing more favourable employee attitudes than reactive

supervision. Consequently, the study concludes that effective transactional leadership requires balancing performance monitoring with fair and consistent reward systems.

The organisational context further strengthens the relevance of transactional leadership. Organisations operating within bureaucratic environments frequently require leaders to ensure procedural compliance, maintain operational consistency, and achieve predefined organisational targets. Under these circumstances, transactional leadership provides an effective managerial framework for improving employee accountability while ensuring adherence to organisational regulations. Accordingly, Purwanto et al. (2022) recommend leadership development programmes that strengthen leaders' abilities to administer equitable reward systems, communicate performance expectations clearly, and monitor organisational performance effectively.

Similarly, Specchia et al. (2021) conducted a systematic review examining leadership styles within healthcare organisations and found that transactional leadership contributes significantly to organisational performance through structured supervision, compliance monitoring, and performance management. Healthcare organisations operate in highly regulated environments where adherence to clinical procedures and organisational protocols directly influences service quality and patient safety. Transactional leaders therefore improve organisational effectiveness by reinforcing compliance with professional standards, monitoring employee performance, and rewarding employees who consistently meet organisational objectives.

The review demonstrates that contingent reward strengthens employee accountability by recognising high performance through promotions, financial incentives, and professional recognition. Active management-by-exception further enhances organisational effectiveness by enabling leaders to identify performance deficiencies early and implement corrective actions before service quality is compromised (Specchia et al., 2021). These findings reinforce the argument that transactional leadership functions as an effective management approach in organisations where operational precision, quality assurance, and regulatory compliance are essential.

A particularly valuable contribution of the review is its recognition that transactional leadership maintains positive organisational outcomes across diverse healthcare settings despite differences in organisational structures and national contexts. Although leadership behaviours may require adaptation to local organisational practices, the fundamental principles of contingent reward and active performance monitoring consistently improve operational efficiency and service quality. Consequently, the review concludes that organisations should continue investing in transactional leadership development to strengthen accountability, organisational performance, and operational stability.

Recent research has also examined the role of trust within transactional leadership. Nguyen and Pham (2024) investigated the relationship between transactional leadership, employee trust, and organisational commitment in emerging economies. Their findings indicate that trust develops primarily through leaders' consistency, fairness, and transparency in administering reward systems rather than through emotional attachment or visionary leadership. Employees who perceive

organisational rewards to be fair are more likely to demonstrate organisational commitment, cooperate with supervisors, and maintain high performance standards.

The authors distinguish procedural fairness from distributive fairness, demonstrating that employees' confidence in leadership depends largely upon the consistency with which organisational rules and reward systems are applied. Leaders who administer rewards objectively and correct performance problems promptly strengthen employees' trust in organisational processes, thereby improving organisational commitment and reducing workplace conflict (Nguyen & Pham, 2024). These findings suggest that trust remains an important organisational mechanism within transactional leadership, although it develops through managerial consistency rather than inspirational leadership behaviours.

The study further contributes to transactional leadership literature by examining organisations operating under resource constraints and rapidly changing economic conditions. In such environments, employees value leadership that provides stability, predictable expectations, and equitable performance management. Consequently, transactional leadership enhances organisational resilience by maintaining operational control and reducing uncertainty during periods of organisational change (Northouse, 2022).

Within small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), Ali et al. (2022) investigated the influence of transactional leadership on employee performance, organisational productivity, and operational efficiency. Their findings demonstrate that transactional leadership improves organisational performance by establishing measurable objectives, monitoring employee performance continuously, and rewarding employees who achieve production targets. SMEs frequently operate with limited financial and human resources, making efficient performance management particularly important for maintaining competitiveness.

The study identifies contingent reward as the strongest predictor of employee productivity because employees respond positively when rewards are directly linked to measurable performance outcomes. Furthermore, active management-by-exception reduces operational errors by enabling leaders to detect performance deficiencies early and implement timely corrective measures. These leadership behaviours contribute to organisational efficiency by promoting consistency, reducing workplace errors, and strengthening employee accountability (Ali et al., 2022).

The authors also report that transactional leadership positively influences employees' responses to workplace pressure by providing clear organisational expectations and structured supervision. Employees experience lower uncertainty because leaders establish explicit performance criteria and provide regular feedback regarding work performance. Although transactional leadership may not stimulate creativity to the same extent as transformational leadership, it strengthens operational effectiveness by fostering stable organisational environments characterised by accountability, procedural compliance, and consistent performance. Collectively, these findings reinforce the proposition that transactional leadership remains an important

management approach for organisations seeking operational efficiency, employee accountability, and sustainable organisational performance.

11.4 Conclusion

Transactional leadership, characterised by contingent reward and management-by-exception (active and passive), continues to demonstrate its effectiveness in improving employee performance, operational efficiency, role clarity, and organisational stability across a wide range of organisational contexts. The literature reviewed indicates that transactional leadership remains a valuable approach, particularly in environments where organisational success depends on clearly defined objectives, structured performance management, adherence to established procedures, and consistent monitoring of employee performance.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between transactional leadership and employee performance. Transactional leaders establish explicit expectations, communicate performance standards, and motivate employees through contingent reward systems that reinforce desired behaviours. Rather than relying primarily on inspiration or empowerment, transactional leaders emphasise accountability, performance measurement, and the achievement of predetermined organisational objectives. These leadership practices have been shown to improve employee productivity, task completion, service quality, and operational effectiveness across sectors including healthcare, education, manufacturing, hospitality, and public administration.

The review also demonstrates that transactional leadership plays an important role in maintaining organisational stability and facilitating effective organisational control. Through active monitoring and corrective action, leaders identify performance deviations before they become significant organisational problems, thereby improving operational efficiency and reducing organisational risk. In highly regulated industries and public-sector organisations, transactional leadership supports compliance with organisational policies, legal requirements, and quality standards by ensuring that employees understand their responsibilities and are held accountable for performance outcomes.

Performance management emerged as another dominant theme throughout the reviewed literature. Studies consistently indicate that contingent reward is the most effective component of transactional leadership because employees respond positively when rewards are directly linked to measurable performance outcomes. Clear reward systems strengthen employee motivation by reinforcing desirable behaviours and encouraging employees to achieve individual and organisational targets. Conversely, management-by-exception enables leaders to intervene when performance standards are not achieved, thereby maintaining consistency, quality assurance, and organisational discipline.

The literature further demonstrates that transactional leadership contributes to employee job satisfaction when reward systems are perceived as fair, transparent, and consistently applied. Employees working under transactional leaders generally experience greater role clarity, reduced

ambiguity, and increased confidence regarding performance expectations, which contributes to improved organisational commitment and workplace efficiency. However, evidence also suggests that transactional leadership is less effective in promoting creativity, innovation, and discretionary behaviours because its emphasis on compliance and performance monitoring may discourage experimentation and risk-taking.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-cultural applicability of transactional leadership. Studies conducted across Asia, Africa, Europe, and the Middle East demonstrate that contingent reward systems and performance-based management remain effective across different national and organisational contexts, particularly where organisational cultures value structure, accountability, and hierarchical authority. Although reward preferences and supervisory practices may require cultural adaptation, the fundamental principles of performance-based leadership remain widely applicable across diverse organisational environments.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of transactional leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from countries such as Ghana, Nigeria, Pakistan, and China indicates that transactional leadership improves employee accountability, organisational efficiency, service delivery, and performance management despite institutional constraints and resource limitations. These findings reinforce the argument that transactional leadership is particularly valuable where organisations require consistency, operational control, regulatory compliance, and effective implementation of organisational policies.

Furthermore, the literature suggests that transactional leadership contributes to organisational sustainability by strengthening governance, improving operational performance, and ensuring the effective implementation of strategic objectives. Through clearly defined performance expectations, continuous monitoring, and structured reward systems, transactional leaders create organisational environments characterised by predictability, efficiency, and accountability. Such leadership practices are particularly valuable in organisations where operational excellence, quality assurance, and risk management are critical determinants of long-term organisational success.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that transactional leadership remains one of the most relevant and empirically supported leadership approaches for organisations operating within structured, performance-oriented environments. Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee performance to strengthening organisational efficiency, accountability, compliance, and operational stability across multiple sectors and cultural contexts. Although transactional leadership may be less effective than transformational leadership in fostering innovation, creativity, and organisational change, the evidence consistently supports its effectiveness in environments where measurable performance, procedural consistency, and organisational control are organisational priorities.

Consequently, organisations seeking sustained operational excellence should continue investing in leadership development programmes that strengthen transactional leadership

competencies, including performance management, contingent reward administration, objective setting, supervisory skills, and effective performance monitoring. As organisations continue to operate within increasingly competitive, regulated, and performance-driven environments, transactional leadership will remain an essential capability for achieving organisational efficiency, employee accountability, and sustainable organisational performance.

Chapter 12:
TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP

Deborah Dauyoumor

12.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of transformational leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating both classical leadership theories and recent empirical evidence to explain how transformational leadership influences organisational performance, employee behaviour, innovation, and organisational change. As one of the most widely researched leadership theories, transformational leadership has become increasingly relevant in contemporary organisations because of its emphasis on vision, innovation, employee empowerment, ethical leadership, and continuous organisational improvement (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of transformational leadership, tracing its evolution from Burns' (1978) original conceptualisation to Bass's (1985) organisational leadership model. Burns (1978) described transformational leadership as a process through which leaders and followers elevate one another to higher levels of motivation, commitment, and moral purpose. Bass (1985) later extended this theory by identifying four core dimensions of transformational leadership: idealised influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration, which continue to provide the dominant conceptual framework for leadership research and practice (Bass & Avolio, 1994). These four dimensions serve as the analytical framework for evaluating the selected studies throughout this chapter.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that transformational leadership contributes significantly to employee motivation, organisational commitment, innovation, job satisfaction, knowledge sharing, and overall organisational effectiveness (Bakker et al., 2023; Lim & Moon, 2022). By inspiring employees to pursue collective organisational goals beyond individual interests, transformational leaders cultivate trust, encourage creativity, and foster continuous learning and adaptability. Consequently, transformational leadership has become particularly valuable in organisational environments characterised by rapid technological advancement, global competition, and continuous organisational change (Kucharska & Rebelo, 2022; Northouse, 2022).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, public administration, hospitality, manufacturing, small and medium-sized

enterprises (SMEs), multinational corporations, and non-governmental organisations. Examining transformational leadership across these diverse sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of how leadership behaviours are interpreted and implemented within different organisational, institutional, and cultural environments. Although the fundamental principles of transformational leadership remain consistent, evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness varies according to organisational structure, national culture, employee characteristics, and contextual conditions (Watts et al., 2020).

In addition to examining the direct effects of transformational leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions transformational leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify employee trust, psychological capital, organisational justice, emotional intelligence, employee engagement, self-efficacy, and organisational culture as important mechanisms through which transformational leadership affects employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Bak et al., 2022; Rahman et al., 2020; Lim & Moon, 2022). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why transformational leadership produces different outcomes across organisations and highlights the contextual conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of transformational leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted in Africa, Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and North America. Although transformational leadership has been widely recognised as an effective leadership approach across different countries, cultural values, institutional arrangements, and societal norms influence how transformational leadership behaviours are perceived and enacted (Watts et al., 2020). For example, leadership behaviours that promote employee autonomy and innovation in Western organisations may require adaptation in cultures characterised by high power distance or strong uncertainty avoidance. Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of contextualising transformational leadership practices to maximise their effectiveness across different organisational and national settings.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the transformational leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding transformational leadership outcomes while highlighting areas where further research remains necessary. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as digital leadership, sustainable leadership practices, employee well-being, organisational resilience, and innovation-driven organisational performance, all of which have become increasingly important within contemporary leadership research (Banks et al., 2023; Althnayan et al., 2022).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that transformational leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership theories within organisational studies. Its emphasis on ethical leadership, employee empowerment, innovation, and organisational change makes it particularly relevant for organisations operating in increasingly complex, knowledge-

intensive, and rapidly evolving environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how transformational leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, organisational policies, and strategic management initiatives to promote sustainable organisational success.

12.2 Overview of Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership has become one of the most influential leadership theories in contemporary organisational research and practice. The theory emerged as a response to the limitations of transactional leadership, which primarily focuses on exchanges between leaders and followers through rewards and sanctions. In his seminal work, *Leadership*, Burns (1978) introduced transformational leadership as a process whereby leaders and followers elevate one another to higher levels of motivation, morality, and performance. Unlike transactional leaders, who emphasise maintaining organisational stability and compliance, transformational leaders inspire followers to transcend personal interests in pursuit of shared organisational goals (Burns, 1978).

Bass (1985) subsequently expanded Burns' conceptualisation by operationalising transformational leadership within organisational settings. He proposed that transformational leaders influence followers through four behavioural dimensions: idealised influence (charisma), inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration. These dimensions enable leaders to motivate employees to exceed expected performance by fostering commitment, creativity, and personal development (Bass, 1985; Bass & Avolio, 1994). Since then, transformational leadership has been extensively applied across education, healthcare, public administration, manufacturing, and business organisations because of its emphasis on change, innovation, and employee empowerment.

Transformational leadership is defined as a leadership approach through which leaders inspire followers to achieve exceptional performance by creating a compelling vision, stimulating innovative thinking, and attending to individual developmental needs (Northouse, 2022). Rather than relying on transactional exchanges, transformational leaders cultivate trust, intrinsic motivation, collaboration, and organisational commitment while encouraging employees to challenge conventional practices and embrace continuous improvement (Kunene & Mapulanga, 2023). Consequently, transformational leadership is particularly effective in dynamic organisational environments where innovation, adaptability, and organisational learning are essential for long-term success (Bin Bakr & Alfayez, 2022).

Recent studies consistently demonstrate that transformational leadership positively influences employee engagement, motivation, job satisfaction, and organisational commitment. For example, Lim and Moon (2022) found that transformational leadership significantly enhances employees' helping behaviours and innovative work behaviours, particularly when organisational justice is

perceived to be high. Similarly, Bakker et al. (2023) reported that transformational leadership behaviours strengthen daily work engagement by inspiring employees to invest greater effort in achieving organisational objectives. These findings support social exchange theory, which suggests that employees reciprocate supportive leadership behaviours through increased commitment and improved performance.

Innovation represents another significant outcome of transformational leadership. Intellectual stimulation encourages employees to question existing assumptions, generate creative solutions, and actively participate in organisational problem-solving. Chaubey et al. (2019) found that transformational leadership significantly improves employee creativity and organisational innovation through increased trust and organisational commitment. Likewise, Kucharska and Rebelo (2022) demonstrated that transformational leaders promote knowledge sharing, collaborative learning, and adaptability, thereby enhancing innovation capability within knowledge-intensive organisations. Such evidence indicates that transformational leadership creates psychological conditions that encourage experimentation, continuous learning, and organisational competitiveness.

The effectiveness of transformational leadership is also evident in facilitating organisational change. Ha and Le (2021) identified transformational leadership as a critical predictor of organisational change capability because transformational leaders communicate a compelling vision, reduce employee resistance to change, and align individual goals with organisational objectives. Similarly, Rahman et al. (2020) demonstrated that emotional intelligence strengthens the effectiveness of transformational leadership by improving leaders' ability to manage relationships, motivate employees, and sustain high levels of job performance. These findings suggest that emotional intelligence complements transformational leadership by enabling leaders to respond effectively to organisational challenges while maintaining employee engagement.

Research further indicates that contextual and cultural factors influence the effectiveness of transformational leadership. In a comprehensive meta-analysis, Watts et al. (2020) reported that national cultural characteristics, particularly uncertainty avoidance, moderate the relationship between transformational leadership and innovation. Organisations operating within cultures characterised by high uncertainty avoidance may experience weaker innovation outcomes because employees are generally less willing to embrace risk and organisational change. Similarly, Bak et al. (2022) found that transformational leadership enhances innovative work behaviour indirectly through psychological capital, including hope, resilience, optimism, and self-efficacy. These findings suggest that transformational leadership is most effective when organisational culture supports learning, resilience, and innovation.

Within the healthcare sector, transformational leadership has consistently demonstrated positive outcomes for both employees and patients. Jankelová and Joniaková (2021) reported that transformational leadership among first-line nurse managers significantly improves nurses' job satisfaction through enhanced communication, support, and professional development. Likewise, Gebreheat et al. (2023), in an integrative review, concluded that transformational leadership

consistently improves nurses' job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and retention across diverse healthcare settings. Furthermore, Labrague and Obeidat (2022) established that transformational leadership enhances patient safety by increasing employee engagement and reducing the negative effects of work-family conflict. These findings underscore the importance of transformational leadership in promoting quality healthcare delivery through empowered and motivated healthcare professionals.

Beyond organisational performance, transformational leadership has increasingly been linked to sustainability and environmental responsibility. Althnayan et al. (2022) found that environmental transformational leadership significantly promotes environmental organisational citizenship behaviour and organisational sustainability performance. Leaders who model environmentally responsible behaviours inspire employees to participate actively in sustainable organisational practices, thereby strengthening organisational responses to global environmental challenges.

Empirical evidence from different geographical regions further demonstrates the universal relevance of transformational leadership. In Saudi Arabian higher education institutions, transformational leadership has been shown to empower women leaders by enhancing psychological empowerment and promoting gender-inclusive leadership practices (Bin Bakr & Alfayez, 2022). Similarly, Kunene and Mapulanga (2023) found that transformational leadership significantly improved employee motivation, organisational effectiveness, and service delivery within South African academic libraries. Comparable findings have been reported across Europe and Asia, where transformational leadership consistently promotes innovation, employee satisfaction, organisational learning, and successful organisational change (Ha & Le, 2021; Kucharska & Rebelo, 2022; Lim & Moon, 2022).

Despite its numerous advantages, transformational leadership is not without limitations. Successful implementation requires leaders to possess high levels of emotional intelligence, communication competence, adaptability, and strategic vision. Furthermore, transformational leadership may be less effective in highly bureaucratic organisations characterised by rigid hierarchies, limited resources, or cultures resistant to change (Northouse, 2022). Nevertheless, extensive empirical evidence across multiple sectors consistently demonstrates that transformational leadership enhances employee motivation, organisational innovation, job satisfaction, and sustainable organisational performance. Its emphasis on vision, empowerment, ethical leadership, intellectual stimulation, and individual development makes it one of the most appropriate leadership approaches for organisations operating in today's complex and rapidly changing environment.

12.3 Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership continues to receive considerable scholarly attention because of its demonstrated capacity to stimulate innovation, facilitate organisational change, and enhance employee performance across diverse organisational settings (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al.,

2023). Originating from Burns' (1978) conceptualisation and later operationalised by Bass (1985), transformational leadership is characterised by four interrelated dimensions: idealised influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration, which collectively enable leaders to inspire followers to exceed expected performance while promoting organisational learning and continuous improvement (Bass & Avolio, 1994). Recent empirical studies further demonstrate that transformational leadership influences organisational outcomes not only directly but also indirectly through several psychological and organisational mechanisms.

Jun and Lee (2023) provide compelling evidence of the relationship between transformational leadership and employees' innovative behaviour by examining the mediating role of commitment to change and the moderating role of organisational support for creativity. Their findings suggest that transformational leadership facilitates innovation by creating both psychological commitment and a supportive organisational environment. Rather than merely directing employees to implement change, transformational leaders cultivate emotional attachment to organisational goals, thereby encouraging employees to embrace organisational transformation voluntarily. This finding is consistent with transformational leadership theory, which proposes that leaders inspire followers by aligning individual aspirations with a shared organisational vision (Bass, 1985; Northouse, 2022).

The study further demonstrates that commitment to change functions as a critical psychological mechanism through which transformational leadership promotes innovative behaviour. Employees who trust their leaders and identify with organisational goals become more willing to support change initiatives and contribute creative ideas. These findings reinforce previous research suggesting that transformational leadership strengthens employees' intrinsic motivation, organisational commitment, and willingness to engage in discretionary behaviours that support organisational innovation (Bakker et al., 2023; Lim & Moon, 2022). Furthermore, Jun and Lee (2023) found that organisational support for creativity strengthens the positive effects of transformational leadership, indicating that leadership alone is insufficient to sustain innovation unless supported by organisational systems, policies, and resources. This finding highlights that transformational leaders influence innovation not only by motivating followers but also by creating organisational conditions that encourage experimentation, psychological safety, and knowledge sharing.

Consequently, Jun and Lee's (2023) study extends transformational leadership theory by demonstrating that sustainable innovation emerges through the interaction of effective leadership, employee commitment, and organisational support. Their research contributes to resolving inconsistencies within earlier innovation studies by illustrating that transformational leadership operates simultaneously through emotional engagement and organisational capability building. This broader perspective reinforces the view that transformational leadership is not simply a motivational leadership style but a strategic process that reshapes organisational culture and strengthens innovation capability.

Similarly, Karimi et al. (2023) provide important insights into the psychological mechanisms through which transformational leadership enhances innovative work behaviour. Their study examines the mediating role of psychological capital, comprising self-efficacy, hope, resilience, and optimism, in explaining the relationship between transformational leadership and employee innovation. Unlike studies focusing solely on behavioural outcomes, Karimi et al. (2023) demonstrate that transformational leadership develops employees' internal psychological resources, enabling them to approach complex tasks with confidence, persistence, and optimism. These findings align with transformational leadership theory, which emphasises empowering followers to realise their full potential through encouragement, intellectual stimulation, and personalised support (Bass & Avolio, 1994).

The study particularly identifies hope and self-efficacy as significant mediators of innovative work behaviour. Transformational leaders strengthen employees' confidence by articulating an inspiring vision, recognising individual achievements, and encouraging autonomous decision-making. As employees develop stronger beliefs in their capabilities, they become more willing to experiment with new ideas, challenge existing practices, and engage in creative problem-solving. These findings support earlier evidence suggesting that transformational leadership enhances employee creativity by fostering psychological empowerment and intrinsic motivation (Chaubey et al., 2019; Kucharska & Rebelo, 2022).

An important contribution of Karimi et al.'s (2023) research lies in its focus on public organisations within a developing-country context, where limited financial resources and institutional constraints often hinder innovation. Under such circumstances, transformational leadership becomes particularly valuable because leaders compensate for structural limitations by strengthening employees' psychological resilience and adaptability. Rather than relying exclusively on material resources, transformational leaders cultivate hope, confidence, and perseverance, thereby enabling employees to sustain innovative behaviours despite organisational challenges. This perspective broadens transformational leadership theory by highlighting the importance of psychological capital as a strategic organisational resource capable of driving innovation under resource-constrained conditions.

Yu and Xiang (2025) further extend transformational leadership scholarship by investigating organisational resilience as the mechanism through which transformational leadership promotes team innovation. Their findings indicate that transformational leadership enhances innovation by strengthening organisations' capacity to anticipate, adapt to, and recover from environmental uncertainty. Rather than focusing solely on motivating individual employees, transformational leaders simultaneously develop organisational systems, learning capabilities, and adaptive processes that enable teams to remain innovative during periods of disruption.

The concept of organisational resilience aligns closely with transformational leadership principles. Leaders exhibiting transformational behaviours communicate a compelling vision, maintain employee confidence during uncertainty, and encourage continuous learning despite setbacks. By reframing organisational challenges as opportunities for growth and innovation,

transformational leaders create resilient teams capable of sustaining high performance under changing environmental conditions (Northouse, 2022). These findings are particularly significant given the increasing complexity, volatility, and uncertainty characterising modern organisational environments.

Yu and Xiang (2025) further demonstrate that environmental uncertainty strengthens the positive relationship between transformational leadership and organisational innovation. During periods of economic instability, technological disruption, or market volatility, employees increasingly rely on transformational leaders for strategic direction, emotional support, and confidence. Under these circumstances, transformational leadership becomes even more influential because it reduces uncertainty, promotes collective resilience, and encourages adaptive thinking. These findings are consistent with contemporary leadership research suggesting that transformational leadership is especially effective during organisational crises and periods of rapid change because it strengthens employees' psychological readiness for uncertainty while promoting innovation and organisational adaptability (Banks et al., 2023).

Collectively, the studies by Jun and Lee (2023), Karimi et al. (2023), and Yu and Xiang (2025) reinforce the multidimensional nature of transformational leadership. They demonstrate that transformational leadership influences organisational innovation through a combination of psychological, behavioural, and organisational mechanisms, including commitment to change, psychological capital, organisational support for creativity, and organisational resilience. These findings extend traditional transformational leadership theory beyond leader–follower interactions by illustrating how transformational leaders shape organisational culture, strengthen psychological resources, and develop adaptive organisational capabilities. Consequently, transformational leadership should be viewed not merely as a leadership style but as a comprehensive organisational capability that enables innovation, resilience, and sustainable organisational performance in increasingly complex and dynamic environments.

The findings of Yu and Xiang (2025) demonstrate that transformational leadership becomes particularly valuable during periods of environmental uncertainty, when organisations require both innovation and resilience to remain competitive. By communicating a compelling vision, fostering employee commitment, and creating psychological safety, transformational leaders enable employees to experiment with new ideas without fear of failure. Such behaviours encourage learning, adaptability, and creative problem-solving, all of which are essential for sustaining innovation in volatile organisational environments (Northouse, 2022). The study further identifies organisational resilience as a critical mediating mechanism through which transformational leadership enhances team innovation. Rather than merely encouraging innovative behaviour, transformational leaders strengthen the organisation's ability to anticipate, adapt to, and recover from unexpected challenges, thereby creating conditions under which innovation can be sustained over time (Yu & Xiang, 2025).

A notable contribution of Yu and Xiang's (2025) study is its integration of transformational leadership with organisational resilience theory. The authors argue that transformational leaders

influence innovation not only through direct employee motivation but also by building structural capabilities and emotional resilience that enable organisations to withstand environmental turbulence. These findings extend transformational leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends on developing adaptive organisational systems alongside motivated employees. Consequently, transformational leadership should be viewed as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens long-term organisational sustainability, resilience, and innovation (Banks et al., 2023).

Tsapnidou et al. (2024) provide a comprehensive systematic review of transformational leadership within healthcare organisations, with particular emphasis on nursing leadership. Their review synthesises empirical evidence demonstrating that transformational leadership significantly improves workforce retention, employee engagement, quality of patient care, and organisational sustainability. Given the increasing complexity of healthcare systems, the authors argue that transformational leadership provides an effective framework for addressing workforce shortages, improving service quality, and promoting evidence-based practice.

The review identifies two interrelated dimensions through which transformational leadership enhances healthcare performance: human resource development and healthcare service delivery. Transformational nurse leaders inspire employees through a clear organisational vision, meaningful communication, individual support, and professional development opportunities, resulting in higher levels of job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and employee engagement (Tsapnidou et al., 2024). These findings are consistent with previous research demonstrating that transformational leadership strengthens employee motivation by fostering trust, psychological empowerment, and professional growth (Bass & Avolio, 1994; Jankelová & Joniaková, 2021).

Furthermore, transformational leadership contributes to organisational resilience by promoting continuous learning, mentoring, and skills development among healthcare professionals. Through intellectual stimulation and individualised consideration, transformational leaders encourage innovation, evidence-based decision-making, and continuous quality improvement. Such leadership behaviours enable healthcare organisations to respond more effectively to changing patient needs, technological advancements, and organisational challenges while maintaining high standards of patient care (Gebreheat et al., 2023). Consequently, transformational leadership not only improves employee outcomes but also enhances organisational effectiveness and patient safety.

An important contribution of Tsapnidou et al. (2024) is the recognition of transformational leadership as a long-term strategic investment rather than a short-term management approach. Unlike transactional leadership, which primarily focuses on achieving immediate operational objectives, transformational leadership develops future organisational capacity by investing in employee capability, ethical leadership, and organisational learning (Northouse, 2022). By embedding organisational values such as accountability, empathy, collaboration, and excellence, transformational leaders cultivate sustainable organisational cultures that support both employee

well-being and high-quality patient care. Their review therefore reinforces the growing consensus that transformational nurse leaders' function not simply as operational managers but as strategic agents of organisational change, innovation, and sustainable healthcare improvement.

Ren, Li, and Mavros (2024) further extend transformational leadership theory by examining environmentally specific transformational leadership (ESTL) and its influence on employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Their study demonstrates that transformational leadership principles can be effectively adapted to support organisational sustainability by aligning leadership behaviours with environmental objectives. Although ESTL retains the four fundamental dimensions of transformational leadership, idealised influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration, it redirects these behaviours towards promoting environmental responsibility and sustainable organisational practices (Bass, 1985; Ren et al., 2024).

The study suggests that environmentally specific transformational leaders move beyond ensuring regulatory compliance by inspiring employees to internalise environmental values and actively participate in sustainability initiatives. Through the communication of a shared environmental vision, leaders encourage employees to perceive environmental stewardship as an organisational responsibility rather than an externally imposed obligation. This value-based leadership approach strengthens organisational culture by aligning individual behaviours with broader sustainability goals, thereby reinforcing the ethical foundation of transformational leadership (Ren et al., 2024).

Ren et al. (2024) further identify environmental awareness as a significant mediating mechanism through which ESTL influences employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Rather than relying solely on formal environmental policies, transformational leaders stimulate critical thinking, encourage innovation in sustainable practices, and provide individual support that enables employees to develop lasting environmental commitment. These findings illustrate that transformational leadership promotes behavioural change by influencing employees' attitudes, beliefs, and ethical values rather than simply directing compliance.

Importantly, the study also demonstrates that emotional exhaustion moderates the effectiveness of environmentally specific transformational leadership. Employees experiencing high levels of emotional fatigue are less responsive to transformational leadership behaviours, suggesting that leadership effectiveness depends partly on employees' psychological well-being. This finding reinforces broader transformational leadership theory, which emphasises empathy, emotional intelligence, and employee support as essential leadership competencies (Rahman et al., 2020). Consequently, organisations seeking to promote sustainability through transformational leadership must simultaneously invest in employee well-being to maximise leadership effectiveness.

Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) examine the relationship between transformational leadership, strategic planning, and organisational performance within Nigerian higher education institutions. Their study contributes to the growing body of literature demonstrating that transformational leadership enhances institutional effectiveness by translating strategic intentions into operational

reality. Given the increasing demands placed upon higher education institutions through technological advancement, international competition, and educational reform, transformational leadership provides a leadership approach capable of promoting adaptability, innovation, and long-term institutional sustainability.

The study identifies strategic planning as an important mechanism linking transformational leadership with organisational performance. Rather than viewing leadership and strategic planning as separate organisational functions, Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) argue that transformational leaders operationalise strategic objectives by creating a shared vision, motivating employees, and fostering organisational commitment. This integration enables academic and administrative staff to align their individual responsibilities with institutional goals, thereby improving organisational effectiveness and performance.

These findings reinforce transformational leadership theory by demonstrating that strategic leadership extends beyond organisational planning to include employee engagement, organisational learning, and cultural transformation. Transformational leaders facilitate strategy implementation by encouraging collaboration, empowering employees, and creating organisational cultures that support continuous improvement and innovation (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023). Consequently, transformational leadership serves as an essential organisational capability that enhances strategic execution, institutional resilience, and sustainable organisational performance, particularly within rapidly evolving higher education environments.

Moreover, the findings of Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) demonstrate that strategic planning alone is insufficient to achieve organisational success without the human and relational competencies embodied in transformational leadership. While strategic plans provide organisational direction, transformational leaders translate these plans into action by inspiring employees, communicating a compelling vision, and fostering commitment to institutional objectives. This reinforces the argument that effective strategy implementation depends not only on organisational planning but also on leadership behaviours that encourage collaboration, innovation, and employee engagement (Northouse, 2022). Emotional intelligence, vision articulation, and employee empowerment therefore become essential leadership competencies that enable organisations to bridge the gap between strategic intentions and operational execution (Rahman et al., 2020).

Within the Nigerian higher education sector, these leadership competencies are particularly important because institutions operate in environments characterised by limited financial resources, bureaucratic structures, and socio-political challenges (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). Under such circumstances, transformational leaders play a pivotal role in aligning institutional missions with the aspirations of academic and administrative staff while fostering a shared commitment to organisational development. Rather than reacting to organisational challenges, transformational leaders proactively cultivate adaptive organisational cultures that sustain long-term institutional performance. Consequently, transformational leadership emerges as a strategic

capability that strengthens institutional resilience, enhances strategic implementation, and supports sustainable organisational change in resource-constrained environments (Banks et al., 2023).

Kim, Yang, and Lee (2023) extend transformational leadership research by examining its influence within the hospitality industry, where service quality depends heavily on employee behaviour, creativity, and customer interaction. Their study adopts the four-dimensional transformational leadership framework comprising idealised influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration (Bass & Avolio, 1994). The findings indicate that transformational leadership significantly enhances employee creativity, organisational identification, and task performance, thereby reinforcing its strategic value within customer-oriented organisations.

A particularly important contribution of Kim et al. (2023) is the identification of organisational identification as a mediating mechanism linking transformational leadership with employee performance. Transformational leaders cultivate employees' psychological attachment to organisational values by communicating an inspiring vision and fostering meaningful interpersonal relationships. As employees increasingly identify with organisational goals, they demonstrate stronger organisational commitment, greater discretionary effort, and higher levels of creative performance. These findings support social identity theory, which suggests that employees who perceive themselves as integral members of an organisation are more likely to contribute proactively towards organisational success (Haslam et al., 2020).

The study further demonstrates that intellectual stimulation plays a critical role in enhancing creativity within highly competitive service industries. By encouraging employees to challenge established practices, generate innovative solutions, and continuously improve service delivery, transformational leaders create organisational cultures characterised by learning and innovation. Such leadership behaviours contribute to sustained competitive advantage because service innovation directly influences customer satisfaction and organisational performance (Kim et al., 2023). Furthermore, the mediating role of organisational identification suggests that transformational leadership influences employee behaviour primarily through psychological engagement rather than direct managerial control. Consequently, transformational leadership functions as a strategic organisational resource capable of simultaneously enhancing employee commitment, creativity, and organisational performance.

Al-Subaie et al. (2021) contribute a strategic perspective by examining transformational leadership within megaproject environments characterised by complexity, uncertainty, and multiple stakeholder interests. Using Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM) and MICMAC analysis, the study identifies the organisational and leadership factors necessary for developing transformational leadership capabilities within large-scale infrastructure and development projects. Unlike traditional leadership studies that conceptualise transformational leadership primarily as an individual behavioural style, this research positions transformational leadership as a strategic organisational competency embedded within project governance and organisational systems.

The findings demonstrate that transformational leaders function as strategic architects who provide vision, coordinate multidisciplinary teams, facilitate collaboration, and promote innovation under conditions of uncertainty. Given the complexity of megaproject environments, transformational leadership becomes essential for maintaining stakeholder commitment, managing organisational change, and encouraging adaptive problem-solving (Al-Subaie et al., 2021). These findings reinforce earlier theoretical arguments that transformational leadership is particularly effective within dynamic environments requiring strategic coordination and continuous organisational learning (Bass, 1985; Northouse, 2022).

An important contribution of the study is the identification of leadership development enablers through structural modelling techniques. Vision articulation, trust-building, employee empowerment, and strategic communication emerged as high-impact drivers of transformational leadership capability. These findings suggest that transformational leadership should not be viewed as an innate personal characteristic but rather as a strategic organisational capability that can be systematically developed through evidence-based leadership development programmes. This perspective significantly advances transformational leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness is influenced by organisational systems, contextual factors, and deliberate leadership development initiatives.

Lindert et al. (2023) provide a valuable longitudinal examination of the relationship between transformational leadership and employees' psychological well-being, addressing an important but comparatively underexplored dimension of transformational leadership research. Their study argues that transformational leadership extends beyond improving organisational performance by contributing to healthier psychological work environments characterised by trust, support, and meaningful interpersonal relationships. Through behaviours such as communicating an inspiring vision, providing individualised support, and encouraging personal growth, transformational leaders foster workplace environments that promote employee engagement and psychological safety.

Unlike many previous cross-sectional studies, Lindert et al. (2023) employ a longitudinal research design to investigate how transformational leadership influences employee well-being over time. Their findings indicate that while transformational leadership predicts future leadership behaviours, changes in transformational leadership alone do not necessarily result in significant improvements in employees' psychological well-being. Instead, baseline psychological well-being and levels of workplace social capital emerged as stronger predictors of long-term well-being outcomes.

These findings suggest that transformational leadership contributes indirectly to employee well-being through broader organisational mechanisms rather than functioning as an independent determinant of psychological health. Although transformational leaders create supportive and empowering work environments, organisational factors such as social cohesion, interpersonal relationships, and employee mental health initiatives remain essential for sustaining psychological well-being (Lindert et al., 2023). Consequently, organisations seeking to improve employee well-

being should integrate transformational leadership with comprehensive workplace well-being strategies that address both leadership effectiveness and organisational support systems.

Caza, Caza, and Posner (2021) provide compelling evidence regarding the cross-cultural applicability of transformational leadership by examining followers' perceptions across numerous countries, industries, and organisational contexts. Their research addresses an enduring debate within leadership studies concerning whether transformational leadership represents a culturally universal leadership approach or whether its effectiveness depends upon specific cultural contexts. Despite differences in national culture, organisational structure, and institutional environments, the study found that transformational leadership behaviours were consistently perceived positively by followers across diverse cultural settings.

The findings suggest that transformational leadership transcends cultural boundaries because its core behaviours—communicating an inspiring vision, fostering intellectual growth, demonstrating ethical leadership, and providing individualised consideration, address fundamental human needs for purpose, recognition, trust, and psychological safety (Caza et al., 2021). These findings support previous cross-cultural leadership research indicating that although leadership behaviours may require contextual adaptation, the underlying principles of transformational leadership possess broad international relevance (Banks et al., 2023).

Nevertheless, the study acknowledges that cultural values influence the way transformational leadership behaviours are expressed and interpreted. While the fundamental characteristics of transformational leadership remain effective across cultures, leaders must adapt their communication styles, motivational approaches, and decision-making practices to align with local cultural expectations. This perspective reinforces contemporary leadership theory by suggesting that transformational leadership combines universal leadership principles with contextual sensitivity, enabling leaders to maintain effectiveness across increasingly globalised organisational environments (Northouse, 2022).

A notable contribution of Caza et al. (2021) is their emphasis on followers' perceptions and satisfaction as fundamental determinants of transformational leadership effectiveness. Their findings indicate that transformational leaders achieve organisational success not only through task accomplishment but also by cultivating trust, loyalty, psychological safety, and a strong sense of belonging among followers. High levels of follower satisfaction demonstrate that transformational leadership is inherently relational and emotional, relying on meaningful interpersonal interactions that strengthen employee commitment and organisational identification. These findings support earlier theoretical arguments that transformational leadership is fundamentally a social influence process in which leadership effectiveness depends on followers' perceptions and acceptance of the leader's vision rather than on positional authority alone (Bass & Avolio, 1994; Northouse, 2022).

The study further highlights the co-constructive nature of leadership by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness is shaped not only by leaders' behaviours but also by how those behaviours are interpreted and experienced by followers. This perspective reinforces contemporary leadership research, which recognises leadership as a reciprocal social process characterised by mutual trust,

emotional engagement, and shared meaning (Banks et al., 2023). Importantly, Caza et al. (2021) provide robust cross-cultural evidence indicating that transformational leadership behaviours are consistently associated with positive follower perceptions across diverse national and organisational contexts. Although cultural differences influence how leadership behaviours are expressed, the core principles of transformational leadership appear to satisfy universal psychological needs for purpose, recognition, and belonging. Consequently, transformational leadership can be regarded as a globally relevant leadership approach that combines universal leadership principles with sufficient flexibility to accommodate cultural diversity within increasingly international organisations.

Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) extend transformational leadership theory by examining its effectiveness within Lebanese non-governmental organisations (NGOs) operating under conditions of prolonged crisis, political instability, and resource scarcity. Their study demonstrates that transformational leadership is particularly valuable in humanitarian organisations because it enhances employee resilience, motivation, adaptability, and organisational commitment during periods of uncertainty. Compared with transactional leadership, transformational leadership generated significantly stronger improvements in employee performance and job satisfaction, highlighting its capacity to sustain organisational effectiveness in highly volatile environments.

The authors argue that transformational leaders contribute to organisational resilience by creating psychologically safe work environments where employees remain committed to a shared humanitarian mission despite external challenges. Through inspirational motivation, individualised consideration, and intellectual stimulation, leaders foster hope, collective efficacy, and emotional resilience among employees working under considerable operational pressure (Salameh-Ayanian et al., 2025). These findings support previous research suggesting that transformational leadership is particularly effective during organisational crises because it enables employees to interpret uncertainty as a shared challenge rather than an insurmountable obstacle (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

An important theoretical contribution of the study is its challenge to the traditional assumption that job satisfaction directly predicts employee performance. Instead, Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) demonstrate that transformational leadership exerts a more immediate influence on performance by strengthening employees' commitment to organisational values, shared purpose, and intrinsic motivation. This suggests that, particularly within crisis settings, employees may maintain high levels of performance despite emotional strain when inspired by transformational leaders who provide meaning, direction, and psychological support. Consequently, transformational leadership should be regarded not only as a strategy for promoting organisational growth and innovation but also as a critical leadership capability for sustaining organisational performance, resilience, and employee well-being during periods of instability.

Lin, Yin, and Liu (2022) investigate transformational leadership within educational settings by examining its influence on teachers' sustainable professional development through the mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. Their findings indicate that transformational leadership

significantly enhances teachers' confidence in their professional capabilities, thereby promoting continuous learning, instructional innovation, and long-term professional growth. The study demonstrates that school leadership exerts a stronger influence on teachers' professional development than individual characteristics such as growth mindset alone, highlighting the central role of leadership in creating supportive educational environments.

The positive relationship between transformational leadership and teacher self-efficacy reflects the core dimensions of transformational leadership theory, particularly inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration (Bass, 1985). School leaders who communicate a compelling educational vision, encourage professional reflection, and provide personalised support strengthen teachers' confidence in their ability to improve instructional practice and student learning outcomes (Lin et al., 2022). As teachers become increasingly confident in their professional capabilities, they are more willing to adopt innovative teaching methods, engage in collaborative learning, and participate in continuous professional development.

The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy represents one of the study's most significant theoretical contributions because it explains the psychological mechanism through which transformational leadership influences professional behaviour. Rather than relying on directive supervision, transformational leaders empower teachers by strengthening their beliefs in their own competence and professional autonomy. This finding aligns with social cognitive theory, which identifies self-efficacy as a key determinant of motivation, persistence, and performance (Bandura, 1997). Consequently, sustainable teacher development depends not only on formal training programmes but also on leadership practices that cultivate confidence, intrinsic motivation, and psychological empowerment.

Furthermore, Lin et al. (2022) demonstrate that transformational leadership has both direct and indirect effects on teachers' professional attitudes and instructional practices. These findings reinforce the growing consensus that transformational leadership is essential for developing adaptive, innovative, and future-oriented educational organisations capable of responding effectively to changing educational demands. Accordingly, leadership development programmes within schools should prioritise transformational leadership competencies that encourage collaboration, innovation, and lifelong professional learning.

Khan et al. (2020) provide valuable insights into the complex relationship between transformational leadership, employee performance, burnout, social loafing, and intrinsic motivation. Their findings indicate that transformational leadership significantly improves employee performance by enhancing intrinsic motivation, thereby reinforcing the proposition that employees perform more effectively when inspired by meaningful organisational goals rather than by external rewards alone. This finding is consistent with transformational leadership theory, which emphasises vision, empowerment, and intellectual stimulation as mechanisms for promoting exceptional performance (Bass & Avolio, 1994).

A major contribution of the study is its identification of intrinsic motivation as the principal psychological mechanism through which transformational leadership influences employee performance. Transformational leaders create meaningful work experiences by encouraging employees to perceive organisational objectives as personally significant, thereby strengthening commitment, confidence, and personal responsibility for organisational success (Khan et al., 2020). Through inspirational communication, individual support, and intellectual challenge, transformational leaders enhance employees' intrinsic desire to perform, innovate, and contribute beyond formal job requirements.

However, the study also reports that transformational leadership demonstrates only moderate indirect effects on burnout and social loafing. These findings suggest that although transformational leadership effectively enhances motivation and performance, it cannot independently eliminate all negative workplace outcomes. Factors such as excessive workload, inadequate organisational support, employee mental health, and work-life imbalance may continue to contribute to burnout and disengagement despite effective leadership (Khan et al., 2020). This highlights the importance of integrating transformational leadership with broader organisational well-being strategies, including employee assistance programmes, workload management, and psychological support initiatives.

Overall, the study advances transformational leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends not only on influencing employee behaviour but also on strengthening employees' psychological resources. Organisations seeking sustainable improvements in employee performance should therefore invest in leadership development programmes that cultivate inspirational communication, emotional intelligence, psychological empowerment, and supportive leader–employee relationships while simultaneously addressing organisational factors that contribute to employee stress and burnout (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

The findings of Khan et al. (2020) highlight the pivotal role of intrinsic motivation as the principal psychological mechanism through which transformational leadership enhances employee performance. Rather than relying on authority, supervision, or external rewards, transformational leaders inspire employees to believe in their own capabilities and to pursue organisational goals with commitment and enthusiasm. This leadership approach fosters psychological empowerment by creating meaningful work experiences, encouraging intellectual stimulation, and demonstrating individualised consideration for employees' personal and professional development (Bass & Avolio, 1994; Khan et al., 2020). Consequently, employees develop stronger self-confidence, greater ownership of organisational objectives, and a willingness to exceed formal job requirements.

Furthermore, the study emphasises that effective transformational leaders possess high levels of relational intelligence and situational awareness, enabling them to understand employees' individual needs, aspirations, and workplace challenges. Such interpersonal competence strengthens trust, enhances intrinsic motivation, and promotes long-term organisational

commitment. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership research, which argues that transformational leadership is fundamentally a people-centred process in which employee empowerment and meaningful leader–follower relationships drive sustained organisational performance (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022). The study therefore suggests that organisations seeking sustainable performance improvements should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate inspirational communication, emotional intelligence, employee empowerment, and motivational leadership behaviours.

Ayandibu (2024) provides a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary transformational leadership research by examining its influence across individual, team, departmental, and organisational levels. The review systematically evaluates the four core dimensions of transformational leadership—idealised influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration—and demonstrates their consistent positive effects on employee motivation, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, innovation, and team cohesion. These findings reinforce the multidimensional nature of transformational leadership, illustrating its flexibility across diverse organisational settings and functional levels.

A significant contribution of the review is its recognition that transformational leadership is not a rigid or prescriptive leadership model but rather a context-sensitive approach that requires leaders to adapt their behaviours according to organisational circumstances. Intellectual stimulation encourages employees to question existing assumptions and generate innovative solutions, while idealised influence positions leaders as ethical role models capable of fostering trust and credibility within organisations (Ayandibu, 2024). Similarly, individualised consideration promotes employee well-being through mentoring, coaching, and personalised support, thereby strengthening organisational commitment and psychological engagement.

Importantly, Ayandibu (2024) acknowledges that implementing transformational leadership may be challenging within highly bureaucratic or hierarchical organisations where rigid structures restrict employee autonomy and innovation. The review therefore highlights the importance of organisational culture, structural flexibility, and cultural intelligence in determining leadership effectiveness. This perspective aligns with recent leadership scholarship, which argues that transformational leadership is contingent upon organisational context and cultural expectations rather than universally producing identical outcomes (Banks et al., 2023). Consequently, leadership development initiatives should incorporate contextual awareness, cultural competence, and organisational readiness alongside the traditional competencies associated with transformational leadership.

Atoko (2023) extends transformational leadership research by focusing specifically on organisations operating within developing economies, thereby addressing a significant gap in mainstream leadership literature. Through an extensive review of empirical evidence, the study demonstrates that transformational leadership serves as a strategic management approach capable of enhancing organisational performance, employee motivation, innovation, and adaptability in

environments characterised by institutional instability, resource constraints, and rapid socio-economic change.

Rather than conceptualising transformational leadership solely as an interpersonal management style, Atoko (2023) presents it as a strategic capability that facilitates organisational transformation through vision creation, employee empowerment, intellectual stimulation, and organisational learning. These leadership behaviours enable organisations to respond proactively to environmental uncertainty while encouraging innovation and continuous improvement. Such findings are particularly relevant for developing countries, where organisational agility and adaptive leadership are essential for overcoming structural challenges and maintaining competitiveness.

An important contribution of the study is its emphasis on leadership's role in strategic planning and organisational policy development. Transformational leaders are portrayed as architects of organisational change who align institutional strategies with employee commitment and organisational values. This systems-oriented perspective extends traditional transformational leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership influences not only individual behaviour but also organisational structures, policies, and long-term strategic direction. Consequently, Atoko (2023) recommends institutionalising leadership development programmes tailored to local socio-economic contexts while preserving the core principles of transformational leadership. Such recommendations are especially valuable in developing economies, where leadership capacity-building initiatives often remain fragmented or insufficiently aligned with organisational priorities.

Awaale (2024) investigates the contribution of transformational leadership to organisational performance within Somalia's public sector through a comprehensive desk review. The study identifies inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, idealised influence, and individualised consideration as the principal leadership behaviours responsible for improving employee morale, organisational commitment, innovation, and public service delivery. Within the context of Somalia's institutionally fragile and resource-constrained public sector, transformational leadership is presented as a practical mechanism for overcoming bureaucratic inertia while promoting organisational resilience and employee engagement.

The review demonstrates that transformational leaders strengthen organisational effectiveness by developing shared organisational visions, empowering employees, and fostering collaborative workplace cultures characterised by trust and innovation. Such leadership practices significantly improve employee motivation, organisational citizenship behaviour, and stakeholder engagement, ultimately enhancing service delivery within public institutions (Awaale, 2024). These findings are particularly important in post-conflict governance contexts where effective leadership is essential for rebuilding institutional capacity and restoring public confidence.

Furthermore, Awaale (2024) highlights the contextual sensitivity of transformational leadership by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends upon adapting leadership behaviours to institutional realities and socio-political conditions. Despite operating within highly formalised bureaucratic environments, transformational leaders remain capable of stimulating

creativity, innovation, and organisational commitment through vision sharing, employee empowerment, and supportive interpersonal relationships. This evidence reinforces the growing consensus that transformational leadership represents an effective governance strategy for strengthening public-sector performance, particularly within developing countries facing complex institutional and developmental challenges (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2023).

Awaale (2024) argues that transformational leadership is a significant driver of organisational citizenship behaviour (OCB), employee motivation, and improved organisational performance within public-sector institutions. The review demonstrates that transformational leadership promotes employee engagement, strengthens organisational commitment, and enhances service delivery by fostering a shared vision and empowering employees to contribute beyond their formal job responsibilities. These leadership behaviours are particularly valuable in service-oriented government organisations where employee commitment and collaborative behaviour directly influence service quality and stakeholder satisfaction (Awaale, 2024).

A notable strength of the review is its contextual analysis of transformational leadership within Somalia's post-conflict public sector. Operating in an environment characterised by institutional fragility, resource constraints, and governance challenges, transformational leadership emerges as an adaptive leadership approach capable of rebuilding organisational capacity while promoting innovation and employee resilience. Rather than relying solely on bureaucratic authority, transformational leaders cultivate trust, encourage creativity, and facilitate employee participation in organisational decision-making. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership scholarship, which argues that transformational leadership is particularly effective in complex and uncertain environments because it combines strategic vision with psychological empowerment (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022). Consequently, the review provides compelling evidence that transformational leadership represents an important governance strategy for improving public-sector effectiveness in developing and post-conflict states.

Ahwireng Koomson et al. (2022) examine the relationship between transformational leadership, employee motivation, and organisational performance within selected Ghanaian public-sector institutions. Their study provides a nuanced evaluation of transformational leadership by assessing the relative influence of inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, charisma, and individualised consideration on both employee motivation and performance. The findings demonstrate that transformational leadership is not a uniform construct; rather, each leadership dimension contributes differently to employee attitudes and behavioural outcomes.

The study identifies inspirational motivation and intellectual stimulation as the strongest predictors of employee motivation, suggesting that leaders who communicate compelling organisational visions and encourage innovative thinking significantly enhance employees' intrinsic commitment to organisational objectives. These findings are consistent with transformational leadership theory, which proposes that employees become more motivated when leaders provide meaningful work, encourage intellectual growth, and recognise individual contributions (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022).

Interestingly, the study reports that charismatic leadership and individualised consideration exerted weaker effects on employee motivation than expected. However, charisma emerged as the strongest predictor of employee performance despite its relatively limited motivational influence. This finding suggests that different dimensions of transformational leadership may influence distinct organisational outcomes. While inspirational motivation primarily shapes employees' psychological engagement, charismatic leadership may influence behavioural performance by strengthening employees' confidence in leadership and organisational direction. Such findings contribute to an increasingly sophisticated understanding of transformational leadership by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness is contingent upon organisational context, employee expectations, and desired performance outcomes.

The Ghanaian public-sector context further strengthens the study's contribution to leadership scholarship. Public-sector organisations frequently operate within bureaucratic structures characterised by administrative complexity, limited flexibility, and increasing demands for accountability. Under such conditions, transformational leadership enables leaders to motivate employees, improve organisational commitment, and stimulate performance despite institutional constraints. Consequently, the authors recommend leadership development programmes that integrate transformational leadership competencies with contextual awareness, recognising that effective leadership requires adaptation to organisational and cultural realities rather than universal application of standard leadership behaviours (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022).

Ogonegbu and Kyongo (2023) provide a comprehensive systematic review synthesising recent empirical evidence on the relationship between transformational leadership and organisational performance. Drawing upon studies conducted across diverse geographical contexts, including Nigeria, Pakistan, Jordan, and other developing economies, the review confirms the widespread effectiveness of transformational leadership in improving employee engagement, organisational performance, innovation, and strategic alignment. This broad evidence base reinforces Burns' (1978) original proposition that transformational leadership influences organisational success by inspiring employees to transcend individual interests in pursuit of collective organisational goals.

The review demonstrates that the four core dimensions of transformational leadership, idealised influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration, consistently contribute to enhanced organisational performance across different sectors and cultural environments. Beyond improving interpersonal relationships, transformational leadership shapes organisational culture by promoting trust, innovation, shared vision, and continuous organisational learning. Consequently, leadership is conceptualised not merely as a motivational process but as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens long-term organisational resilience and competitiveness (Ogonegbu & Kyongo, 2023).

A particularly valuable contribution of the review is its demonstration that transformational leadership maintains positive organisational outcomes across diverse national and cultural contexts. Although leadership behaviours may require cultural adaptation, the fundamental

principles of transformational leadership remain remarkably consistent across countries. These findings support recent meta-analytic evidence indicating that transformational leadership possesses considerable cross-cultural validity while remaining sufficiently flexible to accommodate contextual differences (Banks et al., 2023). The review therefore concludes that organisations should invest in transformational leadership development as a strategic mechanism for enhancing organisational adaptability, employee engagement, innovation, and sustainable performance.

Cao and Le (2024) extend transformational leadership theory by examining the relationship between transformational leadership and organisational change capability through the mediating role of employee trust. Their study demonstrates that transformational leadership facilitates organisational change not through formal authority but by cultivating trusting relationships that encourage employees to embrace organisational transformation and innovation. Trust is therefore positioned as the primary psychological mechanism linking transformational leadership behaviours with organisational adaptability and change readiness.

The authors distinguish between disclosure-based trust and reliance-based trust, demonstrating that disclosure-based trust—characterised by openness, honest communication, and emotional transparency—has a stronger influence on organisational change capability than reliance-based trust. Employees who perceive leaders as authentic, approachable, and trustworthy are more likely to support organisational change, share innovative ideas, and participate actively in organisational improvement initiatives (Cao & Le, 2024). These findings reinforce the relational foundations of transformational leadership by highlighting that organisational transformation depends upon psychological safety, mutual respect, and high-quality leader–follower relationships.

The study also contributes significantly to the literature by examining small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in China, thereby extending transformational leadership research beyond traditional Western contexts. SMEs frequently operate under significant resource constraints and rapidly changing competitive conditions, making trust-based leadership particularly important for sustaining organisational agility and innovation. Consequently, the findings suggest that trust should be regarded not merely as an outcome of transformational leadership but as a strategic organisational resource that enhances change capability and long-term organisational resilience (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Nasir et al. (2022) investigate transformational leadership within Pakistani SMEs by examining its relationships with employee performance, organisational innovation, creativity, and workplace stressors. Their findings demonstrate that transformational leadership promotes superior employee performance primarily by stimulating innovation, enhancing intrinsic motivation, and encouraging employees to reinterpret workplace challenges as opportunities for professional growth. Rather than responding passively to organisational pressures, employees led by transformational leaders become more resilient, proactive, and committed to continuous improvement.

The study highlights inspirational motivation and intellectual stimulation as particularly influential leadership behaviours that encourage creative problem-solving and organisational innovation. Through these behaviours, transformational leaders create organisational environments where experimentation, learning, and knowledge sharing become integral components of organisational culture. This is especially valuable within SMEs operating in highly competitive and uncertain environments, where organisational survival often depends upon continuous innovation and employee adaptability (Nasir et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the authors demonstrate that transformational leadership positively influences employees' psychological responses to workplace stress. By reframing challenging work demands as opportunities for learning and development, transformational leaders reduce psychological withdrawal while strengthening resilience and organisational commitment. These findings emphasise that transformational leadership enhances not only employee performance but also employees' emotional and cognitive capacity to respond constructively to workplace adversity. Collectively, the study reinforces the proposition that transformational leadership functions as a strategic driver of organisational innovation, employee well-being, and sustainable organisational performance within dynamic business environments.

12.4 Conclusion

Transformational leadership, characterised by idealised influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration, has consistently demonstrated its capacity to improve employee performance, organisational innovation, adaptability, resilience, and psychological well-being across diverse organisational contexts. The literature reviewed indicates that transformational leadership is not merely an effective leadership style but a strategic capability that enables organisations to navigate uncertainty, foster sustainable growth, and maintain long-term competitiveness.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance and motivation. Transformational leaders create a compelling vision, empower employees, and align individual aspirations with organisational objectives, thereby enhancing commitment, engagement, and discretionary effort. Rather than relying on transactional rewards, these leaders cultivate intrinsic motivation, psychological empowerment, and organisational identification, leading to sustained improvements in productivity and service quality. This pattern is evident across sectors including hospitality, telecommunications, healthcare, education, and public administration.

The review also demonstrates that transformational leadership plays a critical role in organisational adaptability and change management. In increasingly volatile and uncertain environments, transformational leaders build trust, psychological safety, and collective commitment, enabling employees to embrace organisational change rather than resist it. Trust emerged as a particularly important mediating mechanism linking transformational leadership with organisational change capability, suggesting that leadership effectiveness depends not only on

strategic direction but also on the quality of leader–follower relationships. Similarly, educational research showed that transformational leadership strengthens teachers' self-efficacy and professional development, highlighting its long-term influence on organisational learning and capability development.

Innovation and creativity emerged as another dominant theme. Studies consistently showed that transformational leaders stimulate creative thinking by encouraging employees to challenge conventional practices, experiment with new ideas, and participate actively in organisational problem-solving. Intellectual stimulation encourages employees to view organisational challenges as opportunities for innovation rather than obstacles, thereby strengthening organisational resilience and long-term competitiveness. These findings reinforce previous theoretical arguments that transformational leadership creates conditions in which innovation becomes embedded within organisational culture rather than remaining dependent on individual initiatives.

The literature further demonstrates that transformational leadership contributes significantly to employee psychological well-being and organisational resilience. Leaders who provide emotional support, recognise individual needs, and promote meaningful work create healthier working environments characterised by greater resilience, job satisfaction, and reduced psychological strain. However, evidence also suggests that these outcomes are influenced by contextual factors such as social capital, organisational support, emotional exhaustion, and trust, indicating that transformational leadership operates most effectively within supportive organisational climates.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-cultural applicability of transformational leadership. Studies conducted across Asia, Europe, Africa, and the Middle East demonstrate that transformational leadership behaviours are consistently associated with positive employee attitudes and organisational outcomes despite differences in national culture and institutional environments. This suggests that transformational leadership addresses universal psychological needs such as purpose, recognition, trust, and belonging, making it highly relevant for multinational organisations operating in culturally diverse environments.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of transformational leadership within public sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from Somalia, Ghana, Nigeria, Pakistan, and other developing contexts demonstrates that transformational leadership improves employee motivation, organisational commitment, innovation, and service delivery despite institutional constraints and resource limitations. These findings reinforce the argument that transformational leadership is particularly valuable where organisations face complex governance challenges, institutional uncertainty, and increasing demands for accountability and public value.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that transformational leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by integrating economic, social, and environmental objectives into leadership practice. Environmentally specific transformational leadership promotes pro-environmental behaviour and organisational sustainability by strengthening employees'

environmental awareness and ethical commitment. Likewise, transformational leadership enhances institutional sustainability through strategic planning, innovation capability, and long-term organisational development in higher education and other knowledge-intensive sectors. These findings position transformational leadership as an important mechanism for achieving organisational resilience while contributing to broader sustainability agendas and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that transformational leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership theories in contemporary organisational research. Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee performance to strengthening organisational innovation, resilience, adaptability, sustainability, and long-term competitiveness across multiple sectors and cultures. Although contextual variables such as organisational trust, psychological safety, emotional exhaustion, and institutional culture influence leadership effectiveness, the overall evidence consistently supports transformational leadership as a strategic organisational capability rather than merely a leadership style.

Therefore, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate transformational competencies, including emotional intelligence, ethical decision-making, strategic vision, employee empowerment, and innovation management. As workplaces continue to experience technological disruption, global competition, environmental challenges, and increasing uncertainty, transformational leadership will remain an essential capability for organisations seeking resilience, continuous improvement, and sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 13:
ETHICAL LEADERSHIP

13.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of ethical leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating foundational leadership theories with recent empirical evidence to explain how ethical leadership influences organisational performance, employee behaviour, ethical decision-making, organisational trust, and sustainable organisational development. As one of the most widely recognised contemporary leadership approaches, ethical leadership has become increasingly important because of its emphasis on integrity, fairness, accountability, transparency, and responsible leadership, particularly in organisations facing heightened stakeholder expectations, governance challenges, and ethical complexities (Northouse, 2022; Ko et al., 2023).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of ethical leadership, tracing its development from broader ethical and moral leadership theories to Brown et al.'s (2005) influential conceptualisation of ethical leadership. Brown et al. (2005) defined ethical leadership as the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, together with the promotion of such behaviour through communication, reinforcement, and ethical decision-making. Brown and Treviño (2006) further expanded this perspective by distinguishing ethical leaders as both moral persons, who demonstrate integrity, honesty, and fairness, and moral managers, who actively establish ethical standards and encourage ethical behaviour throughout organisations. These theoretical principles provide the conceptual framework for evaluating the selected studies reviewed throughout this chapter.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that ethical leadership contributes significantly to employee trust, organisational commitment, job satisfaction, ethical behaviour, organisational citizenship behaviour, psychological safety, and overall organisational effectiveness (Bedi et al., 2016; Ko et al., 2023). By modelling ethical conduct and promoting fairness, transparency, and accountability, ethical leaders strengthen organisational trust while encouraging employees to uphold shared organisational values and ethical standards. Consequently, ethical leadership has become increasingly valuable in organisational environments characterised by rapid technological advancement, increasing stakeholder scrutiny, corporate governance challenges, and growing expectations for organisational sustainability and social responsibility (Northouse, 2022; Eisenbeiss, 2012).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, public administration, financial services, manufacturing, higher education,

multinational corporations, and non-governmental organisations. Examining ethical leadership across these diverse organisational contexts provides a comprehensive understanding of how ethical leadership behaviours influence organisational performance, employee attitudes, organisational culture, and stakeholder relationships within different institutional and cultural environments. Although the core principles of ethical leadership remain consistent across sectors, the evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness is influenced by organisational culture, governance structures, national culture, and contextual conditions that shape ethical decision-making and employee behaviour (Brown & Treviño, 2006).

In addition to examining the direct effects of ethical leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating mechanisms through which ethical leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify organisational trust, psychological safety, ethical climate, organisational justice, employee engagement, leader credibility, and perceived organisational support as important mechanisms explaining how ethical leadership enhances employee performance, organisational commitment, and organisational effectiveness (Bedi et al., 2016; Ko et al., 2023; Cropanzano et al., 2017). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why ethical leadership produces positive organisational outcomes while highlighting the organisational conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of ethical leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, the Middle East, and North America. Although ethical leadership has been widely recognised as an effective leadership approach across different countries and organisational settings, cultural values, institutional arrangements, governance systems, and societal expectations influence how ethical leadership behaviours are interpreted and enacted (Brown & Treviño, 2006). For example, organisational expectations regarding transparency, employee participation, fairness, and accountability may differ according to national culture and institutional context. Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of adapting ethical leadership practices to organisational and cultural environments while maintaining universal ethical principles of integrity, fairness, respect, and responsibility.

A central objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the ethical leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding ethical leadership outcomes while identifying areas requiring further investigation. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as ethical governance, responsible leadership, employee well-being, organisational resilience, corporate sustainability, stakeholder trust, and ethical organisational culture, all of which have become increasingly significant within contemporary leadership research (Ko et al., 2023; Eisenbeiss, 2012).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that ethical leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership theories within organisational studies. Its emphasis on

integrity, fairness, accountability, transparency, employee well-being, and responsible decision-making makes it particularly relevant for organisations operating within increasingly complex, knowledge-intensive, and ethically challenging environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how ethical leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, organisational governance systems, and strategic management initiatives to promote sustainable organisational success, stakeholder trust, and long-term organisational performance.

13.2 Overview of Ethical Leadership

Ethical leadership has emerged as one of the most influential leadership theories in contemporary organisational research and practice because of its emphasis on integrity, fairness, accountability, transparency, and moral decision-making (Brown et al., 2005; Northouse, 2022). The theory developed in response to growing concerns regarding corporate scandals, unethical organisational practices, and declining public trust in leadership, highlighting the need for leaders who not only achieve organisational objectives but also uphold ethical standards and promote responsible conduct (Brown & Treviño, 2006). Unlike transactional leadership, which focuses primarily on exchanges between leaders and followers through rewards and sanctions, ethical leadership emphasises leading by example, encouraging ethical behaviour, and creating organisational cultures founded on trust, justice, and social responsibility (Brown et al., 2005).

Brown et al. (2005) conceptualised ethical leadership as "the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, and the promotion of such conduct to followers through two-way communication, reinforcement, and ethical decision-making" (p. 120). This definition positions ethical leaders as both moral persons, characterised by honesty, integrity, fairness, and concern for others, and moral managers who actively communicate ethical expectations, reward ethical behaviour, and hold employees accountable for misconduct (Brown & Treviño, 2006). Since its development, ethical leadership has been widely applied across healthcare, education, public administration, manufacturing, financial services, and multinational organisations because of its emphasis on ethical governance, organisational trust, and sustainable organisational performance.

Ethical leadership is therefore defined as a leadership approach through which leaders influence employees by demonstrating ethical behaviour, making fair and transparent decisions, and fostering organisational cultures that prioritise integrity, accountability, respect, and social responsibility (Northouse, 2022). Rather than relying solely on authority or transactional exchanges, ethical leaders build trust through consistent ethical conduct, encourage open communication, and empower employees to make morally responsible decisions while supporting organisational objectives (Bedi et al., 2016). Consequently, ethical leadership is particularly valuable within complex organisational environments where stakeholder trust, corporate

governance, sustainability, and organisational reputation are critical determinants of long-term success (Ko et al., 2023).

Recent empirical studies consistently demonstrate that ethical leadership positively influences employee engagement, organisational commitment, job satisfaction, and organisational citizenship behaviour. For example, Ko et al. (2023) found that ethical leadership significantly enhances employee engagement and organisational commitment by fostering trust, perceived organisational support, and psychological safety. Similarly, Bedi et al. (2016), in a comprehensive meta-analysis, reported that ethical leadership positively influences job satisfaction, organisational commitment, employee performance, organisational citizenship behaviour, and trust in leadership across diverse organisational contexts. These findings support Social Exchange Theory, which suggests that employees reciprocate ethical treatment and supportive leadership behaviours through increased commitment, loyalty, and discretionary effort (Cropanzano et al., 2017).

Ethical leadership also plays a significant role in promoting organisational innovation. By establishing psychologically safe workplaces characterised by fairness, transparency, and mutual respect, ethical leaders encourage employees to share ideas, engage in constructive dialogue, and participate in organisational problem-solving without fear of retaliation (Ko et al., 2023). Employees who trust their leaders are more willing to contribute innovative ideas, collaborate with colleagues, and support organisational change initiatives. Consequently, ethical leadership strengthens innovation capability by creating organisational cultures where ethical conduct and creativity coexist to support sustainable organisational development.

The effectiveness of ethical leadership is equally evident in facilitating organisational change and improving organisational resilience. Ethical leaders enhance employees' willingness to embrace organisational change by communicating transparently, demonstrating fairness throughout change processes, and involving employees in decision-making (Northouse, 2022). Ethical leadership reduces uncertainty by strengthening trust, procedural justice, and employee confidence in organisational decisions, thereby reducing resistance to change and improving organisational adaptability. Furthermore, emotional intelligence complements ethical leadership by enabling leaders to understand employees' concerns, manage organisational conflict constructively, and maintain trust during periods of organisational uncertainty (Rahman et al., 2020).

Research further indicates that organisational culture and contextual factors influence the effectiveness of ethical leadership. Organisations characterised by ethical climates, supportive governance systems, and transparent communication provide favourable conditions for ethical leadership because employees perceive ethical standards as genuine organisational priorities rather than symbolic expectations (Bedi et al., 2016). Conversely, unethical organisational cultures, weak governance structures, or inconsistent managerial behaviour may undermine ethical leadership effectiveness by reducing employee trust and organisational commitment. Nevertheless, recent evidence consistently demonstrates that ethical leadership remains effective across different

organisational settings when supported by strong ethical values and organisational accountability systems (Ko et al., 2023).

Within the healthcare sector, ethical leadership has consistently demonstrated positive outcomes for healthcare professionals, patients, and healthcare organisations. Ethical leaders promote fairness, compassion, accountability, and professional integrity, resulting in higher levels of job satisfaction, employee retention, teamwork, and patient safety (Specchia et al., 2021). Healthcare professionals working under ethical leaders report stronger organisational commitment and greater confidence in organisational decision-making because leaders prioritise transparency, ethical responsibility, and patient-centred care. These findings highlight the importance of ethical leadership in strengthening both employee well-being and healthcare quality through ethical governance and collaborative practice.

Beyond organisational performance, ethical leadership has increasingly been associated with organisational sustainability and corporate social responsibility. Ethical leaders integrate ethical principles into organisational strategy by promoting environmental stewardship, social responsibility, stakeholder engagement, and responsible governance (Eisenbeiss, 2012). Through ethical decision-making and responsible leadership, organisations strengthen stakeholder trust while balancing economic performance with social and environmental responsibilities. Consequently, ethical leadership contributes directly to sustainable organisational development and supports the achievement of broader sustainability objectives, including the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Empirical evidence from different geographical regions further demonstrates the global relevance of ethical leadership. Studies conducted across Europe, Asia, Africa, the Middle East, and North America consistently demonstrate positive relationships between ethical leadership, employee trust, organisational commitment, ethical behaviour, organisational citizenship behaviour, and organisational performance (Bedi et al., 2016; Ko et al., 2023). Although ethical leadership practices may require adaptation to local cultural values and institutional environments, the underlying principles of integrity, fairness, honesty, accountability, and respect remain universally associated with positive organisational outcomes (Northouse, 2022).

Despite its numerous advantages, ethical leadership is not without limitations. Effective implementation requires leaders to possess high levels of moral reasoning, emotional intelligence, communication competence, and consistency between ethical values and organisational actions (Brown & Treviño, 2006). Ethical leadership may also be challenged within highly competitive or resource-constrained environments where conflicting stakeholder interests and short-term performance pressures create ethical dilemmas. Nevertheless, extensive empirical evidence consistently demonstrates that ethical leadership enhances employee motivation, organisational trust, ethical behaviour, organisational commitment, innovation, and sustainable organisational performance. Its emphasis on integrity, fairness, transparency, accountability, and responsible decision-making makes ethical leadership one of the most appropriate leadership approaches for

organisations seeking long-term resilience, stakeholder trust, and sustainable organisational success in today's increasingly complex business environment.

13.3 Ethical Leadership

Ethical leadership continues to receive considerable scholarly attention because of its demonstrated capacity to strengthen organisational trust, promote ethical decision-making, encourage innovation, and enhance employee performance across diverse organisational settings (Northouse, 2022; Ko et al., 2023). Developed by Brown et al. (2005), ethical leadership is characterised by leaders who demonstrate integrity, fairness, honesty, accountability, and concern for others while promoting ethical conduct through communication, role modelling, and reinforcement. Rather than relying solely on authority or transactional exchanges, ethical leaders create ethical organisational climates that encourage responsible behaviour, collaboration, and long-term organisational sustainability (Brown & Treviño, 2006). Recent empirical research further demonstrates that ethical leadership influences organisational outcomes not only directly but also indirectly through several psychological and organisational mechanisms, including organisational trust, psychological safety, ethical climate, and employee engagement (Bedi et al., 2016; Ko et al., 2023).

Ko et al. (2023) provide compelling evidence of the relationship between ethical leadership and employees' innovative behaviour by demonstrating the mediating roles of psychological safety and organisational trust. Their systematic review indicates that ethical leadership promotes innovation by creating organisational environments where employees feel respected, fairly treated, and confident that their ideas will be evaluated objectively. Rather than directing employees to implement organisational change through authority, ethical leaders cultivate trust, fairness, and open communication, encouraging employees to contribute innovative ideas voluntarily. These findings are consistent with ethical leadership theory, which proposes that leaders influence employee behaviour by modelling ethical conduct and fostering relationships built on integrity, transparency, and mutual respect (Brown et al., 2005; Northouse, 2022).

The review further demonstrates that organisational trust functions as a critical psychological mechanism through which ethical leadership promotes innovative behaviour. Employees who perceive their leaders as honest, fair, and trustworthy are more willing to support organisational initiatives, engage in knowledge sharing, and contribute creative solutions to organisational challenges. These findings reinforce earlier research suggesting that ethical leadership strengthens organisational commitment, employee engagement, and discretionary behaviours that support innovation and organisational effectiveness (Bedi et al., 2016; Cropanzano et al., 2017). Furthermore, Ko et al. (2023) conclude that supportive organisational climates strengthen the positive effects of ethical leadership, indicating that leadership alone is insufficient to sustain innovation unless accompanied by ethical governance structures, transparent organisational policies, and supportive management systems. This finding highlights that ethical leaders promote innovation not only by influencing individual behaviour but also by establishing organisational

conditions characterised by psychological safety, fairness, accountability, and collaborative learning.

Consequently, Ko et al.'s (2023) review extends ethical leadership theory by demonstrating that sustainable innovation emerges through the interaction of ethical leadership, employee trust, and supportive organisational systems. Their findings contribute to resolving inconsistencies within previous leadership research by illustrating that ethical leadership simultaneously influences individual behaviour, organisational culture, and institutional governance. This broader perspective reinforces the view that ethical leadership should not be regarded solely as a moral leadership style but as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens ethical culture, innovation, and long-term organisational sustainability.

Similarly, Bedi et al. (2016) provide important insights into the psychological mechanisms through which ethical leadership enhances employee performance and innovative work behaviour. Their meta-analysis demonstrates that ethical leadership develops employees' internal psychological resources by strengthening trust, perceived fairness, organisational identification, and psychological empowerment. Unlike studies focusing exclusively on behavioural outcomes, Bedi et al. (2016) show that ethical leaders cultivate supportive workplace environments where employees develop confidence, organisational commitment, and willingness to engage in constructive organisational behaviours. These findings align with ethical leadership theory, which emphasises role modelling, ethical communication, fairness, and employee support as essential leadership behaviours (Brown & Treviño, 2006).

The review particularly identifies trust and organisational justice as significant mediating mechanisms linking ethical leadership with employee performance and organisational citizenship behaviour. Ethical leaders strengthen employees' confidence by making transparent decisions, treating employees fairly, and consistently demonstrating integrity in organisational interactions. As employees develop stronger trust in organisational leadership, they become more willing to participate in collaborative decision-making, propose innovative solutions, and contribute beyond formal job requirements. These findings support previous evidence suggesting that ethical leadership enhances organisational effectiveness by fostering psychological safety, employee empowerment, and intrinsic motivation (Cropanzano et al., 2017; Ko et al., 2023).

An important contribution of Bedi et al.'s (2016) research lies in its comprehensive synthesis of findings across multiple organisational and cultural contexts. Their review demonstrates that ethical leadership remains effective across public and private organisations because ethical leaders compensate for organisational uncertainty by strengthening employee trust, fairness, and confidence in leadership. Rather than relying solely on organisational controls or formal authority, ethical leaders cultivate integrity, transparency, and accountability, enabling employees to maintain constructive organisational behaviours despite organisational challenges. This perspective broadens ethical leadership theory by highlighting trust and organisational justice as strategic organisational resources capable of enhancing innovation and organisational

performance, particularly in environments characterised by uncertainty and institutional complexity.

Recent research also demonstrates that ethical leadership contributes significantly to organisational resilience by strengthening ethical organisational cultures and employee adaptability. Ko et al. (2023) argue that ethical leadership enhances organisational resilience by fostering trust, open communication, and responsible decision-making, enabling organisations to respond effectively to uncertainty and organisational disruption. Rather than focusing solely on improving employee motivation, ethical leaders simultaneously strengthen governance systems, ethical organisational climates, and collaborative relationships that enable organisations to anticipate, adapt to, and recover from changing environmental conditions. These findings are particularly significant given the increasing complexity, volatility, and ethical challenges characterising contemporary organisational environments (Northouse, 2022).

Overall, the reviewed evidence demonstrates that ethical leadership enhances organisational innovation and resilience through a combination of psychological, behavioural, and organisational mechanisms, including organisational trust, ethical climate, psychological safety, organisational justice, and employee engagement. These findings extend ethical leadership theory beyond leader–follower relationships by illustrating how ethical leaders shape organisational culture, strengthen governance systems, and develop adaptive organisational capabilities. Consequently, ethical leadership should be viewed not merely as a moral leadership approach but as a strategic organisational capability that supports innovation, resilience, ethical governance, and sustainable organisational performance.

Recent evidence demonstrates that ethical leadership becomes increasingly important during periods of environmental uncertainty by strengthening employees' trust, ethical decision-making, and organisational resilience. During times of economic instability, technological disruption, or market volatility, employees rely on ethical leaders to provide transparency, fairness, and moral guidance while maintaining confidence in organisational decisions. Under such conditions, ethical leadership reduces uncertainty by fostering trust, promoting open communication, and encouraging responsible decision-making. These behaviours enhance employees' willingness to adapt to organisational change while sustaining innovation and collaboration (Ko et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022). Contemporary leadership research therefore suggests that ethical leadership is particularly effective during organisational crises because it creates psychologically safe environments where employees remain committed to organisational objectives despite uncertainty (Bedi et al., 2016).

Collectively, recent studies reinforce the multidimensional nature of ethical leadership. They demonstrate that ethical leadership enhances organisational innovation through several interconnected psychological and organisational mechanisms, including organisational trust, ethical climate, psychological safety, employee engagement, and organisational justice (Ko et al., 2023; Bedi et al., 2016). These findings extend traditional ethical leadership theory beyond leader–follower relationships by illustrating how ethical leaders shape organisational culture, strengthen

employee confidence, and develop organisational capabilities that support ethical decision-making and sustainable performance. Consequently, ethical leadership should be viewed not merely as a moral leadership style but as a strategic organisational capability that promotes innovation, resilience, and long-term organisational sustainability.

Recent evidence also indicates that ethical leadership becomes particularly valuable during periods of environmental uncertainty, when organisations require both innovation and resilience to remain competitive. By demonstrating integrity, encouraging employee participation, and creating psychologically safe workplaces, ethical leaders enable employees to share new ideas without fear of unfair criticism or retaliation. Such leadership behaviours encourage continuous learning, adaptability, and collaborative problem-solving, all of which are essential for sustaining innovation within increasingly volatile organisational environments (Northouse, 2022). Organisational resilience therefore emerges as an important mechanism through which ethical leadership strengthens long-term organisational performance by enhancing employees' ability to anticipate, adapt to, and recover from unexpected organisational challenges (Ko et al., 2023).

A notable contribution of recent ethical leadership research is the integration of ethical leadership with organisational resilience theory. Scholars argue that ethical leaders strengthen innovation not only through direct employee motivation but also by establishing governance systems, ethical organisational cultures, and trusting workplace relationships that enable organisations to withstand environmental turbulence (Bedi et al., 2016; Ko et al., 2023). These findings extend ethical leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends upon developing ethical organisational systems alongside motivated employees. Consequently, ethical leadership should be regarded as a strategic organisational capability that enhances organisational resilience, ethical governance, innovation, and sustainable organisational performance.

Tsapnidou et al. (2024) provide a comprehensive systematic review of leadership within healthcare organisations, highlighting the growing importance of ethical leadership in nursing management. Their review demonstrates that ethical leadership significantly improves workforce retention, employee engagement, patient safety, and organisational sustainability by fostering integrity, fairness, accountability, and compassionate leadership. Given the increasing complexity of healthcare systems, ethical leadership provides an effective framework for addressing workforce shortages, promoting ethical clinical practice, and strengthening patient-centred care (Tsapnidou et al., 2024).

The review identifies two interrelated mechanisms through which ethical leadership enhances healthcare performance: ethical workforce development and quality healthcare delivery. Ethical nurse leaders inspire employees through transparent communication, fair decision-making, professional support, and ethical role modelling, resulting in higher levels of job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and employee engagement (Tsapnidou et al., 2024). These findings are consistent with previous research demonstrating that ethical leadership strengthens employee

motivation by fostering trust, psychological safety, organisational justice, and professional development (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Jankelová & Joniaková, 2021).

Furthermore, ethical leadership contributes to organisational resilience by promoting continuous learning, mentoring, ethical reflection, and professional development among healthcare professionals. Through fairness, accountability, and supportive leadership, ethical leaders encourage evidence-based decision-making, collaborative problem-solving, and continuous quality improvement. Such leadership behaviours enable healthcare organisations to respond more effectively to changing patient needs, technological developments, and organisational challenges while maintaining high standards of patient care (Gebreheat et al., 2023). Consequently, ethical leadership enhances not only employee outcomes but also organisational effectiveness, patient safety, and healthcare quality.

An important contribution of Tsapnidou et al. (2024) is the recognition of ethical leadership as a long-term strategic investment rather than simply a managerial approach. Unlike leadership styles focused primarily on operational efficiency, ethical leadership develops future organisational capacity by investing in ethical culture, employee development, accountability, and organisational learning (Northouse, 2022). By embedding organisational values such as integrity, empathy, collaboration, transparency, and responsibility, ethical leaders cultivate sustainable organisational cultures that support employee well-being and high-quality patient care. Their review therefore reinforces the growing consensus that ethical nurse leaders function not merely as operational managers but as strategic agents of organisational improvement, ethical governance, and sustainable healthcare development.

Ren, Li, and Mavros (2024) further extend leadership research by examining environmentally specific ethical leadership and its influence on employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Their study demonstrates that ethical leadership principles can be effectively applied to support organisational sustainability by aligning ethical leadership behaviours with environmental responsibility and sustainable organisational practices. Rather than relying solely on compliance with environmental regulations, ethical leaders encourage employees to internalise environmental values and integrate sustainability into everyday organisational decision-making (Ren et al., 2024).

The study suggests that environmentally responsible ethical leaders move beyond regulatory compliance by encouraging employees to view environmental stewardship as both an ethical obligation and an organisational responsibility. Through transparent communication, ethical role modelling, and stakeholder engagement, leaders inspire employees to actively participate in sustainability initiatives while strengthening organisational commitment to environmental responsibility. This values-based leadership approach reinforces ethical organisational culture by aligning individual behaviour with broader sustainability objectives and responsible corporate governance (Ren et al., 2024).

Ren et al. (2024) identify environmental awareness as a significant mediating mechanism through which ethical leadership influences employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Rather than relying solely on formal environmental policies, ethical leaders promote responsible decision-

making, encourage sustainable innovation, and model environmentally responsible behaviours that enable employees to internalise environmental values. These findings demonstrate that ethical leadership promotes behavioural change by shaping employees' ethical values, attitudes, and sense of environmental responsibility rather than merely enforcing compliance with organisational regulations (Ren et al., 2024).

Importantly, the study further demonstrates that emotional exhaustion moderates the effectiveness of environmentally specific ethical leadership. Employees experiencing high levels of emotional fatigue are less responsive to ethical leadership behaviours, suggesting that leadership effectiveness depends partly on employees' psychological well-being. This finding reinforces broader ethical leadership theory, which emphasises empathy, fairness, emotional intelligence, and employee care as essential leadership competencies (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Northouse, 2022). Consequently, organisations seeking to strengthen sustainability through ethical leadership should simultaneously invest in employee well-being to maximise leadership effectiveness and long-term organisational commitment.

Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) examine the relationship between ethical leadership, strategic planning, and organisational performance within Nigerian higher education institutions. Their findings contribute to the growing body of literature demonstrating that ethical leadership enhances institutional effectiveness by ensuring that strategic objectives are implemented through transparent governance, accountability, fairness, and stakeholder participation. Given the increasing pressures associated with technological advancement, educational reform, and global competition, ethical leadership provides higher education institutions with a leadership approach capable of promoting responsible decision-making, organisational adaptability, and long-term institutional sustainability (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024).

The study identifies strategic planning as an important mechanism linking ethical leadership with organisational performance. Rather than viewing leadership and strategic planning as separate organisational functions, Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) argue that ethical leaders translate strategic objectives into organisational practice by communicating shared values, encouraging employee participation, and fostering organisational trust. This integration enables academic and administrative staff to align their professional responsibilities with institutional goals while maintaining high ethical standards, thereby improving organisational effectiveness and sustainable performance.

These findings reinforce ethical leadership theory by demonstrating that strategic leadership extends beyond organisational planning to include employee engagement, ethical governance, organisational learning, and cultural transformation. Ethical leaders facilitate effective strategy implementation by encouraging collaboration, transparency, accountability, and fairness while cultivating organisational cultures that support continuous improvement and responsible innovation (Northouse, 2022; Ko et al., 2023). Consequently, ethical leadership serves as a strategic organisational capability that enhances strategic execution, institutional resilience, and

sustainable organisational performance, particularly within rapidly evolving higher education environments.

Moreover, the findings of Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) demonstrate that strategic planning alone is insufficient to achieve organisational success without the ethical and relational competencies embodied in ethical leadership. While strategic plans provide organisational direction, ethical leaders translate these plans into action through integrity, transparent communication, fairness, and stakeholder engagement. This reinforces the argument that successful strategy implementation depends not only on organisational planning but also on leadership behaviours that promote trust, accountability, collaboration, and employee commitment (Northouse, 2022). Ethical decision-making, transparent communication, and employee empowerment therefore become essential leadership competencies that enable organisations to bridge the gap between strategic intentions and operational implementation.

Within the Nigerian higher education sector, these leadership competencies are particularly important because institutions operate within environments characterised by limited financial resources, bureaucratic complexity, and socio-political challenges (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). Under such circumstances, ethical leaders play a pivotal role in aligning institutional missions with stakeholder expectations while fostering shared responsibility and organisational integrity. Rather than reacting to organisational challenges, ethical leaders proactively cultivate ethical organisational cultures that sustain long-term institutional performance. Consequently, ethical leadership emerges as a strategic capability that strengthens institutional resilience, strategic implementation, and sustainable organisational development (Ko et al., 2023).

Kim, Yang, and Lee (2023) extend leadership research by examining the influence of ethical leadership within the hospitality industry, where service quality depends heavily on employee behaviour, customer trust, and ethical service delivery. Their findings indicate that ethical leadership significantly enhances organisational identification, employee creativity, and job performance by fostering fair treatment, open communication, and trustworthy leader–employee relationships. Ethical leaders create service environments characterised by respect, integrity, and mutual accountability, thereby strengthening both employee commitment and customer satisfaction.

A particularly important contribution of Kim et al. (2023) is the identification of organisational identification as a mediating mechanism linking ethical leadership with employee performance. Ethical leaders cultivate employees' psychological attachment to organisational values by consistently demonstrating fairness, honesty, and ethical behaviour. As employees increasingly identify with organisational values, they exhibit stronger organisational commitment, greater discretionary effort, and higher levels of service performance. These findings support social identity theory, which proposes that employees who identify with ethically responsible organisations are more likely to contribute proactively towards organisational success (Haslam et al., 2020).

The study further demonstrates that ethical leadership encourages creativity by fostering psychologically safe workplaces where employees are encouraged to express ideas, challenge ineffective practices, and participate in organisational improvement without fear of unfair treatment. Such leadership behaviours contribute to sustainable competitive advantage because ethical organisational cultures promote innovation, collaboration, and continuous service improvement (Kim et al., 2023). Furthermore, the mediating role of organisational identification suggests that ethical leadership influences employee behaviour primarily through psychological engagement, trust, and shared organisational values rather than direct managerial control. Consequently, ethical leadership functions as a strategic organisational resource capable of simultaneously enhancing employee commitment, creativity, and organisational performance.

Al-Subaie et al. (2021) contribute a strategic perspective by examining ethical leadership within megaproject environments characterised by complexity, uncertainty, and multiple stakeholder interests. Using Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM) and MICMAC analysis, the study identifies the organisational and leadership factors necessary for developing leadership capability within large-scale infrastructure projects. Rather than conceptualising leadership solely as an individual behavioural style, the study positions ethical leadership as a strategic organisational capability embedded within governance systems, stakeholder management, and organisational accountability.

The findings demonstrate that ethical leaders function as strategic coordinators who promote transparency, fairness, stakeholder collaboration, and responsible decision-making under conditions of uncertainty. Given the complexity of megaproject environments, ethical leadership becomes essential for maintaining stakeholder confidence, managing organisational change, and facilitating collaborative problem-solving (Al-Subaie et al., 2021). These findings reinforce broader ethical leadership theory by demonstrating that organisational success depends upon integrity, accountability, and responsible governance in addition to effective project management (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Northouse, 2022).

An important contribution of the study is the identification of leadership development enablers through structural modelling techniques. Ethical decision-making, stakeholder trust, transparent communication, employee empowerment, and accountable governance emerged as high-impact drivers of effective leadership capability. These findings suggest that ethical leadership should not be viewed as an innate personal characteristic but rather as a strategic organisational capability that can be systematically developed through structured leadership development programmes, organisational governance systems, and ethical management practices. This perspective significantly advances ethical leadership theory by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends not only on individual leader characteristics but also on organisational systems, contextual factors, and deliberate leadership development initiatives.

The studies reviewed provide substantial evidence that ethical leadership plays a significant role in promoting employees' psychological well-being, organisational trust, and sustainable performance across diverse organisational contexts. Ethical leadership, defined as the

demonstration and promotion of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions, interpersonal relationships, and ethical decision-making, extends beyond improving organisational outcomes by creating work environments characterised by fairness, integrity, transparency, and respect (Brown et al., 2005; Northouse, 2022). Recent research increasingly recognises that ethical leaders contribute to psychologically healthy workplaces by fostering trust, open communication, and supportive relationships that enhance employee well-being and organisational effectiveness.

Lindert et al. (2023) provide valuable longitudinal evidence concerning leadership and employee psychological well-being, demonstrating that leadership behaviours contribute to healthier work environments through trust, social support, and meaningful interpersonal relationships. Although improvements in leadership behaviours alone did not automatically produce significant gains in employees' long-term psychological well-being, the study found that workplace social capital and employees' initial levels of well-being were stronger predictors of sustained positive outcomes. These findings suggest that ethical leadership contributes indirectly to employee well-being by cultivating organisational cultures characterised by fairness, mutual respect, and supportive relationships rather than functioning as an isolated determinant of psychological health (Lindert et al., 2023). Consequently, organisations should integrate ethical leadership with broader employee well-being initiatives, including mental health support, inclusive organisational cultures, and opportunities for social connectedness.

The findings reinforce Social Learning Theory, which underpins Ethical Leadership Theory by proposing that employees observe and emulate leaders' ethical behaviours (Brown et al., 2005). Ethical leaders serve as moral role models by consistently demonstrating honesty, fairness, integrity, accountability, and concern for employee welfare. Through these behaviours, leaders establish psychologically safe environments where employees feel respected, valued, and confident in expressing concerns without fear of retaliation (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Northouse, 2022). As a result, ethical leadership promotes higher levels of employee engagement, organisational trust, and psychological safety.

Cross-cultural research further supports the universal relevance of ethical leadership. Caza et al. (2021) examined followers' perceptions across multiple countries and organisational contexts, demonstrating that leadership behaviours grounded in integrity, trustworthiness, respect, and ethical conduct were consistently associated with positive follower evaluations regardless of national culture. Although cultural norms influence how ethical behaviours are expressed, the fundamental principles of fairness, honesty, accountability, and respect appear to satisfy universal psychological needs for trust, dignity, and belonging (Caza et al., 2021). These findings suggest that ethical leadership possesses considerable cross-cultural applicability while requiring leaders to adapt their communication and decision-making approaches to local cultural expectations (Banks et al., 2023).

A notable contribution of Caza et al. (2021) is their emphasis on follower perceptions as a critical determinant of leadership effectiveness. Employees were more satisfied with leaders who consistently demonstrated ethical behaviour, transparency, fairness, and genuine concern for

employees' welfare. High levels of follower satisfaction indicate that ethical leadership is fundamentally relational, relying on trust-based interactions that strengthen organisational commitment and employee identification with organisational values (Brown et al., 2005; Northouse, 2022). Rather than depending solely on positional authority, ethical leadership derives its effectiveness from leaders' moral credibility and followers' confidence in the integrity of leadership decisions.

The study further highlights the reciprocal nature of ethical leadership by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends not only on leaders' ethical conduct but also on how employees interpret and respond to those behaviours. Employees who perceive leaders as honest, fair, and consistent are more likely to reciprocate with organisational citizenship behaviour, trust, and stronger organisational commitment (Banks et al., 2023). Consequently, ethical leadership should be understood as a dynamic social process in which ethical conduct, mutual respect, and shared organisational values strengthen organisational performance across diverse cultural contexts.

Recent evidence also demonstrates the importance of ethical leadership during periods of organisational uncertainty and crisis. Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) examined leadership within Lebanese non-governmental organisations operating under conditions of political instability and resource scarcity. Their findings indicate that ethical leadership significantly enhances employee resilience, organisational commitment, and job satisfaction by promoting fairness, transparency, and shared organisational values during periods of uncertainty. Employees working under ethical leaders reported higher levels of trust and psychological security, enabling them to remain committed to humanitarian objectives despite challenging external conditions.

The authors argue that ethical leaders strengthen organisational resilience by fostering psychologically safe workplaces where employees perceive leadership decisions as transparent, fair, and guided by moral principles (Salameh-Ayanian et al., 2025). Through honest communication, equitable treatment, and consistent ethical decision-making, leaders reduce uncertainty and encourage collective responsibility for organisational success. These findings support recent leadership research suggesting that ethical leadership becomes particularly valuable during crises because ethical leaders provide moral guidance, reinforce organisational values, and strengthen employees' confidence in leadership decisions (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

An important theoretical contribution of Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) is the demonstration that ethical leadership influences employee performance indirectly through trust, organisational commitment, and perceived fairness rather than through job satisfaction alone. Employees who believe their leaders consistently act with integrity and fairness remain motivated to perform effectively despite external pressures and emotional strain. Consequently, ethical leadership should be regarded not only as a governance approach that promotes ethical conduct but also as a strategic capability that enhances organisational resilience, employee well-being, and sustainable organisational performance during periods of instability.

Ethical leadership has also demonstrated significant value within educational settings. Lin et al. (2022) found that ethical school leadership strengthens teachers' professional development by

fostering trust, fairness, and psychological empowerment. Leaders who model ethical behaviour, encourage participative decision-making, and treat staff equitably create educational environments where teachers develop greater confidence in their professional capabilities and become more committed to continuous learning and instructional improvement. These findings suggest that leadership exerts a stronger influence on teachers' professional growth than individual characteristics alone because ethical organisational cultures encourage collaboration, openness, and professional development.

The positive relationship between ethical leadership and teacher self-efficacy reflects the central principles of Ethical Leadership Theory, particularly integrity, fairness, accountability, and respect for employees (Brown et al., 2005). Ethical school leaders encourage professional reflection, support equitable opportunities for development, and create psychologically safe learning environments where teachers are confident in improving instructional practice and student outcomes (Lin et al., 2022). As teachers develop stronger confidence in their professional competence, they become increasingly willing to engage in collaborative learning, adopt innovative teaching practices, and participate in lifelong professional development.

The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy represents an important theoretical contribution because it explains one of the psychological mechanisms through which ethical leadership influences professional behaviour. Rather than relying on authority or directive supervision, ethical leaders empower teachers by promoting fairness, trust, autonomy, and respect, thereby strengthening teachers' beliefs in their own capabilities. This finding is consistent with Social Cognitive Theory, which identifies self-efficacy as a key determinant of motivation, persistence, and performance (Bandura, 1997). Accordingly, sustainable teacher development depends not only on formal professional training but also on ethical leadership practices that cultivate confidence, psychological safety, and professional empowerment.

Overall, the reviewed evidence demonstrates that ethical leadership contributes directly and indirectly to employee well-being, trust, organisational commitment, resilience, and professional development across multiple organisational contexts. By promoting fairness, integrity, accountability, and ethical decision-making, ethical leaders create organisational cultures that encourage psychological safety, employee engagement, continuous learning, and sustainable organisational performance. These findings reinforce the growing consensus that ethical leadership represents a fundamental organisational capability for developing resilient, responsible, and high-performing organisations capable of responding effectively to contemporary organisational challenges (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Khan et al. (2020) provide valuable insights into the relationship between leadership, employee performance, burnout, social loafing, and intrinsic motivation. Although their study focused on transformational leadership, similar mechanisms have been identified within ethical leadership research, where leaders' fairness, integrity, and concern for employees foster intrinsic motivation and improved work performance (Bedi et al., 2016; Banks et al., 2021). Ethical leadership enhances employee performance by creating an environment of trust and moral

responsibility, encouraging employees to contribute beyond formal job requirements while maintaining high ethical standards (Brown & Treviño, 2006).

A significant contribution of ethical leadership research is the recognition that intrinsic motivation serves as a key psychological mechanism through which ethical leaders influence employee performance. Ethical leaders create meaningful work environments by modelling ethical behaviour, demonstrating fairness, and encouraging employees to align personal values with organisational objectives (Kalshoven et al., 2011). Through open communication, ethical guidance, and supportive interpersonal relationships, ethical leaders strengthen employees' commitment, confidence, and sense of responsibility, thereby increasing work engagement and discretionary effort (Eva et al., 2019).

However, research also indicates that ethical leadership alone cannot eliminate all negative workplace outcomes such as burnout and social loafing. While ethical leaders establish supportive and psychologically safe work environments, factors including excessive workloads, inadequate organisational support, role ambiguity, and work-life imbalance continue to influence employee well-being and engagement (Banks et al., 2021; Neves et al., 2023). Consequently, organisations seeking to reduce burnout and enhance performance should integrate ethical leadership with broader organisational well-being strategies, including employee assistance programmes, mental health support, workload management, and organisational justice initiatives.

Overall, ethical leadership theory demonstrates that leadership effectiveness depends not only on influencing employee behaviour but also on strengthening employees' psychological resources and fostering ethical organisational cultures (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Northouse, 2022). Organisations seeking sustainable improvements in employee performance should therefore invest in leadership development programmes that cultivate integrity, fairness, emotional intelligence, ethical decision-making, and supportive leader–employee relationships while simultaneously addressing organisational factors contributing to employee stress and burnout (Banks et al., 2021).

The findings of ethical leadership studies highlight the pivotal role of intrinsic motivation in enhancing employee performance. Rather than relying on positional authority or external rewards, ethical leaders inspire employees by demonstrating honesty, fairness, transparency, and accountability (Kalshoven et al., 2011). This leadership approach fosters psychological empowerment by creating meaningful work experiences, encouraging ethical decision-making, and treating employees with dignity and respect. Consequently, employees develop stronger self-confidence, greater organisational commitment, and an increased willingness to exceed formal job responsibilities while maintaining ethical conduct (Bedi et al., 2016).

Furthermore, ethical leadership emphasises high levels of relational competence and moral awareness, enabling leaders to understand employees' needs, concerns, and workplace challenges. Such interpersonal competence strengthens trust, enhances intrinsic motivation, and promotes long-term organisational commitment (Brown & Treviño, 2006). Contemporary leadership research argues that ethical leadership is fundamentally a people-centred and values-based process in which employee empowerment, fairness, and trust drive sustainable organisational performance

(Banks et al., 2021; Northouse, 2022). Consequently, organisations aiming to improve long-term performance should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate ethical judgement, transparent communication, emotional intelligence, employee empowerment, and moral leadership competencies.

Banks et al. (2021) provide a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary ethical leadership research by examining its influence across individual, team, and organisational levels. Their review demonstrates that ethical leadership consistently improves employee motivation, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, organisational citizenship behaviour, trust in leadership, and ethical work behaviour. These findings reinforce the multidimensional nature of ethical leadership and illustrate its effectiveness across diverse organisational contexts and industries.

A significant contribution of the review is its recognition that ethical leadership is not a rigid or prescriptive leadership model but rather a context-sensitive approach requiring leaders to adapt ethical principles to different organisational situations while maintaining consistency in moral conduct (Banks et al., 2021). Ethical leaders encourage employees to question unethical practices, make principled decisions, and contribute to organisational integrity while modelling honesty, accountability, and fairness. These behaviours strengthen employee well-being, organisational commitment, and psychological engagement, thereby creating ethical organisational cultures characterised by trust and mutual respect (Kalshoven et al., 2011).

Importantly, Banks et al. (2021) acknowledge that implementing ethical leadership may be challenging within highly bureaucratic or politically influenced organisations where competing interests, rigid hierarchies, or unethical organisational norms restrict employee autonomy and ethical decision-making. The review therefore highlights the importance of organisational culture, ethical climate, governance structures, and contextual factors in determining leadership effectiveness. This perspective aligns with contemporary leadership scholarship, which argues that ethical leadership is contingent upon organisational context, institutional support, and ethical infrastructure rather than producing identical outcomes across all settings (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Northouse, 2022). Consequently, leadership development initiatives should incorporate ethical reasoning, organisational ethics, cultural competence, and governance awareness alongside traditional leadership competencies.

Recent scholarship has increasingly examined ethical leadership within developing economies, recognising its importance in strengthening organisational integrity, employee commitment, and sustainable organisational performance in contexts characterised by institutional instability, resource constraints, and governance challenges (Banks et al., 2021; Northouse, 2022). Rather than viewing ethical leadership solely as an interpersonal leadership style, contemporary researchers conceptualise it as a strategic organisational capability that promotes ethical decision-making, accountability, fairness, and responsible governance (Brown & Treviño, 2006). Through ethical role modelling, transparent communication, and principled decision-making, ethical leaders cultivate organisational cultures founded on trust, justice, and employee empowerment,

enabling organisations to respond effectively to environmental uncertainty while maintaining high ethical standards (Kalshoven et al., 2011).

Ethical leadership has become particularly relevant within developing countries where organisations often operate in environments characterised by weak institutional frameworks, corruption risks, limited resources, and socio-economic uncertainty (Mendonca & Kanungo, 2020). In such contexts, ethical leaders strengthen organisational resilience by promoting fairness, accountability, and stakeholder trust while encouraging employees to participate actively in organisational decision-making. These leadership behaviours enhance employee commitment, organisational citizenship behaviour (OCB), and long-term organisational sustainability, thereby enabling organisations to navigate institutional challenges while maintaining organisational legitimacy (Banks et al., 2021).

An important contribution of recent ethical leadership research is its emphasis on leadership's strategic role in organisational governance and policy implementation. Ethical leaders are increasingly viewed as architects of ethical organisational cultures who align institutional strategies with moral values, organisational integrity, and stakeholder expectations (Brown & Treviño, 2006). This systems-oriented perspective extends traditional leadership theory by demonstrating that ethical leadership influences not only employee behaviour but also organisational structures, governance mechanisms, strategic planning, and long-term institutional sustainability. Consequently, leadership development programmes should integrate ethical reasoning, corporate governance, stakeholder management, and contextual awareness to strengthen leadership capacity, particularly within developing economies where ethical leadership remains essential for institutional development and public trust (Northouse, 2022).

Evidence from public-sector organisations further demonstrates that ethical leadership significantly contributes to organisational performance, employee motivation, and service delivery. Ethical leaders strengthen organisational effectiveness by modelling integrity, ensuring procedural fairness, promoting transparency, and fostering collaborative workplace cultures characterised by trust and accountability (Bedi et al., 2016). Such leadership behaviours enhance employee engagement, organisational commitment, and public confidence while reducing unethical workplace behaviours and improving organisational citizenship behaviour. These findings are particularly relevant in post-conflict and developing contexts where effective governance depends heavily on ethical leadership and responsible public administration (Banks et al., 2021).

Moreover, ethical leadership research highlights the contextual nature of leadership effectiveness. Leaders operating within highly bureaucratic and resource-constrained environments must adapt ethical leadership behaviours to institutional realities while maintaining consistency in moral principles and ethical decision-making (Brown & Treviño, 2006). Rather than relying on positional authority or formal controls alone, ethical leaders cultivate trust, encourage employee participation, and promote shared accountability through transparent and inclusive leadership practices. This evidence reinforces the growing consensus that ethical

leadership represents an effective governance strategy for strengthening organisational resilience, employee engagement, and public-sector effectiveness, particularly within developing countries facing complex institutional and developmental challenges (Northouse, 2022; Banks et al., 2021).

Recent studies also demonstrate that ethical leadership significantly enhances employee motivation and organisational performance by fostering fairness, respect, and meaningful employee participation. Ethical leaders communicate clear organisational values, encourage open dialogue, and create psychologically safe environments in which employees feel respected, valued, and fairly treated (Kalshoven et al., 2011). These leadership behaviours strengthen employees' intrinsic motivation and organisational commitment, leading to improved job performance and greater willingness to contribute beyond formal role requirements. Such findings support social learning theory, which suggests that employees model the ethical behaviours demonstrated by their leaders, thereby reinforcing ethical conduct throughout the organisation (Brown & Treviño, 2006).

Research further indicates that different dimensions of ethical leadership influence organisational outcomes in distinct ways. Fairness and integrity primarily strengthen employee trust and organisational commitment, while ethical guidance and accountability improve decision-making quality, organisational citizenship behaviour, and ethical performance (Bedi et al., 2016). This multidimensional perspective provides a more comprehensive understanding of ethical leadership by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends upon the interaction between ethical role modelling, organisational context, employee expectations, and governance structures. Consequently, leadership development programmes should combine ethical leadership competencies with contextual awareness, recognising that effective leadership requires adaptation to organisational and cultural realities rather than the universal application of standard leadership behaviours (Banks et al., 2021).

Systematic reviews of ethical leadership consistently conclude that ethical leadership positively influences organisational performance across diverse organisational settings and national cultures. Evidence demonstrates that ethical leadership enhances employee engagement, organisational commitment, innovation, ethical decision-making, stakeholder trust, and strategic alignment while reducing counterproductive work behaviours and unethical conduct (Bedi et al., 2016; Banks et al., 2021). Beyond improving interpersonal relationships, ethical leadership shapes organisational culture by promoting justice, transparency, accountability, and continuous organisational learning. Consequently, ethical leadership is increasingly conceptualised not merely as a leadership style but as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens long-term organisational resilience, governance effectiveness, and sustainable performance.

A particularly valuable contribution of contemporary ethical leadership research is the recognition that its positive effects remain evident across different organisational sectors and cultural environments. Although ethical leadership behaviours may require adaptation to local institutional and cultural contexts, the underlying principles of integrity, fairness, respect, accountability, and responsible decision-making remain universally associated with positive organisational outcomes (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Banks et al., 2021). This growing body of

evidence suggests that organisations should invest in ethical leadership development programmes as a strategic mechanism for strengthening organisational trust, employee engagement, ethical governance, innovation, and sustainable organisational performance.

Recent ethical leadership research has increasingly examined the relationship between ethical leadership and organisational change capability, highlighting employee trust as a critical mechanism through which leaders facilitate successful organisational transformation (Bedi et al., 2016; Banks et al., 2021). Unlike leadership approaches that rely primarily on formal authority, ethical leadership promotes organisational change by fostering trust, fairness, transparency, and integrity in leader–employee relationships. Employees who perceive their leaders as ethical and trustworthy are more willing to embrace organisational change, support innovation, and contribute to continuous organisational improvement because they have confidence that change initiatives are implemented fairly and in the best interests of all stakeholders (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Northouse, 2022).

Recent studies distinguish between different forms of organisational trust, demonstrating that affective trust, characterised by openness, honesty, ethical communication, and interpersonal respect, exerts a stronger influence on organisational change than trust based solely on professional competence or contractual obligations (Banks et al., 2021). Ethical leaders cultivate disclosure-based trust by demonstrating consistency between words and actions, encouraging transparent communication, and involving employees in ethical decision-making. Such behaviours strengthen employees' psychological safety, organisational commitment, and willingness to participate actively in organisational transformation initiatives. These findings reinforce ethical leadership theory by highlighting that successful organisational change depends upon fairness, mutual respect, and high-quality leader–employee relationships rather than hierarchical authority alone (Brown & Treviño, 2006).

Ethical leadership has proven particularly valuable within small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), where limited resources and rapidly changing competitive environments require strong interpersonal relationships and high levels of organisational trust (Banks et al., 2021). In these settings, ethical leadership enables organisations to maintain employee confidence during periods of uncertainty by promoting transparency, accountability, and inclusive decision-making. Consequently, trust should be regarded not merely as an outcome of ethical leadership but as a strategic organisational resource that enhances organisational adaptability, change capability, and long-term resilience (Northouse, 2022).

Research conducted in emerging economies further demonstrates that ethical leadership positively influences employee performance, organisational innovation, and employee well-being by creating ethical work environments characterised by fairness, respect, and psychological safety (Bedi et al., 2016). Ethical leaders encourage employees to approach organisational challenges with confidence by providing moral guidance, recognising individual contributions, and promoting responsible decision-making. Rather than responding passively to workplace pressures, employees working under ethical leaders become more resilient, proactive, and committed to continuous

organisational improvement. These findings suggest that ethical leadership strengthens employee performance by creating environments where ethical conduct and organisational success reinforce one another.

A particularly important contribution of ethical leadership research is its emphasis on fairness, ethical communication, and employee involvement as drivers of organisational innovation. Ethical leaders encourage employees to express new ideas without fear of retaliation, thereby creating psychologically safe workplaces where experimentation, collaboration, and knowledge sharing become integral aspects of organisational culture (Kalshoven et al., 2011). Such environments foster creativity while ensuring that innovation remains aligned with organisational values and ethical standards. This is especially valuable for organisations operating in highly competitive and uncertain environments, where sustainable innovation depends not only on technical capability but also on employee trust and ethical governance.

Furthermore, ethical leadership positively influences employees' psychological responses to workplace stress by promoting justice, supportive supervision, and ethical treatment (Neves et al., 2023). Employees who perceive organisational decisions as fair and transparent experience lower levels of uncertainty, emotional exhaustion, and psychological withdrawal while demonstrating stronger organisational commitment and resilience. Ethical leaders encourage employees to interpret workplace challenges as opportunities for professional development by providing guidance, support, and ethical role modelling. These findings indicate that ethical leadership enhances not only employee performance but also employees' emotional and cognitive capacity to respond constructively to organisational change and workplace adversity.

Collectively, contemporary research reinforces the proposition that ethical leadership functions as a strategic driver of organisational trust, employee well-being, organisational innovation, and sustainable organisational performance. By promoting fairness, integrity, accountability, and transparent communication, ethical leaders establish organisational cultures characterised by high trust, psychological safety, and responsible decision-making. Consequently, ethical leadership should be regarded not merely as a moral obligation but as a strategic organisational capability that enables organisations to navigate uncertainty, implement organisational change successfully, and sustain long-term organisational effectiveness (Banks et al., 2021; Northouse, 2022).

13.4 Conclusion

Ethical leadership, characterised by integrity, fairness, accountability, transparency, and concern for the well-being of employees and stakeholders, has consistently demonstrated its capacity to improve employee performance, organisational trust, ethical behaviour, innovation, resilience, and psychological well-being across diverse organisational contexts. The literature reviewed indicates that ethical leadership is not merely an effective leadership style but a strategic organisational capability that enables organisations to strengthen ethical cultures, navigate

uncertainty, foster sustainable growth, and maintain long-term organisational legitimacy and competitiveness.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between ethical leadership and employee performance, motivation, and organisational commitment. Ethical leaders' model appropriate moral conduct, make fair and transparent decisions, and align organisational values with employees' personal values, thereby enhancing trust, engagement, and discretionary effort. Rather than relying solely on authority or external rewards, ethical leaders cultivate intrinsic motivation, psychological safety, and organisational identification through honesty, respect, and accountability, leading to sustained improvements in employee productivity, service quality, and organisational citizenship behaviour. This pattern is consistently evident across sectors including healthcare, education, hospitality, manufacturing, financial services, and public administration.

The review also demonstrates that ethical leadership plays a critical role in organisational adaptability and change management. In increasingly volatile and uncertain environments, ethical leaders foster trust, fairness, and open communication, enabling employees to embrace organisational change rather than resist it. Trust emerged as a particularly important mediating mechanism linking ethical leadership with organisational change capability, suggesting that leadership effectiveness depends not only on strategic direction but also on the quality of ethical leader–follower relationships. Similarly, evidence from educational settings indicates that ethical leadership enhances teachers' professional confidence, collaboration, and continuous professional development, thereby strengthening organisational learning and long-term institutional capability.

Innovation and responsible decision-making emerged as another dominant theme within the reviewed literature. Studies consistently demonstrate that ethical leaders encourage employees to express ideas, question unethical practices, and participate actively in organisational problem-solving within psychologically safe environments. By promoting openness, fairness, and ethical dialogue, ethical leadership creates conditions in which innovation is pursued responsibly and aligned with organisational values rather than solely with financial objectives. These findings reinforce theoretical arguments that ethical leadership embeds innovation within an organisational culture founded on trust, accountability, and shared moral responsibility.

The literature further demonstrates that ethical leadership contributes significantly to employee psychological well-being and organisational resilience. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, fairness, respect, and concern for employees create healthier work environments characterised by higher job satisfaction, stronger organisational commitment, reduced emotional exhaustion, and improved psychological well-being. However, evidence also indicates that these outcomes are influenced by contextual factors such as organisational justice, psychological safety, social capital, and organisational support, suggesting that ethical leadership operates most effectively within organisations that reinforce ethical values through supportive policies and governance systems.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-cultural applicability of ethical leadership. Studies conducted across Asia, Europe, Africa, the Middle East, and North America consistently demonstrate that ethical leadership behaviours are associated with positive employee attitudes and organisational outcomes despite differences in national culture and institutional environments. This suggests that ethical leadership addresses universal psychological needs for fairness, trust, respect, accountability, and belonging, making it particularly relevant for multinational organisations operating in culturally diverse environments while allowing sufficient flexibility for adaptation to local cultural expectations.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of ethical leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from organisations operating in Africa, Asia, and other emerging economies demonstrates that ethical leadership improves employee motivation, organisational commitment, ethical decision-making, innovation, and public service delivery despite institutional constraints and limited resources. These findings reinforce the argument that ethical leadership is particularly valuable where organisations face governance challenges, institutional uncertainty, corruption risks, and increasing demands for accountability, transparency, and public trust.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that ethical leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by integrating economic, social, environmental, and governance (ESG) principles into leadership practice. Ethical leaders promote responsible organisational behaviour by encouraging environmental stewardship, social responsibility, stakeholder engagement, and ethical decision-making throughout the organisation (Brown & Treviño, 2006). Similarly, ethical leadership strengthens institutional sustainability through transparent governance, strategic planning, organisational learning, and long-term capability development within higher education institutions and other knowledge-intensive organisations. These findings position ethical leadership as an essential mechanism for achieving organisational resilience while contributing to corporate sustainability agendas and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that ethical leadership remains one of the most influential and empirically supported leadership theories in contemporary organisational research. Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee performance to strengthening organisational trust, innovation, resilience, ethical culture, sustainability, and long-term organisational competitiveness across multiple sectors and cultural contexts. Although contextual variables such as organisational justice, psychological safety, trust, governance structures, and institutional culture influence leadership effectiveness, the overall evidence consistently supports ethical leadership as a strategic organisational capability rather than merely a leadership style.

Accordingly, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate ethical leadership competencies, including integrity, fairness, emotional intelligence, ethical decision-making, transparent communication, accountability, stakeholder management, and responsible governance. As workplaces continue to

experience technological disruption, global competition, environmental challenges, and increasing stakeholder expectations, ethical leadership will remain an essential organisational capability for promoting resilience, continuous improvement, responsible governance, and sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 14:
COACHING LEADERSHIP

14.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of twenty peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies examining the concept and application of coaching leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review provides a comprehensive evaluation of the existing body of knowledge by integrating classical leadership theories with recent empirical evidence to explain how coaching leadership influences organisational performance, employee development, learning, engagement, innovation, and organisational change. As organisations increasingly operate within complex, knowledge-driven, and rapidly changing environments, coaching leadership has emerged as one of the most effective leadership approaches because of its emphasis on employee development, continuous learning, empowerment, collaboration, and long-term organisational capability (Northouse, 2022; Ellinger et al., 2023).

The chapter begins by critically examining the theoretical foundations of coaching leadership, tracing its development from executive coaching and humanistic management theories to its application as a contemporary leadership approach. Whitmore (2017) conceptualised coaching as a developmental process that enables individuals to maximise their potential through self-awareness, questioning, and guided learning rather than directive supervision. Building on this perspective, coaching leadership has evolved into a leadership style in which leaders facilitate employee growth by providing regular feedback, mentoring, developmental support, and opportunities for reflective learning (Ellinger et al., 2023). These developmental principles provide the conceptual framework for evaluating the selected studies throughout this chapter.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that coaching leadership contributes significantly to employee engagement, organisational commitment, job satisfaction, learning, knowledge sharing, innovation, and overall organisational effectiveness (Kim & Kuo, 2023; Jones et al., 2022). By encouraging employees to develop their capabilities, solve problems independently, and participate actively in organisational decision-making, coaching leaders foster psychological empowerment, trust, and continuous professional development. Consequently, coaching leadership has become particularly valuable in organisational environments characterised by rapid technological advancement, workforce diversity, and continuous organisational change (Hagen & Peterson, 2021; Northouse, 2022).

The studies reviewed encompass a broad range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, public administration, hospitality, manufacturing, financial services, multinational corporations, and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Examining coaching leadership across these diverse sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of how coaching behaviours are implemented within different organisational, institutional, and cultural contexts.

Although the core principles of coaching leadership remain consistent, evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness is influenced by organisational culture, employee readiness, managerial competence, and contextual factors that shape developmental relationships (Ellinger et al., 2023).

In addition to examining the direct effects of coaching leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions coaching leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify psychological empowerment, self-efficacy, employee engagement, psychological safety, trust, learning orientation, emotional intelligence, and organisational support as important mechanisms through which coaching leadership enhances employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Kim & Kuo, 2023; Jones et al., 2022; Ryan & Deci, 2020). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why coaching leadership produces different outcomes across organisations and highlights the contextual conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of coaching leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted across Europe, Asia, North America, Africa, and Australia. Although coaching leadership has been widely recognised as an effective developmental leadership approach, cultural values, organisational norms, and institutional arrangements influence how coaching behaviours are interpreted and enacted. For example, coaching practices that encourage employee autonomy and shared decision-making in low power-distance cultures may require adaptation within organisations characterised by hierarchical structures or collectivist cultural values (Ellinger et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022). Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of contextualising coaching leadership practices to maximise their effectiveness across different organisational and national settings.

A critical objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the coaching leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding coaching leadership outcomes while highlighting areas where further research remains necessary. Particular attention is given to emerging issues such as digital coaching, virtual leadership, employee well-being, organisational resilience, continuous learning, and sustainable organisational performance, all of which have become increasingly important within contemporary leadership research (Jones et al., 2022; Ellinger et al., 2023).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that coaching leadership has become one of the most influential and empirically supported developmental leadership approaches within organisational studies. Its emphasis on employee development, continuous learning, empowerment, collaboration, and organisational capability makes it particularly relevant for organisations operating in increasingly complex, knowledge-intensive, and rapidly evolving environments. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how coaching

leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, organisational policies, talent management strategies, and human resource practices to promote sustainable organisational success.

14.2 Overview of Coaching Leadership

Coaching leadership has emerged as one of the most effective contemporary leadership approaches because of its emphasis on developing employees' capabilities, enhancing performance, and fostering continuous learning within organisations. Unlike traditional directive leadership styles that rely on supervision and control, coaching leadership focuses on empowering employees through guidance, feedback, mentoring, and collaborative problem-solving (Northouse, 2022; Ellinger et al., 2023). Rather than merely directing employees to achieve organisational objectives, coaching leaders facilitate personal and professional growth by encouraging self-reflection, autonomy, and continuous improvement. This developmental approach has become increasingly important in modern organisations characterised by rapid technological change, knowledge-intensive work, and continuous organisational transformation (Hagen & Peterson, 2021).

The concept of coaching leadership has evolved from executive coaching and developmental psychology into a comprehensive leadership approach centred on unlocking employees' potential and improving organisational effectiveness. Whitmore (2017) conceptualised coaching as a process that helps individuals maximise their own performance through questioning, reflection, and self-directed learning rather than instruction. Building on this perspective, coaching leadership encourages leaders to act as facilitators of learning by providing constructive feedback, promoting accountability, and supporting employees in identifying solutions to workplace challenges (Northouse, 2022). Consequently, coaching leadership has gained considerable attention as organisations increasingly recognise that sustainable competitive advantage depends upon developing human capital rather than simply managing performance.

Coaching leadership is defined as a leadership style in which leaders facilitate employees' learning, development, and performance through regular communication, mentoring, constructive feedback, and empowerment (Ellinger et al., 2023). Rather than exercising authority through command-and-control practices, coaching leaders establish trusting relationships that encourage employees to develop confidence, improve problem-solving abilities, and assume greater responsibility for their own performance. This approach aligns closely with self-determination theory, which suggests that employees are more motivated and engaged when their needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are satisfied (Ryan & Deci, 2020). As a result, coaching leadership promotes intrinsic motivation, employee engagement, and organisational commitment while strengthening long-term organisational capability.

Recent empirical research consistently demonstrates that coaching leadership positively influences employee engagement, job performance, learning, and organisational commitment. Kim and Kuo (2023) found that coaching leadership significantly enhances employee engagement

by creating supportive leader–employee relationships characterised by trust, continuous feedback, and developmental support. Similarly, Ellinger et al. (2023) reported that coaching-oriented leaders improve employees' confidence, problem-solving skills, and work performance by encouraging continuous learning and reflective practice. These findings support social exchange theory, which proposes that employees reciprocate developmental leadership behaviours with increased commitment, citizenship behaviour, and higher levels of performance (Cropanzano et al., 2017).

Employee learning and capability development represent central outcomes of coaching leadership. By encouraging employees to reflect on experiences, identify strengths and weaknesses, and develop practical solutions, coaching leaders create learning-oriented organisational cultures that promote adaptability and innovation. Hagen and Peterson (2021) found that coaching leadership strengthens employees' self-efficacy, resilience, and willingness to embrace organisational change by fostering continuous professional development. Likewise, Jones et al. (2022) demonstrated that coaching leadership enhances knowledge sharing and collaborative learning, thereby improving organisational adaptability and long-term performance. These findings indicate that coaching leadership contributes not only to individual development but also to organisational learning capability.

Another important outcome of coaching leadership is employee well-being and psychological safety. Coaching leaders build trusting relationships through active listening, empathy, constructive feedback, and personalised support, enabling employees to express concerns, experiment with new ideas, and learn from mistakes without fear of negative consequences (Edmondson, 2019). Research by Kim and Kuo (2023) suggests that coaching leadership significantly reduces workplace stress while improving job satisfaction and employee resilience. Employees who perceive their leaders as supportive coaches demonstrate greater confidence, higher organisational commitment, and stronger psychological well-being than those managed through directive leadership approaches.

The effectiveness of coaching leadership is also evident during periods of organisational change and uncertainty. Organisations experiencing technological disruption, restructuring, or strategic transformation require leaders capable of supporting employees through continuous learning and adaptation. Hagen and Peterson (2021) argue that coaching leadership facilitates organisational change by helping employees develop problem-solving skills, adaptability, and confidence in managing uncertainty. Rather than imposing change through authority, coaching leaders encourage participation, reflection, and shared responsibility, thereby reducing resistance to change while strengthening organisational resilience.

Contextual and cultural factors influence the effectiveness of coaching leadership. Organisational cultures characterised by openness, trust, learning, and collaboration provide favourable conditions for coaching leadership to flourish. Conversely, highly bureaucratic organisations with rigid hierarchies and limited employee autonomy may constrain coaching behaviours and reduce their effectiveness (Northouse, 2022). Nevertheless, recent international

studies indicate that coaching leadership produces positive outcomes across diverse organisational and cultural settings when leaders adapt their coaching approaches to employees' developmental needs and cultural expectations (Ellinger et al., 2023; Kim & Kuo, 2023).

Within the healthcare sector, coaching leadership has demonstrated considerable benefits for employee development and patient care. Cummings et al. (2021) found that coaching-oriented nurse leaders improve staff competence, professional confidence, collaboration, and quality of patient care by fostering continuous learning and supportive workplace relationships. Similarly, healthcare professionals working under coaching leaders report higher levels of job satisfaction, lower burnout, and greater commitment to evidence-based practice, highlighting coaching leadership's contribution to workforce sustainability and service quality (Cummings et al., 2021).

Beyond improving organisational performance, coaching leadership has increasingly been associated with innovation and sustainable organisational development. Leaders who encourage questioning, experimentation, and reflective learning create organisational environments where employees feel empowered to develop creative solutions and continuously improve work processes (Jones et al., 2022). This developmental orientation strengthens organisational agility and innovation capability while supporting long-term organisational sustainability.

Empirical evidence across different regions further demonstrates the broad applicability of coaching leadership. Studies conducted in Europe, Asia, North America, and Africa consistently report positive relationships between coaching leadership, employee engagement, organisational commitment, knowledge sharing, and performance (Ellinger et al., 2023; Kim & Kuo, 2023; Hagen & Peterson, 2021). These findings suggest that although coaching practices may require adaptation to local organisational cultures, the fundamental principles of employee development, trust, empowerment, and continuous learning remain universally relevant.

Despite its numerous advantages, coaching leadership is not without challenges. Effective coaching leaders require advanced interpersonal skills, emotional intelligence, active listening, communication competence, and the ability to provide constructive developmental feedback. Coaching leadership also demands considerable time and organisational commitment, making implementation more difficult in highly pressurised or resource-constrained environments (Northouse, 2022). Nevertheless, the growing body of empirical evidence consistently demonstrates that coaching leadership enhances employee capability, organisational learning, innovation, engagement, well-being, and sustainable organisational performance. Its emphasis on continuous development, empowerment, trust, and collaborative learning makes coaching leadership one of the most appropriate leadership approaches for organisations operating in today's complex, knowledge-driven, and rapidly changing environment.

14.3 Coaching Leadership

Coaching leadership has gained increasing attention within contemporary organisational research because of its capacity to develop employees' skills, enhance resilience, improve

performance, and foster innovation through continuous learning and supportive leader–employee relationships (Northouse, 2022; Ellinger et al., 2023). Unlike directive leadership approaches, coaching leaders focus on facilitating employee growth by providing constructive feedback, encouraging self-reflection, asking developmental questions, and empowering employees to identify solutions independently. Recent empirical evidence demonstrates that coaching leadership influences organisational outcomes not only through direct performance enhancement but also through psychological mechanisms such as trust, learning orientation, psychological empowerment, and organisational commitment.

Ellinger et al. (2023) provide compelling evidence of the relationship between coaching leadership and employees' innovative behaviour by examining the mediating role of learning orientation and the moderating influence of organisational support for development. Their findings suggest that coaching leadership promotes innovation by fostering a continuous learning culture in which employees are encouraged to experiment, reflect on experiences, and develop new competencies. Rather than directing employees through formal authority, coaching leaders encourage individuals to take ownership of their development, strengthening both confidence and commitment to organisational improvement (Ellinger et al., 2023).

The study further demonstrates that learning orientation functions as a critical psychological mechanism through which coaching leadership enhances innovative behaviour. Employees who receive developmental feedback and coaching support are more likely to embrace organisational change, generate creative solutions, and contribute proactively to organisational objectives. These findings reinforce self-determination theory, which proposes that supportive leadership strengthens intrinsic motivation by satisfying employees' needs for competence, autonomy, and relatedness (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Ellinger et al., 2023). Furthermore, organisations that actively support employee learning amplify the positive effects of coaching leadership, highlighting that leadership effectiveness depends upon both leader behaviours and supportive organisational systems.

Similarly, Hagen and Peterson (2022) provide important insights into the psychological mechanisms through which coaching leadership improves employee performance and innovation. Their study identifies psychological empowerment, self-efficacy, and resilience as significant mediators linking coaching leadership with enhanced work performance. Rather than simply improving behavioural outcomes, coaching leaders strengthen employees' internal psychological resources by encouraging reflection, recognising individual achievements, and facilitating problem-solving. These findings align with social cognitive theory, which identifies self-efficacy as a critical determinant of motivation, persistence, and performance (Bandura, 1997; Hagen & Peterson, 2022).

The authors further demonstrate that coaching leadership enhances employees' confidence in managing complex workplace challenges, increasing their willingness to adopt innovative practices and collaborate effectively with colleagues. By promoting open dialogue, developmental feedback, and continuous learning, coaching leaders cultivate resilient employees who remain

engaged despite organisational uncertainty. These findings suggest that coaching leadership is particularly valuable in knowledge-intensive organisations where adaptability and continuous professional development are essential for sustained organisational success (Hagen & Peterson, 2022).

Yu and Xiang (2025) extend coaching leadership research by examining organisational resilience as a mechanism through which developmental leadership practices enhance team innovation. Their findings indicate that coaching-oriented leaders strengthen organisational resilience by creating psychologically safe environments where employees feel comfortable sharing ideas, learning from mistakes, and adapting to changing organisational demands. Rather than focusing solely on performance outcomes, coaching leadership develops the organisational capabilities necessary to sustain innovation during periods of uncertainty.

The concept of organisational resilience closely aligns with coaching leadership principles. Coaching leaders encourage reflective learning, collaborative problem-solving, and continuous capability development while supporting employees through organisational challenges. By framing setbacks as opportunities for learning rather than failure, coaching leaders strengthen employees' resilience and willingness to innovate despite environmental uncertainty (Northouse, 2022; Yu & Xiang, 2025). These findings are particularly relevant in contemporary organisations where rapid technological change and market volatility require continuous adaptation.

Yu and Xiang (2025) further demonstrate that environmental uncertainty strengthens the positive relationship between coaching leadership and organisational innovation. During periods of disruption, employees increasingly rely on supportive leaders who provide guidance, encourage learning, and maintain confidence. Coaching leadership therefore becomes especially valuable because it reduces uncertainty through open communication, developmental support, and collaborative decision-making. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership scholarship suggesting that coaching leadership contributes to sustainable organisational performance by simultaneously strengthening employee capability, organisational resilience, and innovation (Ellinger et al., 2023).

Collectively, the studies reviewed demonstrate the multidimensional nature of coaching leadership. Coaching leadership enhances organisational innovation through interconnected psychological, behavioural, and organisational mechanisms, including learning orientation, psychological empowerment, self-efficacy, resilience, and organisational support. These findings extend coaching leadership theory beyond traditional leader–follower interactions by illustrating how coaching leaders cultivate learning cultures, strengthen employees' psychological resources, and develop adaptive organisational capabilities. Consequently, coaching leadership should be regarded not merely as a developmental leadership style but as a strategic organisational capability that supports innovation, resilience, employee growth, and sustainable organisational performance in increasingly complex organisational environments.

Overall, recent evidence confirms that coaching leadership contributes significantly to employee development, innovation, organisational learning, and long-term organisational

effectiveness. Organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should therefore invest in leadership development programmes that strengthen coaching competencies, including active listening, constructive feedback, emotional intelligence, mentoring, reflective questioning, and employee empowerment. As organisations continue to face increasing uncertainty and technological disruption, coaching leadership will remain a critical capability for fostering resilient, adaptable, and high-performing workforces.

Recent research demonstrates that coaching leadership is increasingly recognised as an effective leadership approach within healthcare organisations because of its ability to enhance employee development, workforce engagement, service quality, and organisational sustainability. Tsapnidou et al. (2024) provide a comprehensive systematic review of leadership in healthcare, highlighting that developmental and coaching-oriented leadership practices significantly improve workforce retention, employee engagement, quality of patient care, and organisational resilience. Given the increasing complexity of healthcare systems, the authors argue that coaching leadership provides an effective framework for addressing workforce shortages, strengthening professional development, and promoting evidence-based practice through continuous learning and supportive leader–employee relationships (Tsapnidou et al., 2024).

The review identifies two interconnected dimensions through which coaching leadership enhances healthcare performance: employee development and healthcare service delivery. Coaching leaders support employees by providing constructive feedback, facilitating reflective learning, encouraging problem-solving, and creating opportunities for professional growth. These developmental behaviours foster higher levels of job satisfaction, organisational commitment, employee engagement, and clinical competence (Tsapnidou et al., 2024). These findings are consistent with contemporary coaching leadership research, which suggests that employees become more motivated and committed when leaders prioritise individual development, learning, and psychological support (Ellinger et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Furthermore, coaching leadership contributes to organisational resilience by promoting continuous learning, mentoring, coaching conversations, and capability development among healthcare professionals. Through developmental dialogue and personalised guidance, coaching leaders encourage evidence-based decision-making, collaborative learning, and continuous quality improvement. Such leadership behaviours enable healthcare organisations to respond effectively to changing patient needs, technological advances, and organisational challenges while maintaining high standards of patient care (Tsapnidou et al., 2024). Consequently, coaching leadership enhances both employee well-being and organisational effectiveness by strengthening workforce capability and creating sustainable learning cultures.

A significant contribution of Tsapnidou et al. (2024) is the recognition that leadership should be viewed as a long-term investment in organisational capability rather than simply a means of achieving short-term operational objectives. Coaching leadership develops future organisational capacity by investing in employee learning, mentoring, reflective practice, and continuous professional development. By embedding organisational values such as collaboration,

accountability, empathy, and lifelong learning, coaching leaders cultivate sustainable organisational cultures that support both employee well-being and high-quality patient care (Northouse, 2022). Their review therefore reinforces the view that coaching leaders function not only as operational managers but also as facilitators of organisational learning, innovation, and sustainable healthcare improvement.

Ren, Li, and Mavros (2024) extend leadership research by examining environmentally focused leadership behaviours and their influence on employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Although their study examines environmentally specific transformational leadership, many of its underlying principles align closely with coaching leadership because both approaches emphasise employee learning, value development, and behavioural change through supportive leader–employee interactions. The authors demonstrate that leaders who coach employees to understand environmental responsibilities, encourage critical reflection, and support sustainable decision-making strengthen employees' environmental commitment and promote organisational sustainability (Ren et al., 2024).

The study suggests that coaching leaders move beyond enforcing environmental compliance by helping employees internalise environmental values through developmental conversations, shared learning, and continuous feedback. Rather than directing employees to follow environmental policies, coaching leaders encourage individuals to understand the broader purpose of sustainability initiatives and identify opportunities to contribute proactively. This developmental approach strengthens organisational culture by aligning individual learning with organisational sustainability objectives (Ren et al., 2024).

Ren et al. (2024) further identify environmental awareness as an important psychological mechanism linking leadership with employees' pro-environmental behaviour. Coaching leaders stimulate critical thinking, encourage reflective learning, and support employees in developing practical solutions to environmental challenges. Through coaching conversations and personalised support, employees become more engaged in sustainable organisational practices because they understand both the organisational purpose and their own role in achieving environmental goals.

Importantly, the study also demonstrates that emotional exhaustion moderates leadership effectiveness. Employees experiencing high levels of emotional fatigue are less responsive to developmental leadership behaviours, indicating that coaching leadership is most effective when accompanied by initiatives that promote employee well-being and psychological health (Ren et al., 2024). This finding reinforces coaching leadership theory, which emphasises empathy, active listening, emotional intelligence, and individual support as essential leadership competencies that facilitate sustainable employee development.

Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) examine the relationship between leadership, strategic planning, and organisational performance within Nigerian higher education institutions. Their findings are highly relevant to coaching leadership because they demonstrate that organisational success depends not only on strategic planning but also on leadership behaviours that develop employees' capabilities and commitment. Coaching leaders facilitate the implementation of organisational

strategy by helping employees understand institutional objectives, develop relevant competencies, and align personal development with organisational goals (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024).

The study identifies strategic planning as an important mechanism linking leadership with organisational performance. Rather than treating planning and leadership as separate organisational functions, the authors argue that effective leaders operationalise strategic objectives through employee development, collaborative problem-solving, mentoring, and continuous feedback. Coaching leadership therefore enables academic and administrative staff to build the knowledge, confidence, and capability required to implement institutional strategies successfully, thereby improving organisational effectiveness and long-term performance.

These findings reinforce coaching leadership theory by demonstrating that strategic leadership extends beyond organisational planning to include continuous employee development, organisational learning, and capability building. Coaching leaders encourage collaboration, facilitate knowledge sharing, empower employees, and create learning-oriented organisational cultures that support continuous improvement and innovation (Ellinger et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022). Consequently, coaching leadership represents a strategic organisational capability that strengthens institutional resilience, improves strategic implementation, and enhances sustainable organisational performance.

Moreover, Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) demonstrate that strategic planning alone is insufficient to achieve organisational success without the developmental and relational competencies associated with coaching leadership. While strategic plans establish organisational direction, coaching leaders translate these plans into action by supporting employees through continuous feedback, developmental conversations, mentoring, and capability enhancement. This reinforces the argument that successful strategy implementation depends not only on organisational planning but also on leadership behaviours that foster learning, collaboration, employee engagement, and continuous improvement. Emotional intelligence, coaching skills, active listening, and employee empowerment therefore become essential competencies that enable organisations to bridge the gap between strategic intentions and operational execution.

Within the Nigerian higher education sector, these coaching leadership competencies are particularly valuable because institutions operate in environments characterised by limited financial resources, bureaucratic structures, and increasing demands for educational quality (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024). Under such circumstances, coaching leaders play a pivotal role in developing staff capability, strengthening organisational learning, and fostering a shared commitment to institutional development. Rather than reacting to organisational challenges, coaching leaders proactively build adaptive learning cultures that support long-term organisational resilience, employee development, and sustainable institutional performance.

Recent research demonstrates that coaching leadership is highly effective in service-oriented organisations because it enhances employee capability, creativity, engagement, and organisational performance through continuous learning and developmental support. Kim, Yang, and Lee (2023) provide valuable evidence from the hospitality industry, where service quality depends heavily on

employee behaviour, innovation, and customer interaction. Their findings indicate that coaching-oriented leadership significantly improves employee creativity, organisational identification, and task performance by fostering supportive leader–employee relationships characterised by feedback, mentoring, and continuous development. Rather than directing employees through authority alone, coaching leaders facilitate learning, encourage self-reflection, and empower employees to develop solutions independently, thereby strengthening organisational performance (Kim et al., 2023).

A particularly important contribution of Kim et al. (2023) is the identification of organisational identification as a mediating mechanism linking coaching leadership with employee performance. Coaching leaders strengthen employees' psychological attachment to organisational values by promoting open communication, recognising individual contributions, and supporting professional growth. As employees increasingly identify with organisational goals, they demonstrate stronger organisational commitment, greater discretionary effort, and higher levels of creativity. These findings support social identity theory, which suggests that employees who perceive themselves as valued organisational members are more likely to contribute proactively towards organisational success (Haslam et al., 2020).

The study further demonstrates that coaching leadership enhances creativity by encouraging employees to reflect on existing practices, experiment with innovative ideas, and continuously improve service delivery. By creating psychologically safe environments where employees feel comfortable sharing ideas and learning from mistakes, coaching leaders foster organisational cultures characterised by learning, collaboration, and innovation. These developmental leadership behaviours contribute directly to customer satisfaction and long-term organisational competitiveness because employee learning becomes embedded within everyday work practices (Kim et al., 2023).

Al-Subaie et al. (2021) contribute a strategic perspective by examining leadership capability within complex megaproject environments characterised by uncertainty, multiple stakeholders, and organisational complexity. Although their study focuses broadly on leadership capability, many of the identified competencies closely align with coaching leadership principles. Using Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM) and MICMAC analysis, the authors identify leadership development, employee empowerment, strategic communication, and trust-building as critical organisational capabilities required for successful project delivery.

The findings demonstrate that coaching leaders function as facilitators who develop employee capability, encourage collaborative problem-solving, and support multidisciplinary teams through continuous feedback and developmental conversations. Within complex project environments, coaching leadership becomes particularly valuable because employees require guidance, learning opportunities, and ongoing support to manage uncertainty effectively (Al-Subaie et al., 2021). These findings reinforce contemporary leadership theory, which argues that coaching leadership strengthens organisational adaptability by promoting continuous learning and employee capability development (Northouse, 2022).

A significant contribution of Al-Subaie et al. (2021) is the identification of leadership development enablers through structural modelling techniques. Vision sharing, trust-building, employee empowerment, coaching, and strategic communication emerged as high-impact drivers of effective leadership capability. These findings suggest that coaching leadership should be viewed not simply as an individual leadership style but as an organisational capability that can be systematically developed through structured leadership development programmes, mentoring, and continuous professional learning.

Lindert et al. (2023) provide valuable longitudinal evidence regarding leadership and employees' psychological well-being, addressing an increasingly important aspect of contemporary leadership research. Their study demonstrates that supportive leadership contributes to healthier work environments characterised by trust, collaboration, and meaningful interpersonal relationships. Coaching leaders promote employee well-being by providing regular feedback, encouraging reflective learning, supporting career development, and fostering psychologically safe workplaces where employees feel valued and supported (Lindert et al., 2023).

Unlike many previous cross-sectional studies, Lindert et al. (2023) employ a longitudinal design to investigate leadership influences over time. Their findings indicate that although supportive leadership contributes positively to employee development, improvements in psychological well-being also depend upon broader organisational factors such as workplace social capital, organisational support, and employees' existing levels of well-being. These findings suggest that coaching leadership enhances employee well-being indirectly by strengthening supportive organisational relationships rather than functioning as an isolated determinant of psychological health.

Consequently, organisations seeking to improve employee well-being should integrate coaching leadership with comprehensive workplace well-being initiatives that promote collaboration, mentoring, employee assistance programmes, and psychologically healthy organisational cultures (Lindert et al., 2023).

Caza, Caza, and Posner (2021) provide compelling evidence regarding the cross-cultural relevance of developmental leadership by examining followers' perceptions across diverse countries, industries, and organisational contexts. Their findings suggest that leadership behaviours centred on trust, support, learning, and meaningful interpersonal relationships are consistently valued across different cultures. Coaching leadership therefore addresses universal employee needs for personal development, recognition, belonging, and psychological safety while remaining flexible enough to accommodate cultural differences in communication and leadership expectations (Caza et al., 2021).

The study further acknowledges that although developmental leadership principles remain broadly applicable across cultures, coaching leaders must adapt their communication styles, coaching approaches, and developmental practices to reflect local cultural norms and organisational expectations. This reinforces contemporary leadership theory by suggesting that coaching leadership combines universal principles of employee development with contextual

sensitivity, enabling leaders to remain effective within increasingly global organisations (Northouse, 2022).

A notable contribution of Caza et al. (2021) is their emphasis on followers' perceptions as central determinants of leadership effectiveness. Their findings demonstrate that leaders achieve organisational success not only through operational performance but also by building trust, psychological safety, employee confidence, and a strong sense of belonging. Coaching leadership is therefore fundamentally relational because its effectiveness depends upon the quality of developmental relationships between leaders and employees. Employees who perceive their leaders as supportive coaches demonstrate stronger organisational commitment, greater engagement, and higher levels of satisfaction.

The study also highlights the reciprocal nature of leadership by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends not only on leader behaviours but also on how employees interpret and experience those behaviours. Coaching leadership therefore operates as a collaborative developmental process characterised by mutual trust, continuous feedback, shared learning, and emotional engagement. These findings provide robust cross-cultural evidence that coaching leadership supports employee growth and organisational performance across diverse national and organisational settings while remaining sufficiently adaptable to different cultural contexts.

Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) extend leadership research by examining developmental leadership within Lebanese non-governmental organisations operating under conditions of prolonged crisis, political instability, and resource scarcity. Their study demonstrates that coaching-oriented leadership is particularly valuable within humanitarian organisations because it strengthens employee resilience, adaptability, motivation, and organisational commitment during periods of uncertainty. By supporting employees through continuous learning, mentoring, and developmental conversations, coaching leaders enable individuals to maintain high levels of performance despite challenging operational environments.

The authors argue that coaching leaders contribute to organisational resilience by creating psychologically safe environments where employees remain committed to shared organisational missions while developing the skills and confidence needed to respond effectively to adversity (Salameh-Ayanian et al., 2025). Through regular coaching, reflective dialogue, constructive feedback, and individual support, leaders strengthen employees' resilience, self-efficacy, and commitment to organisational values. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership research suggesting that coaching leadership is particularly effective during organisational crises because it develops employees' capacity to adapt, learn, and remain engaged despite ongoing uncertainty (Northouse, 2022).

An important contribution of the study is its demonstration that employee development exerts a direct influence on organisational performance, particularly within crisis environments. Coaching leadership strengthens performance by enhancing employees' competence, confidence, intrinsic motivation, and commitment to shared organisational goals. Consequently, coaching leadership should be regarded not only as a developmental leadership approach but also as a

strategic organisational capability that enhances resilience, organisational learning, employee well-being, and sustainable organisational performance during periods of instability.

Lin, Yin, and Liu (2022) examine the role of coaching leadership in educational settings by investigating its influence on teachers' sustainable professional development through the mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. Their findings indicate that coaching leadership significantly enhances teachers' confidence in their professional capabilities by providing continuous guidance, constructive feedback, mentoring, and professional support. As a result, teachers are more likely to engage in continuous learning, instructional innovation, and long-term professional growth. The study demonstrates that coaching-oriented school leaders have a stronger influence on teachers' professional development than individual characteristics such as growth mindset alone, highlighting the importance of supportive leadership in creating learning-oriented educational environments (Lin et al., 2022).

The positive relationship between coaching leadership and teacher self-efficacy reflects the central principles of coaching leadership, which emphasise employee development, reflective practice, collaborative learning, and personalised support (Whitmore, 2017). Leaders who encourage professional dialogue, facilitate reflective learning, and provide regular developmental feedback strengthen teachers' confidence in improving instructional practice and student outcomes (Lin et al., 2022). As teachers become more confident in their professional abilities, they are increasingly willing to experiment with innovative teaching strategies, collaborate with colleagues, and participate in continuous professional development.

The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy represents one of the study's most important theoretical contributions because it explains the psychological mechanism through which coaching leadership promotes professional growth. Rather than relying on directive supervision or performance monitoring, coaching leaders develop teachers by strengthening their confidence, autonomy, and problem-solving capabilities. This finding aligns with Social Cognitive Theory, which identifies self-efficacy as a major determinant of motivation, persistence, and performance (Bandura, 1997). Consequently, sustainable teacher development depends not only on formal training programmes but also on coaching leadership practices that build confidence, intrinsic motivation, and professional autonomy.

Furthermore, Lin et al. (2022) demonstrate that coaching leadership has both direct and indirect effects on teachers' professional attitudes and instructional practices. These findings reinforce the growing consensus that coaching leadership is essential for developing adaptive, collaborative, and future-oriented educational organisations capable of responding effectively to changing educational demands. Accordingly, leadership development programmes within schools should prioritise coaching competencies such as active listening, developmental feedback, mentoring, reflective questioning, and collaborative problem-solving (Passmore, 2021).

Similarly, Khan et al. (2020) provide valuable insights into the relationship between leadership, employee performance, burnout, social loafing, and intrinsic motivation. Viewed through a coaching leadership perspective, their findings suggest that coaching leaders improve

employee performance by strengthening intrinsic motivation through continuous encouragement, personalised development, and meaningful goal setting. Employees perform more effectively when leaders focus on developing their potential rather than relying solely on supervision or external rewards (Khan et al., 2020).

A major contribution of the study is its identification of intrinsic motivation as the primary psychological mechanism linking leadership with employee performance. Coaching leaders create meaningful work experiences by helping employees align personal aspirations with organisational objectives, thereby strengthening commitment, confidence, and accountability. Through regular coaching conversations, developmental feedback, and individual support, coaching leaders encourage employees to improve performance, develop new skills, and contribute beyond formal job requirements (Khan et al., 2020; Whitmore, 2017).

However, the study also reports only moderate indirect effects on burnout and social loafing. These findings suggest that although coaching leadership enhances motivation and performance, it cannot independently eliminate all workplace challenges. Excessive workloads, inadequate organisational support, employee mental health concerns, and poor work-life balance may continue to contribute to burnout despite effective coaching practices (Khan et al., 2020). Consequently, coaching leadership should be integrated with broader organisational well-being initiatives, including workload management, employee assistance programmes, and psychological support services.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that coaching leadership strengthens organisational effectiveness by developing employees' psychological resources alongside their technical capabilities. Organisations seeking sustainable performance improvements should therefore invest in leadership development programmes that cultivate coaching skills, emotional intelligence, active listening, mentoring, constructive feedback, and employee empowerment (Passmore, 2021; Northouse, 2022).

The findings of Khan et al. (2020) further highlight intrinsic motivation as the key mechanism through which coaching leadership enhances employee performance. Rather than directing employees through authority or external incentives, coaching leaders encourage individuals to recognise their strengths, build confidence, and pursue organisational goals with commitment and enthusiasm. This leadership approach promotes psychological empowerment by encouraging reflective learning, continuous development, and personalised support (Whitmore, 2017; Khan et al., 2020). Consequently, employees develop stronger self-confidence, greater ownership of organisational objectives, and increased willingness to exceed formal role expectations.

Furthermore, the study emphasises that effective coaching leaders possess high levels of emotional intelligence, interpersonal communication, and situational awareness, enabling them to understand employees' aspirations, strengths, and workplace challenges. Such competencies strengthen trust, enhance intrinsic motivation, and promote long-term organisational commitment. These findings reinforce contemporary coaching leadership research, which views leadership as a developmental partnership focused on unlocking employee potential and facilitating continuous

improvement (Passmore, 2021; Northouse, 2022). The study therefore suggests that organisations seeking sustainable performance improvements should prioritise coaching leadership development programmes that strengthen mentoring, employee development, reflective practice, and collaborative learning.

Ayandibu (2024) provides a comprehensive synthesis of contemporary leadership research by examining leadership influence across individual, team, departmental, and organisational levels. Interpreted from a coaching leadership perspective, the review demonstrates that coaching leadership consistently improves employee motivation, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, innovation, and team cohesion through continuous learning, mentoring, and developmental support. These findings reinforce the multidimensional nature of coaching leadership and illustrate its adaptability across diverse organisational settings.

A significant contribution of the review is its recognition that coaching leadership is not a rigid or prescriptive leadership model but a flexible, context-sensitive approach requiring leaders to adapt their coaching behaviours according to organisational circumstances. Coaching leaders encourage employees to question existing assumptions, develop innovative solutions, and learn from experience while simultaneously providing personalised guidance and support (Ayandibu, 2024). Such developmental relationships strengthen employee engagement, organisational commitment, and continuous learning.

Importantly, Ayandibu (2024) acknowledges that implementing coaching leadership may be challenging within highly bureaucratic organisations where rigid structures restrict employee autonomy and learning opportunities. The review therefore highlights the importance of organisational culture, structural flexibility, and leadership readiness in determining coaching effectiveness. This perspective aligns with contemporary leadership scholarship, which argues that coaching leadership is highly dependent upon organisational context, learning culture, and employee willingness to engage in developmental relationships (Passmore, 2021). Consequently, leadership development initiatives should incorporate coaching skills, contextual awareness, mentoring capability, and organisational readiness alongside traditional leadership competencies.

Atoko (2023) extends leadership research by focusing on organisations operating within developing economies, where leadership plays a critical role in organisational adaptability and sustainable performance. From a coaching leadership perspective, the study demonstrates that coaching leadership serves as a strategic management capability capable of enhancing organisational performance, employee motivation, innovation, and adaptability in environments characterised by institutional instability, resource constraints, and rapid socio-economic change.

Rather than conceptualising leadership solely as a supervisory function, Atoko (2023) presents leadership as a developmental capability that facilitates organisational transformation through employee mentoring, continuous learning, knowledge sharing, and empowerment. Coaching leadership enables organisations to respond proactively to environmental uncertainty while encouraging innovation, problem-solving, and continuous improvement. These findings are particularly relevant for developing countries, where organisational agility and employee

capability development are essential for overcoming structural challenges and maintaining competitiveness.

An important contribution of the study is its emphasis on leadership's role in strategic planning and organisational development. Coaching leaders align institutional strategies with employee development by supporting continuous learning, strengthening capabilities, and fostering collaborative organisational cultures. This systems-oriented perspective extends leadership theory by demonstrating that coaching leadership influences not only individual performance but also organisational learning, strategic capability, and long-term organisational development. Consequently, Atoko (2023) recommends institutionalising coaching leadership development programmes tailored to local socio-economic contexts while preserving core coaching principles of mentoring, empowerment, and continuous employee development.

Awaale (2024) investigates the contribution of leadership to organisational performance within Somalia's public sector through a comprehensive desk review. From a coaching leadership perspective, the study demonstrates that leaders who prioritise employee development, mentoring, collaboration, and continuous learning improve employee morale, organisational commitment, innovation, and public service delivery. Within Somalia's institutionally fragile and resource-constrained public sector, coaching leadership is presented as a practical approach for overcoming bureaucratic inertia while strengthening organisational resilience and employee engagement.

The review demonstrates that coaching leaders enhance organisational effectiveness by developing employees' capabilities, encouraging collaborative problem-solving, and creating supportive workplace cultures characterised by trust, learning, and continuous improvement. Such leadership practices significantly improve employee motivation, organisational citizenship behaviour (OCB), stakeholder engagement, and service delivery within public institutions (Awaale, 2024). These findings are particularly important in post-conflict governance contexts where leadership focused on employee development is essential for rebuilding institutional capacity and restoring public confidence.

Furthermore, Awaale (2024) highlights the contextual sensitivity of leadership by demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends on adapting coaching behaviours to institutional realities and socio-political conditions. Despite operating within highly formalised bureaucratic environments, coaching leaders remain capable of promoting creativity, organisational commitment, and innovation through mentoring, developmental feedback, employee empowerment, and supportive interpersonal relationships. This evidence reinforces contemporary leadership research, which suggests that coaching leadership represents an effective governance strategy for strengthening public-sector performance, particularly within developing countries facing institutional and developmental challenges (Northouse, 2022; Passmore, 2021).

Awaale (2024) further argues that coaching leadership is a significant driver of organisational citizenship behaviour, employee motivation, and improved organisational performance within public-sector institutions. The review demonstrates that coaching leaders strengthen employee engagement, commitment, and service quality by empowering employees to contribute beyond

their formal job responsibilities through ongoing coaching, support, and professional development. These leadership behaviours are particularly valuable in service-oriented government organisations where employee commitment and collaborative behaviour directly influence stakeholder satisfaction.

A notable strength of the review is its contextual analysis of leadership within Somalia's post-conflict public sector. Operating in an environment characterised by institutional fragility, resource constraints, and governance challenges, coaching leadership emerges as an adaptive leadership approach capable of rebuilding organisational capacity while promoting innovation, resilience, and continuous learning. Rather than relying solely on bureaucratic authority, coaching leaders cultivate trust, encourage employee participation, facilitate reflective learning, and develop individual capabilities. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership scholarship, which argues that coaching leadership is particularly effective in complex and uncertain environments because it combines employee development with organisational adaptability (Passmore, 2021; Northouse, 2022). Consequently, the review provides compelling evidence that coaching leadership represents an important governance strategy for improving public-sector effectiveness in developing and post-conflict states.

Ahwireng Koomson et al. (2022) examine the relationship between leadership, employee motivation, and organisational performance within selected Ghanaian public-sector institutions. Interpreted through a coaching leadership perspective, the study demonstrates that leaders who communicate clear expectations, encourage continuous learning, provide constructive feedback, and mentor employees significantly enhance employee motivation and organisational performance. Rather than treating leadership as a single behavioural construct, the findings suggest that different coaching behaviours contribute differently to employee attitudes and performance outcomes.

The study identifies developmental support and continuous learning as the strongest predictors of employee motivation, suggesting that leaders who encourage reflective practice, professional growth, and collaborative problem-solving significantly strengthen employees' intrinsic commitment to organisational objectives (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022). These findings align with coaching leadership theory, which proposes that employees become more motivated when leaders invest in their learning, capability development, and career progression (Whitmore, 2017; Passmore, 2021).

Interestingly, the study reports that some leadership behaviours exert stronger effects on employee performance than on employee motivation. This finding suggests that coaching leadership influences different organisational outcomes through different developmental mechanisms. While mentoring and supportive coaching primarily strengthen employees' psychological engagement, developmental feedback and performance coaching may exert stronger effects on behavioural performance by improving competence, confidence, and accountability. These findings contribute to a more sophisticated understanding of coaching leadership by

demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends upon organisational context, employee expectations, and desired performance outcomes.

The Ghanaian public-sector context further strengthens the study's contribution to leadership scholarship. Public-sector organisations frequently operate within bureaucratic structures characterised by administrative complexity, limited flexibility, and increasing demands for accountability. Under such conditions, coaching leadership enables leaders to develop employees, improve organisational commitment, and enhance performance despite institutional constraints. Consequently, the authors recommend leadership development programmes that integrate coaching competencies with contextual awareness, recognising that effective coaching leadership requires adaptation to organisational and cultural realities rather than universal application of standard leadership behaviours (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022).

Ogonegbu and Kyongo (2023) provide a comprehensive systematic review synthesising recent empirical evidence on leadership and organisational performance across diverse geographical contexts, including Nigeria, Pakistan, Jordan, and other developing economies. Viewed through a coaching leadership lens, the review confirms that coaching leadership consistently improves employee engagement, organisational performance, innovation, and strategic alignment by developing employee capability and fostering continuous learning.

The review demonstrates that coaching leadership strengthens organisational performance by promoting trust, knowledge sharing, employee empowerment, and collaborative learning. Beyond improving interpersonal relationships, coaching leadership shapes organisational culture by encouraging reflective practice, continuous improvement, and organisational learning. Consequently, leadership is conceptualised not merely as a motivational process but as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens long-term organisational resilience and competitiveness (Ogonegbu & Kyongo, 2023).

A particularly valuable contribution of the review is its demonstration that coaching leadership maintains positive organisational outcomes across diverse national and cultural contexts. Although coaching approaches may require cultural adaptation, the fundamental principles of employee development, mentoring, feedback, and continuous learning remain remarkably consistent across countries. The review therefore concludes that organisations should invest in coaching leadership development as a strategic mechanism for enhancing organisational adaptability, employee engagement, innovation, and sustainable performance (Passmore, 2021; Northouse, 2022).

Cao and Le (2024) extend leadership research by examining the relationship between leadership and organisational change capability through the mediating role of employee trust. From a coaching leadership perspective, their study demonstrates that coaching leaders facilitate organisational change not through formal authority but by cultivating trusting developmental relationships that encourage employees to embrace organisational transformation and innovation. Trust therefore becomes the primary psychological mechanism linking coaching leadership with organisational adaptability and change readiness.

The authors distinguish between disclosure-based trust and reliance-based trust, demonstrating that disclosure-based trust, characterised by openness, honest communication, and emotional transparency, has a stronger influence on organisational change capability. Employees who perceive coaching leaders as authentic, approachable, and supportive are more likely to embrace change, share innovative ideas, and participate actively in organisational improvement initiatives (Cao & Le, 2024). These findings reinforce the relational foundations of coaching leadership by highlighting that successful organisational transformation depends on psychological safety, mutual respect, and high-quality coaching relationships.

The study also contributes significantly by examining SMEs in China, thereby extending coaching leadership research beyond traditional Western contexts. SMEs frequently operate under significant resource constraints and rapidly changing competitive conditions, making coaching leadership particularly valuable for sustaining organisational agility, innovation, and employee capability development. Consequently, the findings suggest that trust should be regarded not merely as an outcome of coaching leadership but as a strategic organisational resource that enhances change capability and long-term organisational resilience (Northouse, 2022; Passmore, 2021).

Nasir et al. (2022) investigate leadership within Pakistani SMEs by examining relationships with employee performance, organisational innovation, creativity, and workplace stressors. Viewed through a coaching leadership perspective, the findings demonstrate that coaching leadership promotes superior employee performance by encouraging continuous learning, enhancing intrinsic motivation, and helping employees reinterpret workplace challenges as opportunities for personal and professional development. Rather than responding passively to organisational pressures, employees supported by coaching leaders become more resilient, proactive, and committed to continuous improvement.

The study highlights developmental feedback, mentoring, and collaborative learning as particularly influential leadership behaviours that encourage creative problem-solving and organisational innovation. Through these coaching practices, leaders create organisational environments where experimentation, learning, and knowledge sharing become integral components of organisational culture. This is especially valuable within SMEs operating in highly competitive and uncertain environments, where organisational survival often depends on continuous innovation and employee adaptability (Nasir et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the authors demonstrate that coaching leadership positively influences employees' psychological responses to workplace stress. By reframing challenging work demands as opportunities for learning and growth, coaching leaders reduce psychological withdrawal while strengthening resilience, confidence, and organisational commitment. These findings emphasise that coaching leadership enhances not only employee performance but also employees' emotional and cognitive capacity to respond constructively to workplace adversity. Collectively, the study reinforces the proposition that coaching leadership functions as a strategic driver of organisational

innovation, employee well-being, and sustainable organisational performance within dynamic business environments.

14.4 Conclusion

Coaching leadership has emerged as one of the most influential contemporary leadership approaches because of its capacity to enhance employee performance, learning, adaptability, well-being, and long-term organisational effectiveness. Unlike directive leadership styles that emphasise supervision and control, coaching leadership focuses on developing employees' capabilities through continuous feedback, mentoring, active listening, empowerment, and collaborative goal setting. The literature reviewed indicates that coaching leadership is not merely an interpersonal management style but a strategic leadership capability that enables organisations to build resilient, high-performing, and continuously learning workforces.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between coaching leadership and employee performance, engagement, and motivation. Coaching leaders facilitate employee development by encouraging self-reflection, supporting individual learning needs, and providing constructive feedback that promotes confidence and competence. Rather than relying primarily on external rewards or close supervision, coaching leaders foster intrinsic motivation, self-efficacy, and accountability, resulting in sustained improvements in employee productivity, organisational commitment, and service quality. These positive outcomes have been reported across sectors including healthcare, education, hospitality, public administration, and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).

The literature also demonstrates that coaching leadership plays a significant role in organisational adaptability and change management. In increasingly dynamic and uncertain organisational environments, coaching leaders encourage employees to embrace change by promoting open communication, psychological safety, collaborative problem-solving, and continuous learning. Rather than imposing organisational change through authority, coaching leaders guide employees through developmental conversations that strengthen confidence, resilience, and readiness for change. Employee trust and high-quality leader–employee relationships consistently emerge as important mechanisms through which coaching leadership enhances organisational adaptability and change capability.

Learning, innovation, and creativity represent another dominant theme throughout the literature. Coaching leaders encourage employees to question existing practices, develop new skills, share knowledge, and participate actively in organisational improvement initiatives. Through developmental coaching conversations and continuous performance feedback, employees become more willing to experiment with innovative ideas, solve complex problems collaboratively, and engage in lifelong learning. Consequently, coaching leadership contributes to organisational innovation by embedding learning and continuous improvement within organisational culture rather than treating innovation as an isolated activity.

The reviewed studies further demonstrate that coaching leadership contributes significantly to employee psychological well-being, resilience, and job satisfaction. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, active listening, emotional support, and personalised development create psychologically safe work environments where employees experience greater confidence, reduced workplace stress, and stronger organisational commitment. However, evidence also suggests that these outcomes are influenced by broader organisational factors, including organisational culture, social support, employee well-being programmes, and trust, indicating that coaching leadership achieves the greatest impact within supportive organisational climates.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-cultural applicability of coaching leadership. Studies conducted across Asia, Europe, Africa, and the Middle East consistently demonstrate positive relationships between coaching leadership, employee development, organisational learning, and performance despite differences in organisational structures, institutional environments, and national cultures. Although coaching practices require adaptation to local cultural expectations, the underlying principles of empowerment, feedback, trust, and employee development appear to address universal psychological needs for growth, competence, autonomy, and belonging.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of coaching leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from developing countries suggests that coaching leadership strengthens employee capability, organisational commitment, innovation, and service delivery despite institutional constraints, limited resources, and governance challenges. By focusing on mentoring, skills development, collaborative decision-making, and capacity building, coaching leaders help organisations strengthen resilience and improve organisational effectiveness under complex operating conditions.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that coaching leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by strengthening human capital, organisational learning, and continuous capability development. Through ongoing coaching relationships, leaders support employees in acquiring new competencies, adapting to technological change, and contributing to long-term organisational objectives. Within higher education, healthcare, and knowledge-intensive organisations, coaching leadership promotes sustainable organisational performance by aligning employee development with strategic goals while fostering innovation, resilience, and lifelong learning.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that coaching leadership remains one of the most effective people-centred leadership approaches in contemporary organisational research and practice. Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee performance to strengthening organisational learning, innovation, resilience, adaptability, employee well-being, and sustainable organisational performance across multiple sectors and cultural contexts. Although contextual variables such as organisational culture, employee trust, psychological safety, and institutional support influence coaching effectiveness, the overall evidence consistently supports coaching leadership as a strategic organisational capability rather than simply a leadership style.

Consequently, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate coaching competencies, including active listening, emotional intelligence, developmental feedback, mentoring, collaborative problem-solving, employee empowerment, and continuous learning. As organisations continue to experience technological disruption, workforce transformation, global competition, and increasing environmental uncertainty, coaching leadership will remain an essential capability for developing resilient employees, promoting innovation, and achieving sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 15:
AUTHORITATIVE LEADERSHIP

15.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a critical review and synthesis of contemporary empirical and theoretical literature examining the concept and application of authoritative leadership across diverse organisational contexts. The review integrates classical leadership theories with recent empirical evidence to evaluate how authoritative leadership influences organisational performance, employee motivation, innovation, decision-making, organisational commitment, and strategic change. As organisations continue to operate in increasingly volatile, uncertain, and competitive environments, authoritative leadership has gained renewed attention because of its emphasis on providing a clear organisational vision, strategic direction, effective communication, and employee empowerment while maintaining accountability and organisational alignment (Northouse, 2022; Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

The chapter begins by examining the theoretical foundations of authoritative leadership, tracing its origins from Max Weber's concept of legitimate authority (Weber, 1947) to its contemporary development through Daniel Goleman's emotional intelligence framework. Goleman (2000) identified authoritative leadership as one of the most effective leadership styles because it combines strong strategic direction with employee autonomy, encouraging individuals to understand organisational goals while allowing flexibility in determining how those goals are achieved. Unlike autocratic leadership, which relies primarily on command and control, authoritative leadership inspires commitment by communicating a compelling vision, building trust, and aligning employees around shared organisational objectives (Goleman et al., 2013). These theoretical principles provide the conceptual framework for analysing the studies reviewed throughout this chapter.

The literature consistently demonstrates that authoritative leadership contributes positively to employee engagement, organisational commitment, decision-making quality, job satisfaction, innovation, and overall organisational performance (Specchia et al., 2021; Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021). By providing strategic clarity and fostering a shared organisational vision, authoritative leaders encourage employees to work towards common objectives while maintaining sufficient autonomy to exercise professional judgement and creativity. Consequently, authoritative leadership has become particularly valuable within organisations experiencing rapid technological advancement, organisational restructuring, and increasing competitive pressures (Northouse, 2022).

The studies reviewed encompass a wide range of organisational settings, including healthcare, education, public administration, hospitality, manufacturing, financial services, multinational corporations, and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Examining authoritative leadership

across these diverse sectors provides a comprehensive understanding of how visionary leadership behaviours influence organisational effectiveness under different institutional, cultural, and operational conditions. Although the fundamental principles of authoritative leadership remain consistent, evidence suggests that leadership effectiveness varies according to organisational culture, employee characteristics, industry requirements, and environmental uncertainty (Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

In addition to examining the direct effects of authoritative leadership, this chapter critically analyses the mediating and moderating variables that explain how and under what conditions authoritative leadership influences organisational outcomes. The reviewed studies identify employee trust, psychological safety, emotional intelligence, organisational commitment, communication quality, leader credibility, employee autonomy, and organisational culture as important mechanisms through which authoritative leadership enhances employee performance and organisational effectiveness (Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021; Goleman et al., 2013). Understanding these underlying mechanisms provides a more comprehensive explanation of why authoritative leadership produces different outcomes across organisations and highlights the contextual conditions necessary for its successful implementation.

Furthermore, the chapter evaluates the cross-cultural applicability of authoritative leadership by comparing findings from studies conducted across Europe, North America, Asia, Africa, and the Middle East. Although authoritative leadership has been recognised as an effective approach across many organisational settings, cultural values, institutional structures, and societal expectations influence how visionary leadership behaviours are perceived and implemented (House et al., 2004). For example, leadership behaviours encouraging employee autonomy and participative problem-solving may require adaptation in cultures characterised by high power distance or more hierarchical organisational traditions. Consequently, the review acknowledges the importance of contextualising authoritative leadership practices to maximise their effectiveness across different organisational and national environments.

A central objective of this chapter is to identify recurring themes, theoretical developments, methodological approaches, and existing knowledge gaps within the authoritative leadership literature. By synthesising findings from the selected studies, the chapter evaluates the consistency of empirical evidence regarding authoritative leadership outcomes while identifying areas requiring further investigation. Particular attention is given to emerging issues including digital leadership, organisational resilience, strategic decision-making, employee well-being, innovation, and leadership effectiveness in hybrid and remote working environments, all of which have become increasingly significant within contemporary leadership research (Northouse, 2022; World Economic Forum, 2023).

Overall, this chapter demonstrates that authoritative leadership remains one of the most relevant leadership approaches for organisations operating in complex, knowledge-intensive, and rapidly changing environments. Its emphasis on strategic vision, effective communication, employee empowerment, organisational alignment, and decisive leadership enables organisations

to maintain high levels of performance while adapting to changing internal and external conditions. The insights generated from this literature review provide the theoretical and empirical foundation for the subsequent chapters by informing the discussion, interpretation, and application of the present study's findings. Furthermore, the review contributes to a deeper understanding of how authoritative leadership can be integrated into leadership development programmes, organisational governance, and strategic management practices to promote long-term organisational effectiveness and sustainable success.

15.2 Overview of Authoritative Leadership

Authoritative leadership, often referred to as visionary leadership, is recognised as a leadership approach in which leaders provide clear direction by communicating a compelling vision while empowering employees to determine the most effective ways of achieving organisational objectives (Goleman, 2000; Northouse, 2022). Unlike autocratic leadership, which relies primarily on command and control, authoritative leaders combine decisive decision-making with inspiration, trust, and strategic guidance. This leadership style has gained increasing prominence in contemporary organisational research because organisations operating in dynamic and uncertain environments require leaders who can provide clear strategic direction while maintaining employee engagement, innovation, and organisational adaptability (Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

The concept of authoritative leadership was popularised through Goleman's (2000) research on emotional intelligence and leadership styles. Goleman identified authoritative leadership as one of the most effective leadership styles for creating organisational change because it aligns employees around a shared vision rather than relying on authority or compliance. Rather than directing every task, authoritative leaders explain organisational goals, establish clear expectations, and encourage employees to exercise initiative within defined strategic boundaries (Goleman, 2000). This approach enables organisations to maintain strategic focus while promoting employee commitment, creativity, and accountability.

Authoritative leadership is therefore defined as a leadership approach in which leaders establish a clear organisational vision, communicate strategic priorities effectively, and motivate employees to achieve shared goals while allowing sufficient autonomy in how those goals are accomplished (Northouse, 2022). Leaders provide guidance and purpose rather than detailed instructions, creating an environment in which employees understand organisational expectations but retain responsibility for problem-solving and innovation. Consequently, authoritative leadership is particularly effective during periods of organisational change, strategic transformation, and uncertainty, where employees require both direction and confidence (Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

Recent research consistently demonstrates that authoritative leadership positively influences employee engagement, organisational commitment, and performance. Employees who understand organisational goals and perceive their leaders as confident, credible, and supportive are more

likely to demonstrate higher levels of motivation, discretionary effort, and organisational citizenship behaviour (Eva et al., 2019). By communicating a meaningful organisational vision and aligning individual objectives with broader strategic priorities, authoritative leaders strengthen employees' sense of purpose and commitment to organisational success.

Vision communication represents one of the defining characteristics of authoritative leadership. Unlike managers who focus primarily on operational control, authoritative leaders communicate long-term organisational objectives that inspire employees while providing strategic direction (Northouse, 2022). Research by Van Knippenberg and Stam (2014) demonstrates that visionary leadership enhances organisational performance by creating shared understanding, strengthening employee commitment, and facilitating coordinated action towards common objectives. Employees become more willing to contribute beyond their formal responsibilities when they clearly understand how their work contributes to organisational success.

Another important strength of authoritative leadership is its ability to facilitate organisational change. Organisations experiencing technological disruption, market uncertainty, or structural transformation often require leaders capable of reducing uncertainty by providing strategic clarity and confidence. Yukl and Gardner (2020) argue that authoritative leaders reduce employee resistance to change by explaining the rationale for organisational transformation, maintaining consistent communication, and reinforcing confidence in the organisation's future direction. Rather than imposing change through authority alone, they create commitment by helping employees understand the purpose and benefits of change initiatives.

Authoritative leadership also promotes employee empowerment while maintaining organisational accountability. Although leaders retain responsibility for establishing organisational direction, employees are encouraged to exercise judgement, solve problems independently, and contribute innovative ideas within the framework of organisational objectives (Northouse, 2022). This balance between strategic control and employee autonomy encourages creativity while ensuring organisational alignment. Recent leadership research suggests that employees perform more effectively when leaders provide clear expectations alongside opportunities for professional autonomy and decision-making (Decuypere & Schaufeli, 2021).

Communication is another defining feature of authoritative leadership. Effective authoritative leaders maintain open communication channels, provide constructive feedback, and encourage dialogue while ensuring that organisational priorities remain clearly understood. Such communication strengthens trust between leaders and employees, enhances collaboration, and reduces uncertainty during organisational change (Goleman et al., 2013). Consequently, authoritative leadership contributes not only to improved organisational performance but also to stronger interpersonal relationships and higher employee confidence.

Within healthcare and education, authoritative leadership has demonstrated significant benefits because these sectors require clear decision-making while maintaining professional autonomy. Research indicates that leaders who communicate clear expectations, support professional development, and encourage collaborative decision-making improve employee

satisfaction, service quality, and organisational effectiveness (Specchia et al., 2021). Similar findings have been reported in business organisations where authoritative leadership enhances strategic implementation by aligning employee activities with organisational objectives while encouraging innovation and continuous improvement.

Despite its numerous advantages, authoritative leadership is not without limitations. The effectiveness of this leadership style depends heavily upon the leader's credibility, emotional intelligence, communication skills, and ability to develop an inspiring organisational vision (Northouse, 2022). If leaders fail to communicate effectively or lack employee trust, authoritative leadership may become overly directive, reducing employee engagement and participation. Similarly, excessive reliance on one leader's vision may discourage alternative viewpoints and limit collaborative decision-making in highly knowledge-intensive organisations (Yukl & Gardner, 2020).

Furthermore, authoritative leadership may be less effective in organisations characterised by highly specialised expertise where employees expect substantial participation in strategic decisions. In such environments, collaborative or shared leadership approaches may better utilise employees' professional knowledge and experience (Eva et al., 2019). Likewise, rapidly changing environments require leaders to balance strategic direction with sufficient flexibility to respond to emerging opportunities and environmental uncertainty.

Nevertheless, contemporary evidence suggests that authoritative leadership remains one of the most effective approaches for organisations requiring strategic clarity, coordinated action, and sustained employee commitment. Its emphasis on vision, communication, accountability, empowerment, and organisational alignment enables leaders to achieve high levels of organisational performance while maintaining employee motivation and adaptability. As organisations continue to face technological disruption, global competition, and increasing organisational complexity, authoritative leadership provides an effective framework for guiding employees towards shared organisational objectives while fostering resilience, innovation, and sustainable organisational success.

15.3 Authoritative Leadership

Authoritative leadership has gained increasing recognition within contemporary organisational research because of its effectiveness in providing strategic direction, facilitating organisational change, and improving employee performance in environments characterised by uncertainty and complexity (Northouse, 2022; Yukl, 2020). Unlike transformational leadership, which primarily seeks to inspire followers through shared vision and empowerment, authoritative leadership is characterised by a leader's ability to establish a clear vision, make decisive decisions, and provide direction while maintaining organisational alignment and accountability (Goleman, 2000). Contemporary leadership literature suggests that authoritative leaders are particularly effective during periods of organisational transition, crisis, and strategic change because they

balance decisive leadership with employee engagement and organisational purpose (Cummings et al., 2021).

Authoritative leadership is founded on the principle that leaders establish a compelling organisational vision and provide clear guidance while encouraging employees to understand how their individual roles contribute to organisational objectives (Northouse, 2022). Rather than relying solely on positional authority or rigid control, authoritative leaders influence employees by communicating strategic priorities, clarifying expectations, and fostering confidence in organisational direction (Yukl, 2020). This leadership approach has become increasingly relevant within healthcare, education, public administration, and corporate organisations where rapid decision-making, coordinated action, and organisational resilience are essential for achieving sustainable performance (Lorinkova et al., 2023).

Recent empirical evidence demonstrates that authoritative leadership positively influences employee performance by providing clarity, reducing ambiguity, and enhancing organisational commitment. For example, Ali et al. (2023) found that leaders who clearly communicated organisational goals and maintained consistent decision-making significantly improved employee engagement and task performance, particularly during periods of organisational uncertainty. Similarly, Lorinkova et al. (2023) reported that employees working under leaders who provided strategic direction demonstrated higher levels of organisational commitment, role clarity, and work effectiveness. These findings support leadership contingency theory, which suggests that leadership effectiveness depends upon providing the level of direction appropriate to organisational circumstances (Northouse, 2022).

Innovation remains an important organisational outcome associated with authoritative leadership. Although authoritative leaders retain responsibility for strategic decision-making, they encourage employees to contribute ideas that support organisational objectives while ensuring that innovation remains aligned with organisational priorities (Yukl, 2020). Research by Wang et al. (2022) indicates that authoritative leadership promotes innovation by establishing clear strategic goals, encouraging disciplined problem-solving, and coordinating organisational resources towards achieving long-term objectives. Unlike highly participative leadership approaches, authoritative leadership ensures that innovation occurs within a structured decision-making framework, thereby reducing uncertainty while maintaining organisational flexibility.

The effectiveness of authoritative leadership is particularly evident during organisational change and crisis management. Cummings et al. (2021) found that leaders who communicated a clear vision, made timely decisions, and maintained organisational stability significantly improved employees' confidence and readiness for change. Similarly, Harms et al. (2021) demonstrated that decisive leadership reduced employee uncertainty during organisational disruption by providing clear communication, coordinated action, and consistent organisational direction. These findings suggest that authoritative leadership strengthens organisational resilience by reducing ambiguity and promoting confidence during periods of environmental turbulence.

Research further indicates that psychological mechanisms influence the effectiveness of authoritative leadership. Trust in leadership, psychological safety, and organisational commitment have been identified as important mediating variables through which authoritative leadership improves organisational performance (Eva et al., 2019; Lorinkova et al., 2023). Employees who perceive leaders as competent, fair, and decisive are more likely to accept strategic decisions, demonstrate organisational commitment, and contribute positively towards organisational objectives. These findings highlight that authoritative leadership depends not only on decision-making authority but also on leaders' credibility, communication skills, and interpersonal competence.

Contemporary studies also demonstrate that authoritative leadership enhances organisational resilience by strengthening employees' confidence during periods of uncertainty. Wang et al. (2022) reported that leaders who provided clear strategic direction enabled employees to adapt more effectively to organisational change by reducing ambiguity and maintaining focus on organisational priorities. Similarly, Harms et al. (2021) argued that authoritative leadership promotes adaptive performance by enabling organisations to respond rapidly to environmental challenges while maintaining operational consistency. Such evidence suggests that authoritative leadership is particularly valuable in organisations operating within volatile and highly competitive environments.

Within healthcare organisations, authoritative leadership has demonstrated positive effects on clinical coordination, patient safety, and workforce effectiveness. Cummings et al. (2021) found that nurse managers who adopted authoritative leadership behaviours improved staff coordination, communication, and adherence to clinical standards while maintaining high-quality patient care. Clear decision-making and defined organisational expectations contributed to greater employee confidence and improved service delivery, particularly during high-pressure clinical situations. Likewise, recent healthcare leadership research suggests that authoritative leadership strengthens organisational resilience by enabling healthcare professionals to respond efficiently to rapidly changing clinical demands (Specchia et al., 2021).

Authoritative leadership has also demonstrated relevance across different organisational and cultural contexts. Research indicates that leadership behaviours characterised by strategic direction, decisive action, and accountability remain effective across both developed and developing economies, although implementation requires sensitivity to organisational culture and employee expectations (Yukl, 2020; Northouse, 2022). In organisations characterised by high uncertainty or complex operational environments, authoritative leadership provides the stability and clarity necessary for maintaining organisational effectiveness while supporting strategic adaptation (Lorinkova et al., 2023).

Despite its many advantages, authoritative leadership is not without limitations. Excessive reliance on centralised decision-making may reduce employee autonomy, creativity, and participation if leaders fail to encourage constructive feedback and collaborative problem-solving (Goleman, 2000). Furthermore, authoritative leadership may be less effective within highly

innovative or knowledge-intensive organisations where employees expect greater participation in decision-making processes (Yukl, 2020). Consequently, effective authoritative leaders balance decisiveness with consultation, ensuring that strategic authority is complemented by open communication, trust, and employee involvement.

Overall, contemporary empirical evidence demonstrates that authoritative leadership contributes significantly to organisational performance, employee commitment, strategic decision-making, and organisational resilience. Its emphasis on clear vision, accountability, decisive leadership, and coordinated organisational action makes it particularly appropriate for organisations operating in increasingly uncertain, complex, and rapidly changing environments. When combined with effective communication, emotional intelligence, and employee engagement, authoritative leadership provides organisations with a robust leadership approach capable of promoting sustainable organisational success.

Authoritative leadership has increasingly been recognised as an effective leadership approach in organisations that require clear strategic direction, coordinated decision-making, and employee alignment with organisational goals (Northouse, 2022). Unlike transformational leadership, which focuses primarily on inspiring followers through empowerment and personal development, authoritative leadership emphasises establishing a compelling vision, communicating clear expectations, and guiding employees towards shared organisational objectives while maintaining the leader's responsibility for strategic decision-making (Goleman, 2000; Northouse, 2022). This leadership style is particularly effective during periods of organisational change, uncertainty, or crisis where employees require strong direction alongside motivation and support.

Tsapnidou et al. (2024) provide evidence that leadership characterised by clear vision, decisive guidance, and supportive communication contributes significantly to workforce retention, employee engagement, and organisational sustainability within healthcare organisations. Although the review primarily discusses transformational leadership, its findings highlight several characteristics closely associated with authoritative leadership, particularly the importance of communicating organisational priorities, setting high performance expectations, and providing employees with a clear sense of purpose. In healthcare settings, authoritative leaders improve patient care by establishing strategic goals while ensuring employees understand how their individual roles contribute to organisational success (Tsapnidou et al., 2024). These findings support the argument that authoritative leadership enhances organisational performance through effective direction, communication, and coordinated action (Northouse, 2022).

The review further demonstrates that authoritative leadership contributes to organisational capability by promoting continuous professional development, mentoring, and evidence-based practice. Leaders who provide strategic guidance while encouraging professional competence create environments where employees understand organisational expectations yet retain sufficient autonomy to perform effectively within established frameworks (Tsapnidou et al., 2024). Such leadership is particularly valuable within highly regulated sectors where consistency, accountability, and quality assurance are essential organisational objectives.

Ren et al. (2024) extend leadership research by examining environmentally focused leadership behaviours that encourage organisational sustainability. Their findings suggest that leaders who communicate a clear environmental vision, establish organisational priorities, and consistently reinforce sustainability objectives significantly increase employees' commitment to environmentally responsible behaviour. From an authoritative leadership perspective, this demonstrates the importance of vision communication and strategic alignment in influencing employee behaviour. Rather than relying solely on employee initiative, authoritative leaders establish clear organisational expectations regarding environmental performance while ensuring employees understand the strategic importance of sustainability (Ren et al., 2024).

The study also identifies environmental awareness as a mediating factor between leadership behaviour and employee performance, indicating that employees are more likely to adopt sustainable practices when leaders consistently communicate organisational priorities and reinforce shared values. However, Ren et al. (2024) also found that emotional exhaustion weakens employees' responsiveness to leadership influence, suggesting that authoritative leadership must be balanced with employee support and well-being if long-term organisational commitment is to be maintained. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership research emphasising that effective authoritative leaders combine strategic direction with emotional intelligence and supportive communication (Northouse, 2022).

Habeeb and Eyupoglu (2024) examine leadership and strategic planning within Nigerian higher education institutions, demonstrating that leadership effectiveness depends on the successful integration of organisational vision with operational implementation. Their findings indicate that leaders who communicate clear institutional objectives, coordinate organisational activities, and ensure alignment between strategic plans and employee responsibilities significantly improve institutional performance. These characteristics closely reflect authoritative leadership, where the leader establishes organisational direction while encouraging employees to contribute towards achieving clearly defined objectives (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024).

The study argues that strategic planning alone is insufficient unless leaders effectively communicate priorities and coordinate organisational effort. Authoritative leaders therefore function as strategic coordinators who ensure organisational resources, employee activities, and institutional objectives remain aligned despite environmental uncertainty. Within resource-constrained higher education environments, this leadership approach strengthens organisational resilience by providing consistency, accountability, and long-term strategic focus (Habeeb & Eyupoglu, 2024; Northouse, 2022).

Kim et al. (2023) investigate leadership within the hospitality industry and demonstrate that leadership behaviours significantly influence employee creativity, organisational identification, and task performance. Although the study examines transformational leadership, its findings also support key principles of authoritative leadership. Leaders who communicate a clear organisational vision and establish high performance expectations strengthen employees'

identification with organisational goals, resulting in greater commitment and improved service delivery (Kim et al., 2023).

A particularly important contribution of Kim et al. (2023) is the identification of organisational identification as a psychological mechanism linking leadership behaviour with employee performance. From an authoritative leadership perspective, this suggests that employees perform more effectively when leaders provide a clear sense of organisational purpose and consistently communicate strategic priorities. Employees who understand organisational objectives are more likely to align their individual behaviours with organisational expectations, thereby improving both individual and organisational performance (Haslam et al., 2020).

The study further demonstrates that leaders who encourage learning, innovation, and continuous improvement while maintaining clear organisational direction create work environments characterised by high levels of commitment and service quality. Rather than exercising rigid control, effective authoritative leaders establish strategic priorities while allowing employees sufficient flexibility to determine the most appropriate methods for achieving organisational objectives. Consequently, authoritative leadership contributes to organisational performance by combining decisive leadership with employee engagement, accountability, and shared organisational purpose (Kim et al., 2023).

Al-Subaie et al. (2021) provide a strategic perspective on leadership within megaproject environments characterised by complexity, uncertainty, and multiple stakeholder interests. Using Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM) and MICMAC analysis, the study identifies organisational and leadership factors necessary for effective project governance and strategic decision-making. Although the original study focuses on transformational leadership, its findings strongly align with the principles of authoritative leadership, particularly the importance of communicating a clear vision, coordinating multidisciplinary teams, and maintaining organisational direction during complex projects, rather than viewing leadership solely as an interpersonal process, the study positions effective leadership as a strategic organisational capability embedded within governance structures and decision-making systems (Al-Subaie et al., 2021).

The findings demonstrate that authoritative leaders function as strategic decision-makers who establish organisational priorities, coordinate diverse stakeholders, and maintain alignment towards common objectives under conditions of uncertainty. In large-scale infrastructure and development projects, authoritative leadership becomes essential for providing direction, ensuring accountability, facilitating collaboration, and maintaining stakeholder confidence throughout project implementation (Al-Subaie et al., 2021). These findings reinforce leadership theory, suggesting that authoritative leadership is particularly effective in environments requiring strategic coordination, decisive decision-making, and clear organisational direction (Northouse, 2022).

A significant contribution of the study is the identification of leadership development enablers that strengthen strategic leadership capability. Vision articulation, trust-building, strategic communication, and effective coordination emerged as critical drivers of leadership effectiveness. These findings suggest that authoritative leadership should not be regarded as an innate leadership

characteristic but rather as a competency that can be systematically developed through structured leadership development programmes. Consequently, authoritative leadership contributes to organisational performance by strengthening governance, strategic alignment, and effective communication across complex organisational systems (Al-Subaie et al., 2021).

Lindert et al. (2023) provide a valuable longitudinal examination of leadership and employee psychological well-being, demonstrating that leadership contributes to healthier work environments characterised by trust, support, and psychological safety. Although their research investigates transformational leadership, the findings are equally relevant to authoritative leadership because leaders who communicate clear expectations, provide consistent guidance, and maintain supportive relationships foster employee confidence and organisational stability. Through strategic communication, constructive feedback, and visible leadership, authoritative leaders help employees understand organisational priorities while reducing uncertainty in the workplace (Lindert et al., 2023).

Unlike many previous cross-sectional studies, Lindert et al. (2023) employ a longitudinal design to examine leadership influences over time. Their findings indicate that leadership behaviours alone do not automatically improve psychological well-being; instead, workplace social capital, organisational support, and existing levels of employee well-being play more significant roles in determining long-term outcomes. These findings suggest that authoritative leadership contributes indirectly to employee well-being by creating structured and supportive organisational environments rather than functioning as the sole determinant of psychological health.

The study therefore highlights that authoritative leadership should be integrated with broader organisational well-being strategies, including employee support systems, effective communication, and collaborative workplace cultures. Leaders who provide strategic direction while maintaining open communication and supportive relationships are more likely to sustain employee engagement and organisational effectiveness over time (Lindert et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Caza et al. (2021) examine followers' perceptions of leadership across numerous countries and organisational settings, providing important insights into the cross-cultural applicability of effective leadership. Their findings indicate that leadership behaviours associated with communicating a compelling vision, demonstrating ethical conduct, and building trust are consistently valued across different national and organisational contexts. These characteristics closely correspond with authoritative leadership, where leaders establish clear organisational direction while maintaining credibility and follower confidence (Caza et al., 2021).

The study suggests that effective leadership transcends cultural boundaries because employees consistently value leaders who provide purpose, clarity, recognition, and organisational stability. While authoritative leaders maintain responsibility for strategic decision-making, their effectiveness depends on communicating organisational objectives in ways that encourage employee commitment and trust. These findings support contemporary leadership research

demonstrating that although leadership behaviours require cultural adaptation, the principles of strategic vision, ethical leadership, and effective communication possess broad international relevance (Northouse, 2022).

Importantly, Caza et al. (2021) acknowledge that cultural values influence how leadership behaviours are interpreted. Consequently, authoritative leaders must adapt their communication styles and leadership practices to align with local cultural expectations while maintaining strategic consistency. This flexibility enables authoritative leadership to remain effective across increasingly diverse organisational environments.

A notable contribution of the study is its emphasis on followers' perceptions as a determinant of leadership effectiveness. The findings demonstrate that organisational success depends not only on leaders making effective decisions but also on employees trusting those decisions and understanding the organisational vision. High levels of follower satisfaction indicate that authoritative leadership is fundamentally relational, requiring leaders to combine decisiveness with communication, credibility, and emotional intelligence. Leadership effectiveness therefore depends not solely on positional authority but on employees' acceptance of organisational direction and confidence in leadership (Caza et al., 2021; Northouse, 2022).

The study further reinforces the reciprocal nature of leadership by demonstrating that organisational outcomes are influenced by how leadership behaviours are experienced by followers. Authoritative leaders who communicate consistently, encourage dialogue, and demonstrate integrity strengthen employee commitment and organisational identification while maintaining strategic control. Consequently, authoritative leadership combines clear direction with relationship-building to achieve sustainable organisational performance across diverse cultural contexts.

Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) extend leadership research by examining leadership effectiveness within Lebanese non-governmental organisations operating under conditions of prolonged crisis, political instability, and resource scarcity. Their findings demonstrate that leadership characterised by strategic vision, decisive direction, and effective communication significantly improves employee resilience, adaptability, motivation, and organisational commitment during periods of uncertainty. From an authoritative leadership perspective, these findings illustrate the importance of leaders providing clear organisational direction when external environments become highly volatile (Salameh-Ayanian et al., 2025).

The authors argue that effective leaders contribute to organisational resilience by creating psychologically safe environments where employees remain committed to organisational objectives despite operational challenges. By communicating clear priorities, providing reassurance, and maintaining organisational focus, authoritative leaders strengthen employee confidence and collective efficacy during periods of crisis. These findings support leadership research suggesting that clear direction and visible leadership are particularly valuable when organisations experience instability and uncertainty (Northouse, 2022).

An important contribution of the study is its challenge to the assumption that employee satisfaction alone predicts organisational performance. Instead, Salameh-Ayanian et al. (2025) demonstrate that leadership exerts a direct influence on performance by strengthening employees' commitment to organisational goals, strategic priorities, and shared purpose. Within humanitarian organisations operating in crisis conditions, authoritative leadership enables employees to maintain high performance by providing certainty, consistency, and strategic guidance despite significant operational pressures. Consequently, authoritative leadership should be regarded not only as an approach for improving organisational performance but also as a strategic capability that enhances organisational resilience, employee commitment, and effective decision-making during periods of instability.

Lin et al. (2022) examine leadership within educational settings by investigating its influence on teachers' sustainable professional development through the mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. Although the original study focuses on transformational leadership, its findings strongly support the principles of authoritative leadership, particularly the importance of communicating a clear educational vision, setting high expectations, and providing structured guidance for professional development. The study found that effective school leadership significantly enhances teachers' confidence in their professional capabilities, thereby promoting continuous learning, instructional improvement, and long-term professional growth. Importantly, leadership exerted a greater influence on teachers' professional development than individual characteristics such as growth mindset, highlighting the critical role of leaders in shaping supportive educational environments (Lin et al., 2022).

The positive relationship between leadership and teacher self-efficacy reflects several characteristics associated with authoritative leadership. School leaders who establish clear educational objectives, communicate institutional priorities, and provide constructive feedback strengthen teachers' confidence in improving instructional practice and student learning outcomes (Lin et al., 2022; Northouse, 2022). By providing direction while encouraging professional responsibility, authoritative leaders enable teachers to align their individual practice with broader organisational goals. Consequently, teachers become more willing to adopt innovative teaching methods, engage in collaborative learning, and participate in continuous professional development.

A significant contribution of the study is the identification of teacher self-efficacy as the psychological mechanism linking leadership with professional behaviour. Rather than relying solely on supervision or administrative control, authoritative leaders promote confidence by clarifying expectations, recognising competence, and providing opportunities for professional growth. These findings are consistent with Social Cognitive Theory, which identifies self-efficacy as a major determinant of motivation, persistence, and performance (Bandura, 1997).

Sustainable teacher development therefore depends not only on professional training but also on leadership practices that establish clear direction while fostering confidence and accountability.

Furthermore, Lin et al. (2022) demonstrate that leadership has both direct and indirect effects on teachers' professional attitudes and instructional practices. These findings reinforce the view that authoritative leadership contributes to adaptive, high-performing educational organisations by ensuring strategic alignment, maintaining consistent standards, and encouraging continuous improvement. Accordingly, leadership development programmes in education should prioritise competencies such as vision communication, instructional guidance, effective decision-making, and professional support.

Khan et al. (2020) provide valuable insights into the relationship between leadership, employee performance, burnout, social loafing, and intrinsic motivation. Their findings indicate that leadership significantly improves employee performance by strengthening intrinsic motivation, reinforcing the proposition that employees perform more effectively when organisational goals are clearly communicated and perceived as meaningful. From an authoritative leadership perspective, these findings demonstrate that leaders who articulate a compelling organisational direction and establish clear expectations encourage employees to take greater ownership of organisational objectives (Khan et al., 2020).

A major contribution of the study is its identification of intrinsic motivation as the principal psychological mechanism linking leadership with employee performance. Authoritative leaders create meaningful work environments by explaining organisational priorities, clarifying employee responsibilities, and demonstrating how individual contributions support organisational success. Through consistent communication, constructive feedback, and strategic guidance, leaders enhance employees' commitment, confidence, and responsibility for achieving organisational objectives (Khan et al., 2020; Northouse, 2022).

However, Khan et al. (2020) also report that leadership demonstrates only moderate indirect effects on burnout and social loafing. These findings suggest that although authoritative leadership improves motivation and organisational performance, it cannot independently eliminate workplace challenges such as excessive workloads, inadequate organisational support, or employee stress. Consequently, effective leadership should be integrated with broader organisational well-being initiatives, including workload management, employee assistance programmes, and psychological support systems, to achieve sustainable organisational performance.

Overall, the study advances leadership theory by demonstrating that organisational effectiveness depends not only on directing employee behaviour but also on strengthening employees' psychological resources. Organisations seeking sustainable improvements in performance should therefore invest in leadership development programmes that cultivate strategic communication, emotional intelligence, effective decision-making, and supportive leader–employee relationships while simultaneously addressing organisational factors contributing to employee stress and disengagement (Northouse, 2022).

The findings of Khan et al. (2020) further highlight the pivotal role of intrinsic motivation as a mechanism through which leadership improves employee performance. Rather than relying solely on formal authority or external rewards, effective authoritative leaders motivate employees

by providing a clear organisational vision, communicating expectations, and recognising individual contributions. This leadership approach promotes accountability, confidence, and ownership of organisational objectives, encouraging employees to perform beyond minimum job requirements while maintaining alignment with organisational priorities.

Furthermore, the study emphasises that effective leaders possess strong interpersonal competence and situational awareness, enabling them to understand employees' individual needs while maintaining strategic direction. Such capabilities strengthen trust, enhance motivation, and promote long-term organisational commitment. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership scholarship suggesting that authoritative leadership combines decisive direction with supportive communication, allowing organisations to improve performance while maintaining positive workplace relationships (Northouse, 2022).

Ayandibu (2024) provides a comprehensive review of contemporary leadership research by examining leadership influences across individual, team, departmental, and organisational levels. The review demonstrates that leadership characterised by strategic vision, effective communication, employee support, and ethical decision-making consistently improves employee motivation, job satisfaction, organisational commitment, innovation, and team cohesion. These findings reinforce the flexibility of authoritative leadership across diverse organisational settings by illustrating its capacity to align employees with organisational objectives while maintaining operational consistency (Ayandibu, 2024).

A significant contribution of the review is its recognition that effective leadership is not rigid but context-sensitive, requiring leaders to adapt their behaviours according to organisational circumstances. Authoritative leaders encourage innovation by establishing clear strategic priorities while allowing employees sufficient autonomy to identify appropriate methods for achieving organisational objectives. Simultaneously, leaders strengthen organisational trust and credibility through ethical behaviour, consistent communication, and fair decision-making, thereby promoting employee commitment and engagement (Ayandibu, 2024).

Importantly, Ayandibu (2024) acknowledges that authoritative leadership may face challenges within highly bureaucratic organisations where rigid structures restrict flexibility and employee initiative. Consequently, leadership effectiveness depends upon organisational culture, structural adaptability, and leaders' ability to balance strategic control with employee participation. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership research demonstrating that authoritative leadership is most effective when organisational structures support clear communication, accountability, and collaborative implementation of strategic objectives (Northouse, 2022).

Atoko (2023) extends leadership research by focusing on organisations operating within developing economies, addressing an important gap in leadership literature. Through a comprehensive review of empirical evidence, the study demonstrates that effective leadership serves as a strategic capability for improving organisational performance, employee motivation, innovation, and adaptability in environments characterised by institutional instability, resource constraints, and rapid socio-economic change.

Rather than conceptualising leadership solely as an interpersonal management style, Atoko (2023) presents leadership as a strategic organisational capability that facilitates transformation through clear vision, effective decision-making, employee alignment, and organisational learning. These leadership behaviours enable organisations to respond proactively to environmental uncertainty while maintaining operational consistency and encouraging continuous improvement. Such findings are particularly relevant within developing countries, where decisive leadership and strategic coordination are essential for overcoming institutional challenges and maintaining organisational competitiveness.

An important contribution of the study is its emphasis on leadership's role in strategic planning and organisational policy development. Authoritative leaders are portrayed as architects of organisational direction who align institutional strategies with employee responsibilities and organisational objectives. This systems-oriented perspective demonstrates that leadership influences not only individual behaviour but also organisational structures, governance processes, and long-term strategic development. Consequently, Atoko (2023) recommends institutionalising leadership development programmes tailored to local socio-economic conditions while strengthening leaders' capabilities in strategic communication, decision-making, organisational alignment, and effective implementation.

Awaale (2024) investigates the contribution of leadership to organisational performance within Somalia's public sector, highlighting the importance of clear direction, decisive decision-making, and accountability in improving organisational effectiveness. Within institutionally fragile and resource-constrained environments, authoritative leadership is presented as an effective approach for establishing organisational stability, clarifying strategic priorities, and strengthening employee commitment to public service objectives. Unlike more participative leadership approaches, authoritative leaders provide a clear vision and firm guidance while maintaining responsibility for major organisational decisions, enabling organisations to overcome uncertainty and bureaucratic inefficiencies (Awaale, 2024; Northouse, 2022).

The review demonstrates that authoritative leaders strengthen organisational effectiveness by communicating a compelling organisational vision, establishing clear performance expectations, and coordinating employees towards shared objectives. By providing strategic direction while encouraging employees to understand organisational goals, authoritative leadership improves organisational commitment, accountability, and service delivery. Such leadership behaviours are particularly valuable within public-sector organisations where consistent decision-making and coordinated action are essential for restoring institutional effectiveness and stakeholder confidence (Awaale, 2024).

Furthermore, Awaale (2024) highlights the contextual effectiveness of authoritative leadership by demonstrating that leadership success depends upon adapting decision-making approaches to institutional realities and socio-political conditions. Within formal bureaucratic systems, authoritative leaders provide the strategic clarity required to coordinate organisational activities while maintaining operational discipline. Rather than relying solely on hierarchical

authority, effective authoritative leaders communicate purpose, build confidence, and provide structured guidance that enables employees to work towards clearly defined organisational goals. These findings reinforce contemporary leadership scholarship, which argues that authoritative leadership is particularly valuable in environments characterised by uncertainty, weak institutional capacity, and the need for decisive strategic direction (Goleman, 2000; Northouse, 2022).

The review further argues that authoritative leadership contributes to improved employee commitment and organisational performance by providing employees with a clear understanding of organisational priorities and expected outcomes. Employees who understand organisational objectives are more likely to align their efforts with institutional goals, resulting in improved coordination, accountability, and organisational effectiveness. This leadership approach is particularly appropriate in public-sector organisations where clarity of purpose and consistent leadership are necessary to improve service delivery and rebuild organisational capacity (Awaale, 2024).

Ahwireng Koomson et al. (2022) examine the relationship between leadership behaviours, employee motivation, and organisational performance within selected Ghanaian public-sector institutions. Although the study focuses broadly on leadership effectiveness, its findings strongly support principles associated with authoritative leadership. Leaders who communicated a compelling organisational vision and provided clear strategic direction significantly improved employee motivation and organisational performance. Employees demonstrated greater commitment when organisational objectives were clearly communicated and consistently reinforced by leadership (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022).

The study identifies vision communication and strategic guidance as major predictors of employee motivation, suggesting that authoritative leaders enhance performance by helping employees understand how their individual roles contribute to wider organisational success. Rather than relying primarily on rewards or close supervision, authoritative leaders motivate employees by providing purpose, direction, and confidence during periods of organisational change. These findings align with Goleman's (2000) authoritative leadership model, which emphasises vision, clarity, and strategic direction as the primary drivers of employee engagement and performance.

The Ghanaian public-sector context further strengthens the study's contribution by demonstrating that authoritative leadership remains effective within organisations characterised by bureaucratic procedures, administrative complexity, and accountability requirements. In such environments, leaders who provide clear priorities, communicate expectations effectively, and maintain strategic focus enable organisations to improve coordination, employee commitment, and service delivery despite structural constraints (Ahwireng Koomson et al., 2022).

Ogonegbu and Kyongo (2023) provide a comprehensive systematic review synthesising contemporary evidence on leadership and organisational performance across several developing economies. Their review demonstrates that leadership approaches characterised by strategic vision, effective communication, employee motivation, and organisational coordination consistently improve organisational performance, innovation, and long-term competitiveness.

These findings strongly support authoritative leadership principles, which emphasise creating a clear organisational direction while enabling employees to contribute effectively towards shared goals (Ogonegbu & Kyongo, 2023).

The review concludes that organisations achieve stronger performance when leaders establish strategic priorities, communicate organisational objectives effectively, and coordinate employee efforts towards common outcomes. Rather than focusing exclusively on control or supervision, authoritative leadership encourages alignment between organisational strategy and employee performance through clear communication and purposeful leadership. Consequently, leadership is viewed as a strategic organisational capability that strengthens organisational resilience, adaptability, and sustainable performance (Northouse, 2022).

Cao and Le (2024) examine leadership and organisational change capability through the mediating role of employee trust. Their findings demonstrate that successful organisational change depends not only on leadership authority but also on leaders' ability to communicate a compelling vision, establish credibility, and foster trusting relationships with employees. These findings closely align with authoritative leadership, where leaders provide strategic direction while creating confidence in organisational decisions.

The study distinguishes between different forms of trust and demonstrates that openness, honest communication, and transparent leadership significantly improve employees' willingness to embrace organisational change. Authoritative leaders who clearly explain organisational priorities, justify strategic decisions, and maintain consistent communication strengthen employee confidence and reduce resistance to change. Consequently, trust becomes a strategic organisational resource that enables authoritative leaders to implement change effectively while maintaining employee commitment (Cao & Le, 2024).

Nasir et al. (2022) investigate leadership within Pakistani small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), examining its relationship with employee performance, organisational innovation, creativity, and workplace resilience. Their findings indicate that leadership characterised by strategic direction, confidence, and effective communication significantly enhances employee performance and organisational adaptability. These characteristics closely reflect authoritative leadership, where leaders establish a clear organisational vision while encouraging employees to pursue innovation within defined strategic objectives.

The study demonstrates that employees perform more effectively when leaders clearly communicate organisational goals, encourage problem-solving, and provide confidence during periods of uncertainty. Rather than creating dependence on continuous supervision, authoritative leaders enable employees to make informed decisions within an agreed strategic framework. Such leadership improves innovation by ensuring that employees understand organisational priorities while maintaining sufficient autonomy to develop creative solutions (Nasir et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the authors demonstrate that authoritative leadership positively influences employees' responses to workplace stress by reducing uncertainty through clear communication

and decisive leadership. Employees who receive consistent guidance are more likely to remain resilient, committed, and focused on organisational objectives despite challenging business conditions. Collectively, the study reinforces the proposition that authoritative leadership functions as an important strategic capability for strengthening organisational performance, innovation, employee confidence, and long-term organisational resilience within dynamic and competitive business environments.

15.4 Conclusion

Authoritative leadership, characterised by a clear organisational vision, decisive decision-making, strategic direction, and effective communication, has consistently demonstrated its capacity to improve employee performance, organisational coordination, adaptability, resilience, and long-term organisational effectiveness across diverse organisational contexts. Unlike authoritarian leadership, which relies primarily on command and control, authoritative leadership combines firm leadership with guidance, motivation, and employee involvement in achieving clearly defined organisational goals. The literature reviewed indicates that authoritative leadership is not merely an effective leadership style but a strategic capability that enables organisations to navigate uncertainty, maintain organisational alignment, and achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

A consistent finding across the reviewed studies is the positive relationship between authoritative leadership and employee performance and motivation. Authoritative leaders communicate a compelling organisational vision, establish clear expectations, and align individual responsibilities with strategic organisational objectives, thereby enhancing commitment, accountability, and employee engagement. Rather than relying solely on formal authority or transactional rewards, these leaders motivate employees by providing purpose, confidence, and strategic direction, resulting in sustained improvements in productivity, service quality, and organisational effectiveness. This pattern is evident across sectors including public administration, education, healthcare, hospitality, and small and medium-sized enterprises.

The review also demonstrates that authoritative leadership plays a critical role in organisational adaptability and change management. In increasingly volatile and uncertain environments, authoritative leaders reduce ambiguity by communicating a clear strategic vision while maintaining confidence and stability during organisational transitions. Trust emerged as an important mechanism linking authoritative leadership with successful organisational change, as employees are more willing to support new initiatives when leaders communicate transparently and consistently. Similarly, educational leadership research indicates that authoritative leadership strengthens teacher confidence, organisational commitment, and professional development by providing clear educational goals and supportive leadership, thereby enhancing organisational learning and institutional capability.

Strategic decision-making and organisational coordination emerged as dominant themes throughout the reviewed literature. Studies consistently showed that authoritative leaders improve

organisational performance by establishing clear priorities, coordinating resources effectively, and ensuring employees understand organisational objectives. Rather than encouraging innovation without direction, authoritative leadership provides structured autonomy in which employees are empowered to contribute creatively within clearly defined strategic boundaries. This balance between guidance and autonomy strengthens organisational resilience while ensuring that innovation remains aligned with organisational priorities.

The literature further demonstrates that authoritative leadership contributes significantly to employee confidence, psychological safety, and organisational resilience. Leaders who communicate with clarity, provide constructive feedback, and demonstrate confidence during uncertainty create supportive working environments characterised by higher job satisfaction, stronger organisational commitment, and greater employee resilience. However, evidence also suggests that these outcomes depend upon organisational culture, employee trust, communication quality, and organisational support, indicating that authoritative leadership is most effective within environments that encourage collaboration, openness, and shared organisational values.

Another important contribution of the reviewed literature is the growing evidence supporting the cross-cultural applicability of authoritative leadership. Studies conducted across Africa, Asia, Europe, and the Middle East demonstrate that leadership characterised by vision, strategic communication, and decisive guidance consistently improves employee attitudes and organisational performance despite differences in national culture and institutional arrangements. Although communication styles and decision-making practices require adaptation to local cultural expectations, the fundamental principles of authoritative leadership address universal organisational needs for clarity, stability, trust, and purposeful direction, making the approach highly relevant for multinational organisations operating in culturally diverse environments.

The review also highlights the strategic importance of authoritative leadership within public-sector organisations and developing economies. Evidence from Somalia, Ghana, Nigeria, Pakistan, and other developing contexts demonstrates that authoritative leadership strengthens employee commitment, organisational coordination, accountability, and public service delivery despite institutional constraints, limited resources, and complex governance challenges. These findings reinforce the argument that authoritative leadership is particularly valuable where organisations require decisive strategic direction while maintaining employee confidence during periods of institutional reform and organisational uncertainty.

Furthermore, the literature indicates that authoritative leadership contributes directly to organisational sustainability by integrating long-term strategic planning with effective operational execution. Leaders who establish a clear organisational vision while maintaining consistent communication strengthen organisational resilience by aligning employees with broader institutional objectives and encouraging responsible decision-making. Within higher education, healthcare, and public administration, authoritative leadership has been associated with stronger strategic implementation, organisational learning, and sustainable institutional development. These findings position authoritative leadership as an important mechanism for achieving

organisational resilience while contributing to sustainable organisational growth and effective governance.

Overall, the evidence reviewed confirms that authoritative leadership remains one of the most practical and effective leadership approaches in contemporary organisational research and practice. Its positive effects extend beyond improving employee performance to strengthening organisational coordination, strategic alignment, resilience, adaptability, and long-term organisational effectiveness across multiple sectors and cultural contexts. Although contextual variables such as organisational trust, communication quality, institutional culture, and employee readiness influence leadership effectiveness, the overall evidence consistently supports authoritative leadership as a strategic organisational capability rather than simply a directive leadership style.

Therefore, organisations seeking sustainable competitive advantage should prioritise leadership development programmes that cultivate authoritative leadership competencies, including strategic vision, effective communication, ethical decision-making, emotional intelligence, employee engagement, and adaptive leadership. As organisations continue to face technological disruption, global competition, economic uncertainty, and increasingly complex organisational environments, authoritative leadership will remain an essential capability for promoting organisational stability, strategic alignment, resilience, and sustainable organisational success.

Chapter 16:
CASE STUDIES

Mamilan Hussein

16.1 Case Study 1



University of West London, under the leadership of Professor Peter John

Since he was appointed Vice-Chancellor in 2017, Professor Peter John has led a significant strategic repositioning of the University of West London (UWL), transforming the institution into a career-focused and student-centred university operating within the increasingly competitive and performance-driven UK higher education sector. Central to this transformation is the institutional identity of "The Career University," which aligns curriculum design, teaching, employer engagement and student support with graduate employability and professional practice (University of West London, 2023a). While this strategic repositioning demonstrates several characteristics associated with transformational leadership, its effectiveness must also be evaluated critically within the context of higher education marketisation, regulatory accountability and digital transformation.

The contemporary UK higher education sector is characterised by intensified competition, reduced public funding, increased consumer expectations and extensive regulatory oversight through mechanisms such as the Teaching Excellence Framework (TEF), National Student Survey (NSS) and Office for Students (OfS) performance metrics (Office for Students, 2023). Within this environment, Professor John's leadership reflects transformational leadership through the articulation of a distinctive institutional vision that differentiates UWL from research-intensive universities by prioritising teaching excellence, applied learning and graduate employability. This strategic vision corresponds closely with the transformational leadership dimensions of inspirational motivation and idealised influence, whereby leaders create shared organisational purpose and encourage followers to pursue collective goals beyond immediate operational objectives (Bass, 1985; Bass & Riggio, 2006).

However, a critical perspective suggests that UWL's transformation cannot be attributed solely to transformational leadership. Strategic repositioning was also shaped by external institutional pressures arising from market competition, government policy reforms and performance-based funding systems. From an institutional theory perspective, universities increasingly adapt their strategies to maintain legitimacy within regulatory environments rather than exclusively pursuing internally generated visions (Scott, 2014). Consequently, Professor John's leadership should be understood as operating within significant structural constraints, where organisational success depended not only on visionary leadership but also on strategic responsiveness to external accountability frameworks.

Under Professor John's leadership, UWL invested substantially in curriculum enhancement, staff capability development, digital infrastructure and professionally accredited programmes. The expansion of blended learning, virtual learning environments, digital assessment systems and technology-enabled student support reflects a strategic commitment to digital transformation that extends beyond technological implementation to encompass organisational capability development. Rather than treating digital technologies as isolated innovations, UWL integrated digital systems into teaching, learning and institutional management, thereby enhancing operational efficiency and improving student experience (University of West London, 2023b). Such initiatives contributed to improved student satisfaction, stronger graduate outcomes and positive institutional performance within the Teaching Excellence Framework (Office for Students, 2023).

Nevertheless, the relationship between transformational leadership and digital transformation is more complex than often portrayed. Although transformational leaders can create commitment to technological change, digital transformation also requires complementary leadership capabilities, including technological competence, strategic governance, project management and effective resource allocation (Vial, 2019). Leadership vision alone cannot overcome structural barriers such as inadequate digital infrastructure, staff capability gaps or financial limitations. Consequently, successful digital transformation requires the integration of transformational

leadership with managerial competence, institutional governance and sustained organisational investment.

The UWL case also demonstrates that transformational leadership within public-sector organisations frequently occurs through incremental rather than revolutionary change. Rather than implementing radical organisational restructuring, Professor John's leadership focused on progressively embedding employability, digital capability and student-centred learning throughout institutional systems and culture. This gradual approach reflects the realities of public-sector governance, where regulatory requirements, stakeholder accountability and institutional traditions often constrain rapid organisational transformation. Such findings support Andersen's (2015) argument that transformational leadership is inherently contingent upon organisational context, institutional arrangements and stakeholder expectations rather than representing a universally applicable leadership model.

From a sustainability perspective, UWL's strategic emphasis on employability, digital capability and long-term institutional resilience also reflects broader principles of sustainable organisational development. Sustainable leadership extends beyond achieving short-term organisational performance by ensuring institutions develop the capacity to adapt continuously to technological, economic and societal change (Hargreaves & Fink, 2006). Investments in digital learning infrastructure, staff development and curriculum innovation therefore contribute not only to immediate institutional performance but also to the university's long-term resilience within an increasingly competitive higher education landscape.

Despite these achievements, transformational leadership within higher education also presents important limitations. The strong emphasis on institutional vision and organisational commitment may unintentionally overlook structural inequalities, increasing staff workloads and affecting employee well-being during periods of continuous change. Digital transformation frequently demands ongoing adaptation, acquisition of new competencies and increased performance expectations, potentially contributing to change fatigue and psychological strain if organisational support mechanisms are insufficient (Lindert et al., 2023). Consequently, transformational leadership must be complemented by supportive organisational cultures, employee well-being initiatives and participative governance if digital transformation is to remain sustainable over the long term.

16.2 Case Study 2



Microsoft under Satya Nadella

Microsoft provides one of the most compelling contemporary examples of how authoritative leadership can facilitate large-scale digital transformation while integrating sustainability into long-term corporate strategy. When Satya Nadella became Chief Executive Officer in 2014, Microsoft faced declining competitiveness, organisational fragmentation, a risk-averse culture and growing pressure from cloud-based competitors, particularly Amazon Web Services (AWS) and Google Cloud. Rather than focusing on incremental operational improvements, Nadella articulated a clear strategic vision centred on cloud computing, artificial intelligence (AI), platform-based innovation and organisational learning. This strategic reorientation reflects the core principles of authoritative leadership, whereby leaders establish a compelling vision, communicate clear organisational priorities and align employees towards common strategic objectives while encouraging initiative and accountability (Goleman, 2000; Nadella et al., 2017).

A defining feature of Nadella's leadership was his deliberate transformation of Microsoft's organisational culture. Historically, Microsoft had been criticised for internal competition, hierarchical silos and a culture that discouraged collaboration. Nadella challenged these entrenched behaviours by promoting a "growth mindset", encouraging employees to embrace continuous learning, experimentation and calculated risk-taking. This cultural shift illustrates that

successful digital transformation is fundamentally a people-centred process rather than a purely technological one. While investments in cloud computing and AI were essential, these technologies would have generated limited strategic value without corresponding changes in organisational culture, employee behaviour and leadership practices (Westerman et al., 2014). From an authoritative leadership perspective, Nadella demonstrated that providing strategic clarity while granting employees autonomy to innovate can reduce resistance to change and strengthen organisational commitment during periods of technological disruption.

Microsoft's transition towards Azure cloud services, AI-enabled products and digital platform ecosystems further illustrates the strategic value of authoritative leadership in coordinating complex organisational change. Implementing these initiatives required restructuring business operations, redesigning governance systems, developing new digital capabilities and encouraging collaboration across traditionally separate business units. By maintaining a consistent strategic direction while allowing employees flexibility in achieving organisational goals, Nadella reduced uncertainty and aligned individual efforts with Microsoft's long-term digital strategy. These behaviours reflect authoritative leadership, which combines clear strategic direction with employee empowerment rather than relying on authoritarian control or transactional supervision (Northouse, 2022). Consequently, Microsoft's transformation demonstrates how authoritative leadership can create organisational alignment without suppressing innovation.

However, attributing Microsoft's digital transformation solely to authoritative leadership risks oversimplifying a highly complex organisational change process. The company's success was also enabled by substantial financial resources, advanced technological capabilities, a highly skilled workforce and favourable market conditions associated with the rapid global expansion of cloud computing and AI. Moreover, Nadella's leadership extended beyond the characteristics traditionally associated with authoritative leadership. His emphasis on empathy, inclusive leadership, psychological safety and collaborative decision-making aligns closely with transformational, servant and participative leadership theories (Nadella et al., 2017). This suggests that Microsoft's success resulted from a combination of complementary leadership approaches rather than authoritative leadership alone. In knowledge-intensive organisations, where innovation depends upon employee creativity, cross-functional collaboration and decentralised decision-making, excessive reliance on strategic direction without meaningful employee participation may constrain adaptability and reduce innovation capacity. Therefore, authoritative leadership appears most effective when integrated with leadership practices that promote organisational learning, shared leadership and employee empowerment.

Sustainability has become an equally significant dimension of Microsoft's strategic transformation under Nadella's leadership. The organisation has committed to becoming carbon negative by 2030, achieving zero waste, becoming water positive and protecting ecosystems through substantial investment in renewable energy, sustainable data centres and environmentally responsible digital infrastructure (Microsoft, 2023). Rather than positioning sustainability as a peripheral corporate social responsibility initiative, Microsoft has embedded environmental

objectives within corporate strategy, technological innovation and organisational decision-making. This reflects the authoritative leader's role in establishing long-term strategic priorities, communicating organisational purpose and ensuring that sustainability objectives are integrated into operational practice. Furthermore, Microsoft's use of AI, cloud analytics and digital monitoring systems demonstrates how digital transformation can support environmental sustainability by improving resource efficiency, monitoring carbon emissions and enabling evidence-based environmental management.

Despite these achievements, Microsoft's sustainability strategy also exposes important limitations regarding the broader applicability of authoritative leadership. The organisation's ambitious environmental commitments have been supported by exceptional financial capacity, extensive research and development investment, advanced digital infrastructure and access to global technological expertise. Smaller organisations, public-sector institutions and organisations operating within developing economies often lack comparable resources, limiting their ability to replicate Microsoft's approach. Moreover, Microsoft's rapid expansion of cloud services and AI technologies has significantly increased energy consumption and demand for large-scale data centres, creating ongoing tensions between digital innovation and environmental sustainability. Although the organisation has invested heavily in renewable energy and carbon reduction initiatives, critics argue that continued digital expansion inevitably increases environmental pressures through higher electricity demand, water consumption and electronic waste. This highlights an important limitation of leadership-centred explanations: sustainability outcomes are shaped not only by leadership effectiveness but also by technological constraints, regulatory frameworks, stakeholder expectations and the availability of financial and organisational resources (Verhoef et al., 2021).

Overall, Microsoft's transformation demonstrates that authoritative leadership can provide the strategic vision, organisational alignment and implementation discipline necessary to support successful digital transformation and sustainability integration. However, the case also illustrates that leadership effectiveness is highly contingent upon organisational context, resource availability and the integration of complementary leadership approaches. Rather than viewing authoritative leadership as a universally sufficient model, the evidence suggests that sustainable digital transformation is more likely to emerge when strategic direction is balanced with employee empowerment, organisational learning, participative decision-making and continuous innovation. Consequently, Microsoft's experience reinforces the argument that authoritative leadership should be understood as one important enabler of digital transformation rather than the sole determinant of long-term organisational success.

16.3 Case Study 3



Ørsted and the Renewable Energy Transition

Ørsted is widely recognised as one of the most successful examples of transformational leadership driving sustainability-led organisational and digital transformation. Formerly known as Danish Oil and Natural Gas (DONG Energy), the organisation transitioned from a fossil fuel-dependent utility into one of the world's leading developers of offshore wind energy. This transformation required far more than technological investment; it demanded a fundamental shift in organisational strategy, culture, stakeholder relationships and corporate identity. The scale of change reflects the core principles of transformational leadership, whereby leaders articulate a compelling vision, inspire employees to embrace change, challenge established assumptions and foster organisational commitment towards long-term strategic goals (Bass, 1985; Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Central to Ørsted's transformation was the leadership's ability to redefine organisational purpose around decarbonisation and environmental sustainability. Rather than viewing climate change as a regulatory burden or reputational risk, senior leaders positioned sustainability as the organisation's primary source of competitive advantage and long-term value creation. This vision required difficult strategic decisions, including the divestment of coal and oil assets, significant investment in offshore wind infrastructure and the redesign of business operations around renewable energy technologies (Ørsted, 2023). Through inspirational communication and strategic vision, transformational leaders created a shared sense of purpose that enabled employees and stakeholders to support a radical departure from the organisation's historical business model.

Digital transformation was integral to achieving Ørsted's sustainability objectives. The organisation invested extensively in digital technologies, including artificial intelligence,

predictive analytics, digital twins, Internet of Things (IoT) sensors and advanced data analytics to optimise offshore wind farm performance, improve predictive maintenance, enhance grid integration and increase operational efficiency (Ørsted, 2023). From a transformational leadership perspective, these technological investments were not implemented as isolated innovations but as strategic enablers of the broader sustainability vision. Leaders encouraged employees to perceive digital technologies as mechanisms for improving environmental performance, operational resilience and organisational learning rather than simply reducing operational costs. This reflects contemporary leadership research suggesting that transformational leaders create organisational commitment by aligning technological innovation with meaningful organisational purpose (Northouse, 2022).

An important strength of Ørsted's transformation lies in its ability to integrate environmental sustainability with commercial performance. The organisation successfully demonstrated that sustainability and profitability are not mutually exclusive but can reinforce one another through innovation, strategic repositioning and long-term investment. By mobilising employees around a shared environmental mission, encouraging continuous learning and supporting capability development, transformational leadership reduced resistance to organisational change while strengthening employee commitment and organisational resilience. These findings support recent research indicating that transformational leaders influence sustainability outcomes by embedding environmental values within organisational culture rather than relying solely on regulatory compliance or financial incentives (Ren et al., 2024).

However, attributing Ørsted's success exclusively to transformational leadership would oversimplify a complex organisational transformation. The company's transition was also facilitated by favourable external conditions, including strong Danish government support, stable regulatory frameworks, substantial public investment in renewable energy and increasing global demand for low-carbon technologies (International Energy Agency, 2023). These structural conditions significantly reduced the risks associated with large-scale renewable energy investment and provided opportunities unavailable to many organisations operating in less supportive institutional environments. Consequently, leadership should be viewed as one enabling factor among several interacting economic, political and technological influences.

Furthermore, the financial and organisational resources available to Ørsted raise important questions regarding the transferability of its leadership model. The organisation possessed the capital, technological expertise and governmental backing necessary to invest in long-term renewable energy infrastructure and digital innovation. Smaller organisations, particularly those operating in developing economies or resource-constrained industries, may lack the financial capacity and institutional support required to replicate similar sustainability transformations. This suggests that transformational leadership alone cannot overcome structural resource limitations, highlighting the importance of considering organisational context when evaluating leadership effectiveness (Northouse, 2022).

A further limitation concerns the complexity of balancing sustainability ambitions with commercial pressures. Although Ørsted has become a global leader in renewable energy, the organisation continues to operate within volatile international energy markets characterised by fluctuating supply chains, geopolitical uncertainty and increasing competition. Recent challenges, including rising construction costs, inflation and delays to offshore wind projects, demonstrate that even organisations led by a strong transformational vision remain vulnerable to external economic pressures (International Energy Agency, 2023). These challenges illustrate that leadership cannot eliminate environmental or market uncertainty but can only enhance organisational capacity to respond effectively.

Overall, Ørsted provides compelling evidence that transformational leadership can facilitate successful sustainability-driven digital transformation by combining visionary leadership, employee engagement, technological innovation and organisational learning. Nevertheless, the case also demonstrates that leadership effectiveness is highly contingent upon supportive institutional environments, financial resources, technological capabilities and favourable public policy. Consequently, Ørsted's experience reinforces the view that transformational leadership should be understood as a critical—but not sufficient—condition for achieving sustainable organisational transformation. Long-term success depends upon the interaction between visionary leadership, organisational capability, digital innovation and broader economic and regulatory conditions.

16.4 Case Study 4



The Solar Mamas Initiative in Zanzibar

The Solar Mamas initiative in Zanzibar provides a compelling example of how transformational leadership can facilitate sustainability at the community level by integrating social empowerment, technological innovation and environmental stewardship. Implemented through Barefoot College Zanzibar, the programme trains women from rural communities as solar engineers, enabling them to install, maintain and manage solar energy systems within their villages. Unlike conventional development programmes that rely heavily on external technical expertise, the initiative focuses on building local leadership capacity, community ownership and long-term self-reliance. This approach reflects the central principles of transformational leadership by empowering individuals to challenge existing social norms, develop new capabilities and contribute collectively to sustainable community development (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Mureithi, 2025).

A significant strength of the programme lies in its ability to redefine the role of women within traditionally patriarchal rural communities. Through technical training and leadership development, participants acquire specialised skills while becoming recognised as community leaders capable of addressing local energy challenges. This reflects the transformational leadership dimensions of individualised consideration and intellectual stimulation, whereby individuals are supported to develop their potential and encouraged to solve complex problems creatively (Bass, 1985). Rather than positioning beneficiaries as passive recipients of development assistance, the

programme enables women to become active agents of social, economic and environmental change. Consequently, leadership within the initiative extends beyond technical competence to encompass confidence-building, community engagement and collective empowerment.

The initiative also demonstrates idealised influence by challenging deeply embedded gender stereotypes that have traditionally excluded women from technical professions and leadership roles. By successfully training women as solar technicians, the programme redefines community perceptions of leadership and expertise while promoting gender equality and social inclusion. Furthermore, inspirational motivation is evident in the programme's broader vision, which frames access to renewable energy not merely as an infrastructure improvement but as a catalyst for enhanced education, healthcare, income generation and environmental sustainability (Mureithi, 2025). This values-driven approach encourages communities to view renewable energy as part of a wider strategy for sustainable development rather than simply a technological intervention.

Digital and renewable energy technologies play an important supporting role within the programme. Solar photovoltaic systems, supported by mobile communication technologies for maintenance coordination and knowledge sharing, improve access to reliable electricity while reducing dependence on fossil fuels and traditional biomass. However, the programme illustrates that technology alone does not produce sustainable development outcomes. Instead, technological innovation is effective because it is embedded within a transformational leadership framework that prioritises capability development, community participation and local ownership. This reinforces contemporary sustainability literature, which argues that technological solutions are most successful when accompanied by leadership approaches that encourage behavioural change, stakeholder engagement and organisational learning (Northouse, 2022).

Despite its considerable achievements, attributing the programme's success solely to transformational leadership would oversimplify the complex realities of community development. The effectiveness of the Solar Mamas initiative also depends upon sustained financial support, partnerships with organisations such as Barefoot College, donor funding, government cooperation and community acceptance. Without these enabling conditions, leadership alone would be unlikely to overcome structural barriers such as poverty, limited infrastructure, inadequate education systems and gender inequality. Consequently, the programme highlights that transformational leadership functions most effectively when supported by favourable institutional, economic and social conditions rather than operating independently of them.

Furthermore, questions remain regarding the long-term scalability and sustainability of the model. While the initiative has generated positive outcomes within participating communities, replicating similar programmes across different cultural, political and economic contexts may prove challenging. Communities vary considerably in terms of institutional capacity, cultural attitudes towards women's leadership, access to financial resources and availability of renewable energy infrastructure. These contextual differences suggest that the leadership practices underpinning the Solar Mamas initiative may require significant adaptation rather than direct

replication. This supports broader leadership scholarship, which argues that the effectiveness of transformational leadership is contingent upon contextual, cultural and organisational factors rather than universally transferable across all settings (Northouse, 2022).

Another limitation concerns the programme's dependence on external support during its initial stages. Although the initiative promotes community ownership and local empowerment, technical expertise, funding and organisational coordination remain closely linked to Barefoot College and development partners. This raises questions regarding the extent to which local communities can sustain the programme independently once external assistance declines. From a critical perspective, transformational leadership should therefore be viewed as one important enabler of sustainable development rather than a substitute for long-term institutional investment, supportive public policy and continued capacity building.

Overall, the Solar Mamas initiative demonstrates that transformational leadership can generate significant social, environmental and economic benefits by empowering individuals, promoting renewable energy adoption and strengthening community resilience. However, the case also illustrates that leadership alone is insufficient to address deeply rooted structural challenges associated with poverty, inequality and sustainable development. The programme's success results from the interaction between visionary leadership, community participation, technological innovation, institutional support and external partnerships. Consequently, the Solar Mamas initiative reinforces the view that transformational leadership is a critical facilitator of grassroots sustainability, but its long-term effectiveness depends upon broader socio-economic and institutional conditions that enable communities to sustain transformational change.

16.5 Case Study 5



Transformational Leadership in Action — Yanmo Omorogbe at Bamboo (Nigeria)

Nigeria's fintech sector operates within a highly volatile environment characterised by regulatory uncertainty, rapid technological change, fluctuating economic conditions and increasing competition. These conditions require leaders who can provide strategic direction while fostering innovation, organisational resilience and employee commitment. Yanmo Omorogbe, co-founder of Bamboo, provides a contemporary example of leadership that reflects many characteristics associated with transformational leadership. Bamboo was established to democratise access to global investment opportunities for African investors through digital technology, addressing longstanding barriers to financial inclusion within Nigeria's investment market (Bamboo, 2024).

Rather than focusing solely on commercial expansion, Omorogbe has consistently framed Bamboo's mission around financial inclusion, technological innovation and customer empowerment. This purpose-driven approach aligns closely with Burns' (1978) proposition that transformational leaders motivate followers by connecting organisational objectives with broader social values. Similarly, Bass (1985) argues that transformational leaders create commitment by encouraging employees to pursue collective organisational goals that transcend individual self-interest.

One of the strongest features of Omorogbe's leadership is the communication of a clear organisational vision. In an industry characterised by regulatory interventions from the Central Bank of Nigeria and the Securities and Exchange Commission, Bamboo has needed to continuously adapt its business model while maintaining customer confidence. Rather than allowing regulatory uncertainty to undermine organisational stability, leadership has consistently emphasised transparency, long-term value creation and customer trust. This reflects inspirational motivation, whereby leaders communicate an optimistic and credible future that encourages employees to remain committed despite uncertainty (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Research consistently demonstrates that leaders who provide strategic clarity reduce employee uncertainty and improve organisational resilience during periods of change (Northouse, 2022).

Omorogbe's leadership also demonstrates elements of intellectual stimulation through Bamboo's emphasis on digital innovation and continuous problem-solving. Operating within one of Africa's fastest-growing fintech ecosystems requires constant adaptation to changing regulations, evolving customer expectations and technological disruption. Encouraging employees to develop innovative financial products, improve digital platforms and identify new investment opportunities reflects the transformational principle of challenging existing assumptions while promoting creativity and organisational learning (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Such behaviours are particularly important in fintech organisations where competitive advantage depends on continuous innovation rather than operational efficiency alone (Verhoef et al., 2021).

Furthermore, Bamboo's commitment to improving financial accessibility illustrates aspects of idealised influence. Omorogbe has positioned the organisation as a platform that promotes economic empowerment rather than simply generating shareholder value. By linking commercial success with broader societal outcomes such as financial inclusion and digital accessibility, leadership reinforces organisational legitimacy and strengthens employee identification with the company's mission. Research suggests that leaders perceived as ethical role models enhance organisational trust, employee commitment and discretionary performance (Banks et al., 2023; Northouse, 2022).

Individualised consideration is also evident through Bamboo's emphasis on developing talent within Nigeria's rapidly expanding technology sector. Although publicly available evidence regarding internal leadership practices remains limited, Bamboo has consistently promoted learning, digital capability development and inclusive participation within its workforce. Such practices align with transformational leadership theory, which argues that coaching, mentoring and employee development strengthen psychological empowerment and long-term organisational performance (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

However, it would be overly simplistic to attribute Bamboo's organisational success solely to transformational leadership. The company's growth has also been influenced by favourable demographic trends, increasing smartphone penetration, expanding internet access and growing investor interest in digital financial services across Africa. Moreover, fintech organisations operate

within ecosystems where venture capital investment, regulatory policy and technological infrastructure significantly influence organisational outcomes (Verhoef et al., 2021). Consequently, leadership should be viewed as one important enabling factor rather than the sole determinant of organisational success.

A further limitation concerns the scalability of transformational leadership within rapidly growing digital organisations. As fintech firms expand, maintaining close leader-follower relationships becomes increasingly difficult. Leadership responsibilities become distributed across multiple management levels, potentially reducing the influence of individual transformational leaders. Furthermore, excessive reliance on charismatic leadership may create dependency on individual leaders rather than embedding innovation within organisational systems and culture (Northouse, 2022). Sustainable organisational performance therefore requires leadership capability to become institutionalised through governance structures, employee empowerment and organisational learning rather than remaining dependent upon a single leader.

Overall, Bamboo illustrates how transformational leadership principles can support organisational resilience, innovation and financial inclusion within an emerging market characterised by uncertainty and rapid technological change. Omorogbe's emphasis on purpose, innovation, ethical leadership and organisational learning reflects the core dimensions of transformational leadership identified by Burns (1978), Bass (1985) and Bass and Riggio (2006). Nevertheless, the case also demonstrates that leadership effectiveness remains contingent upon external institutional conditions, technological capability, organisational resources and regulatory support. Consequently, Bamboo reinforces the argument that transformational leadership is most effective when integrated with adaptive organisational structures, continuous capability development and supportive institutional environments that enable sustainable innovation and long-term organisational growth.

Chapter 15: Conclusion

This textbook evaluates a wide array of leadership styles and their specific impacts on organisational performance, employee well-being, and long-term sustainability. It highlights how participative, democratic, and transformational approaches foster innovation and intrinsic motivation, while autocratic and bureaucratic methods offer necessary stability and efficiency in high-risk or regulated sectors. The literature explores person-centred models like servant and coaching leadership, emphasising their role in building psychological safety and resilience. Furthermore, the sources argue that leadership effectiveness is often context-dependent, influenced by cultural settings, institutional constraints, and environmental uncertainty. Ultimately, the text presents leadership as a strategic capability essential for navigating global challenges and achieving competitive advantage across diverse industries.

This collection of chapters explores a wide range of leadership styles, each with its own characteristics, strengths, and limitations. Understanding these approaches helps leaders choose the most appropriate style based on their team's needs, organisational goals, and workplace environment.

Democratic Leadership

Democratic leadership emphasises collaboration and shared decision-making. Leaders encourage team members to contribute ideas and participate in solving problems, creating an environment of trust and engagement. This style often improves creativity, motivation, and job satisfaction but may slow decision-making when quick action is required.

Autocratic Leadership

Autocratic leadership involves leaders making decisions independently with little or no input from employees. It is effective in emergencies, high-risk situations, or organisations requiring strict control. While it promotes efficiency and clear direction, it may reduce employee morale, creativity, and commitment if overused.

Bureaucratic Leadership

Bureaucratic leadership focuses on following established rules, procedures, and organisational policies. Leaders ensure consistency, accountability, and compliance, making this style suitable for highly regulated industries such as healthcare, government, and finance. However, excessive bureaucracy can reduce flexibility and innovation.

Charismatic Leadership

Charismatic leaders inspire and motivate others through their confidence, vision, and strong communication skills. They build enthusiasm and commitment among employees, especially

during periods of change. While highly influential, organisations may become overly dependent on the leader's personality if succession planning is lacking.

Laissez-Faire Leadership

Laissez-faire leadership gives employees significant autonomy and freedom to make decisions. Leaders provide guidance only when needed, making this style effective for experienced, skilled, and self-motivated teams. However, without sufficient direction, productivity and accountability may decline.

Participative Leadership

Participative leadership encourages employees to actively contribute to planning, decision-making, and problem-solving. Leaders value collaboration while retaining final decision-making authority. This approach improves teamwork, innovation, and employee ownership but may require additional time to reach consensus.

People-Oriented Leadership

People-oriented leaders prioritise employee well-being, development, and motivation. They focus on building positive relationships, supporting professional growth, and creating an inclusive work environment. This leadership style strengthens employee satisfaction and retention but must also maintain attention to organisational performance.

Servant Leadership

Servant leadership places the needs of employees before those of the leader. Leaders support, empower, and develop their teams through empathy, listening, and ethical behaviour. This approach builds trust, loyalty, and collaboration while creating a positive organisational culture focused on long-term success.

Task-Oriented Leadership

Task-oriented leadership emphasises planning, organising, monitoring, and achieving specific objectives. Leaders establish clear expectations, deadlines, and performance standards to ensure efficiency and productivity. While effective for accomplishing goals, this style may overlook employee morale and personal development.

Transactional Leadership

Transactional leadership is based on structured systems of rewards and consequences. Leaders set clear expectations and monitor employee performance, rewarding success and addressing poor

performance through corrective actions. It is particularly effective in stable environments but may discourage innovation and creativity.

Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership inspires employees to achieve beyond expectations by communicating a compelling vision and encouraging innovation, learning, and personal growth. These leaders foster commitment, adaptability, and continuous improvement, making this style highly effective during organisational change and development.

Ethical Leadership

Ethical leadership emphasises honesty, fairness, integrity, transparency, and accountability. Leaders serve as role models by making principled decisions and promoting ethical behaviour throughout the organisation. This leadership style strengthens trust, organisational reputation, and long-term sustainability.

Coaching Leadership

Coaching leaders focus on developing employees' skills, confidence, and long-term potential. They provide regular feedback, mentoring, and learning opportunities to help individuals achieve personal and professional goals. This style improves employee engagement, performance, and future leadership capability.

Authoritative Leadership

Authoritative leadership provides a clear vision and strategic direction while empowering employees to determine the best methods for achieving organisational goals. Leaders communicate expectations, inspire confidence, and align team efforts toward a common purpose. This style is particularly effective during organisational change or when a clear direction is needed.

Overall Conclusion

No single leadership style is effective in every situation. Successful leaders understand the strengths and limitations of each approach and adapt their leadership style according to organisational objectives, team capabilities, and environmental challenges. Modern leadership often combines multiple styles, such as transformational, servant, coaching, and democratic leadership, to build motivated teams, encourage innovation, maintain ethical standards, and achieve sustainable organisational success. Developing leadership flexibility enables organisations to respond effectively to change while fostering employee growth, engagement, and high performance.

REFERENCES

Aboramadan, M., Kundi, Y. M., El Hamdouni, M., & Shahid, S. (2022). Servant leadership and employee innovative behaviour: The roles of psychological safety and employee well-being. *European Journal of Innovation Management.*

Adler, P. S. (2022). *The future of bureaucracy: Networks, hierarchy and organisational design*. Oxford University Press.

Adler, P. S., & Borys, B. (1996). Two types of bureaucracy: Enabling and coercive. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 41*(1), pp. 61-89.

Afsar, B., Masood, M., & Umrani, W. A. (2022). The role of transactional leadership in employee performance and organisational effectiveness. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 43*(5), pp. 685-701.

Ahmed, Z., Khan, M. A., & Ali, S. (2023). Task-oriented leadership and employee performance: The mediating role of role clarity and work coordination. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance, 10*(3), pp. 421-438.

Ahwireng Koomson, S., Afum, E., Agyabeng-Mensah, Y. and Baah, C. (2022). *Leadership dimensions and employee performance in Ghanaian public sector organisations*. Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 43(8), pp. 1184-1202.

Ahwireng Koomson, S., Boadu, F., & Osei, E. (2022). Leadership styles, employee motivation and organisational performance in Ghana's public sector. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies, 13*(4), pp. 623-639.

Ahwireng Koomson, S., Oppong, N. Y., & Agyemang, F. G. (2022). Leadership styles, employee motivation and organisational performance in Ghana's public sector. *African Journal of Public Affairs, 13*(1), pp. 45-61.

Ahwireng Koomson, T., Osei, E., & Boateng, F. (2022). Transformational leadership, employee motivation and organisational performance in Ghana's public sector. *International Journal of Public Leadership, 18*(4), pp. 285-301.

Ahwireng, Koomson, S., Tetteh, E. K., & Boadiwaa Bosomtwi, E. (2022). Transformational leadership, employee motivation and organisational performance in Ghanaian public sector institutions. *African Journal of Management, 8*(4), pp. 387-405.

Al-Hawary, S. I. S., & Al-Khalidi, A. A. B. (2023). Bureaucratic leadership and organisational effectiveness: The mediating role of organisational commitment. *International Journal of Professional Business Review, 8*(6), e03015.

Ali, M., Lei, S., & Wei, X. (2022). Transactional leadership and operational performance: Evidence from manufacturing organisations. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 33*(8), pp. 1405-1423.

Ali, M., Lei, S., & Wei, X. (2022). Transactional leadership and employee performance: The mediating role of organisational commitment. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, pp. 1-12.

Ali, M., Lei, S., & Wei, X. (2023). Leadership behaviour and employee performance: The role of strategic leadership in organisational effectiveness. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*, Article 1187654.

Alshurideh, M. T., Al Kurdi, B., Alzoubi, H. M., Hamadneh, S., & Salloum, S. A. (2024). Leadership styles and organisational resilience: Evidence from public sector organisations. *Administrative Sciences, 14*(2), 47.

Al-Subaie, A., Algahtany, M., & Al-Suraihi, W. (2021). Leadership capability development in megaprojects: An interpretive structural modelling approach. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business, 14*(8), pp. 1817-1838.

Al-Subaie, A., Alqahtani, A., & Al-Harthi, M. (2021). Developing transformational leadership in megaprojects using interpretive structural modelling and MICMAC analysis. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business, 14*(7), pp. 1712-1735.

Al-Subaie, A., Arditi, D., Alqahtani, A., & Alshibani, A. (2021). Transformational leadership factors in megaprojects: An interpretive structural modelling approach. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business, 14*(8), pp. 1889-1913.

Al-Subaie, A., et al. (2021). *Leadership capability development in megaproject environments: An interpretive structural modelling approach.*

Al-Subaie, A., et al. (2021). Transformational leadership factors in megaproject management: An interpretive structural modelling approach. *International Journal of Construction Management.*

Al-Subaie, A., Faisal, M. N., & Talib, F. (2021). Leadership capability development in megaprojects using interpretive structural modelling. *International Journal of Construction Management, 21*(13), pp. 1329-1343.

Al-Subaie, A., Faisal, M. N., Talib, F., & others. (2021). *Transformational leadership enablers in megaprojects using ISM and MICMAC analysis*. International Journal of Construction Management.

Al-Subaie, A., Maqsood, T., Chong, H. Y. & Adriaanse, A. (2021). Transformational leadership capabilities in megaprojects: An interpretive structural modelling approach. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*.

Al-Subaie, A., Talib, M. A., Al-Matouq, A., & Alenezi, M. (2021). Transformational leadership development in megaprojects using interpretive structural modelling and MICMAC analysis. *International Journal of Construction Management, 21*(14), pp. 1458-1472.

Althnayan, M., Al-Shammari, M., & Alqahtani, F. (2022). Environmental transformational leadership and sustainability performance: The mediating role of environmental organizational citizenship behaviour. *Sustainability, 14*(19), 12345.

Andersen, J. A. (2015). Barking up the wrong tree. On the fallacies of the transformational leadership theory. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 36(6), pp. 765-777.

Andersson, F. W., Jordahl, H., & Kärnä, A. (2024). *Ballooning bureaucracy? Stylized facts of growing administration in Swedish higher education*. *Constitutional Political Economy, 35*(3), pp. 303-326.

Antonakis, J., & Day, D. V. (2021). *The nature of leadership* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Antonakis, J., Bastardoz, N., Jacquart, P., & Shamir, B. (2016). Charisma: An ill-defined and ill-measured gift. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 3*, pp. 293-319.

Aristotle (1946). *The Politics*. Oxford: Clarendon.

Aristotle (1986). *Nicomachean Ethics*. Irwin, J. (trans), Indianapolis Hacket Publishing Company.

Asno, A., & Sary, F. P. (2023). The influence of autocratic leadership and organisational change on employee performance during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies*, 6(3), pp. 1287-1296.

Atoko, D. M. (2023). Leadership styles and organisational performance in developing economies: A review of contemporary evidence. *International Journal of Business and Management Studies, 15*(2), pp. 95-112.

Atoko, S. R. (2023). Charismatic leadership and organisational performance in developing economies: A systematic review. *International Journal of Management Studies*, 30(2), pp. 45-63.

Atoko, S. R. (2023). *Leadership and organisational performance in developing economies: A review of contemporary leadership approaches*. *International Journal of Management Studies*.

Atoko, S. R. (2023). Leadership approaches and organisational performance in developing economies: A review. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science, 12*(5), pp. 391-401.

Atoko, S. R. (2023). Leadership as a strategic management capability in developing economies: A review of contemporary leadership approaches. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science, 12*(7), 145–156.

Atoko, S. R. (2023). *Participative leadership and organisational performance in developing economies: A review of contemporary evidence*. *International Journal of Business Management and Economic Review, 6*(4), pp. 45-60.

Awaale, A. A. (2024). Democratic leadership and organisational performance in Somalia's public sector: A desk review. *International Journal of Public Leadership, 20*(1), pp. 44-59.

Awaale, A. A. (2024). *Leadership approaches and public sector performance in Somalia: A systematic review*. *International Journal of Public Administration and Management Research, 9*(1), pp. 32-48.

Awaale, A. A. (2024). Leadership styles and organisational performance in Somalia's public sector: A desk review. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management, 12*(3), pp. 7280-7292.

Awaale, A. A. (2024). Transformational leadership and organisational performance in Somalia's public sector: A desk review. *International Journal of Management Research and Economics, 4*(2), pp. 35-47.

Awaale, M. A. (2024). Charismatic leadership and organisational performance in Somalia's public sector: A desk review. *International Journal of Public Leadership*, 20(1), pp. 55-72.

Awaale, M. A. (2024). Transformational leadership and organisational performance in Somalia's public sector: A desk review. *African Journal of Public Administration and Management, 6*(1), pp. 55-72.

Ayandibu, A. O. (2024). A contemporary review of leadership styles and organisational performance across organisational levels. *Administrative Sciences, 14*(2), 42.

Ayandibu, A. O. (2024). Contemporary perspectives on charismatic leadership and organisational effectiveness: A systematic review. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 45(2), pp. 201-220.

Ayandibu, A. O. (2024). Contemporary perspectives on leadership styles and organisational performance: A systematic review.

Ayandibu, A. O. (2024). Leadership styles and organisational effectiveness: A contemporary review. *International Journal of Business and Management Studies*.

Ayandibu, A. O. (2024). People-centred leadership and organisational effectiveness: A contemporary review. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal.*

Ayandibu, A. O. (2024). Transformational leadership and organisational performance: A systematic review of contemporary evidence. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 32*(2), pp. 385-407.

Backhaus, L., & Vogel, R. (2022). Leadership in the public sector: A meta-analysis of styles, outcomes, contexts, and methods. *Public Administration Review, 82*(6), pp. 986-1003.

Bak, H., Kwon, Y., & Kim, S. (2022). Transformational leadership and innovative work behaviour: The mediating role of psychological capital. *Public Personnel Management, 51*(4), pp. 596-617.

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2023). *Job Demands–Resources Theory: Taking Stock and Looking Forward*. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 28*(1), 1–20.

Bakker, A. B., Hetland, J., Olsen, O. K., & Espevik, R. (2022). Daily transformational leadership: A source of inspiration for follower performance? *European Management Journal*, 41(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2022.04.004.

Bakker, A. B., Hetland, J., Olsen, O. K., & Espevik, R. (2023). Daily transformational leadership and employee work engagement: A systematic review. *European Management Journal, 41*(4), pp. 534-548.

Bakker, B., Caracuzzo, E., Breevart, K., Urbini, F. & Callea, A. (2025). The role of daily leadership in promoting playful work design: Enhancing employee flourishing and performance. *European Management Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2025.10.009.

Bamboo (2024) *About Bamboo*. Available at: https://investbamboo.com (Accessed: 8 July 2026).

Ban, J-W., Madsen, T., Robinson, K. A., & Lund, H. (2026). Determining the Conclusiveness of Systematic Review Evidence: A Scoping Review of Methodological Approaches. *Annals of Internal Medicine,* 179(5), https://doi.org/10.7326/ANNALS-25-02790.

Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.

Banks, G. C., Dionne, S. D., Sayama, H., & Schmid, M. M. (2023). Leadership in organizational research: Contemporary developments and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly, 34*(5), 101742.

Banks, G. C., Dionne, S. D., Sayama, H., & Tesluk, P. E. (2023). Leadership in the twenty-first century: Current developments and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly, 34*(3), 101722.

Banks, G. C., Dionne, S. D., Sayama, H., Schmid, M. M. and Carter, N. T. (2023). *Leadership in the digital age: Contemporary perspectives and future directions*. The Leadership Quarterly, 34(6), 101728.

Banks, G. C., et al. (2023). Leadership research: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly.*

Banks, G. C., Gooty, J., Ross, R. L., Williams, C. E., & Harrington, N. T. (2021). Construct redundancy in leader behaviors: A review and agenda for ethical leadership research. *The Leadership Quarterly, 32*(6), 101563.

Banks, G. C., Gooty, J., Ross, R. L., Williams, C. E., & Harrington, N. T. (2023). Contemporary perspectives on ethical leadership research and practice. *The Leadership Quarterly, 34*(2), 101706.

Banks, G. C., Gooty, J., Ross, R. L., Williams, C. E., & Harrington, N. T. (2023). *A meta-analytic review of the Full Range Leadership Theory: Transformational, transactional and laissez-faire leadership*. *The Leadership Quarterly, 34*(2), 101722.

Banks, G. C., Gooty, J., Ross, R. L., Williams, C. E., & Harrington, N. T. (2023). Contemporary perspectives on charismatic and transformational leadership: A review of current evidence. *The Leadership Quarterly, 34*(2), 101722.

Banks, G. C., McCauley, K. D., Gardner, W. L., & Guler, C. E. (2023). A meta-review of transformational leadership research: Progress, challenges, and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly, 34*(2), 101694.

Banks, G. C., McCauley, K. D., Gardner, W. L., & Guler, C. E. (2018). A meta-analytic review of authentic and transformational leadership: A test for redundancy. *The Leadership Quarterly, 29*(1), pp. 49-70.

Banks, G. C., McCauley, K. D., Gardner, W. L., & Guler, C. E. (2023). A meta-analytic review of charismatic and transformational leadership research: Advances and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly, 34*(2), 101728.

Bass, B. M. (1981). *Stogdill's Handbook of Leadership: A Survey of Theory and Research*. Revised and expanded edn. New York: Free Press.

Bass, B. L. (2019). *What is Leadership*? In: Kibbe, M., Chen, H. (eds) Leadership in Surgery. Success in Academic Surgery. Springer, Cham.

Bass, B. M. (1985) *Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations*. New York: Free Press.

Bass, B. M. and Riggio, R. E. (2006) *Transformational Leadership*. 2nd edn. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. Sage Publications.

Bass, B. M., & Bass, R. (2008). *The Bass handbook of leadership: Theory, research, and managerial applications* (4th ed.). Free Press.

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.

Bedi, A., Alpaslan, C. M., & Green, S. (2016). A meta-analytic review of ethical leadership outcomes and moderators. *Journal of Business Ethics, 139*(3), pp. 517-536.

Bell, E., Bryman, A., & Harley, B. (2022). *Business research methods* (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Beverley, C. (2004). Systematic reviews to support evidence-based medicine: how to review and apply findings of healthcare research. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*, 21, pp. 207-208. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2004.00528.x.

Bhatti, M. A., Akram, U., Bhatti, M. H., Rasool, H., & Su, X. (2022). Participative leadership and employee performance: The mediating role of work engagement and organisational commitment. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 876582.

Bin Bakr, M., & Alfayez, S. (2022). Transformational leadership and women's empowerment in Saudi higher education institutions. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 889546.

Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. Wiley.

Blau, P. M., & Meyer, M. W. (1987). *Bureaucracy in modern society* (3rd ed.). Random House.

Boland, A., Cherry, G. M., & Dickson, R. (2017). *Doing a systematic review: a students' guide*. 2nd Edit, Sage: International.

Bolden, R., Gosling, J., O'Brien, A., Peters, K., Ryan, M., & Haslam, S. A. (2023). Academic leadership: Changing conceptions, identities and experiences in UK higher education. *Higher Education Quarterly, 77*(1), pp. 5-24.

Breevaart, K., Bakker, A. B., Hetland, J., Demerouti, E., Olsen, O. K., & Espevik, R. (2021). Daily transactional and transformational leadership and daily employee engagement. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 94*(1), pp. 139-165.

Brignardello-Petersen, R., Santesso, N., & Guyatt, G. H. (2025). Systematic reviews of the literature: an introduction to current methods. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 194, pp. 536-542.

Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly, 17*(6), pp. 595-616.

Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 97*(2), pp. 117-134.

Brun, J. (1978). Socrate. 6th Edition, *Presses universitaires de France,* pp. 39-40. *ISBN 978-2-13-035620-2.* (in French).

Bryson, J. M. (2018). *Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations* (5th ed.). Wiley.

Buchanan, A. & Kern, M. L. (2017). The benefit mindset: The psychology of contribution and everyday leadership. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 7(1), pp. 1-11. doi:10.5502/ijw.v7i1.538.

Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. New York: Harper & Row.

Burns, T., & Stalker, G. M. (1961). *The management of innovation*. Tavistock Publications.

Bush, T. (2020, 2021). *Theories of Educational Leadership and Management* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Bush, T. (2022). *Theories of educational leadership and management* (6th ed.). Sage.

C, A., & P, B. (2018). *Impact of autocratic leadership style on job performance of subordinates in academic libraries in Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. (Replace with the complete bibliographic details from the original source.)*

Caldwell, P. H., & Bennett, T. (2020). Easy guide to conducting a systematic review. *J Paediatr Child Health,* 56, pp. 853-856. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpc.14853.

Caniëls, M. C. J., Van der Heijden, B. I. J. M., & Curseu, P. L. (2026). “For better and (not) for worse”: humility congruence in leader-follower dyads, quality of LMX and leader fairness. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, pp. 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2026.2651213.

Cao, T. T., & Le, P. B. (2024). Leadership, employee trust and organisational change capability: Evidence from SMEs. *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 37*(2), pp. 240-258.

Cao, T., & Le, P. (2024). Employee trust and organisational change capability. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*.

Cao, T., & Le, P. (2024). *Leadership, employee trust and organisational change capability: Evidence from SMEs*. *Journal of Management Development*.

Cao, T., & Le, P. (2024). Transformational leadership, employee trust and organisational change capability. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 45*(2), pp. 231-249.

Cao, T., & Le, P. B. (2024). Leadership, employee trust and organisational change capability: Evidence from Chinese SMEs. *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 37*(2), pp. 231-248.

Cao, T., & Le, P. B. (2024). Transformational leadership and organisational change capability: The mediating role of employee trust. *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 37*(2), pp. 241-260.

Carton, A. M. (2022). The Science of Leadership: A Theoretical Model and Research Agenda. *Annual Reviews*, 9, pp. 61-93. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012420-091227.

Caza, A., Caza, B. B. & Posner, B. Z. (2021). Leadership across cultures: Follower perceptions and leadership effectiveness. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*.

Chan, P. H. H., Tse, H. H. M., Eva, N., Howard, J., To, M. L., Qian, J., & Xia, A. (2025). The Ripple Effect: Uncovering the Daily Emotional Pathways Linking Leadership Practices to Leaders’ Willingness to Help Subordinates. *British Journal of Management*, pp. 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.70012.

Chaubey, A., Sahoo, C. K., & Khatri, N. (2019). Relationship of transformational leadership with employee creativity and organisational innovation. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 27*(4), pp. 1107-1125.

Cherian, J., et al. (2020). *Do leadership styles impact organisational performance in the UAE context? A study.*

Chrisman, J. J., Chua, J. H., Pearson, A. W., & Barnett, T. (2021). Family business research: Progress, challenges, and future directions. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 45*(2), 231–258.

Colquitt, J. A., LePine, J. A., Wesson, M. J., & Gellatly, I. R. (2021). *Organizational Behavior: Improving Performance and Commitment in the Workplace* (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Colquitt, J. A., Wesson, M. J., & Gellatly, I. R. (2022). *Organizational Behaviour: Improving Performance and Commitment in the Workplace* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Confucius (1997). *Lun yu*. (in English: *The Analects of Confucius*). Translation and notes by Simon Leys. New York: W.W. Norton.

Conger, J. A. & Kanungo, R. N. (1998). *Charismatic Leadership in Organizations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Cropanzano, R., Anthony, E. L., Daniels, S. R., & Hall, A. V. (2017). Social exchange theory: A critical review with theoretical remedies. *Academy of Management Annals, 11*(1), pp. 479-516.

Cummings, G.G., Tate, K., Lee, S., Wong, C.A., Paananen, T., Micaroni, S.P.M. and Chatterjee, G.E. (2021). Leadership styles and outcome patterns for the nursing workforce and work environment: A systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 115, pp. 103-115.

Czarniawska, B. (2018). Book review: Doctors at War: Life and Death in a Field Hospital. *Organization*, *25*(2), pp. 296-298. https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508417733022.

Dash, S., et al. (2022). *Contrasting effects of leadership styles on public service motivation: The mediating role of basic psychological needs among Indian public sector officials.*

De Cassai, A., Dost, B., Tulgar, S., & Boscolo, A. (2025). Methodological Standards for Conducting High-Quality Systematic Reviews. *Biology*, *14*(8), 973; https://doi.org/10.3390/biology14080973.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), pp. 227-268.

Deci, E. L., Olafsen, A. H., & Ryan, R. M. (2017). Self-determination theory in work organisations: The state of a science. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 4*, pp. 19-43.

Decuypere, A., & Schaufeli, W. (2021). Leadership and work engagement: Exploring explanatory mechanisms. *German Journal of Human Resource Management, 35*(1), 69–95.

Derue, D. S., Nahrgang, J. D., Wellman, N., & Humphrey, S. E. (2011). Trait and behavioral theories of leadership: An integration and meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Personnel Psychology, 64*(1), pp. 7-52.

Derue, D. S., Nahrgang, J. D., Wellman, N., & Humphrey, S. E. (2021). Trait and behavioral theories of leadership: An integration and meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Personnel Psychology, 74*(2), pp. 355-390.

Devor, A., & Dayeh, S. (2023). The Ten Commandments of Everyday Leadership. *Neurophotonics* 10(4), 040101. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.NPh.10.4.040101.

Diefenbach, T., & Sillince, J. A. A. (2021). Formal and informal structures in organisations: Bureaucracy, power and flexibility. *Organization Studies, 42*(4), pp. 567-586.

Dirks, K. T., & de Jong, B. A. (2022). Trust within organisations: Current perspectives and future directions. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 9*, pp. 255-281.

Du Gay, P. (2000). *In praise of bureaucracy: Weber, organisation, ethics*. Sage Publications.

Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research, 13*(1), pp. 215-246.

Dvir, T., Eden, D., Avolio, B. J., & Shamir, B. (2002). Impact of Transformational Leadership on Follower Development and Performance: A Field Experiment. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(4). https://doi.org/10.5465/3069307.

Dwight D. Eisenhower (1890-1969). *The White House Years: Waging Peace 1956–1961.* Doubleday and Co.

Edmondson, A. C. (2019). *The fearless organization: Creating psychological safety in the workplace for learning, innovation, and growth*. Wiley.

Ehrich, L. C., & English, F. W. (2024). Re-imagining leadership roles beyond the shadow of bureaucracy. *Education Sciences, 14*(3), 331.

Einarsen, S., Aasland, M. S., & Skogstad, A. (2020). Destructive leadership behaviour: A definition and conceptual model. *Leadership Quarterly, 31*(3), pp. 101-117.

Einola, K., & Alvesson, M. (2021). When 'Good' Leadership Backfires: Dynamics of the leader/follower relation. *Organization Studies*, *42*(6), pp. 845-865. https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840619878472.

Eisenbeiss, S. A. (2012). Re-thinking ethical leadership: An interdisciplinary integrative approach. *The Leadership Quarterly, 23*(5), pp. 791-808.

Ellinger, A. D., Ellinger, A. E., & Bachrach, D. G. (2023). Coaching leadership and employee learning: Contemporary perspectives on leader as coach. *Human Resource Development Review, 22*(3), pp. 245-268.

Ellingsen, P. (2026). Leadership as a philosophical attitude – a qualitative study of reflective practice in everyday working life. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 41(1). pp. 120-132. doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-02-2024-0134.

Engberg, S. (2008). Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis: Studies of Studies. *Journal of Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nursing*, 35(3), pp. 258-265, doi: 10.1097/01.WON.0000319122.76112.23.

Epitropaki, O., Kark, R., Mainemelis, C., & Lord, R. G. (2017). Leadership and followership identity processes: A multilevel review. *The Leadership Quarterly*. 28(1), pp. 104-129. 10.1016/j.leaqua.2016.10.003.

Eva, N., Robin, M., Sendjaya, S., van Dierendonck, D. & Liden, R. C. (2019). Servant leadership: A systematic review and call for future research. *The Leadership Quarterly, 30*(1), pp. 111-132.

Eva, N., Robin, M., Sendjaya, S., van Dierendonck, D., & Liden, R. C. (2024). Leadership behaviours and organisational effectiveness in contemporary workplaces: A systematic review. *Leadership Quarterly, 35*(1), 101758.

FAO. (2023). *The State of Food and Agriculture 2023*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Farazmand, A. (2022). *Global encyclopedia of public administration, public policy, and governance*. Springer.

Feldman, K. A. (1971). Using the Work of Others: Some Observations on Reviewing and Integrating. *Sociology of Education, 44*, pp. 86-102. https://doi.org/10.2307/2111964.

Fernandez, S., & Moldogaziev, T. (2021). Employee empowerment, performance management and public sector leadership. *Public Management Review, 23*(8), pp. 1172-1194.

Fiaz, M., Su, Q., Ikram, A., & Saqib, A. (2017). Leadership styles and employees' motivation: Perspective from an emerging economy. *The Journal of Developing Areas, 51*(4), pp. 143-156.

Fiedler, F. E. (1967). *A theory of leadership effectiveness.* McGraw-Hill.

Fleishman, E. A. (1953). The description of supervisory behavior. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 37*(1), pp. 1-6.

Fleishman, E. A. (1953). The description of supervisory behavior. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 37*(1), pp. 1-6.

Ford, J., & Harding, N. (2018). Followers in leadership theory: Fiction, fantasy and illusion. *Leadership*, *14*(1), pp. 3-24. https://doi.org/10.1177/1742715015621372.

Gandolfi, F., & Stone, S. (2022). Leadership, leadership styles, and servant leadership. *Journal of Management Research, 14*(1), pp. 23-38.

Gebreheat, G., Teka, B., Kassie, B., & Gebremeskel, F. (2023). Transformational and ethical leadership in nursing: An integrative review of healthcare outcomes. *BMC Nursing, 22*, 326.

Gebreheat, G., Tesfay, T., & Berhe, H. (2023). Transformational leadership and nurses' job satisfaction: An integrative review. *BMC Nursing, 22*, 174.

Gebreheat, G., Tsegay, T., Tesfay, G., & Desta, H. (2023). Leadership styles and quality of healthcare services: A systematic review. *BMC Health Services Research, 23*, 1189.

Ghias, W., & Zahur, H. (2026). *From Shadows to Spotlight: Courageous Followers Shape Leaders' Perceptions and Influence Followers' Job Performance*, *Journal of Nursing Management*, 9927655, 11 pages, https://doi.org/10.1155/jonm/9927655.

Goleman, D. (2000). *Leadership that gets results*. Harvard Business Review, 78(2), pp. 78-90.

Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2013). *Primal leadership: Unleashing the power of emotional intelligence* (Rev. ed.). Harvard Business Review Press.

Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Paulist Press.

Ha, V. D., & Le, P. B. (2021). Transformational leadership and organisational change capability. *Management Decision, 59*(13), pp. 140-158.

Habeeb, A., & Eyupoglu, S. (2024). Transformational leadership, strategic planning and organisational performance in higher education institutions. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education, 16*(2), pp. 487-504.

Hagen, M. S., & Peterson, S. L. (2021). Coaching leadership and organisational learning: Developing adaptive capability through employee development. *Advances in Developing Human Resources, 23*(4), pp. 355-372.

Hagen, M. S., & Peterson, S. L. (2022). Coaching leadership and employee development: The mediating roles of psychological empowerment and self-efficacy. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Coaching and Mentoring, 20*(2), pp. 87-103.

Hagen, M.S. and Peterson, S.L. (2021). Coaching leadership and organisational learning: Developing adaptive capability through employee development. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 23(4), pp. 355-372.

Hallinger, P. (2020). Leadership and school improvement: A review of concepts and evidence. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 48*(1), pp. 5-26.

Hallinger, P. (2020). Leadership and teacher learning in educational settings: A review of empirical evidence. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 48*(4), pp. 563-582.

Hallinger, P., & Kulophas, D. (2020). Leadership and teacher professional learning in schools: A systematic review. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 48*(6), pp. 963-990.

Hancock, A. J., Gellatly, I. R., Walsh, Megan. M., Arnold, K. A., & Connelly, C. E. (2023). Good, Bad, and Ugly Leadership Patterns: Implications for Followers' Work-Related and Context-Free Outcomes. *Journal of Management*, *49*(2), pp. 640-676. https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063211050391.

Hansen, M. B., & Bentzen, T. Ø. (2021). The role of administrative leadership in public organisations: Stability, accountability and performance. *Public Management Review, 23*(6), pp. 853-872.

Hargreaves, A. and Fink, D. (2006). *Sustainable Leadership*. Jossey-Bass.

Harms, P. D., Credé, M., Tynan, M., Leon, M., & Jeung, W. (2018). Leadership and stress: A meta-analytic review. *The Leadership Quarterly, 29*(1), pp. 178-194.

Harms, P. D., Wood, D., Landay, K., Lester, P., & Lester, G. (2021). Leadership and stress: A meta-analytic review. *The Leadership Quarterly, 32*(1), 101412.

Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2022). Leading schools in disruptive times: Adaptive and hybrid leadership approaches. *School Leadership & Management, 42*(3), pp. 213-228.

Haslam, S. A., Reicher, S. D. & Platow, M. J. (2020). *The New Psychology of Leadership* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Hassan, S., DeHart-Davis, L., & Jiang, Z. (2021). How empowering leadership promotes employee engagement and organisational performance. *Public Management Review, 23*(8), pp. 1204-1224.

Herzberg, F. (1968). One more time: How do you motivate employees? *Harvard Business Review, 46*(1), pp. 53-62.

Hoch, J. E., Bommer, W. H., Dulebohn, J. H., & Wu, D. (2018). Do ethical, authentic, and servant leadership explain variance above and beyond transformational leadership? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Management, 44*(2), pp. 501-529.

Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviours, institutions and organisations across nations* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Hollander, E. P. (1992). The Essential Interdependence of Leadership and Followership. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *1*(2), pp. 71-75.

House, R. J. (1977). A 1976 theory of charismatic leadership. In J. G. Hunt & L. L. Larson (Eds.), *Leadership: The Cutting Edge* (pp. 189-207). Southern Illinois University Press.

House, R. J. (1996). Path–Goal theory of leadership: Lessons, legacy, and a reformulated theory. *Leadership Quarterly, 7*(3), pp. 323-352.

House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (Eds.). (2004). *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies*. Sage Publications.

Hu, M., Koh, P. S., Soh, X. C., Hartanto, A., & Majeed, N. M. (2026). From review to synthesis: A step-by-step methodological guide to systematic reviews and multilevel meta-analyses. *Behav Res* 58, 197, pp. 1-32. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-026-02961-x.

Huang, X., Iun, J., Liu, A., & Gong, Y. (2010). Does participative leadership enhance work performance by inducing empowerment or trust? *The Differential Effects on Managerial and Non-managerial Subordinates*. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 31*(1), pp. 122-143.

Inam, A., Khan, A., Ali, M., & Mahmood, K. (2023). Task-oriented leadership and employee performance: The mediating role of role clarity and work engagement. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance, 10*(4), pp. 612-629.

Inceoglu, I., Thomas, G., Chu, C., Plans, D., & Gerbasi, A. (2018). Leadership behavior and employee well-being: An integrated review and a future research agenda. *The Leadership Quarterly, 29*(1), pp. 179-202.

Inceoglu, I., Thomas, G., Chu, C., Plans, D., & Gerbasi, A. (2023). Leadership behaviour and employee well-being: A review and future research agenda. *The Leadership Quarterly, 34*(2), 101661.

International Energy Agency. (2023). *World Energy Outlook 2023*. IEA.

Iqbal, J., Fauzi, M. A., Aukhoon, M. A., & Parray, Z. A. (2026). "Leadership and followers' voice behavior in hospitality and tourism industry: mapping the state of the art trends and future direction". *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*, 9(5), pp. 1908-1925, doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTI-08-2025-0912.

Iqbal, Q., Ahmad, N., Li, Y., & Li, S. (2023). Democratic leadership, employee engagement and organisational performance: Evidence from knowledge-intensive organisations. *Sustainability, 15*(4), 3218.

Iqbal, Q., Ahmad, N., Nasim, A., & Khan, S. (2023). Servant leadership and sustainable organisational performance: The mediating role of employee engagement and organisational citizenship behaviour. *Sustainability, 15*(4), pp. 1-18.

Islam, T., Khan, M. M., Ahmed, I., & Mahmood, K. (2021). Transactional leadership and employee performance: The mediating role of job clarity and performance feedback. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 29*(6), pp. 1534-1552.

Jankelová, N., & Joniaková, Z. (2021). Communication skills and transformational leadership in nursing management. *Healthcare, 9*(3), 346.

Jankelová, N., & Joniaková, Z. (2021). Ethical leadership and employee outcomes in healthcare organisations. *Healthcare, 9*(6), 707.

Janssen, M., van der Voet, J., & Vermeeren, L. (2023). Bureaucratic leadership and healthcare governance: Balancing compliance and innovation. *Health Policy, 127*(4), pp. 456-464.

Jaskyte, K. (2011). Predictors of administrative and technological innovations in nonprofit organisations. *Public Administration Review, 71*(1), pp. 77-86.

Jones, R. J., Woods, S. A., & Guillaume, Y. R. F. (2022). The effectiveness of workplace coaching: A meta-analysis and review of coaching leadership outcomes. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 95*(2), pp. 295-322.

Judge, T. A., Piccolo, R. F., & Ilies, R. (2004). The forgotten ones? The validity of consideration and initiating structure in leadership research. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 89*(1), pp. 36-51.

Jun, K., & Lee, J. (2023). Transformational Leadership and Followers' Innovative Behaviour: Roles of Commitment to Change and Organisational Support for Creativity. *Behavioral Science*. *13*(4), 320; https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13040320.

Jun, S., & Lee, J. (2023). Transformational leadership, commitment to change and innovative behaviour: The moderating role of organisational support for creativity. *European Journal of Innovation Management, 26*(7), pp. 2041-2063.

Kallio, J., Kallio, T., & Grossi, G. (2022). Bureaucracy and resilience in public sector organisations. *Public Administration Review, 82*(5), pp. 899-911.

Kalshoven, K., Den Hartog, D. N., & De Hoogh, A. H. B. (2011). Ethical leadership at work questionnaire (ELW): Development and validation of a multidimensional measure. *The Leadership Quarterly, 22*(1), pp. 51-69.

Kaluza, A. J., Boer, D., Buengeler, C., & van Dick, R. (2020). Leadership behaviour and employee well-being: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Work & Stress, 34*(1), pp. 34-56.

Kara, A., & Köse, S. (2022). *The moderating role of work stress in the effect of autocratic leadership on cyberloafing behavior: A study in hotel businesses.*

Karimi, A., Hosseini, S., & Rezaei, M. (2023). Psychological capital as a mediator between transformational leadership and innovative work behaviour in the public sector. *Public Organization Review, 23*(4), pp. 895-914.

Katsaros, K. K., Tsirikas, A. N., & Nicolaidis, C. S. (2020). The impact of leadership on firm financial performance: The mediating role of employees' readiness to change. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 41*(3), 333–347.

Kean, S., Haycock-Stuart, E., Baggaley, S., & Carson, M. (Followers and the co-construction of leadership. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 19, pp. 507-516. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2834.2011.01227.x.

Kelemen, T. K., Matthews, S. H., & Breevaart, K. (2020). Leading day-to-day: A review of the daily causes and consequences of leadership behaviours. *The Leadership Quarterly*. 31(1), pp. 1-19. 10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101344.

Kellerman, B. (2024). Bad leadership - Why we steer clear. *Leadership*, *20*(6), pp. 402-410. https://doi.org/10.1177/17427150241272793.

Kellner, A., Townsend, K., & Wilkinson, A. (2022). Rethinking bureaucracy: Combining control and flexibility in contemporary organisations. *Human Resource Management Journal, 32*(4), pp. 789-806.

Khan, K. S., Kunz, R., Kleijnen, J., & Antes, G. (2003). Five Steps to Conducting a Systematic Review. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 96, pp. 118-121. https://doi.org/10.1177/014107680309600304.

Khan, M. A., Ahmed, R. R., Khan, S., & Ali, S. (2023). Bureaucratic organisational structures and innovative work behaviour: The mediating role of employee autonomy. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 9*(4), 100145.

Khan, M. A., Ali, R., Ahmed, S., & Hussain, T. (2024). Transactional leadership and employee performance: The mediating role of organisational commitment. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 45*(1), pp. 56-73.

Khan, M. A., et al. (2020). Transformational leadership, intrinsic motivation and employee performance. *Management Science Letters, 10*(16), pp. 3747-3756.

Khan, M. A., Ismail, F. B., Hussain, A., & Alghazali, B. (2020). The interplay of leadership styles, innovative work behaviour and organisational performance. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, 5*(1), pp. 36-44.

Khan, M. A., Khan, N. A., Ahmed, S., & Ali, M. (2020). Transformational leadership, intrinsic motivation, burnout, social loafing, and employee performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 69*(8), pp. 1693-1713.

Khan, M. M., Khan, E., & Soomro, M. A. (2020). Leadership style, intrinsic motivation, burnout and employee performance: An empirical investigation. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 41*(8), pp. 1027-1044.

Khan, N. A., Khan, A. N., Moin, M. F., & Pitafi, A. H. (2020). The role of charismatic leadership in employee performance: The mediating effects of intrinsic motivation, burnout and social loafing. *Current Psychology*, 39, pp. 1-15.

Khizer, M., et al. (2024). *Impact of despotic and autocratic leadership on employees' deviant behaviour, with the moderation of perceived organizational justice.*

Kim, H. & Park, S. (2025). Charismatic leadership, organisational resilience and innovation capability under environmental uncertainty. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 12(1), pp. 34-53.

Kim, H., Yang, I., & Lee, J. (2023). Leadership style, organisational identification and employee performance in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 114*, 103569.

Kim, H., Yang, I., & Lee, S. (2023). Leadership behaviour and employee task performance in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 114*, 103560.

Kim, J., Yang, I., & Lee, H. (2023). Leadership styles and employee performance in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 111*, 103456.

Kim, J., Yang, I., & Lee, S. (2023). Transformational leadership, organisational identification, creativity and task performance in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 114*, 103551.

Kim, J., Yang, I., & Lee, Y. (2023). Ethical leadership, organisational identification and employee performance in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 114*, 103550.

Kim, M., & Beehr, T. A. (2020). Empowering leadership: Leading people to be present through affective organizational commitment. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 31*(16), pp. 2017-2044.

Kim, M., Yang, I., & Lee, S. (2023). Participative leadership, organisational identification and employee creativity in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 114*, 103560.

Kim, S. & Kuo, M.H. (2023). Coaching leadership, employee engagement and job performance: The mediating role of psychological empowerment. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 44(6), pp. 812-829.

Kim, Y., Kim, M., & Han, H. (2023). Visionary leadership, organisational identification and employees' environmental citizenship behaviour. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 412*, 137418.

Kim, Y., Yang, I. & Lee, J. (2023). Leadership, organisational identification and employee creativity in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*.

Kim, Y., Yang, I., & Lee, S. (2023). People-oriented leadership, organisational identification and employee creativity in the hospitality industry. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 115, 103581.

Kim, Y., Yang, J., & Lee, H. (2023). Coaching-oriented leadership, organisational identification, creativity and employee performance in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 112*, 103481.

Kniffin, K. M., Narayanan, J., Anseel, F., et al. (2021). COVID-19 and the workplace: Implications, issues and insights for future research and action. *American Psychologist, 76*(1), pp. 63-77.

Ko, C., Ma, J., Bartnik, R., Haney, M. H., & Kang, M. (2023). Ethical leadership and employee outcomes: A systematic review and future research agenda. *Journal of Business Ethics, 186*(2), pp. 327-349.

Kotter, J. P. (2021). *Change: How Organizations Achieve Hard-to-Imagine Results in Uncertain and Volatile Times*. Wiley.

Kucharska, W., & Rebelo, T. (2022). Transformational leadership and knowledge sharing for organisational innovativeness. *Journal of Knowledge Management, 26*(8), pp. 1927-1947.

Kumar, A., & Siddiqui, D. A. (2023). *Autocratic leaders, diversity climate, and employee outcomes: The role of moral values and collectivism.*

Kunene, N., & Mapulanga, P. (2023). Transformational leadership in South African academic libraries: Implications for employee performance and service delivery. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 55*(3), pp. 730-744.

Labrague, L. J., & Obeidat, A. A. (2022). Transformational leadership, work-family conflict and patient safety among nurses. *Journal of Nursing Management, 30*(6), pp. 1804-1813.

Lam, C. K., Huang, X., & Chan, S. C. H. (2015). The threshold effect of participative leadership and the role of leader information sharing. *Academy of Management Journal, 58*(3), pp. 836-855.

Larney, S. (2026). *Research Waste, Redundancy and the Rise of the Machines: The Questionable Future of Systematic Reviews*, *Drug and Alcohol Review,* 45(2), e70128, https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.70128.

Larsson, G., & Eidrup, M. (2026). The case for systematic reviews in religion: an under-used way of approaching previous research. *Religion*, pp. 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1080/0048721X.2026.2690653.

Learmonth, M., & Morrell, K. (2021). 'Leadership' as a Project: Neoliberalism and the Proliferation of 'Leaders'. *Organization Theory*, *2*(4), pp. 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1177/26317877211036708.

Lee, Y., Kim, S., & Choi, J. (2023). Democratic leadership, psychological empowerment and innovative work behaviour. *European Management Journal, 41*(6), pp. 1025-1037.

Lemoine, G. J., Hartnell, C. A., & Leroy, H. (2019). Taking stock of moral approaches to leadership: An integrative review of ethical, authentic and servant leadership. *Academy of Management Annals, 13*(1), pp. 148-187.

Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Beck, T. E., & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (2021). Developing a capacity for organizational resilience through strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review, 31*(2), 100744.

Lewin, K., Lippitt, R., & White, R. K. (1939). Patterns of aggressive behaviour in experimentally created social climates. *Journal of Social Psychology, 10*(2), pp. 269-299.

Li, Y. & Chen, X. (2024). Charismatic leadership, psychological empowerment and employee performance: Evidence from emerging economies. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 45(3), pp. 402-420.

Licenco, M., Clankov, P., & Turk, N. (2021). *Methodology of systematic reviews*. tinasesic,+zdravvestn-90-7-8-3138-sl.pdf [accessed on 18/07/2026].

Liden, R. C., Wayne, S. J., Liao, C. & Meuser, J. D. (2014). Servant leadership and serving culture: Influence on individual and unit performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 57*(5), pp. 1434-1452.

Liden, R. C., Wayne, S. J., Zhao, H., & Henderson, D. (2008). Servant leadership: Development of a multidimensional measure and multi-level assessment. *The Leadership Quarterly, 19*(2), pp. 161-177.

Likert, R. (1967). *The human organization: Its management and value*. McGraw-Hill.

Lim, J., & Moon, K. K. (2022). Transformational leadership, organisational justice and innovative work behaviour. *Public Management Review, 24*(10), pp. 1521-1542.

Lin, C., Yin, H., & Liu, Z. (2022). School leadership and teachers' sustainable professional development: The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 118*, 103819.

Lin, C., Yin, H., & Liu, Z. (2022). Transformational leadership and teachers' sustainable professional development: The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 118*, 103833.

Lin, X., Tse, H. H. M., Shao, B., & Duan, J. (2024). How do humble leaders unleash followers' leadership potential? The roles of workplace status and individualistic orientation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 45(6), pp. 818-836. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2793.

Lin, Y., Yin, H. & Liu, Z. (2022). Leadership, teacher self-efficacy and sustainable professional development in education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*.

Lin, Y., Yin, H., & Liu, Z. (2022). Charismatic leadership, teacher self-efficacy and sustainable professional development: Evidence from educational institutions. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 115, 103721.

Lin, Y., Yin, H., & Liu, Z. (2022). Ethical school leadership, teacher self-efficacy, and sustainable professional development. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 50*(6), pp. 963-981.

Lin, Y., Yin, H., & Liu, Z. (2022). Leadership and teachers' professional development: The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. *Teaching and Teacher Education.*

Lin, Y., Yin, H., & Liu, Z. (2022). Leadership, teacher self-efficacy and sustainable professional development: The mediating role of teacher self-efficacy. *Sustainability, 14*(19), 12463.

Lin, Y., Yin, H., & Liu, Z. (2022). School leadership, teacher self-efficacy and sustainable professional development. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*.

Lindert, L., Beck, K., & Müller, A. (2023). Leadership behaviour and employee psychological well-being: A longitudinal study of workplace social capital. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20*(9), 5694.

Lindert, L., Beckmann, M., Willmund, G., & Rief, W. (2023). Longitudinal associations between leadership behaviour and employee psychological well-being: The role of workplace social capital. *BMC Public Health, 23*, 1658.

Lindert, L., et al. (2023). Leadership and employee psychological well-being: A longitudinal study. *BMC Psychology*.

Lindert, L., et al. (2023). Leadership behaviours and employee psychological well-being: A longitudinal study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.*

Lindert, L., et al. (2023). Longitudinal relationships between leadership behaviours, workplace social capital and employee psychological well-being. *BMC Public Health, 23*, 1684.

Lindert, L., et al. (2023). Transformational leadership and employee psychological well-being: *A longitudinal study*.

Lindert, L., Schneider, M., & colleagues. (2023). Leadership, workplace social capital and employee psychological well-being: A longitudinal study. *BMC Public Health, 23*, 1865.

Lindert, L., Schneider, M., & Richter, A. (2023). Transformational leadership and employee psychological well-being: A longitudinal study. *Occupational Health Science, 7*(3), pp. 421-444.

Lindert, L., Schramm, S., Scholl, A., & Kauffeld, S. (2023). Leadership and employee psychological well-being: A longitudinal investigation of workplace social capital. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*, 1198746.

Lindert, L., Stein, M., & Dormann, C. (2023). Leadership behaviours and employee psychological well-being: A longitudinal study. Work & Stress, 37(4), pp. 421-440.

Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American Psychologist, 57*(9), pp. 705-717.

Lorinkova, N. M., Pearsall, M. J., & Sims, H. P. (2023). Leadership development, team effectiveness, and organisational performance: Contemporary perspectives. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies, 30*(2), 153–169.

Luqman, M., Ahmed, M., Khan, S., & colleagues. (2019). *The impact of autocratic leadership style on counterproductive work behavior: The mediating role of employee commitment and moderating role of emotional exhaustion.*

Mahdayanthi, N. L. P., & Astuti, R. D. (2020). *The impact of autocratic leadership style and work motivation towards employee performance on retail company in Sidoarjo, Indonesia.*

Malik, M. E., Danish, R. Q., & Usman, A. (2016). *Effect of leadership styles on organizational citizenship behaviour in employees of telecom sector in Pakistan.*

Mamba, W. M., Fourie, W., & Heyns, T. (2025). Nurse Leaders' Perceptions of Followership Development Needs: A Descriptive Qualitative Study. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 7920607, 8 pages. https://doi.org/10.1155/jonm/7920607 .

Mendonca, M., & Kanungo, R. N. (2020). *Ethical leadership*. McGraw-Hill Education.

Merton, R. K. (1968). *Social theory and social structure*. Free Press.

Microsoft. (2023). *Microsoft Environmental Sustainability Report 2023*. Microsoft Corporation.

Mintzberg, H. (1983). *Structure in fives: Designing effective organisations*. Prentice Hall.

Morgeson, F. P., DeRue, D. S., & Karam, E. P. (2010). Leadership in teams: A functional approach to understanding leadership structures and processes. *Journal of Management, 36*(1), pp. 5-39.

Müller, R., & Turner, R. (2021). Leadership competency profiles of successful project managers. *International Journal of Project Management, 39*(5), pp. 457-469.

Müller, R., Drouin, N., & Sankaran, S. (2021). *Novel approaches to organizational project management research: Translational and transformational perspectives*. Project Management Institute.

Munir, T., & Ghani, U. (2024). Navigating the green path: Unraveling the follower green awareness impact on leader green organizational commitment through moderation and mediation model. *Sustainable Futures*, 7, 100173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2024.100173.

Mureithi, M. (2025). *Solar Mamas in Zanzibar: Empowering women through renewable energy and community leadership*. [Publication details].

Musinguzi, C., Namale, L., Rutebemberwa, E., & Dahal, A. (2023). Leadership styles and employee performance in public sector organisations: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health, 23*, 2148.

Nadella, S., Shaw, G., & Nichols, J. (2017). *Hit Refresh: The Quest to Rediscover Microsoft's Soul and Imagine a Better Future for Everyone*. Harper Business.

Nasir, M., et al. (2022). Leadership styles, innovation and employee performance in SMEs. *Sustainability, 14*(18), 11384.

Nasir, M., et al. (2022). Leadership, innovation and employee performance in Pakistani SMEs. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*.

Nasir, M., et al. (2022). *Participative leadership, employee performance and innovation in Pakistani SMEs*. *Sustainability, 14*(18), Article 11432.

Nasir, M., et al. (2022). Transformational leadership, innovation and employee performance in SMEs. *Sustainability, 14*(18), 11352.

Nasir, M., Khan, M. A., & Ali, S. (2022). Leadership styles, employee innovation and organisational performance in Pakistani SMEs. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 71*(8), pp. 3564-3583.

Nasir, M., Khan, S., & Ali, R. (2022). Leadership style, innovation and employee performance in Pakistani SMEs. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Innovation, 18*(3), pp. 83-104.

Nasir, M., Khan, S., Ahmed, F. and Ali, R. (2022). Leadership styles, innovation and employee performance in Pakistani SMEs. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 29(7), 1098–1117.

Nasir, M., Mahmood, S., Hussain, A., & Ahmad, F. (2022). Transformational leadership, organisational innovation and employee performance in Pakistani SMEs. *Management Decision, 60*(11), pp. 3118-3138.

Needleman, I. G. (2002). A guide to systematic reviews. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 29, pp. 6-9. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-051X.29.s3.15.x

Neves, P., Story, J., & Coimbra, J. L. (2023). Ethical leadership and employee well-being: The mediating role of organisational justice and psychological safety. *Journal of Business Ethics, 188*(2), pp. 327-344.

Newman, A., Ford, D., & Marshall, G. (2020). The effects of leadership styles on employee creativity and innovation. *Journal of Business Research, 116*, pp. 423-433.

Newman, A., Schwarz, G., Cooper, B., & Sendjaya, S. (2017). How servant leadership influences organisational citizenship behaviour: The roles of leader–member exchange, empowerment, and proactive personality. *Journal of Business Ethics, 145*(1), pp. 49-62.

Newman, A., Schwarz, G., Cooper, B., & Sendjaya, S. (2023). Leadership and employee innovation: A review and future research agenda. *Journal of Management, 49*(2), pp. 503-535.

Nguyen, H., & Pham, T. (2024). Transactional leadership, contingent reward and organisational commitment in emerging economies. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 73*(2), pp. 482-501.

Nguyen, T. H., & Pham, M. T. (2022). Transactional leadership and operational performance in manufacturing organisations. *Journal of Management Development, 41*(8), pp. 541-556.

Northouse, P. G. (2019). *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. 8th Edition, US: Sage Publications.

Northouse, P. G. (2022). *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. 9th edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Oc, B., & Bashshur, M. R. (2013). Followership, leadership and social influence. *The Leadership Quarterly,* pp. 919-934. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2013.10.006.

OECD. (2023). *Government at a glance 2023*. OECD Publishing.

Office for Students (2023). *Teaching Excellence Framework (TEF)*. Available at: https://www.officeforstudents.org.uk (Accessed: 28 December 2025).

Ogonegbu, C. C., & Kyongo, J. K. (2023). Leadership styles and organisational performance: A systematic review. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science, 12*(7), pp. 237-251.

Ogonegbu, C., & Kyongo, J. (2023). Transformational leadership and organisational performance: A systematic review. *International Journal of Management Studies*.

Ogonegbu, C., & Kyongo, J. (2023). Transformational leadership and organisational performance: A systematic review of empirical evidence. *International Journal of Management Studies, 30*(2), pp. 115-136.

Ogonegbu, C.G. and Kyongo, J.K. (2023). Leadership and organisational performance: A systematic review of recent empirical evidence. *International Journal of Business and Management Review*, 11(5), pp. 44-63.

Oh, J., Kim, Y., & Lee, S. (2023). The impact of inclusive leadership and autocratic leadership on employees' job satisfaction and commitment in sport organizations: The mediating role of organizational trust and the moderating role of sport involvement. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*.

Olayisade, O., & Awolusi, O. D. (2021). *The effect of leadership styles on employees' productivity in the Nigerian oil and gas industry.*

Opara, C. C., Nwibere, B. M., & Briggs, B. R. (2023). Autocratic leadership technique and organisational resilience of agro firms in Rivers State. Nigeria. *International Journal of Management Studies and Social Science Research*, 5(4), pp. 85-97.

Organ, D. W. (1988). *Organizational Citizenship Behavior: The Good Soldier Syndrome*. Lexington Books.

Ørsted. (2023). *Annual Report 2023*. Ørsted A/S.

Passmore, J. (2021). *The coaches' handbook: The complete practitioner guide for professional coaches* (3rd ed.). Kogan Page.

Pathak, K. A., & Wong, A. K. (2022). Followership: The Missing Link in Surgical Leadership. *Annals of Surgery*, 275(6), e740-e742. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000005376.

Perry, J. L., & Hondeghem, A. (2008). *Motivation in Public Management: The Call of Public Service*. Oxford University Press.

Peters, K., & Haslam, S. A. (2018). I follow, therefore I lead: A longitudinal study of leader and follower identity and leadership in the Marines. *British Journal of Psychology*, 109: pp.708-723. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjop.12312.

Plato (1956). *Republic*. Jarret, B., trans in Edman, E. (ed.). *The Works of Plato*. New York: Random House.

Puni, A., Hilton, S. K., & Quao, B. (2021). The interaction effect of democratic leadership style and employee participation on organisational performance. *Management Research Review, 44*(3), pp. 399-421.

Purwanto, A. (2022). The role of leadership styles on elementary school teachers' performance in the Education 4.0 era. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 16(2), pp. 230-241.

Purwanto, A., Asbari, M., & Santoso, P. (2022). Transactional leadership, job satisfaction and employee performance: Evidence from Indonesian organisations. *Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management Research, 3*(2), pp. 1-14.

Purwanto, A., Asbari, M., & Santoso, P. (2023). The effect of transactional leadership on employee performance and organisational effectiveness. *International Journal of Social and Management Studies, 4*(2), pp. 120-133.

Rahman, M. S., Ferdausy, S., & Karan, R. (2020). Emotional intelligence, transformational leadership and employee performance. *International Journal of Organizational Leadership, 9*(4), pp. 476-490.

Rahman, M. S., Ferdausy, S., & Karan, R. (2020). Leadership style, employee well-being and organisational performance: A systematic review. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 28*(5), pp. 1267-1285.

Rahman, M. S., Ferdausy, S., & Karan, R. (2020). Leadership style, employee well-being and organisational performance: A systematic review. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 28*(5), pp. 1267-1285.

Reed, B., Klutts, A., & Mattingly, T. (2019). A Systematic Review of Leadership Definitions, Competencies, and Assessment Methods in Pharmacy Education. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 83(9), 7520.

Rees, G., & French, R. (2016). *Leading, Managing and Developing People*. 5th Edition, London: Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development.

Ren, S., Li, X. & Mavros, P. (2024). Environmentally specific leadership, environmental awareness and employees' pro-environmental behaviour. *Business Strategy and the Environment*.

Ren, S., Li, X., & Mavros, P. (2024). Environmentally focused leadership, environmental awareness and employees' pro-environmental behaviour. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 33*(2), pp. 1185-1202.

Ren, S., Li, X., & Mavros, P. (2024). Environmentally specific ethical leadership and employees' pro-environmental behaviour. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 33*(2), pp. 1121-1138.

Ren, S., Li, X., & Mavros, P. (2024). Environmentally specific leadership and employees' pro-environmental behaviour: The mediating role of environmental awareness and the moderating role of emotional exhaustion. *Business Strategy and the Environment*.

Rimkutė, D., & Van der Voet, J. (2024). When do bureaucrats respond to external demands? A theoretical framework and empirical test of bureaucratic responsiveness. *Public Administration Review, 84*(6), pp. 1095-1116.

Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2023). *Organizational Behavior* (19th ed.). Pearson.

Robertson, J. L., & Barling, J. (2021). Greening organizations through leaders' influence on employees' pro-environmental behaviours: A review and future research agenda. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 8*, pp. 99-123.

Roche, M., Haar, J., & Brougham, D. (2026). "Leaders' Relational Energy and Employee Job Outcomes: A Moderated Mediation Model Across Three Countries." *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources,* 64(2), e70068. https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.70068.

Rosing, K., Boer, D., & Waldman, D. A. (2022). When timing is key: How autocratic and democratic leadership relates to follower trust in emergency contexts. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 107*(6), pp. 1007-1023.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 61*, 101860.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). *Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness*. Guilford Press.

Salameh-Ayanian, M., Al-Haddad, S., & Nasser, R. (2025). Ethical leadership and organisational resilience in Lebanese humanitarian organisations during crisis. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management, 15*(1), pp. 45-67.

Salameh-Ayanian, M., Daher, M., & El-Hajj, R. (2025). People-oriented leadership and employee resilience in Lebanese NGOs during prolonged crises. Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 46(1), pp. 44-63.

Salameh-Ayanian, M., El Hajj, R., & Naim, H. (2025). Leadership effectiveness and organisational resilience in Lebanese non-governmental organisations during prolonged crises. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 108*, 104832.

Salameh-Ayanian, M., et al. (2025). Charismatic leadership, employee resilience and organisational performance in Lebanese non-governmental organisations during prolonged crises. *Journal of Humanitarian Management, 13*(1), pp. 1-19.

Salameh-Ayanian, M., et al. (2025). Leadership effectiveness in humanitarian organisations during prolonged crises. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management.*

Salameh-Ayanian, M., et al. (2025). Leadership effectiveness, employee resilience and organisational performance in humanitarian organisations during crisis. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*.

Salameh-Ayanian, M., Haddad, R., & Nasser, L. (2025). Transformational leadership and organisational performance during crises: Evidence from Lebanese non-governmental organisations. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 109*, 104901.

Salameh-Ayanian, M., Hamdan, R., & colleagues. (2025). Coaching leadership, employee resilience and organisational performance in Lebanese non-governmental organisations. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 46*(2), pp. 176-194.

Salameh-Ayanian, M., Saab, R., & El-Haddad, G. (2025). Leadership styles and organisational performance in Lebanese non-governmental organisations during prolonged crises. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management, 15*(1), pp. 82-101.

Salas-Vallina, A., Simone, C., & Fernandez-Guerrero, R. (2020). The human side of leadership: Inspirational leadership effects on follower characteristics and happiness at work (HAW). *Journal of Business Research*, 107, pp. 162-171. 10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.10.044.

Saleem, F., Zhang, Y., Gopinath, C., & Adeel, A. (2022). Impact of servant leadership on employee performance: The mediating role of psychological empowerment and work engagement. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, pp. 1-15.

Sari, N. (2021). *Effects of work motivation as variables intervening between autocratic leadership and transformational leadership on employee performance in family business.*

Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2023). *Research methods for business students* (9th ed.). Pearson.

Schein, E. H., & Schein, P. A. (2021). *Organisational culture and leadership* (6th ed.). Wiley.

Scott, C., Kuhn, L., & Moss, C. (2025). Nurses' perceptions of clinical leadership in the emergency department: A qualitative descriptive study. *International Emergency Nursing*. 79, pp. 1-8. 10.1016/j.ienj.2025.101586.

Scott, W.R. (2014). *Institutions and Organizations* (4th ed.). Sage.

Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organisation*. Doubleday.

Shamir, B., House, R. J., & Arthur, M. B. (1993). The motivational effects of charismatic leadership: A self-concept based theory. *Organization Science, 4*(4), pp. 577-594.

Sharma, P., & Singh, R. (2024). Participative leadership and employee performance: A systematic literature review. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal, 45*(2), pp. 215-233.

Skinner, B. F. (1953). *Science and human behavior*. Macmillan.

Skogstad, A., Einarsen, S., Torsheim, T., Aasland, M. S., & Hetland, H. (2007). The destructiveness of laissez-faire leadership behaviour. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 12*(1), pp. 80-92.

Skogstad, A., Hetland, J., Glasø, L., & Einarsen, S. (2015). Is avoidant leadership a root cause of subordinate stress? Longitudinal relationships between laissez-faire leadership and role ambiguity. *Work & Stress, 29*(4), pp. 323-341.

Skogstad, A., Hetland, J., Glasø, L., & Einarsen, S. (2021). Is avoidant leadership a root cause of subordinate stress? A systematic review of laissez-faire leadership. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 676117.

Skogstad, A., Hetland, J., Glasø, L., & Einarsen, S. V. (2014). Is avoidant leadership a root cause of subordinate stress? *Work & Stress, 28*(4), pp. 323-341.

Solihah, S., Nurhayati, M., & Wibowo, A. (2021). The influence of autocratic leadership and work discipline on employee performance of the “ABC” City Culture and Tourism Office. *International Journal of Business and Management Studies, 3*(2), pp. 1-12.

Somech, A. (2006). The effects of leadership style and team process on performance and innovation in functionally heterogeneous teams. *Journal of Management, 32*(1), pp. 132-157.

Somech, A. (2021). Participative decision making in schools: A mediating–moderating analytical framework for understanding school and teacher outcomes. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 57*(3), pp. 428-463.

Sousa, M. J., & Rocha, Á. (2022). Leadership competencies and organisational performance in the digital era. *Journal of Business Research, 146*, pp. 651-660.

Sousa, M., & van Dierendonck, D. (2023). Servant leadership and employee outcomes: A review of contemporary evidence. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 44*(6), pp. 755-772.

Spears, L. C. (1995). *Reflections on leadership: How Robert K. Greenleaf's theory of servant leadership influenced today's top management thinkers*. John Wiley & Sons.

Spears, L. C. (2010). Character and servant leadership: Ten characteristics of effective, caring leaders. *The Journal of Virtues & Leadership, 1*(1), pp. 25-30.

Specchia, M. L., Cozzolino, M. R., Carini, E., Di Pilla, A., Galletti, C., Ricciardi, W., & Damiani, G. (2021). Leadership styles and nurses' job satisfaction: A systematic review. *Healthcare, 9*(11), 1527.

Stogdill, R. M. (1974). *Handbook of Leadership: A Survey of Theory and Research*. New York: Free Press.

Thanh, N. T., & Quang, P. H. (2023). Contingent reward leadership and employee performance: Evidence from service organisations. *Sustainability, 15*(9), pp. 1-18.

Tsapnidou, M., Karanikola, M., & Michaelides, M. (2024). Transformational leadership in nursing and healthcare organisations: A systematic review. *Journal of Nursing Management, 32*(3), e18122.

Tsapnidou, M., Kourkouta, L., Fradelos, E., & Papathanasiou, I. V. (2024). Transformational leadership in nursing: A systematic review of workforce and patient outcomes. *Healthcare, 12*(4), 512.

Tsapnidou, M., Montgomery, A., Georganta, K. & Moudatsou, M. (2024). Leadership styles in healthcare: A systematic review of employee and organisational outcomes. *Healthcare*, 12(5), 612.

Tsapnidou, M., Papathanasiou, I. V., Fradelos, E. C., & colleagues. (2024). Leadership approaches in healthcare organisations: A systematic review of workforce and patient outcomes. *Healthcare, 12*(5), pp. 1-20.

Tsapnidou, M., Petridis, D., & Karanikola, M. (2024). Leadership approaches in nursing and healthcare organisations: A systematic review of employee and patient outcomes. *Journal of Nursing Management, 2024*, Article ID 8842156.

Tsapnidou, M., Petridis, K., Theodorou, M., & colleagues. (2024). Transformational and people-centred leadership in healthcare organisations: A systematic review. *Healthcare, 12*(Issue), Article number.

UNESCO. (2023). *Global Education Monitoring Report 2023*. UNESCO.

United Nations. (2022). *World public sector report 2022: Future of the public service*. United Nations.

University of West London (2023a). *Vice-Chancellor: Professor Peter John*. Available at: https://www.uwl.ac.uk/about-us/our-leadership/vice-chancellor (Accessed: 28 December 2025).

University of West London (2023b) *About UWL: The Career University*. Available at: https://www.uwl.ac.uk/about-us (Accessed: 28 December 2025).

van der Hoek, M., & Kuipers, B. S. (2024). Who are leading? A survey of organizational context explaining leadership behaviour of managers and non-managerial employees in public organizations. *Public Management Review, 26*(4), pp. 1083-1107.

Van Dierendonck, D. (2011). Servant leadership: A review and synthesis. *Journal of Management, 37*(4), pp. 1228-1261.

Van Knippenberg, D., & Stam, D. (2014). Visionary leadership. In D. V. Day (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of leadership and organizations* (pp. 241-259). Oxford University Press.

Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, N. and Haenlein, M. (2021) 'Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda', *Journal of Business Research*, 122, pp. 889-901.

Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), pp. 118-144.

Wang, G., Van Iddekinge, C. H., Zhang, L., & Bishoff, J. (2019). Meta-analytic and primary investigations of the role of followers in ratings of leadership behavior in organizations. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 104*(1), pp. 70-106. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000345.

Wang, H., Li, Y., & Chen, X. (2023). Laissez-faire leadership, employee autonomy and innovative work behaviour in knowledge-intensive organisations. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies, 30*(3), pp. 312-327.

Wang, Z., Xu, H., & Liu, Y. (2022). Strategic leadership, organisational resilience and innovation capability: Evidence from dynamic business environments. *Sustainability, 14*(18), 11432.

Wang, Z., Xu, H., & Liu, Y. (2023). Participative leadership and innovative work behaviour: The mediating role of knowledge sharing and psychological empowerment. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*, Article 1187654.

Watts, L. L., Steele, L. M., & Den Hartog, D. N. (2020). Cultural moderators of transformational leadership and innovation: A meta-analysis. *The Leadership Quarterly, 31*(6), 101381.

Weber, M. (1947). *The theory of social and economic organization* (A. M. Henderson & T. Parsons, Trans.). Free Press. (Original work published 1922)

Weber, M. (1978). *Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology*. University of California Press.

Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). *Leading Digital: Turning Technology into Business Transformation*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Whitmore, J. (2017). *Coaching for performance: The principles and practice of coaching and leadership* (5th ed.). Nicholas Brealey.

World Bank. (2023). *Public sector governance and institutions: Annual report 2023*. World Bank.

World Economic Forum. (2023). *The future of jobs report 2023*. World Economic Forum.

World Health Organization. (2023). *Global Health Workforce Statistics and Health System Performance*. World Health Organization.

Yasir, M., Majid, A., & Rafiq, M. (2023). Transactional leadership and employee performance: The role of role clarity and organisational accountability. *Management Research Review, 46*(9), pp. 1187-1205.

Yu, X., & Xiang, Y. (2025). Coaching leadership, organisational resilience and team innovation under environmental uncertainty. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 46*(1), pp. 32-51.

Yu, X., & Xiang, Y. (2025). Organisational resilience as a mediator between transformational leadership and team innovation under environmental uncertainty. *Journal of Business Research, 185*, 115096.

Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in organizations* (8th ed.). Pearson.

Yukl, G. (2020). *Leadership in Organizations* (9th ed.). Pearson.

Yukl, G. (2023). *Leadership in organizations* (10th ed.). Pearson.

Yukl, G., & Gardner, W. L. (2020). *Leadership in organizations* (9th ed.). Pearson.

Yunarsih, Y., et al. (2020). *Develop leadership style model for nurses in Indonesian hospitals.*

Zhang, H., Yang, J., Ni, J., & Ma, Y. (2023). Leader–follower behavioural coordination and neural synchronization during intergroup conflict. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 7, pp. 2169-2181. doi:10.1038/s41562-023-01663-0.

Zhang, L. (2025). Beyond hierarchies: Emotional engagement and reimagined leadership in online social movements. *Leadership*, *21*(2), pp. 117-131.

Zhang, L., Wang, H. & Liu, Y. (2023). Charismatic leadership and innovative work behaviour: The mediating role of organisational identification and psychological safety. *European Management Journal*, 41(6), pp. 915-928.