



UWL REPOSITORY

repository.uwl.ac.uk

Interpreting spatial GPR classifications in urban trees using aggregation strategies

Lantini, Livia ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0416-1077>, Ardakanian, Atiyeh and Tosti, Fabio ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0291-9937> (2026) Interpreting spatial GPR classifications in urban trees using aggregation strategies. In: The 1st International Online Conference on Non-Destructive Testing (ICNDT), 01-03 July 2026, Online.

This is the Submitted Version of the final output.

UWL repository link: <https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/14970/>

Alternative formats: If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: open.research@uwl.ac.uk

Copyright:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy: If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at open.research@uwl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Rights Retention Statement:

Interpreting Spatial GPR Classifications in Urban Trees Using Aggregation Strategies

Livia Lantini, Atiyeh Ardakanian, Fabio Tosti

School of Computing and Engineering, University of West London, London, UK

The Faringdon Research Centre for Non-destructive Testing and Remote Sensing, University of West London, London, UK



WHY THIS MATTERS

Background


Urban trees provide essential ecosystem services, yet their interaction with buried infrastructure is difficult to assess without intrusive investigation.

Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) offers detailed subsurface evidence, but interpretation often remains expert-dependent and difficult to translate into operational decisions.

Aim


To develop a zone-based framework that translates GPR evidence into practitioner-relevant indicators for screening, monitoring, and prioritising tree–infrastructure interactions.

DATASET & METHODS



Case Study Survey

A mature urban tree was surveyed using 36 semi-circular GPR scans (700 MHz antenna) around the trunk.



Spatial Zoning Framework


The survey area was divided into 24 management zones: 3 radial bands × 8 angular sectors.

Zone-level Descriptors

Energy	signal strength
Shallow Energy Ratio	near-surface dominance
Heterogeneity	spatial variability
High Amplitude Fraction	strong reflections
Local Variability	short-scale changes

Robust Relative Scoring

Descriptors were normalised using median + MAD to quantify deviation from the local survey background.




References

[1] S. Pauleit, T. Zölch, R. Hansen, T.B. Randrup and C. Konijnendijk van den Bosch, "Nature-Based Solutions and Climate Change – Four Shades of Green," in Nature-Based Solutions to Climate Change Adaptation in Urban Areas: Linkages between Science, Policy and Practice, N. Kabish, H. Korn, J. Stadler and A. Bonn, Springer, 2017, pp. 29–50.

[2] F. Hou, X. Rui, X. Fan and H. Zhang, "Review of GPR Activities in Civil Infrastructures: Data Analysis and Applications," Remote Sensing (Basel, Switzerland), vol. 14, pp. 5972, Dec 1. 2022.


[3] L. Lantini, A. Ardakanian and F. Tosti, "From Detection to Interpretation: A Decision-Support Framework for GPR-Based Evidence in Urban Climate Adaptation," EGU General Assembly 2026, Vienna, Austria, 3–8 may 2026, EGU26-22982.

RESULTS



Zone-based Decision Map

Zone-level aggregation reveals structured variations in subsurface response. Anomalies are spatially concentrated in specific sectors, indicating localised features rather than uniform behaviour.



From Radar Data to Zone Indicators

Signal characteristics are quantified and aggregated within spatial zones, enabling translation of complex reflections into survey-relative indicators.



Key Concept

Zone classes are computed from aggregated multi-scan descriptors within each zone. Radargrams provide context, not direct decision criteria.


TREE-LEVEL INTERPRETATION

From Zone Classifications to Tree-Level Interpretation

Zone classifications summarise where subsurface response deviates from expected conditions. Different aggregation strategies can lead to different tree-level interpretations from the same evidence.



Alternative Aggregation Strategies



Next Steps

- Multi-site validation
- Compare aggregation strategies on multiple trees
- Integration with operational decision workflows

Conclusions



GPR-derived descriptors translate complex subsurface responses into spatially explicit indicators for targeted investigation and management.