



UWL REPOSITORY

repository.uwl.ac.uk

Advancing ESG reporting through life cycle assessment in semiconductor manufacturing: tools, frameworks, and opportunities

Leo, C.P., Yu, Kok Hwa, Jaafar, Mariatti, Dao Ho., Ngo Anh and Saeed, Nagham ORCID logo ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5124-7973> (2025) Advancing ESG reporting through life cycle assessment in semiconductor manufacturing: tools, frameworks, and opportunities. In: The 13 International Conference on Robotics, Vision, Signal Processing and Power Applications (RoViSP 2025), 2-3 Sept 2025, Penang, Malaysia. (Submitted)

This is the Presentation of the final output.

UWL repository link: <https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/14051/>

Alternative formats: If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: open.research@uwl.ac.uk

Copyright: Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy: If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at open.research@uwl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Rights Retention Statement:



RoViSP 2025
The 13th International Conference
on Robotics, Vision, Signal Processing and
Power Applications

Advancing Environmental, Social Governmental (ESG) Reporting through Life Cycle Assessment in Semiconductor Manufacturing

C.P. Leo^{1,*}, Kok Hwa Yu¹, Mariatti Jaafar¹, Ngo Anh Dao Ho²,
Naghham Saeed³


¹Universiti Sains Malaysia

²Ton Duc Thang University

³University of West London



Global & Regional Semiconductor Highlights




ESG Reporting Standards in Semiconductor Industry

Standard / Regulation	Scope	Applicability	Ref.
Global Reporting Initiative (GRI)	Broad sustainability disclosure (environmental, social, governance)	Encourages transparency in energy, emissions, and supply chain impacts	[1]
Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB)	Industry-specific ESG metrics	Provides tailored metrics for hardware and semiconductor companies	[2]
Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)	Climate-related financial risk and governance disclosure	Helps assess and report carbon risks in operations and value chain	[3]
Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) (EU)	Mandatory ESG reporting for large companies operating in the EU	Requires EU-based fabs and suppliers to report in a standardised format	[4]

ESG Reporting Standards in Semiconductor Industry

Standard / Regulation	Scope	Applicability	Ref.
Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP)	Voluntary disclosure of carbon, water, and forest risks	Semiconductor firms report emissions and energy sourcing transparency	[5]
Greenhouse Gas Protocol (GHG Protocol)	Emissions accounting across Scope 1, 2, and 3	Enables tracking of fab emissions, purchased electricity, and suppliers	[6]
ISO 14001: Environmental Management Systems	Certification for environmental management practices	Promotes systematic control of environmental impacts in manufacturing	[7]
Responsible Business Alliance (RBA) Code of Conduct	Standards for labour, ethics, environment, and health/safety	Widely adopted in the electronics supply chain	[8]
Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) (EU)	Method for measuring the environmental performance of products	Supports LCA-based evaluation of semiconductor components	[9]

GHG Protocol – Emission Scopes



Major elements of ESG reporting (GRI)




Fig. 1. Major elements of ESG report according to GRI standards [1].

Life Cycle Assessment for the Semiconductor Industry

Role of LCA

- Identifies carbon hotspots and optimizes resource use.
- Addresses Scope 1–3 emissions with better accuracy.
- Enhances compliance with regulations like the EU Green Claims Directive.
- Supports eco-design and sustainable manufacturing strategies.
- The ISO 14040 standard introduces LCA and contains applicable definitions and background information.
- The ISO 14044 describes the process of conducting an LCA.



Comparative Analysis of Semiconductor Companies' ESG Strategies

Company	Key ESG Initiatives & Highlights
Intel	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- RISE 2030 strategy embedding ESG into business operations- Aggressive net-zero target by 2040- Investments in renewable energy and advanced water reuse initiatives [10]
TSMC	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Rigorous supplier ESG audits- ISO-certified environmental and safety systems- Dedicated human rights reporting- Internal ESG innovation programs [11]
Samsung Semiconductor	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- ESG disclosures aligned with GRI and TCFD- Focus on energy efficiency and responsible materials sourcing- Strong emissions monitoring practices [12]
GlobalFoundries	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Emphasis on environmental health & safety, diversity, and equity- Recognized ESG ratings- Roadmap to achieve net-zero emissions by 2050 [13]
Micron	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Major strides in emissions reduction- Target of 100% renewable energy in key regions by 2025- Active in circular economy initiatives (e.g., Semiconductor Climate Consortium) [14]

Past LCA Studies for Semiconductor Industry

Study (Author, Year)	Focus / Methodology	Key Findings
Deng et al. [17]	Hybrid economic-input-output LCA for laptops	Identified energy-intensive processes in IC packaging; highlighted uncertainties in data and boundary definitions
Asadi et al. [18]	Comprehensive LCA framework for semiconductor value chain	Considered upstream material extraction, fabrication, and end-of-life stages
Huang et al. [19]	Parametric carbon footprint model for wafer fabrication	Technology nodes, mask layers, and metal layers identified as key predictors of emissions
Belkhir & Elmeligi [20]	Broad LCA of ICT industry	Estimated global carbon footprint of ICT sector
Kang et al. [21]	Life cycle analysis of smartphones in China using site-specific data	Found component manufacturing (esp. semiconductor devices) dominated environmental impacts
Sivaraman et al. [22]	Modular carbon footprint modeling tool for semiconductor facilities (regression-based)	Enabled real-time emissions tracking, sensitivity analysis, and gate-to-gate scenario evaluation for process optimisation and ESG reporting

Past LCA Studies for Semiconductor Industry

Study (Author, Year)	Focus / Methodology	Key Findings
Liu et al. [23]	Environmental implications of nanomaterials in electronics	Showed nanomaterials both improve performance and reduce energy/material footprints; critical for sustainable next-gen semiconductor manufacturing
Microsoft LCA v2.1 [24]	AI-driven, digitalized LCA methodology integrating supplier data, IMEC inventory, and full material declarations	Allowed precise, part-level cradle-to-grave impact assessments; improved hotspot identification and low-carbon design strategies
Schischke et al. [25] & Proske et al. [26]	Development of Product Category Rule (PCR) for electronics	Critiqued ISO 14040/44 and EN 50693; supported harmonized data collection and reporting across manufacturers and suppliers
Liu et al. [27]	Empirical validation of lifecycle emissions for smartphones and data servers	Confirmed dominant impact of semiconductor fabrication; stressed importance of Scope 3 data integration

Conclusions

LCA in the Semiconductor Industry: Challenges, Opportunities & Future

Challenges

- Inconsistent data
- Complex supply chains
- Scope 3 quantification

Opportunities

- Semiconductor-specific PCRs
- Standardized data platforms
- Supplier engagement

Enablers

- Digitalization & AI
- Real-time LCA tracking
- Credible ESG reporting

Future Outlook

- Harmonized standards
- Better Scope 3 data
- Supply chain collaboration

→ **Positioning the semiconductor industry as a leader in green manufacturing**

References

1. Global Reporting Initiative: GRI Standards. <https://www.globalreporting.org>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
2. SASB: Semiconductors Sustainability Accounting Standard. Value Reporting Foundation. <https://sasb.org>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
3. TCFD: Recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures. <https://www.fsb-tcf.org>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
4. European Commission: Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD). <https://ec.europa.eu>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
5. Carbon Disclosure Project: CDP Disclosure Framework. <https://www.cdp.net>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
6. World Resources Institute, World Business Council for Sustainable Development: GHG Protocol Corporate Standard. <https://ghgprotocol.org>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
7. International Organization for Standardization: ISO 14001:2015 Environmental management systems — Requirements with guidance for use. <https://www.iso.org>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
8. Responsible Business Alliance: RBA Code of Conduct. <https://www.responsiblebusiness.org>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
9. European Commission: Recommendation on the use of common methods to measure and communicate the life cycle environmental performance of products and organisations. <https://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
10. Intel Corporation: Intel ESG Strategy (RISE 2030). <https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/corporate-responsibility/rise.html>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
11. TSMC: ESG Highlights and Human Rights Report. <https://esg.tsmc.com/download/e-humanrightsreport>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
12. Samsung Electronics: Environmental Policy. <https://semiconductor.samsung.com/sustainability/environmental-policy/>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
13. GlobalFoundries: ESG Report. <https://gf.com/about-us/environmental-social-governance>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
14. GlobalFoundries: Corporate Responsibility. <https://gf.com>, last accessed 2025/08/11.
15. Micron Technology: ESG and Sustainability Report 2023. <https://www.micron.com/about/esg>, last accessed 2025/08/11.

16. International Organization for Standardization: ISO 14040:2006 — Environmental management — Life cycle assessment — Principles and framework. ISO, Geneva (2006).
17. International Organization for Standardization: ISO 14044:2006 — Environmental management — Life cycle assessment — Requirements and guidelines. ISO, Geneva (2006).
18. Deng, L., Babbitt, C.W., Williams, E., Matthews, H.S.: Economic-balance hybrid LCA extended with uncertainty analysis: Case study of a laptop computer. *J. Clean. Prod.* 107, 319–331 (2015).
19. Asadi, S., Asadi, E., Wong, K.V.: A sustainability framework for the semiconductor manufacturing industry. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 22, 17159–17171 (2015).
20. Huang, Y.-F., Shiue, A., Chen, C.-W., Hsu, C.-C., Chen, C.-F.: Parametric carbon foot-print model for semiconductor wafer fabrication. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 23, 17288–17296 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6871-6>
21. Belkhir, L., Elmeligi, A.: Assessing ICT global emissions footprint: Trends to 2040 & recommendations. *J. Clean. Prod.* 177, 448–463 (2018).
22. Kang, J., Lee, S., Hwang, Y.: Life cycle assessment of a smartphone. *J. Clean. Prod.* 241, 118404 (2019).
23. Sivaraman, D., Uhl, M., Jenkins, K., Whitefoot, K.: A carbon footprint modeling tool for semiconductor industry applications. *Water Res. Ind.* 21, 100115 (2019).
24. Liu, X., Cao, Y., Yang, Y., Zhang, J.: Nanomaterials and electronics: Energy and environmental perspectives. *Nanomaterials* 11(5), 1085 (2021).
25. Vital, B., Roose, A., Ronacher, F.: LCA Methodology for Semiconductors and AI-enabled Electronics: Microsoft Methodology v2.1. Microsoft Research and IMEC (2024).
26. Schischke, K., Nissen, N.F., Liu, Z., Butz, R., Hameed, T., Proske, M.: Towards a Product Category Rule for Electronics. Fraunhofer IZM, TU Braunschweig (2024).
27. Proske, M., Schischke, K., Nissen, N.F., Liu, Z., Hameed, T.: Simplifying LCA in Electronics Supply Chains. Fraunhofer IZM (2024).
28. Liu, Z., Schischke, K., Nissen, N.F., Proske, M.: Lifecycle Carbon Footprinting of Electronic Products. TU Braunschweig, Fraunhofer IZM (2024).

Thank you!

If you have any question, please contact Project Lead

Prof. Ir. Dr. Leo Choe Peng

chcpleo@usm.my

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the Royal Academy of Engineering for providing Distinguished International Associates – Round 4 to support this work.