

UWL REPOSITORY

repository.uwl.ac.uk

‘I just go headbutt a tree or something’: Children’s contextualised digital play drivers and subjective well-being in the UK, South Africa, Australia and Cyprus

Scott, Fiona, Chesworth, Liz, Kontovourki, Stavroula, Murcia, Karen, Murris, Karin, Balnaves, Kim, Bannister, Cath, Christofi, Anna Maria, Kuria, Daniel, Maditsi, Kwakwadi, Menning, Soern Finn, Neokleous, Theoni, Peers, Joanne, Roscoe, Shabana, Samuels, Vanessa, Scott, Carol, Caetano-Silva, Giovanna, Tsoukka, Anastasia, Wang, Yao, Woodward, Nadia and Trzebiatowski, Cat (2025) ‘I just go headbutt a tree or something’: Children’s contextualised digital play drivers and subjective well-being in the UK, South Africa, Australia and Cyprus. *New Media and Society*. ISSN 1461-4448 (In Press)

This is the Submitted Version of the final output.

UWL repository link: <https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/14403/>

Alternative formats: If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: open.research@uwl.ac.uk

Copyright: Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy: If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at open.research@uwl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Rights Retention Statement:

For the purpose of open access, the author has granted their employer, the University of West London, a non-exclusive, irrevocable, sub-licensable, worldwide license (effective from acceptance of publication) to make any final accepted manuscript publicly available under

the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY copyright) license. Please direct any correspondence to open.research@uwl.ac.uk

‘I just go headbutt a tree or something’: Children’s contextualised digital play drivers and subjective well-being in the UK, South Africa, Australia and Cyprus

Fiona Scott¹, Liz Chesworth¹, Stavroula Kontovourki², Karen Murcia³, Karin Murris^{4,5}, Kim Balnaves³, Cath Bannister¹, Anna Maria Christofi², Daniel Kuria¹, Kwakwadi Maditsi⁴, Soern Finn Menning⁶, Theoni Neokleous², Joanne Peers⁷, Shabana Roscoe¹, Vanessa Samuels⁷, Carole Scott⁷, Giovanna Caetano-Silva⁸, Anastasia Tsoukka², Yao Wang¹, Nadia Woodward⁷, Cat Trzebiatowski¹.

¹ The University of Sheffield, UK

² University of Cyprus, Cyprus

³ Curtin University, Australia

⁴ University of Oulu, Finland

⁵ University of Cape Town, South Africa

⁶ University of Agder, Norway

⁷ Centre for Creative Education, South Africa

⁸ University of Seville, Spain

⁹ University of West London

Corresponding author: Dr. Fiona Scott, The Wave, The University of Sheffield, 2 Whitham Rd, Sheffield, S10 2AH. +44(0)7803295787; f.scott@sheffield.ac.uk.

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, we would like to thank the children and families who gave their time, attention and sustained thinking as participants in the research. This research was produced as part of the Responsible Innovation in Technology for Children (RITEC) project. This project was co-founded with the LEGO Group and funded by the LEGO Foundation. We would like to thank the LEGO Group and the LEGO Foundation for their support. We would also like to thank and acknowledge the support of University Librarian, Matt Cooper, at The University of Sheffield, for his support with our literature search.

Statements and declarations

We have no conflicting interests to declare.

Funding statement

The research was funded by the LEGO Foundation.

Ethical approval and informed consent statements

Ethical issues were addressed throughout the study, in line with the British Educational Research Association’s Ethical Guidelines for Educational Research (Fourth Edition, 2018), the Association of Internet Researchers’ Internet Research Ethical Guidelines (Version 3.0, 2019) and the particular research ethics regulations of all universities involved. The overall project was ethically approved in line with The University of Sheffield’s ethics application system (reference number 044273). For research conducted beyond the UK, each local lead also received ethical approval from the relevant contracted institutions. For the research conducted in Cyprus this was from The University of Cyprus and CY National Bioethics Committee. For the research in Australia, this was Curtin University. For the research in South Africa, this was the University of Oulu (Finland) and the Centre for Creative Education (South Africa).

Adults participating in the study read an information sheet and signed a consent form. In Cyprus, parents signed multiple consent forms as they were required to also sign the CY National Bioethics Committee's standard consent forms (for their participation and for their child's). Depending on their age, children participating in the study were provided with either a bespoke, combined information and consent Booklet (6-8 year olds) or 'Zine (9-12 year olds). Though children were invited to sign these, children's ongoing assent to participate in the research activities was judged through frequent invitations to engage (or not) and ongoing attention to bodily and facial expressions of (dis/)comfort (Dockett & Perry, 2011). If children appeared to be tired, then the interviews/ video recording schedules were adjusted accordingly. All of the participants in the study have been assigned pseudonyms for the purposes of reporting.

Our submission does not contain any data from an individual person (including individual details, images or videos).

Data availability statement

We are in the process of making anonymised transcripts available via ORDA. The suggested set of research activities and tools are currently available on ORDA.

'I just go headbutt a tree or something': Children's contextualised digital play drivers and subjective well-being in the UK, South Africa, Australia and Cyprus

Abstract

The relationship between children's digital play and well-being remains under-researched, with debates often polarised and limited by a lack of holistic studies in diverse global contexts. This article draws on empirical data from an ecoculturally-informed study of children's (6–12) digital play in the UK, South Africa, Australia and Cyprus to examine how individual and contextual circumstances influence children's self-led digital play choices and practices. We theorise 'contextualised digital play drivers' - understood as children's own accounts, or adults' interpretations, of the deep interests, needs and desires their play appears to fulfil. This approach moves beyond dominant lenses focused on individual autonomy, offering a more situated reading acknowledging the individual and contextual circumstances shaping digital play. Eleven contextualised digital play drivers are identified and discussed in relation to children's well-being. The article concludes with implications for game designers, educators, families, policy and research methodology.

Keywords

Children; digital play; contexts; well-being; international; ethnographic; motivations; videogames

Introduction

Though children's play is always a site of risk, it is widely recognised as vital to learning, physical and mental health, social connection, identity formation and emotional exploration. However, *digital play* remains subject to a peculiar double standard. Recent mainstream discourse shows increasing enthusiasm for its educational potential, including associations with intelligence (Sauce et al., 2022), digital skills (Marsh et al., 2021), subject knowledge (Ellison & Evans, 2016) and holistic skills (Marsh et al., 2020). Yet, such enthusiasm is often tied to instrumental educational goals, contrasting with the broader intrinsic value long associated with play. Digital play research often focuses on risks or developmental gains, with

less attention paid to potential well-being benefits or wider functions. COVID-19 prompted renewed interest in this area, highlighting digital play's role in fostering connection (Cowan, Potter & Olusoga, 2021) and providing comfort (Pearce et al., 2022). However, the relationship between children's digital play and well-being remains under-researched, while debates around children's media play remain polarised. The field lacks expansive, holistic studies in diverse global contexts. This paper addresses these gaps through an in-depth, ethnographically informed study of 50 children's digital play across four countries, with a focus on how it supports subjective well-being *differently* for different children.

Both 'play' and 'digital play' are complex phenomena and definitions are much debated (Marsh et al., 2016). The present study was designed to attend primarily to children's use of digital and videogames across various platforms. This included commercial videogames, educational apps, creative platforms and casual mobile games. Acknowledging that children's playful practices with digital technologies span far beyond digital and videogames, we also paid attention to broader forms of play and digital play, knowledge which served to contextualise our understanding of children's digital game play in each case study. Children's subjective well-being is multifaceted and context-sensitive. We adopted an approach developed in discussion with ANON (Anon.) - encompassing feelings about the self, feelings about relationships and feelings about environments. Though limited attention has been paid to how design features support or impede children's well-being, the growing importance of digital environments in children's lives presents an opportunity for policymakers and game designers to exert positive influence. To realise this potential, research must first establish how digital play relates to well-being and how diverse factors mediate the relationship between digital games, design features and well-being.

Despite their ubiquity, digital games are 'placed resources' (Prinsloo, 2005), their impacts mediated by myriad differences in children's lives. A design feature beneficial to one child may not support another. A unique feature of our project was its global scope. Prinsloo's concept highlighted how digital practices are shaped by the material, social and spatial contexts in which they occur. Two decades on, while digital technologies have continued to transform the terrains of playful experience, research is yet to fully reckon with the continued importance of context. We draw on Rogoff et al.'s (2018) conceptualisation of context as constituted by the dynamic interplay of social, cultural, material and temporal dimensions and Ang's (1996) critique of audience research as inattentive to the 'web of relationships and structures which constitute them' (p. 41). Our study started from the assumption that we must examine both *whether* digital play supports well-being, and how it may do so *differently* for different children. Much research has focused on the experiences of Global North, middle-class and majority childhoods. There is a need for inclusive approaches that resist generalisation and deficit framings, taking an intersectional, assets-based approach to understanding complexity in children's digital lives (Alper et al., 2016). Children's life experiences, digital play and practices are not straightforwardly shaped by static cultural factors, but emerge from a web of multiple, intersecting influences (Scott et al., 2023a). Understanding how design features interact with these influences demands sustained, in-depth and cross-context attention.

We addressed this using in-depth, qualitative methods, examining how individual and contextual circumstances shaped the self-led digital play choices and practices of a diverse cohort of children. We noticed that children's digital play was driven by deep interests, needs and desires, the fulfilment of which appeared to support aspects of well-being. These 'drivers' of digital play are theorised in depth below, but, for the purposes of clarity, we conceptualise 'contextualised digital play drivers' as a child's account - and/or an adult's interpretation of observed actions and accounts - of the deep interests, needs and desires a child's digital play appears to fulfil in their life. Unlike existing models of 'motivation', which often infer internal psychological states or universal motives, our framework situates drivers as emergent from

intersecting individual and contextual circumstances, foregrounding their fluidity, specificity and embeddedness in children's lived ecologies. Our framework also explicitly connects children's digital play drivers with their subjective well-being. This article draws on findings from our international study (2022–2023), conducted in the UK, South Africa, Australia and Cyprus¹. While the larger project included many subtopics reported elsewhere (Anon. et al., 2024), this article draws on theories of motivation to focus on the following questions:

1. How do diverse individual and contextual circumstances shape the self-directed digital play choices and practices of a diverse cohort of children across diverse cultural settings?
2. How do these findings contribute to reconceptualising digital play practices through the lens of 'drivers'?
3. What do these conceptualisations reveal about digital play's role in supporting or failing to support children's subjective well-being?

While existing attempts to theorise play choices and motivations often rest on more autonomous, individualised notions of children's play, our approach foregrounds the contextual forces shaping how and why children play in particular ways - prompting the need for these specific questions at this specific moment.

Theorising the contextualised 'drivers' of children's digital play and their relation to well-being

Framing the problem: connecting digital play, motivation, and well-being

To understand the role of digital play in supporting - or failing to support - children's subjective well-being in diverse contexts (RQ3), it is necessary to consider how and why this relationship appears to be different for different children. This requires detailed study of the individual and contextual circumstances within which children's digital play takes place as well as how they appear to connect with children's self-directed digital play choices and practices (RQ1), but also appropriate theoretical frameworks for making sense of this relationship. However, research on digital play and well-being remains fragmented. Much work has examined the 'effects' or 'outcomes' of digital gameplay in terms of well-being - whether negative (e.g. aggression, addiction) or positive (e.g. social benefits, cognitive stimulation). Another strand focuses on the motivations for play, such as achievement, escapism or social connection. Rarely are these perspectives brought together. In particular, it is uncommon to find research explicitly connecting children's well-being with motivations for playing (or not playing) specific digital games, or playing them in particular ways. Our study addresses this gap (RQ2) by introducing the concept of digital play drivers: the deep interests, needs, and desires shaped within intersecting individual and contextual circumstances, that, in turn, shape children's self-directed play choices and practices. We theorise that the relative fulfilment - or lack thereof - of a digital play driver connects to the well-being trajectories of a given digital play activity for a particular child in a certain context at a particular time.

Existing theoretical approaches to motivation (and well-being) in digital play

Several disciplinary traditions provide useful, but partial, resources for understanding what 'drives'

¹ This study refers to, and is situated in, the part of Cyprus under the jurisdiction of the Republic of Cyprus. When 'Cyprus' is used in the report, the term refers to the 'Republic of Cyprus'.

children's play. Early childhood scholars have used Leontiev's (1978) idea of 'motive' to theorise the 'object' of a child's activity and the 'need' behind it (Hedegaard et al., 2011), including in digital play (Fleer, 2014). Leontiev's (1978) concept of 'motive' is promising, since it focuses on the object of an activity in the context of a complex array of human needs, acknowledging the role of social context. Fleer (2014) showed how children's experiences of the same digital activity can differ depending on their 'motive'. Early childhood scholars have also considered how funds of identity ('FOI', Esteban-Guitart & Moll, 2014), arising from children's participation in community and family practices, can motivate practices. Literacy scholars have drawn on Barton and Hamilton's (2012) 'ruling passions' to reflect the deep interests, desires and affective experiences that drive digital literacy practices (Leander & Boldt, 2013). Psychologically, Self-Determination Theory (SDT) is often used to study motivation and well-being, while media scholars typically rely on uses and gratifications (U&G) approaches (Katz et al., 1973a). Many adult-focused studies using U&G approaches have theorised individual media responses in connection with positive 'outcomes' or 'effects'. Though U&G approaches have typically been applied to adults, they are useful for theorising why certain game features may support well-being for some children but not others. Katz et al. (1973b) argued that complex factors mediate the relationship between media engagement and its effects: what a person 'gets out of' media depends on their reasons for engagement.

Together, these approaches highlight the scholarly importance of needs, motives, and desires, but conceptualise them very differently. Fleer's use of Leontiev's theory embeds motives in sociocultural contexts, but focuses on early childhood settings rather than self-directed digital play in the home, where Leontiev's theory has rarely been applied. Activity theory also relies on discerning 'true motive' (Leontiev, 1978, p. 98), which may not, ultimately, be possible, especially when researching with very young children. Identity and passions-based perspectives draw attention to deep affective investments, but do not draw out implications for well-being in a sustained way. Meanwhile, psychological and media frameworks link need fulfilment to outcomes, but frequently assume rational, individual choice while overlooking contextual embeddedness of media engagement.

Gaps and limitations

These limitations present challenges to the study of children's digital play, motivation and well-being. Firstly, frameworks tend to privilege either individual psychology or overarching cultural structures, rather than examining the complex interplay of individual and contextual factors. Secondly, and perhaps most pressingly, few directly address how children's situated motives for play intersect with their subjective well-being. Some studies have adapted adult-oriented frameworks to theorise how and why children engage differently with the same games (Van Rooij et al., 2017). Others have developed specific models of children's 'motivations' (Hamlen, 2011) or have researched children's motivations with little theorisation (Olsen, 2010). Early U&G studies relied on qualitative, perception-based data. More recent work often applies existing 'need' categories to quantitative datasets. Neither approach is ideally suited to examining the complex interplay of individual and contextual circumstances framing children's self-led play. There is a clear need for an empirically-derived framework beginning with children's own actions, experiences and accounts. Finally,

Our conceptualisation: contextualised digital play drivers'

To address these gaps and limitations, we propose digital play drivers as an integrative concept. In our study, a digital play driver is a child's account - and/or an adult's interpretation of observed actions and accounts - of the deep interests, needs and desires a child's digital play appears to fulfil in their life. Our framework explicitly connects children's digital play drivers with their subjective well-being. We theorise

that the relative fulfilment - or lack thereof - of a digital play driver connects to the well-being trajectories of a given digital play activity.

Our use of the term is distinct from previous conceptualisations of ‘need’, ‘motivation’ or ‘motive’. Firstly, we position drivers as inextricably connected with individual and contextual circumstances. We do not claim insight into internal psychological states (King & Delfabbro, 2009) or into children’s ‘true motive’ (Leontiev, 1978, p. 98). Drivers are not fixed traits or innate characteristics. They are deeply interconnected with a range of contextual influences and individual differences and cannot be studied in isolation. This aligns with sociocultural play theory (Rogoff, 2018) and Leontievan accounts of motive. Our ethnographically-informed approach aimed to understand children’s digital play choices and practices as embedded in the unique conditions of their lives. Research on children’s play increasingly highlights the deep entanglement of digital and non-digital practices - a phenomenon often framed as postdigitality (Dixon et al., 2024). To support this, we also drew on Prinsloo’s (2005) notion of digital technologies as ‘placed resources’: digital practices, though seemingly global, are shaped by local ecologies. Similarly, Ang (1996) reminds us that individuals are always embedded in social, cultural and material relationships and structures that mediate their digital choices, actions and experiences. We do not understand children’s experiences as straightforward reflections of static cultural or socioeconomic markers. Rather, we approach digital play as emerging from intersecting individual and contextual dynamics (Scott et al., 2023a).

Secondly, we propose drivers as dynamic. Since children themselves, as well as the contexts of their lives, are in constant flux, we consider digital play drivers to be dynamic and shifting. Since digital play, and digital games, serve as important contextual strands of childhood, drivers are themselves not immune to the influence of digital games. Finally, our model is empirically derived. Based on children’s and families’ statements, combined with sustained researcher observations, we use ‘drivers’ as a way of (imperfectly and subjectively) naming clusters of deep interests, needs and desires, within a complex array of factors, that appear to act as the catalysts for the digital games children voluntarily choose to play at a particular point in time, as well as *how* they play them. The term aligns with the definition of a ‘driver’ as ‘something that makes other things progress, develop, or grow stronger’ (‘Driver’, 2024). Our conceptualisation was inductively derived through an ethnographically-informed, holistic and contextual study of children’s digital play.

Drivers thus extend existing theories. Though cognisant of relevant theoretical frameworks, we did not use them as an explicit lens; rather, this conceptualisation is grounded in empirical findings from our study. It relates specifically to the digital play choices and practices of a small but diverse cohort (50 children across four countries, aged 6–12, in 2022–2023). The drivers we present are not exhaustive or universal, though the framework may have broader applicability. Drivers share with activity theory a concern for motive, with identity perspectives an attention to passions and desires, and with psychological and media approaches a focus on need fulfilment and well-being. However, unlike these traditions, our conceptualisation of digital play drivers connects complex constellations of individual and contextual circumstances with children’s choices and practices through the study of deep interests, needs and desires without reducing culture or context to the level of static measures. The results section includes indicative examples, showing how particular digital play drivers intersected with these dynamics in children’s lives.

(Children’s) digital play motivations and well-being

We undertook a non-systematic² review of relevant literature focused on four interrelated concepts - digital games, motives for playing them, children and well-being. Searches combining alternative terms including 'motivations', 'uses', 'digital play' etc. were conducted via Web of Science and supplemented manually using similar Google Scholar searches. Given the limited literature connecting *children's* digital play motivations to well-being, we include relevant work on adolescents and adults. Relevant research can be summarised within five strands.

Firstly, numerous studies explore well-being 'outcomes' of digital gameplay (Peters et al., 2018). Negative impacts associated with children include aggression (Anderson et al., 2007), peer relationship issues (Kovess-Masfety et al., 2016) and so-called 'addiction' (Gentile, 2009). Research on the positive impacts of gameplay for children remains relatively scarce, but equivalent work with adults and adolescents is more common, identifying social (Wack & Tantleff-Dunn, 2009) and emotional benefits (Allahverdi-pour et al., 2010) and improved self-esteem (Durkin & Barber, 2002).

Secondly, studies consider motivations for playing digital games in children (Hamlen, 2011; Olsen, 2010) and adults (Przybylski et al., 2010). Geopolitical and other environmental factors are often quite invisible in this work, including the implications for the 'global' in 'global research' (Dixon et al, 2024). Researchers have adapted theoretical frameworks from adult-oriented media research to explore children's gaming motives. Van Rooij et al. (2017), for example, integrated SDT, U&G and social cognitive theory. Katz et al.'s (1973a) U&G typology includes cognitive and confidence and status needs and needs for connection with others, affective experiences and escapism. SDT (Deci & Ryan, 1985) identifies autonomy, competence, and relatedness as core drivers. Others (e.g. Fiş Erümit et al., 2021) have applied game industry frameworks such as Bartle's (1996) player taxonomy ('Killers', 'Achievers', 'Socializers', 'Explorers'), while Quantic Foundry's 'nine gamer types' (Vigato & Babić, 2021) explored motivation without explicit attention to children under 13. Limited scholarship has proposed child-specific frameworks. For example, Hamlen's (2011) 'motivation matrix' categorises psychological, visceral, cognitive, physical and game-characteristic motivations for 9–11-year-olds.

A *third* strand examines how motivations relate to game preferences and behaviour, though this work also focuses primarily on older players. Scharkow et al. (2015) found adolescents and adults motivated by teamwork favoured genres like music, sports, and racing games. Possler et al. (2023) linked eudaimonic motives such as striving for excellence or self-reflection with preferences for 'meaningful content'. There is little empirical work on how children with diverse motives engage differently, although Fler's (2014) application of Leontiev's concept of motive to early childhood digital activity suggests children's experiences of similar activities differ markedly depending on their underlying 'motives'.

The *fourth* strand connects gaming motivations to well-being 'outcomes' (e.g. Meriläinen et al., 2023). Again mainly focused on adults, this work proposes insights into how motives may support or hinder psychological well-being. Vuorre et al. (2022) found intrinsic motivation associated with higher well-being and extrinsic motivation with lower well-being. Escapist motives are frequently linked to lower well-being (Chang & Lin, 2019). Yang and Liu (2017) found that, for adult Pokémon Go players, motives related to fun and friendship supported well-being, while nostalgia and escapism motives showed negative correlations. These associations can be interpreted in multiple ways. Some (e.g. Kardefelt-Winther, 2014) argue that escapist play may reflect adaptive coping in high-stress contexts and thus, ultimately, plays a positive role in the lives of gamers. Others suggest it may reinforce existing difficulties. Snodgrass et al. (2019), however,

² Though the review was not 'systematic' by the specific standards many methodological definitions, it was nonetheless performed with the support of a University Librarian, in relation to a specific search strategy and the outputs of the search were coded manually using the Groups feature in Endnote to ascertain themes and overall 'strands', as presented in the paper.

argue that what appears to be addictive play may instead reflect intensive engagement that supports psychosocial well-being. Another theme within this strand concerns hedonic and eudaimonic well-being in relation to entertainment media (Possler et al., 2024). Rigby and Ryan (2016), for instance, caution that hedonic pleasures can distract from ‘valued pursuits’, likening them to ‘mental soft drinks’ - a value judgment that arguably overlooks the complex functions media can serve. Motivational intensity has also been linked to problematic use: Cheng (2019) found higher motivation to play Pokémon Go among middle-schoolers correlated with Internet Gaming Disorder. Since high engagement itself is often a diagnostic criterion, such interpretations require caution.

A *fifth* strand investigates how individual and contextual circumstances shape gaming motivation. Cheng and Hsu (2019) found gender-based differences in gaming motivation. Rehbein and Baier (2013) observed that German 15-year-olds were more likely to engage in excessive gaming if they reported low school well-being or weak social integration. Emiroğlu et al. (2023) recently showed how preschoolers’ digital play was shaped by family context and parent–child relationships during COVID-19.

Broadly, many studies rely on quantitative methods focused on individual traits or ‘screen time’ metrics, with limited attention to holistic, contextualised motives. Some conceptualise ‘meaningful’ experiences as internal to a game, rather than arising from its embeddedness in a child’s broader life - something Daneels et al. (2020) begin to challenge. Research often focuses on older children and traditional gaming environments, overlooking younger players and mobile-based play. Some studies (Barr et al., 2020) infer motivation from social or demographic patterns rather than directly consulting children. Overall, the field remains underdeveloped in relation to children’s digital play and the complex, contextual drivers that shape it.

Methods

We employed a multi-method, ecoculturally-informed (Weisner, 2002) family case study approach, drawing on ethnographic and longitudinal research traditions. The design (Figure 1) was guided by the study’s aims - combining multiple methods to support an expansive understanding across cases. Broadly interpretivist, the design recognised meaning as co-constructed and contextually situated. To this end, we designed the approach to generate data not just about each child’s digital play, but also about each child and family more broadly, including their understandings of well-being, any current issues intersecting with family well-being and broader hobbies and passions.

We generated data about *both* children’s self-led digital play practices and their responses to one of two ‘focus games’ introduced part-way through fieldwork. Stage A families were offered *World of Goo* (a physics-based puzzle game) and Stage B families *LEGO Builder’s Journey* (a puzzle journey game). Each stage involved 25 families across four countries: the UK (10), South Africa (5), Australia (5), and Cyprus (5). The selection of countries was guided by the need to balance contextual diversity with practical feasibility. Existing research networks were used to identify and invite international collaborators with relevant expertise in children’s digital play. Emphasis was placed on including locations that varied culturally, socially, and economically, with the recruitment of country-specific research teams to enhance the feasibility and contextual appropriateness of the fieldwork. This reach, which is rare in studies of children’s digital play, supported an ability to crystallise context, in contrast to past work focused on autonomous models and one-size-fits-all approaches. Over 14 months, 240 visits were conducted - six with each UK family and four elsewhere (Figure 2). Research activities mainly focused, naturalistically, on children’s self-led digital play. Children were invited, but not required, to try their ‘focus game’ and were asked about it in subsequent visits. Though many engaged with focus games, some did not continue, and the study maintained attention to self-led digital play.

While methods were broadly consistent across sites, researchers were encouraged to adapt them to reflect geopolitical differences and sensitivities and individual participant needs. A suggested set of research activities and tools were offered, but researchers were encouraged to change aspects of the methodology as part of ongoing reflexive practice. There were, therefore, particular differences in the approach, research tools and use of technologies, both between and within countries. For example, in South Africa, families were given iPhones rather than iPads, as the latter were uncommon and risked attracting unwanted attention, therefore making families vulnerable to crime.

Recruitment strategies included outreach via school newsletters, community venues and snowball sampling. We prioritised intersectional diversity - racially, ethnically, linguistically, socioeconomically and in household composition, recruiting children across the full 6–12 age range (mean age: 9.1 years) with an even gender split (24 identifying as girls, 26 as boys). In South Africa, we deliberately cut across stereotyping in our selection, so in the second round we had a white girl living in poverty (Stiletto Queen, SAF10) raised by her dad and a black boy in a middle-class affluent setting (Kermit, SAF9). In total, 186 individuals were formal participants, though children's accounts also referenced non-participating family and friends. A detailed participant overview is provided in the full report (Anon. et al., 2024). The study followed BERA (2018) and AoIR (2019) ethical guidelines, with a commitment to ongoing informed consent. Formal ethical approval was obtained both overall via [Anon.] and by local authorised entities in each country. Children's *assent* was dynamically assessed, including through body language. As a gesture of appreciation, families kept the digital device used during fieldwork - an iPad, or an iPhone in South Africa. This decision was made to ensure all families could participate regardless of prior device access and to avoid withdrawing equipment that had become integrated into children's play during the study. The approach was reviewed and approved by all relevant ethics committees, and was considered appropriate compensation within the local contexts.

Figure 1 summarises study data and the analytical approach. Data were coded through a combined deductive–inductive process. The primary units of analysis were the accounts and verbal expressions of individual children and their family members and embodied movements, gestures and actions during game episodes and observed play sequences. These were always coded within the context of each child's broader family and social environment as part of an ongoing, iterative process. Transcripts of researcher-generated audio and video, plus fieldnotes, were imported into NVivo for coding; other data types (original videos, photos, maps and drawings) were coded and analysed in a model of ongoing cross-comparison. Deductive codes drew on a project-specific framework (see Figure 3 for the deductive codebook). Inductive codes were generated gradually through close reading across these units of analysis in relation to the deductive coding, with repeated team-based interpretive discussions across UK and local researchers ensuring reliability and contextual sensitivity. As these discussions progressed, recurring patterns across cases were identified, compared and refined into eleven digital play 'drivers', capturing deep interests, needs and desires evident in children's play. The digital play 'drivers' discussed were therefore derived primarily from inductive analysis, though the deductive framework helped the team 'notice' patterns in children's game choices and practices and their intersections with well-being. We observed considerable differences in children's responses to the focus games. The study's holistic design enabled interpretation of these responses in relation to each child's broader play preferences, interests and context. As coding progressed, the team began to identify and theorise digital play 'drivers' inductively, cross-checking and applying the new inductive codes across all fifty cases. Figure 4 offers illustrative examples of excerpts from the dataset alongside some examples of the original deductive codes assigned to them and the additional inductive codes, which contributed to our theorisation of 'digital play drivers'. Although our analyses are

not generalisable, they are offered as *transferable* (Lincoln & Guba, 1985), supported by rich description and open-access materials, including research tools (Anon., 2025), to enable replication or adaptation.

Results

As reported elsewhere (Anon. et al., 2024), and echoing established links between play and well-being in adults, our study found that well-designed³ digital play can support eight aspects of children's subjective well-being (Figure 5): identities, emotions, creativity, competence, relationships, autonomy, diversity, equity and inclusion and safety and security. However, children's self-led digital play choices were shaped by intersecting circumstances including family practices, neurodiversity, physical or learning differences, emotional needs and home-school dynamics. Play was driven by deep interests, needs, and desires - 'digital play drivers' - themselves entangled with individual and contextual circumstances. Different drivers were dominant for different children during the research. In most cases, several 'core' drivers were especially prominent. These are likely to change over time and appear interconnected. For example, the drive to exert and express control was often, but not always, connected with the drive to create. When digital play fulfilled these drivers, aspects of subjective well-being were supported. All eleven *contextualised drivers* are explained below.

1. The drive... to exert and express control

Many children's game choices and practices appeared driven by a desire to experience control, resonating with established motivational accounts of 'autonomy' (SDT) and 'confidence and status' (U&G) needs. Specific design features afforded this in distinctive ways for some children, e.g. through avatar customisation, world-building and rule-setting. Ethan (WAF4) favoured *Zelda: Tears of the Kingdom* for its meaningful choices⁴; Kudos (WAF10) preferred *Minecraft* for its open-ended creative building⁵. Children also prioritised control-oriented play, even when other ways to play were supported. Dylan (UKF5) enjoyed avatar customisation across multiple games including *Fortnite*⁶. His dad said this was '*because he can choose*'⁷. Pinar (UKF6) valued social control, choosing when and with whom to play⁸.

When digital play fulfilled this driver, children's feelings of autonomy and competence were supported. For example, Stiletto Queen (SAF10) said making choices made her feel '*very, very, very good*'⁹. Conversely, Tinotenda (SAF4)'s Mum explained his disappointment with *World of Goo* as a lack of first-person play: he felt he was not '*the one achieving these things*'¹⁰. This driver connected with individual and contextual circumstances. For example, it was especially important for children who described experiencing a lack of control in other areas of life. Comparing digital play to difficult school friendships, Penny (UKF1), said:

³ What it means to 'design well' for children's well-being was a substantive strand of the wider research and is reported elsewhere (e.g. Anon et al., 2024). We also return to what it might mean to 'design well' for children in the concluding discussion of this article.

⁴ Analysis of Ethan's verbal account (WAAF4r_20221212_ta001)

⁵ Analysis of Kudos' verbal account (WAAF10r_20230414_at001)

⁶ Analysis of verbal account from Dylan's Dad (UKAF5r20221113_at001)

⁷ Verbal account from Dylan's Dad (UKAF5r20221113_at001)

⁸ Analysis of Pinar's verbal account (UKAF6r_20230131_at001)

⁹ Stiletto Queen's verbal account (SABF10r_202303128_vt007)

¹⁰ Verbal account from Tinotenda's Mum (SAAF4r_20221011_at001)

*'It makes me feel free, finally it makes me feel like I'm just... I'm like Charlie [family dog] that's just been let out of his cage after six hours. Freedom!!'*¹¹

This driver intersected with others, such as togetherness (e.g. Pinar's choice of play partners) and identity exploration (e.g. Dylan's customisation play). Such overlaps highlight the drivers not as isolated categories but as interdependent dynamics, reflecting the complexity of children's digital play and linking back to our broader research questions about well-being.

2. ... to collect, curate and classify

Children's game choices and digital play practices were driven by a desire to relate to, and impose order over, physical and symbolic things with personal meaning, partially intersecting with 'affective', 'confidence and status', 'connection' (U&G), 'autonomy' and 'relatedness' (SDT) needs, but extending them toward affective attachment and identity expression. Games with strong collecting mechanics, like *Adopt Me!* or *Brawl Stars* afforded some children opportunities to curate symbolic possessions and express competence. Jemima¹² (UKF7), for example, invested years in building her *Adopt Me!* Collection, reflecting with pride (*'It's from years of working'*¹³) and emotional attachment:

*'I just got a lavender dragon back and that's made me happy, because I was really sad when I traded my lavender dragon, because I really missed it.'*¹⁴

Such collecting practices supported feelings of autonomy, affective satisfaction, identity expression and competence and appeared particularly meaningful for some, in relation to complex individual and contextual circumstances. Jemima, who was experiencing significant personal challenges associated with control associated with her diagnosis of Pathological Demand Avoidance, described herself as a '*pushy collector*',¹⁵ emphasising the serious efforts she invested in collecting pets, something she said made her feel '*happy*'¹⁶.

John (CYF5) enjoyed unlocking characters in *Brawl Stars* and *Stumble Guys*¹⁷, echoing his non-digital collectible figures, which supported role-play and identity exploration¹⁸. For others, collecting practices appeared *primarily* driven by the drive to exert and express control. For example, Thomas (UKF11) loved unlocking characters with special powers, but this appeared primarily associated with control, as the 'skins' gave him more power in *Brawl Stars*: '*freedom [...] I can do whatever I want*'.¹⁹ While parallels with non-digital collecting (e.g. John's figures) suggest continuity with longstanding childhood practices, the digital dimensions afforded particular forms of circulation, classification and affective intensity. The cross-country presence of games like *Adopt Me!*²⁰ underscores the status of collecting as a shared aspect of children's contemporary digital cultures.

¹¹ Penny's verbal account (UKAF1r20221014_v004)

¹² Analysis of various of Jemima's play episodes (e.g. UKAF7c_20221113_v001)

¹³ Jemima's verbal account (UKAF7r_20220707_vt001)

¹⁴ Jemima's verbal account (UKAF7r_20220707_vt001)

¹⁵ Jemima's verbal account (UKAF7r_20220707_vt001)

¹⁶ Jemima's verbal account (UKAF7r_20220707_vt001)

¹⁷ Analysis of John's verbal account (CYAF5r_20230615_at002_ENG)

¹⁸ Analysis of John's verbal account (CYAF5r_20230615_at001_ENG)

¹⁹ Thomas' verbal account (UKBF11r_20230522_vt001)

²⁰ Analysis of various play episodes and verbal accounts (e.g. Vera's verbal account, CYBF7r_20230707_at002_ENG; Sally's verbal account, CYBF5r_20230710_at001_ENG)

3. ... to master challenges, including strategic challenges and puzzles

Children played to engage with, and persist through, challenges, towards mastery. This aligns with ‘competence’, ‘relatedness’ (SDT) ‘cognitive’ (U&G) needs, though here challenge was often valued intrinsically. Children with this driver sought games requiring them to devise, test and adjust logic-based strategies to solve problems, motivated by challenge itself. This differs from extrinsic motivations like earning points or progressing through levels, which many children also enjoyed (e.g. Kermit, SAF9²¹ and Andriana, CYF6²²). Zain (UKF12) enjoyed online chess²³, while Eshal (SAF1) preferred a word search game²⁴. Fulfilling this driver supported feelings of competence and positive feelings about identities and relationships. For example, Romeo (UKF4) described *World of Goo* as ‘very hard’, but said figuring it out made him ‘happy’²⁵. These examples highlight how, for some, challenging puzzle mechanics afforded opportunities to test persistence and feel competent.

The importance of mastering challenges varied depending on individual and contextual circumstances. Some children highly engaged with academic or extracurricular challenges, such as Harriet (UKF19)²⁶ and Andriana (CYF6)²⁷, avoided games with steep challenges, favouring games oriented toward relaxation, humour or social interaction. Digital play appeared to serve as a counterbalance to the challenges in other areas of life.

4. ... to acquire and perform knowledge and skills

Children played to acquire and perform personally meaningful knowledge and skills. While this overlaps with ‘the drive to master challenges’, children with this drive set their *own* goals or markers of esteem. It aligns with ‘competence’ (SDT), ‘cognitive’ and ‘connection’ (U&G) needs, but here competence was often tied more clearly to recognition within peer and cultural contexts. For many (e.g. Romeos, CYF9²⁸ or Dan, SAF7²⁹), this centred on developing football expertise, often through *FIFA* games. Others pursued different domains of expertise, such as subject knowledge (e.g. Lewis, UKF14³⁰ and Tinotenda, SAF4³¹). Some were motivated by in-game rewards (e.g. Marios, CYF2³² and John, CYF5³³), while others appeared driven by outperforming others (e.g. Malik, UKF16³⁴).

When digital play supported this drive, feelings of competence were fostered. For example, Romeos (CYF9) reflected on skill accumulation in *FIFA*:

²¹ Analysis of Kermit’s play episodes (e.g. SABF9r_20230210_v022; SABF9r_20230210_v030)

²² Analysis of verbal account from Andriana’s Dad (CYBF6r_20230630_at002_ENG)

²³ Analysis of Zain’s verbal accounts (e.g. UKBF12r_20230401_at002)

²⁴ Analysis of Eshal’s verbal account (SAAF1r_20220615_a054)

²⁵ Romeo’s verbal account (UKAF4r20221023_at002)

²⁶ Analysis of various verbal accounts in Harriet’s case (e.g. verbal accounts from Harriet’s Dad, UKBF19_20231103_at001 and UKBF19r_20230517_at001)

²⁷ Analysis of various verbal accounts in Andriana’s case (e.g. CYBF6r_20230630_at001_ENG)

²⁸ Analysis of verbal accounts from Romeos (e.g. CYBF9r_20230505_at001_ENG)

²⁹ Analysis of verbal accounts from Dan (e.g. SABF7r_20230227_vt004)

³⁰ Analysis of Lewis’ verbal account (UKBF14r_20230617_at001)

³¹ Analysis of Tinotenda’s verbal accounts (e.g. SAAF4r_20220904_a001)

³² Analysis of verbal account from Marios’ Mum (CYAF2r_20230412_at001_ENG)

³³ Analysis of verbal accounts from John’s Mum (e.g. CYAF5r_20230525_at001_ENG)

³⁴ Analysis of verbal accounts from Malik’s Mum (e.g. UKBF16r_20230809_vt001)

‘Because when you play, the first day [...] in a week, you’ll get better if you practise [...] I’ll feel nice [...] Because I have something to get involved in, for a lot of time’³⁵.

This driver also related to positive feelings about identities and relationships. Liana (CYF3)’s expert gamer status was important to forming strong bonds within her male friendship group³⁶, where she transcended stereotypical gendered identities by playing games like *FIFA*, *Fortnite* and *WNBA*³⁷ (played because she aspired to become a WNBA player³⁸).

5. ... to experience, explore and negotiate togetherness

Children played to safely connect with - and disconnect from - people, animals, communities and environments. This extends past notions of ‘relatedness’ (SDT) and ‘connection’ (U&G) needs, highlighting not only the need for connection, but also for *disconnection* and *transgression*. Children motivated by togetherness sought games with multiplayer or collaborative features: *Minecraft* and *Roblox* for George (WAF1)³⁹ and *Fortnite* for Henry (UKF9)⁴⁰. Togetherness was not limited to conventional multiplayer play. For example, Mount (SAF6) connected with his Dad and church community through *Fantasy Football*, taking turns on the same device⁴¹. Dan (SAF7) connected with his neighbours across the road through digital play because it was not safe to walk to them⁴². For Ethan (WAF4), shared enthusiasm around *Zelda: Tears of the Kingdom* generated connection through talk, even without co-play: *‘it’s something we can all talk to each other about’⁴³*. Some children, valuing togetherness, let others choose what to play for altruistic reasons (e.g. Logan, UKF8⁴⁴). Anna (SAF3), meanwhile, usually played with her cat, budgies and hamster nearby⁴⁵.

One iteration of this drive was social *disconnection*. For Hailey (UKF13), play offered a vital solo space apart from school and family conflicts: *‘there’s a lot going on and I need to rest my mind [...] I focus on the game and not anything else’⁴⁶*. Similarly, Taj (WAF9) valued time alone⁴⁷. This drive appeared especially important for some. Pinar (UKF6) found school social interactions *‘confusing and quite mean’⁴⁸*. *Minecraft* offered a way to engage socially on her own terms⁴⁹. When digital play fulfilled this driver, positive experiences of relationships, positive affective states and feelings of autonomy were supported, underscoring the nuanced ways digital play can support children’s needs to connect and disconnect.

6. ... to empathise, tend and nurture

³⁵ Romeos’ verbal account (CYBF4r_20230505_at001_ENG)

³⁶ Analysis of verbal account from Liana’s Mum (e.g. CYAF3r_20230204_at001_ENG)

³⁷ Analysis of Liana’s various play episodes (e.g. CYAF3r_20230204_vt001_ENG)

³⁸ Liana’s verbal account (CYAF3r_20230204_vt001_ENG)

³⁹ Analysis of George’s various verbal accounts and play episodes (e.g. WAAF1c_20221011_vt003)

⁴⁰ Analysis of Henry’s various play episodes (e.g. UKAF9r_20220804_v004)

⁴¹ Analysis of Mount’s verbal account (SABF6r_20230124_at001)

⁴² Analysis of verbal account from Dan’s Mum (SABF7r_20230206_vt003)

⁴³ Ethan’s verbal account (WAAF4r_20221212_ta001)

⁴⁴ Analysis of Logan’s verbal accounts (e.g. UKAF8r_20221024_at001)

⁴⁵ Analysis of Anna’s play episodes (e.g. SAAF3r_20220622_vt058; SAAF3r_20221020_vt103)

⁴⁶ Hailey’s verbal account (UKBF13r_20230524_at001)

⁴⁷ Taj’s verbal account (WABF9r_20232906_at001)

⁴⁸ Verbal account from Pinar’s Mum (UKAF6r_20230131_at001)

⁴⁹ Analysis of Pinar’s verbal account (UKAF6r_20230131_at001)

Children played to understand, care for, and attend to others - people, animals, communities, and environments. This resonates with ‘relatedness’ (SDT), ‘affective’ and ‘connection’ (U&G) needs, but extends them by emphasising empathy, caring and relational responsibility as important components of digital play. Many engaged in play practices linked to empathy, nurture and caring for imagined others, which were sometimes supported by role-play and caring mechanics. Anna (SAF3) chose a hotel role-play game centred on caring roles, which she described maternally: *‘it’s like you are the Mom of them’*⁵⁰. Others focused on taking care of animals or nature, such as Ria (WAF7) in *Cat Snack Bar*⁵¹, Jane (WAF8) tending to crops and animals in *Pocket Build*⁵² and Ariadne (CYF1) caring for animals in *Baby Panda: Dental Care*⁵³. Some prioritised others’ well-being through their play choices. Adaobi (UKF2)⁵⁴ and Kermit (SAF9)⁵⁵ selected games preferred by younger family members to actively support them. Aaliyah (UKF15) explained: *‘he picks a game and we just play it [...] I just don’t want him playing by himself all the time’*⁵⁶.

When digital play experiences fulfilled this driver, relationships, emotions and identities were supported. Hailey (UKF13) emphasised endearing traits when caring for horses in *Zelda: Breath of the Wild*: *‘they’re just really sweet. Every time I take them to a village they go straight into the carrot patch’*⁵⁷. Nurture-driven play appeared particularly important for some. For example, Annie (UKF17), often taught by her older brother, particularly enjoyed adopting the opposite role⁵⁸ in supporting younger children’s play.

7. ... to understand, and meet, one’s own emotional needs

Children’s digital play was driven by a need to identify, understand, and regulate their own emotions, either independently or with support. This aligns partially with ‘affective’ and ‘escapism’ needs (U&G), but foregrounds the relational and contextual factors shaping emotional awareness and regulation. Children often chose games to meet emotional needs such as relaxation, stress relief or comfort. Tinotenda (SAF4) said he sometimes chose a ‘stress game’ like *‘Kick the Buddy’* to relieve stress⁵⁹. Notably, Tinotenda’s choices were also associated with broader factors - he played games such as this one because he couldn’t afford any paid games and this one was free⁶⁰.

This driver appeared to be particularly important for some children, in relation to individual and contextual circumstances. For example, Jane (WAF8) used *Goat Simulator* to calm herself after experiencing bullying: *‘I just go headbutt a tree or something’*⁶¹. When digital play fulfilled this driver, positive affective states and a sense of emotional safety were supported. As Jane noted; *‘it makes me feel happy inside’*⁶². The varying importance of this driver emphasises the ways in which digital play can serve as both a coping strategy and a contextually embedded space for self-regulation. Children appeared to seek out a range of design features to fulfil this driver, but particularly those supporting sensory experiences.

⁵⁰ Anna’s verbal account (SAAF3r_20220622_vt061)

⁵¹ Analysis of Ria’s play episode (WABF7r_20230421_v015)

⁵² Analysis of Jane’s play episode (WABF8c_20230907_v006)

⁵³ Analysis of Ariadne’s play episode (CYAF1r_20230305_vt003_ENG)

⁵⁴ Analysis of Adaobi’s family play episodes (e.g. UKAF2r20221024_at001)

⁵⁵ Analysis of Kermit’s family play episodes (e.g. SABF9r_20230210_v002)

⁵⁶ Aaliyah’s verbal account (UKBF15r_20230415_vt001)

⁵⁷ Hailey’s verbal account (UKBF13r_20230524_a002)

⁵⁸ Analysis of Annie’s verbal accounts (e.g. UKBF17r_20230530_vt003)

⁵⁹ Tinotenda’s verbal account (SAAF4r_20221011_at001)

⁶⁰ Analysis of Tinotenda’s verbal accounts (SAAF4r_20221011_at001)

⁶¹ Jane’s verbal account (WABF8r_20230406_ta001)

⁶² Jane’s verbal account (WABF8r_20230406_ta001)

8. ... for sensory stimulation and exploration, including emotion, humour and bodily movement

Children played to experience a broad range of emotions (both ‘positive’ and ‘negative’), sensory experiences, humour and bodily movement. Despite some overlap, we retained two distinct drivers in our framework: one focused on recovery from undesired emotional states, and one on the active pursuit of sensory, emotional or physical stimulation. This driver extends ‘affective’ and ‘escapism’ needs (U&G) by foregrounding more visceral dimensions of affect (c.f. Scott et al., 2023b). Children sought games with mechanics supportive of specific affective or sensory states. Children played for joy, excitement and pleasure, but also pursued experiences of fear and risk, affective states typically considered ‘negative’. Kudos (WAF10) chose horror game, *Granny*⁶³, while Penny (UKF1) played *The Mimic* and *Piggy*, recalling: ‘*I was literally shaking, I could barely stand up [...] the piggy kept chasing me and I had like 16 seconds left to get to his door*’⁶⁴.

Others sought physical stimulation, like Elly (WAF2), who enjoyed games in *Wii Sports Resort*⁶⁵, or flow states, like Kudos, who described *Minecraft* as a place to focus and relax: ‘*you just relax and just build anything you want*’⁶⁶. Game design features - such as multiplayer pacing, time pressure, motion controls and immersive environments - afforded particular sensory and affective states for some children. Fulfilment of this driver supported positive affective states. Whilst all children are likely to be driven by a desire to experience sensory and affective states, it appeared particularly important for some. For instance, Elly (WAF2), recently diagnosed with ADHD and dyslexia, found that the physicality of *Wii Sports Resort* helped her maintain focus in ways school did not⁶⁷.

9. ... to create

Children’s digital play was driven by a desire to actively contribute to the world, drawing on resources and experiences to generate something new. The drive to create is not well accounted for in existing models, but could be understood as inflected across multiple past ‘needs’, such as ‘autonomy’ and ‘competence’ (SDT). Children sought out games with design features particularly supportive of creation, such as drawing apps (Pinar, UKF6)⁶⁸ and *GarageBand* (Nkosinathi, SAF2)⁶⁹. They also played open-ended games in ways that prioritised creativity. Ollie (UKF18) created both an underwater roller coaster⁷⁰ and a ‘*Mansion Dog House*’⁷¹ in *Minecraft*, while Stiletto Queen (SAF10) engaged in significant space and avatar customisation and creation in games like *Avakin Life* and *The Sims*⁷². Others such as Sally (CYF10) played creatively by generating ideas for her bedroom through platforms like *Pinterest*⁷³, rather than digital games per se. When digital play experiences fulfilled children’s drive to create, feelings of autonomy, positive affect, positive feelings about identities and, of course, creativity were supported. This driver was closely connected with the drives to: exert and express control; and explore, construct and express identities.

⁶³ Analysis of Kudos’ verbal account (WABF10r_20230414_at001)

⁶⁴ Penny’s verbal account (UKAF1r20220822_vt001)

⁶⁵ Analysis of Elly’s play episodes (e.g. WAAF2r_20221016_v003)

⁶⁶ Kudos’ verbal account (WAAF10r_20230414_at001)

⁶⁷ Researcher fieldnotes about the verbal accounts of Elly’s mum (WAAF2r_20220621_n001)

⁶⁸ Analysis of various verbal accounts from Pinar (UKAF6r20220701_at002)

⁶⁹ Analysis of Nkosinathi’s play episodes (e.g. SAAF2r_20221023_v102 and SAAF2r_20220813_v651)

⁷⁰ Analysis of Ollie’s play episodes and verbal accounts (e.g. UKAF18c_20230515_v005 and UKAF18c_20230515_v006)

⁷¹ Ollie’s verbal account (UKBF18r_20230530_vt001)

⁷² Analysis of Stiletto Queen’s play episodes (e.g. SABF10r_20230223_vt161)

⁷³ Analysis of Sally’s verbal account (CYBF5r_20230710_at001_ENG)

10. ... to explore, construct and express identities

Children played to safely explore, construct and express aspects of their evolving personal and collective identities. Aligning closely with identity-focused perspectives (e.g. FOI), it is not well accounted for in psychological motivation models (SDT; U&G). This driver is a notable extension to these models, foregrounding digital play as an important context for experimenting with evolving identities. Children sought games that supported role play, customisation, and creation. Ariadne (CYF1) favoured games that supported experimentation with physical identity, such as nail painting and panda dress-up simulation games⁷⁴. Others used open-ended games for identity exploration. Willow (UKF10) used *The Sims* to imagine future versions of herself, creating an avatar with long blonde hair and becoming a vet: ‘you can make your ideal career and how you want to look when you’re older, so you can pretend to live that life’⁷⁵.

This drive appeared especially important for some children in relation to individual and contextual circumstances. Penny (UKF1) was beginning to explore more ‘grown up’ identities and interests like cooking and paying attention to appearance⁷⁶. While she expressed uncertainty and self-criticism in her offline life⁷⁷, *Roblox* games like *Brookhaven* provided safer spaces to try out emerging identities⁷⁸. When digital play fulfilled this drive, it supported feelings of autonomy, creativity and positive feelings about identities. Penny’s identity play in *Brookhaven* seemed particularly important for supporting feelings of autonomy and confidence in her evolving selfhood.

11. ... to explore deep interests

Finally, children played to pursue personally meaningful interests (Chesworth & Hedges, 2024) and passions including within, and through, their digital play. This connects somewhat aligns with U&G’s ‘cognitive’ needs, but places greater emphasis on the depth, continuity and context-dependence of children’s engagements with subjects and activities that matter to them. Children tended to seek out games that fulfilled specific deep interests, for example football games including *FIFA*, *Soccer Champs* and *Fantasy Football League* for football fans Romeos (CYF9)⁷⁹, Mount (SAF6)⁸⁰ and Dan (SAF7)⁸¹. This driver affected *how* children played games. For example, Aaliyah (UKF15) and her Mum both decided not to use walkthroughs to help them complete levels of games like *Adventure Escape Mysteries*⁸², because they were driven by a shared passion for mysteries, which spanned across contexts, for example to playing the board game, *Cluedo*. When digital play fulfilled this driver, positive feelings about individual and collective identities and feelings of competence emerged. Aaliyah’s mystery play supported a sense of close collective identity with her Mum. This driver appeared to be universally important, although the deep interests in question were different for different children.

Digital play drivers and children’s responses to ‘focus games’

The study explored children’s digital play choices and practices *and* their responses to one of two introduced puzzle-based games: World of Goo (WoG) or LEGO Builder’s Journey (LBJ). Children were encouraged, but not required, to engage with their assigned ‘focus game’. Both games emphasised logic

⁷⁴ Analysis of Ariadne’s play episodes (e.g. CYAF1r_20230305_vt002_ENG)

⁷⁵ Willow’s verbal account (UKAF10r20220914_at002)

⁷⁶ Analysis of discussions between Penny and her Mum (e.g. UKAF1r20220701_at001)

⁷⁷ Analysis of discussions between Penny and her Mum (e.g. UKAF1r20220701_at001)

⁷⁸ Analysis of Penny’s play episodes and Penny and her Mum’s verbal accounts (e.g. UKAF1r20220624_at001)

⁷⁹ Analysis of Romeos’ play episodes and verbal account (e.g. CYBF9r_20230505_at001_ENG)

⁸⁰ Analysis of verbal account from Mount’s mum and dad (e.g. SABF6r_20230124_at001)

⁸¹ Analysis of Dan’s verbal accounts (e.g. SABF7r_20230227_vt002)

⁸² Analysis of discussion between Aaliyah and her mum (UKBF15r_20230617_at002)

and strategy, without open-ended elements or customisation. Findings revealed that (a) children responded variably to the same games and design features, and (b) their digital play drivers influenced those responses. Specific features supported well-being variably. For instance, skipping levels promoted competence for some but felt like failure to others. WoG's multiple puzzle-solving options encouraged creativity for some, but others found it too rigid. Initial reactions to the focus games often differed from longer-term responses, with children demonstrating complex, varied play trajectories.

The cases of Penny (UKF1) and Ollie (UKF18) exemplify these dynamics. For nearly half the children who played WoG, the game supported well-being, particularly by fostering competence. However, more than half did not report such benefits and many of those held markedly different digital play drivers. Penny initially described WoG as '*really fun*'⁸³ but later stopped playing, explaining, '*it's not really like my type of game [...] I like role play games*'⁸⁴ and describing it as '*stressful*'⁸⁵. Though initially intrigued by the challenge, Penny's later reflections suggest WoG's design did not align with her key digital play drivers - namely, the desire to exert control, seek sensory stimulation and explore identities. Similarly, Anna (SAF3) did not find that World of Goo connected with her in-the-flesh passions, noting how she liked the unexpected nature of physical cartwheels as opposed to the predictability of virtual bodies moving in games⁸⁶.

LBJ, meanwhile, supported well-being for just over half the children who played it, mostly by fostering competence. Ollie initially became frustrated: '*I hate this game [...] I don't even want to do it*'⁸⁷. Although he had spent little time with it by the next visit (two weeks later), he later revealed he had returned to the game, ultimately completing it using various strategies⁸⁸. In the end, LBJ appeared to align with Ollie's digital play drivers, particularly his motivation to master challenges.

Discussion

Empirical contributions

Most research exploring *why* individuals engage with digital media and how that relates to what they *get out of it* has centered on adults and adolescents. While Katz et al.'s influential (1973a) U&G model acknowledges that complex circumstances mediate media effects, few studies have explored what those circumstances *are* and how they *matter*, especially for children. Our international study provides a rare *in-depth* examination of how children's digital play choices, practices, motivations, well-being and diverse individual and contextual circumstances coalesce, showing that choices and practices are influenced by multiple, intersecting individual and contextual circumstances, such as family and peer dynamics and practices and geopolitical and socio-economic factors such as not having access to data, or living in spaces where children can only safely play inside a house or compound. For example, Tinotenda (SAF4) finished *World of Goo* quickly but couldn't pay for the follow-up to the game. These choices and practices can be understood as associated with 'digital play drivers' - deep interests, needs, and desires - themselves complexly intertwined with individual and contextual circumstances. Echoing studies with adults, we found that self-chosen and introduced digital play experiences fulfilling these drivers *generally supported children's subjective well-being*. Games that didn't fulfil a child's digital play drivers did not, even when the same games and design features supported well-being for others. Children's initial responses to focus

⁸³ Penny's verbal account (UKAF1r20220706_vt005)

⁸⁴ Penny's verbal account (UKAF1r20220728_at002)

⁸⁵ Penny's verbal account (UKAF1r20220728_at002)

⁸⁶ Analysis of Anna's verbal accounts and play episodes (e.g. SAAF3r_20221020_vt097)

⁸⁷ Ollie's verbal account (UKBF18r_20230515_vt002)

⁸⁸ Analysis of discussions between Ollie and his mum (e.g. UKBF18r_20230613_at001)

games often differed from longer-term responses. These are substantial empirical contributions, given limited research focused on this important aspect of children's lives.

Theoretical contributions

We began with wanting to understand the role of digital play in supporting - or failing to support - children's subjective well-being in diverse contexts (RQ3). Having noticed that some digital play experiences appeared to support certain dimensions of well-being for some children but not others, we wanted to understand how individual and contextual circumstances appear to connect with children's self-directed digital play choices and practices (RQ1) and, ultimately, their well-being. In theorising the concept of digital play drivers (RQ2), based on the empirical data and in reference to previous theorisations of motivation, we began to understand how and why some digital play experiences appeared to support certain dimensions of well-being for some children but not others. Our study extends existing theory. U&G approaches support a consideration of *why* specific game design features might support well-being trajectories *differentially* for different children. However, our framework moves beyond rational, individualised models of choice, connecting complex individual and contextual circumstances with 'drivers'. Unlike previous studies that apply existing frameworks to new contexts, our study derived its conceptual contribution from extensive qualitative work specific to children's digital gameplay. We do not present 'drivers' as objective measures. Following Scott et al. (2023b), a digital play driver refers to a child's own account - and/or an adult's interpretation of observed behaviours and accounts - of the deep interests and needs fulfilled by digital play. These are not innate or purely individual; rather they are shaped in a complex interplay of circumstances and cannot be understood in isolation. Sustained, ethnographically-informed inquiry was vital. Following Alper et al.'s (2016) comments, we intentionally resisted generalisations and deficit approaches, taking an intersectional, assets-based approach to understanding complexity in children's digital lives. Context was understood in each case in terms of a web of multiple, intersecting influences. In adopting this approach, we do not intend to ignore vitally important differences in children's lives, such as the critical difference between the Global North and South in terms of equitable access to digital play resources. However, rather than generalising about how broad factors such as cultural or socioeconomic differences relate to drivers, the results section provides examples of how specific individual and contextual circumstances, such as emotional and learning needs and the critical differences between the North and South in terms of access to digital play resources, relate to specific drivers in the lives of individual children in the present study. Our approach builds on recent work in critiquing entirely adult- or language-centric approaches. The eleven *contextualised* drivers are visualised in Figure 6. Although developed in response to a scarcity of similar work in the study of children's play, the framework holds potential for application across the lifespan.

Figure 7 maps the drivers against existing models. Some align loosely with previous typologies. For instance, the drive to exert and express control links with SDT's concept of autonomy (Deci & Ryan, 1985) and Katz et al.'s (1973a) category of confidence and status. Other drivers transcend previous models, offering novel contributions. The drive to collect, curate and classify partially intersects several previous 'needs'. 'Togetherness' extends U&G's category of 'connections with others', highlighting not just the need for connection, but also for *disconnection* and *transgression*. Similarly, the drives to create and to explore identities are not well accounted for in existing models, but could be understood as inflected across multiple past 'needs'. The drive to explore deep interests somewhat aligns with U&G's cognitive needs, but places greater emphasis on deeper understandings of children's interests in relation to their participation in sociocultural practices, identity construction and fundamental enquiries about themselves and their worlds.

Limitations

Firstly, we offer our analyses and findings as transferable, but not generalisable. We acknowledge the limits of our sample size and scope. Findings are context-specific rather than systematically comparable across countries, and are presented as indicative insights into how digital play drivers are exhibited within and across diverse settings. Secondly, our study focused on home contexts and it would be useful for future work to consider how the identified drivers might vary depending on the social settings in which children engage in digital play - such as in school or third spaces.

Implications for the game design industry

For game designers, the notion of ‘designing well’ for children’s well-being requires reflection. Two aspects of well-being identified in the framework are universally essential: good digital play experiences must always be designed to support children’s representation and equitable play, safety and security. It is important to emphasise that most games are not representative of brown or black bodies, nor their environmental and cultural markers, as highlighted in Kermit’s (SAF9) comments of the default (‘noob’) skins in *Fortnite*: ‘*there’s another one that has the same hair as me [...] I’m not a girl that has blue eyes and has, like, peach hair and it’s like... it’s not the same as mine*’. The remaining six well-being dimensions cannot be universally met in a single game for all children and often conflict. Many studies of adult gamers focus narrowly on ‘core gamers’ (Scharkow et al., 2015); often competitive male players pursuing action or shooter genres to meet competence needs. Beyond and within this group, many players pursue a wide range of games and modes of play that meet varied interests and needs. Using the Anon. ‘Anon.’ framework (2024), the games industry can better design for children’s well-being. However, an important approach within this would be to intentionally design with *particular digital play drivers* - and particular groups of children - in mind. Large-scale player data may reveal broad trends, e.g. that cooperative play supports social well-being, but a drivers-based lens makes explicit the ways in which the polar opposite may be true for sizable cohorts of children. For children like Hailey (UKF13), who experienced social overwhelm and conflict in school, solo digital play was a lifeline for well-being, associated with the opportunity to actively *disconnect*. Ethically-oriented designers may design features to support a particular aspect of children’s well-being, but cannot expect, or claim, that their product will do so *universally*. At the level of policy and regulation for the games industry, it is clear that, to support the digital play needs of all children, a *diverse range* of carefully designed games must proliferate.

Implications for families, educators and education policy

Our study emphasises the broader well-being benefits of children’s digital play, but also that these might look very different for different children. The findings thus present an invitation to families, educators and education policymakers to value the possible benefits of children’s digital play differently and differentially. It is often advised that certain, high quality games - such as *Minecraft* - hold greater benefits for children than others *universally*. Whilst there is important evidence that particular games are associated with particular benefits for children, our findings emphasise that, rather than basing judgments about the value of children’s digital play on the nature and design features of games *alone*, it is important that families and educators also consider what appears to drive the digital play choices and practices of individual children and, relatedly, what they appear to ‘get out of’ this play. One practical example of how educators might respond to this implication is through work to better understand and harness children’s deep interests and digital funds of identity in the classroom.

Methodological insights

The study contributes methodologically by highlighting the value of combining naturalistic home research with the introduction of 'focus games'. This approach uncovered differences between children's initial and sustained responses to digital games - subtleties likely to be missed in lab-based or short-term studies. Indeed, many important findings were associated with embodied, relational and affective dimensions of children's play, subtle and often ephemeral phenomena, attunement to which requires both close attention to video data and ongoing revisiting of broader, more holistic child and family data. This methodological combination holds promise for future research. The cross-national collaboration was also important in helping the team notice how, despite the prevalence of particular games and associated play practices across countries, multiple, intersecting individual and contextual circumstances, including the geopolitical and socio-economic, still matter in shaping children's play choices and practices. Given the study's findings, future work should continue to explore how individual and contextual circumstances mediate the relationship between digital play and well-being. However, it is essential to resist blanket assumptions about certain 'types' of children or contexts. Such generalisations risk erasing the diverse, interwoven circumstances that shape digital play. Children's needs and interests are dynamic, contextual and change as they grow and as individual children engage in different games, with direct implications for the games they choose, how they play and how those experiences relate to well-being. The digital play drivers framework provides a compelling, though necessarily imperfect, lens for thinking, and talking, about diversity in children's digital play.

References

- Allahverdipour, H., Bazargan, M., Farhadinasab, A. et al. (2010). Correlates of video games playing among adolescents in an Islamic country. *BMC Public Health*, 10(1), 1–10.
- Alper, M., Katz, V.S., and Clark, L.S. (2016). Researching children, intersectionality, and diversity in the digital age. *Journal of Children and Media*, 10(1), 107–114.
- Anderson, C.A., Gentile, D.A., and Buckley, K.E. (2007). *Violent video game effects on children and adolescents*. Oxford University Press.
- Ang, I. (1996). *Living room wars*. Routledge.
- Association of Internet Researchers (AoIR) (2019) *Internet research: Ethical guidelines 3.0*.
- Barr, R., Kirkorian, H., Radesky, J. et al. (2020). Beyond screen time. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1283.
- Bartle, R.A. (1996). Hearts, clubs, diamonds, spades: Players who suit MUDs. *Journal of MUD Research*, 1(1).
- Barton, D. and Hamilton, M. (2012). *Local literacies*. Routledge.
- British Educational Research Association (BERA) (2018) *Ethical guidelines for educational research. 4th edn*. London: BERA.
- Chang, S.M. and Lin, S.S. (2019). Online gaming motive profiles in late adolescence and the related longitudinal development of stress, depression, and problematic internet use. *Computers & Education*, 135, 123-137.
- Cheng, Y.H. (2019). The mediating effects of motivation for playing pokémon go on internet gaming disorder and well-being. *The American Journal of Family Therapy*, 47(1), 19-36.
- Cheng, Y.H. and Hsu, S.L. (2019). A study of the gender traits of upper grade students toward cultural code, motivation, and level of involvement in online games in Taiwanese primary schools. *Youth & Society*, 51(1), 101-119.
- Chesworth, E. and Hedges, H. (2024). Children's interests and early childhood curriculum. *The New Zealand Annual Review of Education*, 29, 5–22.
- Cowan, K., Potter, J. and Olusoga, Y. (2021). Digital play as a means of connection, comfort and community. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 46(1), 74–86.
- Daneels, R., Vandebosch, H. and Walrave, M. (2020). Just for fun?: An exploration of digital games' potential for eudaimonic media experiences among Flemish adolescents. *Journal of Children and Media*, 14(3), 285-301.

Deci, E.L and Ryan, R.M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York: Plenum.

Dixon, K., Murris, K., Peers, J., Giorza, T., & Lawrence, C. (2024). *Postdigital play and global education: Reconfiguring research*. Routledge.

Durkin, K. and Barber, B. (2002). Not so doomed: Computer game play and positive adolescent development. *Applied Developmental Psychology*, 23(4), 373–392.

'Driver' (2024) Cambridge Dictionary. Available at: <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/> (Accessed 8 October 2024).

Eberle, S.G. (2014). The elements of play. *American Journal of Play*, 6(2), 214–233.

Esteban-Guitart, M. and Moll, L.C. (2014). Funds of identity: A new concept based on the funds of knowledge approach. *Culture & psychology*, 20(1), 31-48.

Ellison, T.L. and Evans, J.N. (2016). Minecraft, Teachers, Parents, and Learning. *School Community Journal*, 26(2), 25-43.

Emiroğlu İlvan, T. and Ceylan, R. (2023). Predicting preschool children's digital play addiction tendency during Covid-19 pandemic. *Education and information technologies*, 28(12), 15687-15716.

Fiş Erümit, S., Şilbir, L., Erümit, A.K. et al. (2021). Determination of player types according to digital game playing preferences. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 37(11), 991-1002.

Fleer, M. (2014). The demands and motives afforded through digital play in early childhood activity settings. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 3(3), 202-209.

Gentile, D. (2009). Pathological video-game use among youth ages 8 to 18. *Psychological science*, 20(5), 594-602.

Hamlen, K.R. (2011). Children's choices and strategies in video games. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(1), 532-539.

Hedegaard, M., Edwards, A. and Fleer, M. (Eds.). (2011). *Motives in children's development*. Cambridge University Press.

Kaczmarek, L.D., Misiak, M., Behnke, M. et al. (2017). The Pikachu effect. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 75, 356-363.

Kardefelt-Winther, D. (2014). A conceptual and methodological critique of internet addiction research. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 31, 351–354.

Katz E., Haas, H. and Gurevitch, M. (1973a). On the use of the mass media for important things. *American Sociological Review*, 164–181.

- Katz, E., Blumler, J.G. and Gurevitch, M. (1973b). Uses and gratifications research. *The Public Opinion Quarterly*, 37(4), 509–523.
- King, D.L. and Delfabbro, P.H. (2009). Motivational differences in problem video game play. *Journal of CyberTherapy & Rehabilitation*, 2(2), 139–149.
- Kovess-Masfety, V., Keyes, K., Hamilton, A. et al. (2016). Is time spent playing video games associated with mental health, cognitive and social skills in young children? *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 51, 349–357.
- Leander, K.M. and Boldt, G.M. (2013). Rereading A Pedagogy of Multiliteracies. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 45(1), 22–46.
- Leontiev, A.N. (1978). *Activity, consciousness, and personality*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Lincoln, Y.S. and Guba, E.G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. SAGE.
- Marsh, J., Lahmar, J., Plowman, L. et al. (2021). Under threes' play with tablets. *Journal of Early Childhood Research*, 19(3), 283-297.
- Marsh, J., Murris, K., Ng'ambi, D. et al. (2020) *Children, Technology and Play*. Billund, Denmark: The LEGO Foundation.
- Marsh, J., Plowman, L., Yamada-Rice, D., Bishop, J., & Scott, F. (2020). Digital play: A new classification. In *Digital play and Technologies in the Early Years* (pp. 20-31). Routledge.
- Meriläinen, M., Ruotsalainen, M. and Korhonen, H. (2023). Video game motivations and their relationships with game addiction, engagement, and well-being. *Entertainment Computing*, 46, 100497.
- Olsen, S. (2010). Children's motivations for video game play in the context of normal development. *Review of General Psychology*, 14(2), 180–187.
- Pearce, K.E., Yip, J.C., Lee, J.H. et al. (2022). Families playing Animal Crossing together. *Games and Culture*, 17(5), 773-794.
- Peters, D., Calvo, R.A and Ryan, R.M. (2018). Designing for motivation, engagement and wellbeing in digital experience. *Frontiers in psychology*, 9, 300159.
- Possler, D., Bowman, N.D. and Daneels, R. (2023). Explaining the formation of eudaimonic gaming experiences. *Frontiers in Communication*, 8, 1215960.
- Possler, D., Daneels, R. and Bowman, N.D. (2024). Players just want to have fun? *Games and Culture*, 19(5), 611-633.
- Prinsloo, M. (2005). The new literacies as placed resources. *Perspectives in Education*, 23(4), 87–98.

- Przybylski, A.K., Rigby, C.S. and Ryan, R.M. (2010). A motivational model of video game engagement. *Review of General Psychology*, 14(2), 154–166.
- Rigby, C.S. and Ryan, R.M. (2016). Time well-spent?. In *The Routledge handbook of media use and well-being* (34-48). Routledge.
- Rogoff, B., Coppens, A.D. and Paradise, R. (2018). Children's development in cultural communities. In M. H. Bornstein (Ed.), *Handbook of cultural developmental science* (130–170). Routledge.
- Sauce, B., Matzel, L.D. and Hughes, C. (2022). Video games can improve cognitive performance. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 1(6), 295–296.
- Scott, F., Marsh, J., Murris, K. et al. (2023a). An ecological perspective on children's play with digital technologies in South Africa and the United Kingdom. *International Journal of Play*, 12(3), 349-374.
- Scott, F., Parry, B., Marsh, J. et al. (2023b). Addressing the whys of UK Children's YouTube use. *Social Media+Society*, 9(4).
- Scharkow, M., Festl, R., Vogelgesang, J. et al.(2015). Beyond the core-gamer. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 44, 293-298.
- Snodgrass, J.G., Dengah, H.F., Polzer, E. et al. (2019). Intensive online videogame involvement. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 56(4), 748-774.
- Van Rooij, A.J., Daneels, R., Liu, S. et al. (2017). Children's motives to start, continue, and stop playing video games. *Current Addiction Reports*, 4, 323-332.
- Vigato, M. and Babić, T. (2021). Research on gamer motivation factors based on the gamer motivation model framework. In 2021 44th International Convention on Information, Communication and Electronic Technology (730-735). IEEE.
- Vuorre, M., Przybylski, A.K., Orben, A. et al. (2022). Video game play is positively correlated with well-being. *Royal Society Open Science*, 9(2), 202049.
- Wack, E. and Tantleff-Dunn, S. (2009). Relationships between electronic game play, obesity, and psychosocial functioning in young men. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 12(2), 241-244.
- Weisner, T.S. (2002). Ecocultural understanding of children's developmental pathways. *Human development*, 45(4), 275-281.
- Yang, C.C. and Liu, D. (2017). Motives matter. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 20(1), 52–57.

List of figures

Figure 1: Overview of study approach, data and analysis

Figure 2: Research visits, timing, data generation and equipment

Figure 3: Deductive coding framework

Figure 4: Excerpts and coding examples

Figure 5: Eight aspects of subjective well-being well-designed digital play can support

Figure 6: Eleven contextualised drivers of children's digital play

Figure 7: Mapping of children's digital play drivers and past models of motivation