

UWL REPOSITORY

repository.uwl.ac.uk

Navigating the uncharted: a crisis response mix to creeping 'unknowns'

Paraskevas, Alexandros ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1556-5293 and Guix, Mireia (2023) Navigating the uncharted: a crisis response mix to creeping 'unknowns'. Tourism Management, 98. p. 104777. ISSN 0261-5177

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2023.104777

This is the Accepted Version of the final output.

UWL repository link: https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/9932/

Alternative formats: If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: <u>open.research@uwl.ac.uk</u>

Copyright:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy: If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at <u>open.research@uwl.ac.uk</u> providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Navigating the uncharted: a crisis response mix to creeping 'unknowns'

3 4

5 Abstract

6 Creeping crises have received limited attention in crisis management. With a backdrop 7 of COVID-19, we explore how tourism organisations can address unprecedented 8 creeping crises. We propose and test a creeping crisis response matrix qualitatively and 9 quantitatively by analysing 108 earnings calls from 22 hotel groups covering the first 16 10 months of the pandemic. Some cannot detect creeping crises during the incubation 11 periods or the later re-emergence, whereas early exposure gives an advantage in crisis 12 response. Contrary to conventional wisdom, organisational responses to unknown crises 13 are not always reactive, with organisations deploying a varied mix of responses 14 (reactive, adaptive, protective and proactive) even in the early stages of a crisis. As the 15 framing of the crisis improves, crisis responses shift from survival to full-on experimentation, to response by design and then to response by protocol. The proposed 16 17 matrix can be used as a response roadmap for navigating future, unknown, creeping 18 crises.

19

20 Keywords: creeping crisis, crisis response, COVID-19, determinism, unknown-

21 unknowns, crisis management.

22 **1. Introduction**

23

24 The advent of the COVID-19 pandemic triggered a large number of hospitality and 25 tourism studies of the phenomenon and its impact on the sector. Regardless of the valuable contributions, some scholars contend that "theoretical advancements and 26 27 managerial implications of such research should not be sacrificed at the expense of 28 research opportunities that the context of COVID-19 presents" (Prayang 2020, p.183). 29 Others expect this research to underpin new theoretical and operational paradigms that 30 go "well beyond what is envisioned by traditional theories of crisis management, 31 communication and recovery" (Zopiatis et al., 2021, p.279). In light of these comments, 32 this study seeks to use the pandemic as the backdrop to explore crisis management from 33 an angle that meets the expectations of both these views.

34

35 Seen as a developmental process with root causes, an incubation phase, an acute phase, 36 and an aftermath, the COVID-19 pandemic can be classified as a transboundary 37 creeping crisis (Boin et al., 2021b). This crisis stretched over a long period of time and 38 exceeded the geographical, policy, cultural, public-private and legal boundaries that 39 would normally enable organisations to manage such a crisis. Creeping crises have not 40 received much attention in crisis management research although they present some 41 unique characteristics when compared to abrupt crises (Hwang & Lichtenthal, 2000). 42 Creeping crises are similar to the notion of a slow burning, python-type crisis (Pforr &

42 Creeping crises are similar to the notion of a slow burning, python-type crisis (F 43 Hosie, 2008) in that they have a long incubation time, and their escalation is

- 44 unpredictable, yet are different from Python crises in that they may keep simmering
- 45 long after their acute phase is over. What seems like the acute phase in a creeping crisis
- 46 may only be a precursor either to further escalation or to a gradual resolution of the
- 47 crisis. Creeping crises do not have a clear beginning, or a clear end and they are

48 unprecedented or even 'inconceivable' (Dror et al., 2001). They may also remain 49 undetected for a while or be recognised as threatening but be insufficiently addressed 50 (Boin et al., 2021b). In contrast to creeping crises, abrupt crises are viewed as discreet 51 events, usually characterised as fast burning, cobra-type situations (Pforr & Hosie, 2008) and are clearly delineated with a beginning and an end (Boin et al., 2020a). 52 53 Conventional crisis management thinking expects proactive risk management measures 54 to prevent these crises before they manifest themselves and reactive crisis management 55 actions to contain them and limit their damage once they erupt and escalate (Paraskevas 56 & Quek, 2019; Ritchie, 2008). But while the 'next pandemic' generally features on 57 everybody's risk register, COVID-19's "creeping" characteristics posed novel and 58 complex challenges, even to those well-versed in the management of "acute" crises 59 (Boin et al., 2020b, p.190), which raises the question of "how prepared are we for an 60 'unknown unknown'?" (p.199).

61

62 Bringing this question to the tourism industry context, how can tourism organisations 63 address a creeping crisis when: (a) they often cannot detect the crisis when it is in the incubation phase because they don't know it exists or cannot even imagine its existence; 64 (b) they cannot prevent the crisis from erupting using proactive risk management; and 65 66 (c) their crisis management plans will be insufficient if/when the crisis does escalate? 67 We attempt, empirically, to answer this question by analysing the COVID-19 responses of 22 hotel groups (each listed in the NYSE and/or NASDAQ) as presented in 108 68 69 transcripts of their quarterly earnings calls to investors during the first 16 months of the 70 pandemic. By bringing together three well-established strategic frameworks (Rumsfeld 71 Matrix, Choice and Determinism Matrix and Cynefin framework), we developed a crisis 72 response matrix in which we plotted the crisis response choices made by the 22 73 organisations as their understandings of the crisis evolved with time. With this matrix, 74 we respond to Ritchie & Jiang (2019) who call for further development in conceptual 75 model building, testing and refinement through empirical studies and, in this case, 76 through more theoretically-informed COVID-19 research (Zenker & Kock, 2020).We 77 argue that our matrix can be used as a potential roadmap for tourism organisations to 78 develop or enhance the crisis management capabilities and repertoire of responses 79 necessary to address unknown creeping crises and other emerging crises in the future. 80

81 2. Theoretical Background

82 Boin et al. (2021a) argue that there is a need for a process-oriented focus on the 83 complexity of creeping crises, including their non-linear evolution and sudden 84 manifestations. The key characteristic of a creeping crisis is the absence of attention it 85 receives, which stems from a lack of understanding of the threat it poses when it is in 86 incubation, until its damage potential passes a tipping point that marks the threshold 87 where it is recognised. By this point in time, in almost every case, the crisis is in the 88 acute phase. But as Boin et al. (2020a, p.125) point out: "attention is one thing, but what 89 really counts is a response". In the absence of verified knowledge, a planned crisis 90 response cannot work since the way in which the crisis will evolve is unpredictable and 91 uncontrollable. Consequently, organisations are subject to external environmental forces 92 (which cause the sudden manifestation of a creeping crisis) and have limited ability to 93 react. In strategic management language, this translates to high environmental 94 determinism and low strategic choice (Bourgeois, 1984). The extent of environmental 95 determinism, combined with an organisation's crisis response choices, will define its 96 behaviours at the different phases of a creeping crisis. A useful framework for a

- 97 process-oriented analysis of a creeping crisis would be Hrebiniak and Joyce's (1985)
- 98 Adaptive Matrix in which they consider determinism and choice as orthogonal,
- 99 independent constructs instead of two ends of a unidimensional continuum. Their 2X2
- 100 matrix consists of four quadrants in which the organisation experiences the following
- 101 conditions: Quadrant I: High determinism and low strategic choice; Quadrant II: High
- 102 determinism and high strategic choice; Quadrant III: Low determinism and high
- strategic choice and Quadrant IV: Low determinism and low strategic choice. In a crisis situation, there is always a negotiation between the environment (the crisis) and the
- 105 crisis management team in the organisation (crisis response choice).
- 106

107 One factor that defines the level of environmental determinism in a crisis situation is the 108 knowledge the organisation has about the crisis it is responding to. Pandemics have 109 been on the radar of risk managers as low-probability, high-impact contingencies for a 110 few decades now and diseases like SARS (followed by Ebola, H1N1, Zika and others) 111 have been viewed as forerunners of things to come, with experts warning that the next pandemic was overdue (e.g., Baekkeskov & Rubin, 2014). Yet, in the case of the 112 113 COVID-19 pandemic, all organisations, including the very institutions designated to 114 respond to such a risk, were initially found to be in a situation of non-response because 115 they could not imagine, nor predict, a crisis of such magnitude. Van der Heijden (2005, 116 p. 93), in his seminal work on scenario planning, talks about "unknowables, where we cannot even imagine the event". This unknowable is a state of risk knowledge that 117 118 complements the three categories of threats described by the US Secretary of Defence, 119 Donald Rumsfeld, and referenced in the risk forecasting literature as the 'Rumsfeld 120 Matrix' (de Valk & Goldbach, 2021), namely: i) things we know we know (known-121 knowns); ii) things we know we do not know (known-unknowns); and iii) things we do 122 not know we do not know (unknown-unknowns). An organisation's crisis response will 123 vary across the four quadrants of the Adaptive Matrix depending on its knowledge of 124 the threat, with unknowable-unknowns and unknown-unknowns implying lower 125 predictability and controllability and, therefore, high environmental determinism. In 126 situations with unknown-knowns and known-knowns, there is higher predictability and 127 controllability and, therefore, low environmental determinism.

128

129 Strategic choice is the organisational decision-making and available strategic options at 130 a given time (Hrebiniak and Joyce's, 1985). The types of strategic choice -what 131 organisations can control and affect- vary significantly between quadrants upon the organisation-environment context dynamic. Environmental determinism refers to 132 133 factors that influence organisational decision-making. There are few viable strategic 134 choices available to organisations in Quadrant I. Autonomy is low due to powerful 135 external constraints delimiting choice toward organisational efforts to alter 136 dependencies on the environment. In Quadrant II, the number of strategic choices 137 available is medium, while is highest in Quadrant III, as the choice coexists with 138 externally generated constraints. The type of strategic choice varies significantly given 139 the distinct environmental conditions in Quadrant II and III, as organisations would 140 move to Quadrant III only when strategic choice reduces its vulnerabilities and enables 141 them to gain additional influence over the environment. In Quadrant IV, despite a lack 142 of threat in a relatively "placid" environment, there are few strategic choices available 143 due to internal constraints such as insufficient or inadequate capabilities that inhibit 144 decision-making and prevent the organisation from acting. 145

146 Another useful concept in the exploration of the relationship between environmental 147 determinism and creeping crisis response is the nature of the environment during the 148 crisis's manifestation. The Cynefin Framework (Snowden and Boone, 2007) 149 distinguishes between unordered environments (with no clearly understandable links 150 between causes and effects) and ordered environments with clearly understandable 151 links. The framework further divides environments into chaotic, complex, complicated 152 and simple (or obvious), connecting each one with behavioural patterns and 153 recommendations for problem solving. Chaotic and complex contexts are unordered and 154 require responses based on emerging patterns, whereas complicated and obvious 155 contexts assume a better-informed understanding of the situation and allow for fact-156 based responses (Snowden and Boone, 2007). A completely new and 'unknown' crisis 157 situation would be a 'complex' crisis but as more knowledge about the crisis is gained, crisis responses would become better-informed, and the crisis would gradually become 158 159 'complicated' before entering the realm of 'obvious'. For example, in the face of a 160 cascading disaster situation (earthquake, tsunami, nuclear disaster) following the Tohoku earthquake in 2011, individual Japanese ministries and agencies – including the 161 162 National Police Agency, the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, 163 the Fire and Disaster Management Agency, the Self Defence Force and the Coast Guard 164 - launched their own response efforts, operating mostly independently from each other 165 in the prefectural capitals of Fukushima, Miyagi, and Iwate thus increasing the 166 complexity of the crisis and the crisis response (Shimizu, 2012). Once a common 167 situational awareness was achieved, they were still facing a complicated crisis situation 168 of three disasters, but the response efforts were better coordinated by shared knowledge 169 and understanding with all actors moving to the same direction. 170 171 Bringing these three frameworks together gives a starting point for analysis of a 172 creeping crisis response, as summarised in Figure 1. 173 174 175 176

177 Fig. 1: An analytical framework for creeping crisis response



ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINISM (number of influencing factors)

179

178

180

181 **3. Research Design**

182 This study adopted a mixed-method approach with qualitative content analysis of the 183 earnings calls of 22 organisations, followed by quantitative analysis to confirm and 184 enrich the proposed creeping crisis response framework. Earnings calls are widely 185 recognized voluntary disclosures of organisations listed in the stock market providing 186 investors with useful and relevant information (Matsumoto et al., 2011). They are 187 divided in two parts. The first part is the corporate presentation that consists of the 188 organisation's quarterly financial and operational performance presentation by 189 corporate executives to the investment community. In the second part, Questions & 190 Answers, financial analysts have the opportunity to ask questions to the executives, who 191 have to answer them immediately. In contrast to the 'static' and scripted nature of 192 formal documents (annual reports, press releases and SEC filings), the earnings call is 193 more 'dynamic' and less prescribed with executives' views emerging more 194 spontaneously (Blau et al., 2015). They were deemed, therefore, an appropriate and 195 reliable source for our study. The study took a novel approach in terms of: (a) the data 196 set selected: published accounts of crisis response strategies that remain underutilised in 197 the crisis management literature (Ritchie & Jiang, 2019); and (b) methods of analysis: 198 beyond the predominant quantitative research (Wut et al., 2021). A purposive, criterion-199 based approach was used to select 22 hotel organisations (hotel brands/casinos and hotel 200 real estate investment trusts (REITs)) operating in diverse geographies (with and

5

High

201 without exposure to the Chinese market). The top 10 organisations were selected based 202 on their market capitalisation data, which was drawn from the New York Stock 203 Exchange (NYSE) and the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated 204 Quotations (NASDAQ) company listings. GreenTree Hospitality and Melco were also 205 selected to complement the Huazhu Group, which was already in the list, to gain a 206 richer perspective from hotel organisations with sole exposure in the Chinese market 207 (Table 1). For each of the 22 organisations, we reviewed five quarterly earnings calls used by the organisations' senior management to communicate with investors, from Q4 208 209 2019 (when COVID-19 was an 'unknowable unknown' crisis) to Q4-2020 (when the 210 pandemic moved to a more 'known' realm).

211 212

213 214

215

Hotel REITs
• Apple Hospitality
• Diamondrock Hospitality
• Host Hotels & Resorts
Park Hotels & Resorts
Pebblebrook Hotel Trust
RLJ Lodging Trust
• Ryman Hospitality
Service Properties Trust
Sunstone Hotel Investors
Xenia Hotels & Resorts

Table 1: Analysed hotel groups

216

217 Textual analysis of quarterly earnings calls is a novel research approach that has recently been used by researchers in the fields of management, accounting and finance 218 (e.g., Bochkay et al., 2020; Mangalaraj et al., 2021). The analysis conducted by this 219 220 study used the entire conference calls, including both the presentations and the question-221 and-answer sessions, and adapted the approach introduced by Hassan et al. (2019) by 222 doing both a qualitative and quantitative analysis of the coded text segments. A total of 223 108 of the 110 earnings calls were analysed, distributed across five quarters; it was not 224 possible to obtain two of the quarterly earnings calls from one of the organisations in 225 the sample. In one of our robustness checks we dropped that organisation for the analysis, and we observed no significant change in the results. We can conclude that the 226 lack of two quarters does not significantly differentiate the findings from the data 227 collected from the remaining 108 transcripts. The analysis period (from Q4 2019 to Q4-228

2020) was selected based on the timeline of events related with the spread of the virusand the international response to it (Appendix 1).

231

Qualitative analysis: understanding of crisis, perceived environmental determinism and crisis response choice

234

235 The purpose of this analysis was to evaluate, from the way the hotel groups' C-suites 236 talked to their investment communities in the earnings calls, the levels of understanding 237 of the C-suites regarding COVID-19 as a crisis including how they perceived 238 environmental determinism at each period and the types of response strategies they adopted. In this research, the strategic choice is framed under crisis response, and thus, 239 240 it refers to the number and type of strategic crisis response choices available to 241 organisations. We employed the text analytics software MAXQDA© to perform manual 242 qualitative content analysis and followed the Gioia methodology to ensure qualitative 243 rigour with a series of iterative stages of inductive and deductive reasoning (Gioia et al., 244 2013). Following convention, independent coding on a sample of nine earnings calls 245 was initially performed, diverging opinions were discussed and consensus was achieved 246 and, then, independent coding continued. Researcher-centric initial data coding of first-247 order terms was employed and codes were grouped into abstract second-order themes. 248 The researchers met several times to reach maximum consensual interpretation of the 249 overall results, distilling the results into overarching dimensions.

250

Quantitative analysis: Perceived environmental determinism and crisis response choice
 across time

Once the qualitative data was generated, with 6,544 text segments coded, all 1st-order themes were transformed into binary quantitative data, based on whether each 1st-order term was present (score of 1) or not present (score of 0) in each earnings call. If a 1storder theme was repeated in a transcript, it was counted only once. Unfavourable environmental factors were given a positive sign as those increased environmental determinism. Conversely, favourable factors were given a negative sign.

260

261 Strategic choice was framed as crisis response strategies, and consequently, it refers to 262 the number and type of strategic crisis responses available to organisations. Response 263 strategies in each earnings call were coded inductively and deductively and then codes 264 were grouped into abstract second-order themes, initially labelled as 'reactive' and 265 'proactive'. The qualitative information was then translated into a binary score for each 266 1st-order and 2nd-order theme, based on whether each type of strategy was present 267 (score of 1) or not (score of 0) in each transcript, which enabled later the quantitative 268 analysis.

269

270 In the case of strategic choice, we calculate a "strategy mix" for each organistion and 271 period. We calculated the percentage of each strategic choice (reactive, adaptive, 272 protective, and proactive) out of the total number of strategies implemented. The thresholds for environmental determinism (X-axis) and crisis response choice (Y-axis) 273 274 were then calculated on a 2x2 matrix (like Hrebiniak and Joyce's (1985) Adaptive 275 Matrix) based on the average scores of the two axes' factors across all 108 earnings 276 calls. The sample organisations were then plotted on the matrix and changes across 277 periods were monitored, to identify their aggregate movement across the quadrants per 278 period. Using Stata v.16A software, a simple linear regression analysis of these two

variables was performed to provide empirical confirmation of the movement of the
organisations across the matrix. Simple linear regressions were also applied to explore
how the type of an organisation (brand, casino or REIT) and its exposure to the Chinese
market affected its level of perceived environmental determinism (based on the number
of factors mentioned in its calls) and the number of crisis responses adopted.

283

285 To monitor the types of crisis responses the sample organisations chose to implement while moving across the matrix, we calculated a crisis response mix for each period and 286 287 organisation type based on the percentage of crisis response type over the total number 288 of crisis responses. Multiple linear regression analysis with period fixed effects on the 289 crisis response mix enabled an evaluation of the evolution of crisis response over time 290 and per matrix quadrant. Both regressions were controlled by: i) organisation type; and 291 ii) exposure or non-exposure to the Chinese market. Multiple paired t-tests were also 292 performed to identify the predominant type of crisis response per period and per 293 quadrant. 294

4. Results

4.1. Environmental determinism, strategic choice and understanding of risk

4.1.1. Perceived environmental determinism

299 300

298

296

The analysis with regards to external factors shaping the degree of environmental
 determinism in the period under investigation produced three aggregate dimensions of
 determinism (Appendix 2, 1A):

- Factors directly related to the COVID-19 virus and its spread (in 251 text segments) with two second-order themes: infection levels and consecutive waves.
- Factors increasing uncertainty and determinism (1,307 segments), often labelled as 'headwinds' by some C-suite executives, with five second-order themes: imposition of restrictions, changing business / leisure demand, disrupted supply chains, disrupted construction activity and liquidity drag.
 Factors decreasing uncertainty and determinism (976 segments), labelled as
 - 3. Factors decreasing uncertainty and determinism (976 segments), labelled as 'tailwinds' in many earnings calls, with five second-order themes: protection and treatment advances, easing of restrictions, government support schemes, return of consumer confidence and industry re-structure.
- 314 315

312 313

4.1.2. Choice of crisis response strategies

316 317

318 The analysis initially looked at a pattern of proactive from the past – reactive to the 319 present – proactive for the future response strategies as supported by the generic crisis 320 management literature. It soon emerged, however, that there was a need for a different 321 and more elaborate classification of crisis response strategies (Appendix 2, 2B). This 322 shift from the binary reactive vs proactive classification of strategies has also been 323 implemented in the field of psychology and the Coping Theory in stress and crisis 324 management which offers a wider range of 'coping strategies' (Schwarzer and 325 Schwarzer, 1996; Schwarzer & Luszczynska, 2008) beyond proactive and reactive. In 326 this study, the first type was *reactive* crisis response strategies, referring to efforts to 327 deal with the ongoing unknown risk, and was classified in six second-order themes: 328 maintaining business revenues, cutting operational costs, managing capacity, securing

330 in 2,316 segments). As the first wave of the pandemic started subsiding, still 331 constrained by the crisis, organisations adopted response strategies characterised as 332 adaptive because they aimed to prepare the organisations for an imminent second wave 333 and focused on living with the pandemic. These strategies were classified in three 334 second-order themes: re-engineering of operations; restructuring resources for 335 efficiency and re-shaping stakeholder relations (15 first-order themes in 280 segments). Another set of crisis response strategies aimed for *protection* of the organisations by 336 337 building up general resilience resources that would result in operational process 338 flexibility and less strain from a crisis in the immediate term and the future. These 339 strategies were classified in four second-order themes: enhancing epidemic data-driven 340 decision making; adapting operations to new customer needs; revisioning business 341 practices; negotiating innovative business models (22 first order themes in 626 342 segments). A final set of strategies was geared towards building up longer-term general 343 resources that would facilitate movement toward the organisations' new strategic 344 visions. *Proactive* in nature, these strategies were focused on strengthening the 345 organisations' resilience to future crises and its ability to grow even under adverse 346 situations. This gave rise to four second-order themes: strategic business 347 transformation; securing financial resilience; cost structure re-engineering; and new stakeholder agreements (18 first order themes, 788 segments).

liquidity, supporting stakeholders and ensuring health and safety (47 first-order themes

348 349

329

350 4.1.3. Environmental Determinism and Strategic Choice by Period

351 352 Figure 2 depicts the results for each of the five periods. In the five matrices, the X-axis 353 shows the number of perceived factors of environmental determinism and the Y-Axis 354 the number of strategic choices (crisis response strategies) adopted by the hotel groups 355 in the sample. The blue lines are the thresholds that define the quadrants in the matrix 356 and are the sample averages of factors of environmental determinism and the number of 357 crisis response strategies for all the periods considered in the analysis. The dotted lines 358 indicate the sample averages in each period and the red dots represent the average 359 location in the matrix of all hotel groups in the sample. The hotel groups are depicted by 360 type (Brands, Casinos, REITs) and by their exposure to China, as this appeared to be a 361 significant factor affecting their initial reactions. 362

- 362 363
- 363 364
- 365

Fig. 2: Results by period

- 367
- 368

Period 0, December 2019 to March 2020











Period 2, July to September 2020









Period 4, January to March 2021



- 399 Simple linear regression analysis showed that both perceived environmental
- 400 determinism and the number of crisis response strategies adopted by the hotel groups in
- 401 the samples changed during the first year of the pandemic and that the movement across
- 402 the Adaptive Matrix's quadrants, in the different periods investigated, was as expected
- 403 (Table 2).
- 404

Table 2: Simple linear regression model of environmental determinism and strategic choice (estimates OLS)

407

	Adaptive Matrix	: Horizontal Axis	Adaptive Matrix: Vertical Axis N° of Strategic Choices	
		ntal Determinism tors		
Period 0	-0.058 [0.793]	1.512 [0.793] ⁻	-19.095 [2.400]***	-13.333 [2.400]***
Period 1	[omitted]	1.571 [0.802]*	[omitted]	5.761 [2.428]**
Period 2	0.896 [0.793]	2.467 [0.793]***	-2.004 [2.400]	3.757 [2.400]-
Period 3	-1.571 [0.802]*	[omitted]	-5.761 [2.428]**	[omitted]
Period 4	-1.785 [0.793]**	-0.214 [0.793]	-4.140 [2.400]*	1.621 [2.400]
N° of observations	108	108	108	108
R ²	0.1394	0.1394	0.4370	0.4370

- 408 Confidence level (two-tail test): 99% (***), 95% (**), 90% (*), 85% (⁻).
- 409

410 Looking at Figure 2, in conjunction with Table 2, the number of perceived

411 environmental determinism factors can be seen to change across the different periods

412 (horizonal axis) as expected (low-high-high-low) with statistical significance. Hotel

413 groups moved to the right of the threshold as the perceived environmental determinism 414 increased in the first months of the crisis and then went back to the left side of the

414 increased in the first months of the crisis and then went back to the left side of the 415 threshold when the perceived environmental determinism gradually decreased. More

416 specifically, whilst it increased in periods 0, 1 and 2, the perceived environmental

417 determinism was not significantly different between these periods. Hotel groups

- 418 perceived statistically more environmental determinism in periods 1 and 2 than in
- 419 periods 3 and 4, with those two periods not being statistically different from each other.
- 420

The number of crisis response strategies adopted across the different periods (vertical axis) also changed, as expected, with statistical significance. Hotel groups in period 0 adopted a significantly low number of strategies (below the threshold). The number of strategies then moved above the threshold in period 1, adopting a statistically higher number of responses than was observed in periods 2, 3 and 4, whereas the strategies in these last three periods did not show significant statistical difference between any of these three periods.

428

Tables 3 and 4 present a simple linear regression model of perceived environmental
determinism factors and choice of crisis response by type of hotel group and by
exposure to China respectively.

432

433

434

Table 3: Simple linear regression model of perceived environmental determinism

- factors and crisis response choices by type of hotel group (estimates OLS)

	Period 0	Period 1	Period 2	Perio	od 3	Perie	od 4
	Factors of Environmental determinism						
Brands	4 [1.227]***	-0.253 [1.495]	-0.555 [1.323]	1.428 [1.209]		-0.347 [0.894]	[omitted]
Casinos	3.4 [1.408] **	1.088 [1.655]	-0.755 [1.519]	1 [1.338]		1.777 [1.027]*	2.125 [1.049]*
REITs	[omitted]	[omitted]	[omitted]	[omitted]		[omitted]	0.3472 [0.894]
Earnings Calls Analysed ^a	22	21	22	21		22	22
R ²	0.3875	0.0349	0.0157	0.0763		0.1896	0.1896
		С	risis respon	se Choices			
Brands	5.388 [2.526]**	[omitted]	0.5 [4.852]	-1.285 [2.886]	[omitted]	4.013 [3.625]	[omitted]
Casinos	4.088 [2.900]	-7.285 [4.838] ⁻	-4.8 [5.570]	-9.4 [3.195]***	-8.114 [3.354]*	-7.111 [4.161] ⁻	-11.125 [4.253]**
REITs	[omitted]	-1.063 [4.164]	[omitted]	[omitted]	1.285 [2.886]	[omitted]	-4.013 [3.625]
Earnings Calls Analysed ^a	22	21	22	21	21	22	22
R ²	0.2048	0.1245	0.0497	0.3398	0.3398	0.2649	0.2649

439

^a 2 Accor earnings calls missing Confidence level (two-tail test): 99% (***), 95% (**), 90% (*), 85% (⁻).

Table 4: Simple linear regression model of perceived environmental determinism

factors and crisis response choices by exposure to China (estimates OLS)

	Period 0	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4		
	Factors of Environmental determinism						
Exposure	3.909 [1.017]***	0.6 [1.277]	-0.909 [1.123]	1.118 [1.030]	0.454 [0.844]		
Earnings Calls Analysed ^a	22	21	22	21	22		
R ²	0.4248	0.0115	0.0317	0.0583	0.0143		
	Crisis response Choices						
Exposure	6.727 [1.899]***	-2.663 [3.705]	-1.818 [4.237]	-3.309 [2.900]	1.909 [3.591]		
Earnings Calls Analysed ^a	22	21	22	21	22		
R ²	0.3853	0.0265	0.0091	0.0641	0.0139		

^a 2 Accor earnings calls missing 445 446 Confidence level (two-tail test): 99% (***), 95% (**), 90% (*), 85% (-).

447 **4.2. Qualitative findings**

448 449 4.2.1. Period 0 (December 2019 to March 2020): Denial and confusion at the edge 450 of chaos

451

452 In Period 0, before the pandemic was declared, the C-Suites' levels of understanding of 453 COVID-19 were varied. The hotel brands and casino groups with exposure in China, 454 fully experienced the effects of the virus and directly combated it; their understanding 455 of the risk was more comprehensive and the language they used in the earnings calls was much more crisis-response-oriented. These C-Suites focused on the measures taken 456 457 by their government and the industry, and expressed their "sincere gratitude to the 458 Macau SAR government for their proactive and decisive response to contain the 459 spread" (Melco, 20 February 2020) and the "terrific job infront of battling against the 460 COVID-19" (Huazhu, 27 March 2020). The international brands with properties in 461 China showed caution with the "coronavirus situation" as, from mid-January, they 462 started to experience occupancy declines that gradually spread from Wuhan to other 463 markets in the Asia Pacific region. Hotels with Chinese exposure were predicting it 464 would last "around three to six months with an additional three to six month recovery 465 period" (Hilton, 11 February 2020). They relied on their limited knowledge of prior epidemics (SARS, Ebola, H1N1, Zika) and on the knowledge generated by their 466 467 properties in the frontline in China (IHG, 18 February 2020; Marriott 27 February 2020; 468 Hilton, 11 February 2020). These hotels had already moved into Quadrant I of the 469 Adaptive Matrix as they had already encountered the health crisis situation, but they 470 then started to realise that they were facing an 'unknowable unknown'. They took 471 measures informed by the crisis response in China "to minimize the negative financial impact on both our owners and on our financial results" (Hyatt, 20 February 2020). 472 473 Their reactions can be described as "carefully monitoring the situation" (Hilton, 11 474 February 2020; Hyatt, 20 February 2020), although the common belief among the C-475 suites of these hotel groups was "we don't think it's going to be significant in these 476 markets outside of Asia-Pacific" (Hyatt, 20 February 2020). This was a period of high 477 environmental determinism (since the hotel groups and casinos did not have control of 478 the external environment) and of very low strategic choice (since COVID-19 was still a 479 localised crisis in a region where the state dictated any courses of action). The REITs, 480 being more US-centric, talked about the crisis as something that was affecting only 481 China and their Chinese inbound customer base with minimal impact on their business 482 (Sunstone, 19 February 2020; Host, 20 February 2020). The REITs referred to the 483 situation as something "hard to ignore as it continues to dominate the headlines" but 484 that would "not change the paybook for now" (Park, 27 February 2020). Although the REITs referred to the risk mostly as a "health crisis", their perceptions varied from 485 486 "unknowable", "unpredictable" and "difficult to forecast" (Pebblebrook, 21 February 487 2020) to just a "hype" and "for want of a better word, paranoia" (Ryman, 25 February 488 2020). REITs in this period remained 'blissfully ignorant' or in denial, positioned in 489 Quadrant IV (low environmental determinism - low crisis response choice) - "our 490 international business is really only about 5% of our total business ... [business] 491 coming from China" (Ryman, 25 February 2020). 492

493 Our analysis showed that, in this period, hotel brands and casinos perceived statistically
 494 significantly higher environmental determinism than did the REITs (Table 3). Also, the

- 495 brands and casinos with exposure to China had a statistically significantly higher
- 496 perception of environmental determinism than did the REITs; the former were already
- facing challenging, and even chaotic, circumstances in Quadrant I with a limited rangeof response strategies (Table 4). Hotel brands adopted a statistically higher number of
- 499 crisis response strategies than did the REITs, while casinos did not apply a statistically
- 500 significantly different number of strategies than did the REITs (Table 3). Exposure to
- 501 the Chinese market statistically increased both the perceived negative environmental
- determinism factors and the number of strategies implemented in Period 0 (Table 4),
 while it had no significant effect on the perceived environment during the remaining
 periods. The same occurred with the number of response strategies adopted, with the
- 505 organisations exposed to Chinese markets developing statistically more response 506 strategies than the ones that were not exposed.
- 507 508

4.2.2. Periods 1 & 2 (April to September 2020): The complexities of a global pandemic

511

512 In Period 1, the hotel organisations' C-suites' views were aligned with one another.

513 They expressed a sense of being overwhelmed with the uncertainty of the

514 "unprecedented", "dramatic", "extraordinary" and "challenging times" and they 515 made comparisons with previous crises (SARS and other health crises, the 2007-2009 516 financial crisis and various terrorism events). The C-suites used weather metaphors to 517 illustrate their resolve (to "navigate this morass" and "weather this unprecedented 518 storm") and referred to continuous changes in the environment and in the risk ("evolving", "dynamic", "fluid situation", "current dynamics"). Notably, at the point 519 520 in time when the REITs were stating that "we all find ourselves in uncharted territory" 521 with an almost complete lack of clarity about the future" (Pebblebrook, 9 May 2020), 522 the Chinese hotel groups, having gone through the learning curve earlier than the rest, 523 were starting to talk about control ("the outbreak is coming under control in China", 524 GreenTree, 14 April 2020) and recovery ("now we're in the initial recovery stage", 525 Huazhu, 27 March 2020), albeit slow ("to encourage investors not to expect a V-shape

recovery in Macau", Melco, 14 May 2020). The crisis became an 'unknown unknown' *"informed by the trends we are seeing now, our experience of previous downturns and*

- 528 *the insights we are getting from China*" (IHG, 7 May 2020), thus, still with a high 529 perceived environmental determinism. In the face of travel restrictions and lockdowns,
- 530 followed by re-openings and relaxation of measures, however, Chinese hotel groups 531 moved to Quadrant II increasing their crisis response strategies (higher choice) with the
- spirit of "Now is the time to experiment and try things. The risk of failing is more than
- 533 outweighed by the benefits of what we could learn" (MGM, 30 July 2020). They 534 attempted everything they could to navigate the crisis with the limited information and
- knowledge that they had. In Period 2, and as summer started, the number of COVID cases subsided and all the C-suites appeared to know more about the risk. They were more confident in dealing with the crisis and their communication focused on the
- 6337 effectiveness of their response strategies, their preparations for new waves and the
- changes they were making to withstand similar situations in the future. Words like *"recovery"*, *"pivot"*, *"rebound"* and expressions such as *"back to normal"*, *"new*
- 541 normal", "win-win", "post-COVID" and "post-pandemic" were used regularly in this
- period. The pandemic was seen as a manageable risk and as "an accelerator ... for
 people to understand the necessity to readjust" (Accor, 8 August 2020).
- 544

545 Tables 3 and 4 above show that, in both periods, all the organisations, regardless of their 546 type and exposure to China, behaved similarly with regards to perceived environmental 547 determinism and the number of response strategies they adopted with no significant 548 statistical differences in their behaviour.

549

4.2.3. Period 3 (October to December 2020): Better but still complicated

550 551

552 In Period 3, the relaxation of measures and the announcement of multiple vaccines had 553 brought a sense of "cautious" optimism among the hotel groups (Hyatt, 5 November 2020) for the gradual recovery of business and key metrics such as ADR and RevPAR 554 555 (Huazhu, 6 December 2020). They continued their efforts to adapt to the COVID world 556 and felt more confident about managing the crisis. Although the virus had become less 557 of an 'unknown' with only the new variants and their behaviour being 'known 558 unknown', but the uncertainty of business, the varying regulatory frameworks across the 559 globe, the possible vaccine production and distribution challenges, and the financial and 560 other consequences of the lockdown kept the crisis situation quite complicated. Regardless, response systems were in place and the talk in the earnings calls was mostly 561 562 about recovery and dealing with the 'pent-up demand', reflecting lower levels of 563 environmental determinism. The hotel brands and REITs continued their crisis response 564 strategies at almost the same level as in the previous periods (thus, moving to Quadrant 565 III), selecting those strategies that had proven successful in periods 1 and 2 and were, 566 therefore, now considered more mainstream 'good practice', rather than 'under duress' 567 responses. The crisis response strategies here were well-informed and the choice was 568 'by design' following experience and analysis. Some REITs talked about "the 569 opportunity to rethink and re-engineer our businesses" (Ryman, 3 November 2020) 570 whereas others took advantage of the COVID-19 circumstances to undertake major 571 restructures of their portfolios. SVC, for example, announced "the decision to terminate 572 agreements [with Marriott and IHG for 125 hotels] and to transition management and 573 branding of these hotels to Sonesta [of which 34% is owned by SVC]" (SVC, 9 574 November 2020). The casino groups moved to Quadrant IV, apparently confident about 575 the course the pandemic had taken and having experienced significant business recovery 576 in both Macao and Las Vegas. They talked about "meaningful recovery across the 577 different segments" and "50% recovery of the premium mass segment". They were 578 encouraged by the "strong renminbi" and the fact that "the Chinese consumer is not 579 traveling to foreign countries" (LVS, 21 October 2020). US casinos had similar 580 optimism with efforts focused on proactive strategies to secure future growth. For 581 example, Caesars started monetising the Caesars Rewards database through brand 582 license agreements, proprietary i-Gaming and sports betting platforms (Caesars, 5 583 November 2020) and MGM was "aggressively working to introduce new customers to 584 BetMGM" (MGM, 29 October 2020). The confidence and optimism of casino C-suites 585 was also reflected in their failure to mention the second COVID-19 wave in any of the 586 earnings calls during this period. Hotel brands referred to a second wave and the 587 difficulty of forecasting its impact – possibly due to their exposure, or non-exposure, in 588 Europe. Organisations in this period felt more in control: "unless you're asleep, you'd 589 see the caseloads are increasing daily and to new records in a large number of states in 590 the United States and in Europe. So, we're just anticipating that, that progression, 591 which is upon us" (Hyatt, 5 November 2020). 592

In this period, our analysis showed that there were no statistically significant differences
 between types of hotel groups in their perceptions of environmental determinism (Table

3). Table 4 shows that the REITs and hotel brands behaved statistically similarly to one
another and adopted more crisis response strategies than: i) the casinos; and ii) hotel
groups with exposure in China (Table 4).

598 599

4.2.4. Period 4 (January to March 2021): Coexisting with COVID-19

600 601

602 Period 4 was characterised by the impact of the second wave and the lockdowns 603 imposed in several countries from November 2020 onwards. The hotel brands and 604 REITs remained in Quadrant III because they were still dealing with a 'known unknown' (variant Delta) but they were optimistic for a recovery: "the combination of 605 606 the rapid decline in cases over the last six weeks and the increasing pace of 607 vaccinations will lead to an easing of governmental restrictions and the untethering of 608 pent-up travel demand" (DiamondRock, 28 February 2021). During this period, the 609 crisis was complicated to manage, with difficulties to overcome, but not as complex as 610 it had been in the earlier periods. This optimism indicated low environmental 611 determinism and, consequently, a reasonable choice of response strategies. However, 612 for the casinos, environmental determinism became much stronger in this period 613 pushing them from Quadrant IV of the matrix back to Quadrant I. A major contributing 614 factor was the travel restrictions in Southeast Asia. More specifically, in "Singapore, 615 the government is eager to open the doors, but it necessitates airlift, which means 616 counterparty trading with other governments and other airlines. So, we don't see it coming back in the short-term" (LVS, 27 January 2021). A second contributing factor 617 618 was the delay in the announcement of the operator licences renewal process for casinos 619 in Macao, "We only have about 17 months left before the concession expires. And we only know that the government is adopting a process, which includes public 620 621 consultation on the performance of the concession" (LVS, 27 January 2021). A third 622 and equally concerning factor was a series of "property closures and incremental 623 COVID-19 restrictions" (Caesars, 25 February 2021) in the US and internationally. A 624 fourth threat that emerged was that, "Macao cannot afford a single case because it was 625 a public announcement by the government that if there is even one case, Macao would be locked down again" (LVS, 27 January 2021). 626

627

Table 3 shows that casinos perceived statistically more environmental determinism than did the hotel brands and REITs, thus, explaining their move back to Quadrant I. They continued to implement a lower (and statistically significant) number of response strategies than both the hotel brands and the REITs. Otherwise, in Period 4, the hotel brands and the REITs had no statistically significant difference in perceived environmental determinism.

634

635 **4.3. Crisis strategy mix**

636

637 4.3.1. Crisis response strategies by period

638

As discussed earlier, the crisis response strategies in this study were classified as
reactive, adaptive, protective and proactive. Figure 3 presents the mix of response
strategies by period and shows that the reactive strategies were dominant throughout the
first year of the pandemic. However, the more that knowledge and understanding of the

643 coronavirus increased (and environmental determinism decreased) the more the

644 responses shifted to protective and proactive strategies. Adaptive strategies were used

645 predominantly in Period 0, when the risk was still relatively unknown, but adaptation
646 was also demonstrated to a reasonable extent in the periods that followed. This is also
647 confirmed by the density charts in Appendix 3.

648

649



650 Fig. 3: Crisis strategy mix by period

651

Linear regression analysis with Period fix effects between periods was also used to
explore, statistically, the types of strategies that were used most in each period in
comparison to the others (Table 5) and a paired t-test identified the differences in use of
strategies within each period (Appendix 4).

656

657 Table 5: Multiple linear regression model with Period fix effects between periods 658 (estimates OLS) 659

	Reactive	Adaptive Response	Protective	Proactive
	Response		Response	Response
Period 0	0.137 [0.038]***	0.069 [0.022]***	-0.164 [0.030]***	-0.235 [0.024]***
Period 1	[omitted]	0.044 [0.022]*	-0.059 [0.030]*	-0.178 [0.024]***
Period 2	-0.037 [0.037]	0.037 [0.022]*	-0.042 [0.030]	-0.150 [0.023]***
Period 3	-0.101 [0.038]***	[omitted]	-0.039 [0.030]	-0.051 [0.024]**
Period 4	-0.201 [0.037]***	0.008 [0.022]	[omitted]	[omitted]
Exposure	-0.074 [0.043]**	0.037 [0.025]-	-0.009 [0.034]	0.045 [0.027]*
Brands	0.013 [0.032]	-0.043 [0.019]**	0.042 [0.026]-	-0.012 [0.020]
Casinos	[omitted]	[omitted]	[omitted]	[omitted]

REITS	-0.008 [0.046]	-0.013 [0.027]	-0.005 [0.037]	0.027 [0.029]
Nº observations	107	107	107	107
\mathbb{R}^2	0.4857	0.1750	0.2644	0.5648

660 Confidence level (two-tail test): 99% (***), 95% (**), 90% (*), 85% (⁻).

661

662 *Reactive Strategies: immediate reactions to the event*

Reactive strategies, such as operational cost control measures, capacity management or
securing a strong liquidity position were used throughout. Comparisons between
periods (Table 5) showed that, under the chaotic situation in Period 0, the organisations
adopted statistically more reactive responses than they did in any of the other periods.
Reactive responses were used statistically less in periods 3 and 4 compared to Period 1,
but were not different to Period 2.

669

670 Adaptive Strategies: short-term, quasi-informed actions

671 Short-term, adaptive strategies, such as increasing customer confidence in health and

safety, streamlining resources to achieve efficiency and maintaining stakeholder

relations, were used throughout but were used statistically more in periods 0, 1 and 2,
when the risk was less known, and statistically less in periods 3 and 4.

6/4 when the risk was less known, and statistically less in periods 3 and 4. 675

6/3

676 Protective Strategies: medium and longer-term, informed, crisis-focused actions
677 Longer-term, protective strategies to safeguard the organisations from similar crises in
678 the future by, for example, moving them towards healthier and more efficient
679 operational models and by reviewing their provision based on customer changing needs
680 (e.g., hybrid conferences) and increasing sanitation standards were deployed statistically
681 more in Period 4 than in the earliest stages of the pandemic (periods 0 and 1), but
682 without statistically significant difference with periods 2 and 3.

683

684 Proactive Strategies: longer-term, informed actions for broader resilience, recovery
 685 and growth

Finally, proactive response strategies to safeguard longer-term growth, such as strategic
business transformations, securing stronger financial resilience and cost structure reengineering, were statistically more dominant in Period 4 than in the rest of the periods.
The deployment of proactive responses grew over time, being statistically more adopted
in Period 4 than in Period 3, more in Period 3 than in Period 2, equally used in Periods 2
and 1, but more deployed in Period 1 than in Period 0.

692

Exposure to the Chinese market led to statistically less reactive and more adaptive and
proactive strategies (with low statistical significance), while there was no statistically
significant effect of Chinese exposure on the deployment of protective responses.

696

697 The crisis mix varied slightly upon the type of organisation. The hotel brands employed
698 statistically less adaptive responses than the casino groups but the formers' responses
699 were not statistically different from those of the REITs. The brands used more
700 protective responses than the casinos.

701

Further analysis with paired t-tests (Appendix 4) confirmed that while reactive

responses dominated across all periods, the strategy mix composition evolved over

time, with more non-reactive responses being used as the organisations' levels of

705 understanding of the crisis improved.

706707 4.3.2. Crisis response strategies by quadrant

708

709 This study sought to look at the ways in which crisis response strategies (reactive,

adaptive, protective and proactive) were used across the proposed matrix, i.e., under

different levels of environmental determinism and crisis strategy choice. Figure 4

depicts the crisis strategy mix per quadrant while Appendix 5 provides a visualrepresentation of the distribution of strategies.

714

715 Fig. 4: Crisis strategy mix by quadrant



716 717

As before, linear regression analysis with Period fix effects between quadrants was also used to explore, statistically, the types of responses most used under different levels of environmental determinism and crisis strategy choice (Table 6), and a paired t-test identified the differences in use of strategies within each quadrant (Appendix 6).

722 723

Table 6: Multiple linear regression model with Quadrant fix effects betweenquadrants (estimates OLS)

724
725

	Reactive Strategies	Adaptive Strategies	Protective Strategies	Proactive Strategies
Quadrant I	[omitted]	-0.016 [0.022]	-0.091 [0.032]***	-0.095 [0.034]***
Quadrant II	-0.151 [0.044]***	[omitted]	0.011 [0.028]	-0.064 [0.030]**
Quadrant III	-0.173 [0.047]***	-0.031 [0.020]-	[omitted]	[omitted]
Quadrant IV	-0.019 [0.046]	-0.039 [0.020]*	-0.071 [0.030]**	-0.074 [0.032]**
Exposure	-0.101 [0.053]*	0.040 [0.026]-	0.008 [0.037]	0.052 [0.039]
Brands	0.083 [0.043]*	-0.043 [0.021]**	[omitted]	[omitted]

Casinos	[omitted]	[omitted]	0.000 [0.030]	0.040 [0.032]
REITs	0.028 [0.058]	-0.010 [0.029]	-0.026 [0.040]	0.048 [0.042]
N° observations	107	107	107	107
R ²	0.2106	0.1116	0.1601	0.0957

726

Confidence level (two-tail test): 99% (***), 95% (**), 90% (*), 85% (*).

727

728 Overall, the study revealed that all four types of crisis strategies were present in all 729 quadrants at any time. Reactive strategies were deployed in all quadrants more than any 730 other strategy type. Yet, the statistical comparison between quadrants showed that 731 although they were deployed more under chaotic crisis conditions (Quadrant I) and under more obvious crisis conditions (Quadrant IV) they were statistically less deployed 732 733 in quadrants II and III. This showed that when there was limited strategic choice, the 734 crisis response strategy was predominantly reactive. In complex crisis conditions 735 (Quadrant II), adaptive strategies were statistically more used than in the other 736 quadrants and with high significance in quadrants III and IV. In Quadrant III, 737 organisations facing a still complex, but under more control, (complicated) situation 738 deployed significantly more informed, longer-term, protective and proactive strategies 739 compared to quadrants I and IV, but with no statistically significant difference from 740 Quadrant II.

742 **5. Discussion**

743

741

744 The extended timeframe of the COVID-19 creeping crisis allowed a 'slow motion' 745 analysis of organisational responses to a crisis that no one seemed to be prepared for, in 746 spite of their planning. Pandemic plans did not stand up effectively to the crisis scenario 747 that was unfolding and were more what Clarke (1999) termed 'fantasy documents' 748 rather than crisis management plans. This study confirms that a lack of knowledge and 749 understanding of the root causes of a crisis decreases its controllability and, 750 consequently, increases environmental determinism. The 2x2 matrix we used as an 751 analytical framework shows that crisis response choices depend on perceived 752 environmental determinism and on knowledge and understanding of the crisis's root 753 causes.

754

755 Responding to unknowable unknowns (Quadrant I)

756 Although all crises are characterised by ambiguity, uncertainty and a lack of 757 information (Pearson and Clair, 1998), this study confirms that, when confronted with a 758 completely unknown crisis that organisations' management teams could never have 759 imagined in advance (an unknowable unknown), some organisations are, at first, unable 760 to recognise it as such (Boin et al., 2020a) and continue to operate in a non-crisis mode. 761 Creeping crises present two challenges in their incubation stage: signal recognition and 762 correct signal interpretation (Paraskevas and Altinay, 2013). There is wide consensus 763 among crisis scholars that the timely detection of crises often presents challenges 764 because of the inconceivability of certain unknown events but, most importantly, 765 because many organisations are not designed to look for crises (Boin et al., 2020a). 766 Even when they look out for crises, they do so for anticipated threats (in this case, a 767 regionally confined epidemic) whereas undefined threats pass through organisational 768 detection and crisis sense-making filters. Organisations with the appropriate crisis769 sensing capabilities are able to make correct inferences about the nature, scope and 770 escalation potential of the crisis they are facing, , and those without these fail to do so 771 (as per certain of the REITs and casino groups studied here). In the case of unknown 772 crises, the mere ability to detect abnormal patterns would suffice to trigger a crisis 773 sense-making process, even if the cues from the trigger event were novel, fast-paced, 774 overwhelming, and unpredictable (Christianson and Barton, 2021). Those organisations 775 that recognise the crisis situation, soon realise that their crisis response plans are 776 inadequate and navigate an environment that can be described as chaotic without any control of the situation (high perceived environmental determinism). Early exposure to 777 778 the threat (e.g., exposure in China during the COVID-19 pandemic) gives an advantage 779 over other organisations on the ability to understand and frame the crisis, thus 780 facilitating advanced crisis response choice. Their initial response was to deploy a 781 limited range of quick-fix, reactive crisis strategies aimed primarily at survival, damage 782 limitation and business continuity, in the hope to re-establish a degree of order and 783 stability in the short term. Although such actions were in line with the widely accepted 784 'reactive mindset' to crisis response (Ritchie, 2008), our analysis shows that reactive 785 response does not preclude organisations from also deploying strategies that are more 786 adaptive in nature and, at a smaller scale, from deploying longer-term, protective or 787 proactive actions, based on previous similar experiences or emerging knowledge of the 788 crisis they face.

789 Responding to unknown unknowns (Quadrant II)

790 Having secured short-term survival, organisations in the face of continuing low control 791 of their environment (high perceived environmental determinism) and high 792 unpredictability and flux, deployed a larger repertoire of strategies to deal with the 793 crisis. The situation was complex, with a multitude of variables shaping the crisis and 794 with no right answers and solutions for most of them. The novel nature of the creeping 795 crisis necessitated improvisation and trial-and-error experimentation to determine the 796 most effective crisis strategies and methods of deployment (Moynihan, 2008) as well as 797 a process of crisis knowledge generation (turn the unknowns into knowns) and 798 codification (Paraskevas et al, 2013). The responses were quasi-informed and based on 799 limited knowledge and information about the root causes of the crisis, and decisions 800 were heuristic-guided (Schmidt and Berrell, 2007), usually constrained by governmental 801 and other regulatory restrictions. The organisations monitored the impact (or lack 802 thereof) of their crisis strategies and adapted them as necessary. It is therefore 803 important for organisations in this situation to have strong information-monitoring 804 capabilities, alongside rapid feedback networks and adequate adaptive capacity that will 805 allow them to take a 'probe and learn' approach to crisis response allowing them to treat 806 responses as experiments (Ansell & Boin, 2019). Boin et al. (2021a) admit that this is 807 an extreme form of crisis management where a 'null hypothesis' is formulated, intended 808 and unintended results are carefully monitored, and the response is modified to optimise the outcome. Adaptive strategies continued to be implemented in this quadrant to 809 810 wrestle down the ongoing uncertainty; however, the portfolio of crisis strategies now 811 included longer-term protective actions to safeguard the organisation from the crisis and

812 proactively establish foundations for future resilience and growth.

813 Responding to known unknowns (Quadrant III)

814 As knowledge about the root causes of the crisis was generated, the crisis became better 815 framed and more controllable, the perceived environmental determinism decreased and 816 organisations selected the crisis strategies that had proven most effective in the earlier 817 periods. The crisis situation was still shaped by a multitude of variables, but it was just complicated and not as complex as before since now there were 'right' answers 818 819 available for most of the environmental determinism factors. In quadrant III, decisions 820 were made rationally and by choice following a criteria-informed, problem-solving 821 process (Varma, 2019) as opposed to the experimentation of Quadrant II and the 822 improvisation of Quadrant I. Any new response strategies were designed in anticipation 823 of what was expected to come ('known unknowns', e.g., new waves and variants). 824 Consequently, the chosen crisis strategies were still aiming for business continuity but 825 were less adaptive in nature and more forward looking to strengthen the organisation 826 (protective) and to plan for its post-crisis growth (proactive). Towards that end, 827 organisations decided to look for new ways to navigate the post-pandemic landscape by 828 redefining their operating processes, introducing new or reconfigured products and 829 services, and redesigning their internal structures. The new knowledge about the 830 pandemic created opportunity contexts that led to rapid changes in what was considered 831 standard hospitality provision (e.g., emphasis on hygiene rather than cleanliness, multi-832 venue socially-distanced conventions and mega-events, hybrid conferences and 833 meetings) and challenged the role of fast vs. slow players in an industry-level 834 transformation caused by the aggregation of multiple individual organisations' changes 835 and innovations. The winners in this race were the organisations that possessed the 836 agility and the ability for a rapid change of their long-established approaches to 837 business.

838 Responding to known knowns (Quadrant IV)

839 When dealing with a known crisis, the organisations have already institutionalised the 840 knowledge of the crisis (Paraskevas et al, 2013) and developed crisis management plans 841 based on 'formalised' best practice (as opposed to good practice in Quadrant III). Crisis 842 strategies employed in this Quadrant were still predominantly reactive but, having a 843 clear understanding of the crisis, the strategies followed the protocols and procedures 844 prescribed in a formal crisis management plan. Adaptive strategies continued here but 845 the crisis strategy mix included a set of prescribed protective and proactive strategies 846 aimed at the recovery and resilience of the organisation in the future that was notably 847 smaller than in Quadrant III. The focus of those strategies was more future-looking to 848 enable organisations to cope with changes in the external environment and crises as they 849 take shape, and thereby reduce the need for a much larger and more difficult adaptations and changes later on, what Agarwal and Helfat (2009) call incremental strategic 850 851 renewal. This strategic renewal requires organisations to be able to refresh or replace 852 organisational attributes "that have the potential to substantially affect its long-term 853 prospects" (p.282) such as strategic portfolio changes (replacing assets to alter the 854 resort:urban properties' ratio), refreshing debt position by extending maturities, 855 replacing existing decision support systems with advanced AI systems, etc. The study 856 also showed that it is possible for organisations dealing with a creeping 'known known' 857 to get a false sense of closure, only to be pushed back to Quadrant I due to new 858 deterministic forces (e.g., new regulatory framework, like in Macau). When this 859 occured, however, they were dealing with 'knowable unknowns' and, therefore, their

- 860 crisis strategies were more geared towards the protective/proactive type rather than the
- 861 reactive/survival type that characterises Quadrant I.
- 862 Organisational crisis responses, under different levels of environmental determinism
- and crisis knowledge, are summarised in Figure 5.
- 864

865 Fig. 5: Creeping crisis response matrix



(number of influencing factors)

866 867

868

869 **6.** Conclusion

870

871 In response to the call for further development in conceptual and theoretical model building, testing and refinement through empirical studies (Berbekova et al., 2021; 872 873 Ritchie & Jiang, 2019), this study set out to explore, empirically, how tourism 874 organisations addressed the creeping crisis of the COVID-19 pandemic. This was a 875 crisis that the organisations could not detect as a crisis while it was in incubation and 876 could not prevent with proactive risk management before it erupted. Moreover, when it 877 escalated, the organisations' crisis management plans were insufficient. Creeping crises are a type of crisis that have received little attention from crisis scholars (Boin et al., 878 879 2020a) to date. In recognition of this gap, we propose a creeping crisis response matrix 880 for 'unknown unknowns' by integrating elements from Hrebiniak and Joyce's (1985) Adaptive Matrix with Rumsfeld's Matrix (de Valk & Goldbach, 2021) and Snowden 881 882 and Boone's (2007) Cynefin framework on crisis response-environment fit. This study 883 has tested and confirmed the proposed matrix both qualitatively and quantitatively.

884

885 Being one of the few longitudinal studies on crisis management research in general, 886 (Ritchie & Jiang, 2019; Wut et al., 2021) and on creeping crises in particular (Maier et 887 al., 2022), the theoretical contributions of this study are threefold. The first is that it 888 showed that when dealing with a creeping, unprecedented crisis, organisational crisis 889 response is directly influenced by the perceived environmental determinism and the 890 unpredictability of the unknown root cause of the crisis. The study statistically 891 confirmed the basic Hrebiniak and Joyce (1985) principles on the dynamic relationship 892 between environmental determinism and strategic choice in the context of creeping 893 crisis management. The organisations in our sample responded to the crisis, moving 894 across the matrix, by deploying variable crisis response mixes (in terms of numbers of 895 strategies and type) that depended on the levels of knowledge the organisations 896 garnered about the crisis.

897

898 The predominant crisis responses, throughout the creeping crisis lifecycle tended to be 899 reactive. However, we showed that these reactive response strategies went through a 900 'filtering' process, starting with a small number of rapid survival responses when the 901 crisis was not yet well-framed, moving then to multiple quasi -informed crisis strategies 902 being tested, , then to response by design (selecting good practice) once the crisis was 903 better framed and, eventually, evolving to response by protocol once the crisis was fully 904 framed and understood. The second theoretical contribution, therefore, of this study is 905 that when dealing with unknown creeping crises, organisational responses follow a 906 cycle of improvisation-experimentation-rationalisation-formalisation. The third 907 theoretical contribution is that, contrary to the widely accepted conventional and almost 908 linear 'proactive pre-crisis / reactive during crisis' response model (Pforr & Hosie, 909 2008; Ritchie, 2008), the organisations in this study were proven to deploy a mix of 910 response strategies at all stages of the crisis, even during the early ones. These strategies 911 included a small, but consistent, set of adaptive, short-term responses and a larger mix 912 of medium and longer-term, protective and proactive strategies, which varied depending 913 on the levels of crisis knowledge and perceived environmental determinism.

914

915 From a practical perspective, in many respects, creeping crises magnify the challenges 916 normally associated with managing crises (Boin et al., 2021b) and cast into question 917 both governments' and organisations' ability to manage them. It is evident though that 918 risk and crisis managers need a new thinking in the way they should deal with them. 919 This is the first study that proposes a theoretically founded and empirically tested 920 roadmap for organisations to navigate a creeping crisis at different levels of 921 environmental determinism. They can prepare a small number of potential rapid 922 survival strategies that can be implemented in any situation of high uncertainty and 923 ambiguity when having to deal with an unknowable unknown - i.e., when entering 924 Quadrant I in our proposed matrix. From that point on, organisations need to have, well 925 in advance, the appropriate structures and capabilities (sensing, information monitoring, 926 adaptive capacity, agility for change and strategic renewal) in place that will enable 927 them to develop an appropriate crisis response and a suitable crisis strategy mix as they 928 move across the other quadrants within the matrix and their crisis response shifts from 929 improvisation to experimentation and from rationalisation to formalisation. We can 930 safely assume that the same approach may be taken when faced with a sudden, 931 unknown crisis although the movement through the quadrants would be swifter. Future 932 research may consider introducing Teece et al. (2017) dynamic capabilities theory and Jiang et al. (2022) typology view in the creeping crisis response matrix. 933

934 935 From a research perspective, our findings raise a number of questions about the 936 management of creeping crises. Why did some organisations' C-suites (e.g., the REITs) miss the crisis signals in the COVID-19 incubation period and how can this be rectified 937 938 in the future? How can organisations improve their ability to 'sense' an emerging 939 creeping crisis, and what tools are appropriate for that purpose? A more in-depth 940 analysis of feedback loops between crisis evolution, attention and response might unveil 941 the challenges and opportunities in the C-suite crisis sense-making processes. Then, 942 when moving from experimentation to rationalisation, what would be the criteria that 943 would deem a crisis response strategy as 'optimal' and 'best practice'? Would sub-944 optimal solutions suffice? Further to that, during low determinism stage, when the crisis 945 started to be relatively well-framed, some C-suites (e.g., casino groups) developed a 946 false sense of closure and disregarded the threat of further waves that were unfolding. 947 McConnell & 't Hart (2019) posit that inaction in the face of clear crisis signals is not 948 just a result of the crisis' inadvertence. Further research could explore the factors behind 949 this behaviour and if there is something about the nature of creeping crises that causes 950 this inaction. Finally, longitudinal studies of specific patterns of crisis response during 951 the various tipping points of the crisis would shed some more light on the non-linear 952 nature of creeping crisis development.

953

954 The study has some limitations. The first limitation is the selected sample of tourism 955 organisations, which were all from the hotel sector and arguably extends to the tourism 956 sub-sector that received the most attention in the crisis literature (Ritchie & Jiang, 957 2019). A similar study looking at airlines or cruise companies might have yielded 958 similar behaviours in terms of response and crisis strategy types but a different crisis 959 strategy mix, given that they did not face the same operational restrictions. Research 960 with different samples might unveil different approaches to managing a creeping crisis. 961 A second limitation is that the study is based entirely on information presented by the 962 C-suites of the selected organisations during their quarterly earnings calls with 963 investors, which, as published accounts, may be follow a corporate narrative, and 964 include strategies with impression management tactics, as has happened with CEO 965 letters (Im et al., 2021). A wider range of information sources might generate- different 966 sets of responses and can be a direction for future research.

967 968

969 8. References

970

Agarwal, R., & Helfat, C.E. (2009). Strategic Renewal of Organizations. *Organization Science*, *20*(2), 281–293.

Ansell, C., & Boin, A. (2019). Taming deep uncertainty: The potential of pragmatist principles for understanding and improving strategic crisis management. *Administration and Society*, *51*(7), 1079-1112.

Baekkeskov, E., & Rubin, O. (2014). Why pandemic response is unique: Powerful experts and hands-off political leaders. *Disaster Prevention and Management*, 23(1), 81–93.

Berbekova, A., Uysal, M., & Assaf, G. (2021) A thematic analysis of crisis management in tourism: A theoretical perspective, *Tourism Management*, *86*, 104342.

Blau, B.M., DeLisle, J.R., & Price, S.M. (2015). Do sophisticated investors interpret earnings conference call tone differently than investors at large? Evidence from short sales. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *31*, 203-219.

Bochkay, K., Hales, J., & Chava, S. (2020). Hyperbole or reality? Investor response to extreme language in earnings conference calls. *The Accounting Review*, 95(2), 31-60.

Boin, A., Ekengren, M., & Rhinard, M. (2020a). Hiding in plain sight: Conceptualizing the creeping crisis. *Risk, Hazards & Crisis in Public Policy*, 11(2), 116-138.

Boin, A., Lodge, M., & Luesink, M. (2020b). Learning from the COVID-19 crisis: an initial analysis of national responses. *Policy Design and Practice*, *3*(3), 189-204.

Boin, A., Ekengren, M., & Rhinard, M. (2021a). *Understanding the Creeping Crisis,* Palgrave Macmillan.

Boin, A., McConnell, A., & 't Hart, P. (2021b). *Governing the pandemic: The politics of navigating a mega-crisis*. Springer Nature.

Bourgeois, L.J. (1984). Strategic management and determinism. *Academy of Management Review*, *9*, 586-596.

Clarke, L. (1999). *Mission improbable: Using fantasy documents to tame disaster*. University of Chicago Press.

Christianson, M.K., & Barton, M.A. (2021). Sensemaking in the time of COVID-19. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(2), 572–576.

de Valk, G., & Goldbach, O. (2021). Towards a robust β research design: on reasoning and different classes of unknowns. *Journal of Intelligence History*, 20(1), 72-87.

Dror, Y., Lagadec, P., Porfiriev, B., & Quarantelli, E.L. (2001). Crises to come: Comments and findings. In U. Rosenthal, A. Boin, & L. Comfort (Eds.), *Managing crises, threat, dilemmas, opportunities*, Charles C, Thomas, 342-349.

Gioia, D.A., Corley, K.G., & Hamilton, A.L. (2013). Seeking qualitative rigor in inductive research: Notes on the Gioia methodology. *Organizational Research Methods*, *16*(1), 15-31.

Hassan, T.A., S. Hollander, L. van Lent, L., & Tahoun, A. (2019). Firm-level political risk: Measurement and effects. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *134*, 2135–2202.

Hrebiniak, L.G., & Joyce, W.F. (1985). Organizational adaptation: Strategic choice and environmental determinism. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 336-349.

Hwang, P., & Lichtenthal, J. D. (2000). Anatomy of organizational crises. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis management*, 8(3), 129-140.

Im, J., Kim, H., & Miao, L. (2021). CEO letters: Hospitality corporate narratives during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 92*, 102701.

Jiang, Y., Scott, N., & Ritchie, B.W. (2018). Crisis management and strategic implications, In L. Moutinho & A. Vargas-Sanchez (Eds.) *Strategic management in tourism*, 3rd ed., CABI, 264-278.

Maier, C.D., Frandsen, F., & Johansen, W. (2022). Understanding the arena of smoldering crises: a longitudinal study of discursive struggles after implementing a new IT health care platform. *Journal of Communication Management*, https://doi.org/10.1108/JCOM-12-2021-0136.

Mangalaraj, G., Nerur, S., & Dwivedi, R. (2022). Digital Transformation for Agility and Resilience: An Exploratory Study. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, preprint, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2021.2015726</u>.

Matsumoto, D., Pronk, M., & Roelofsen, E. (2011). What makes conference calls useful? The information content of managers' presentations and analysts' discussion sessions. *The Accounting Review*, *86*(4), 1383-1414.

McConnell, A., & 't Hart, P. (2019). Inaction and public policy: Understanding why policymakers 'do nothing'. *Policy Sciences*, 52(3), 645–661.

Moynihan, D.P. (2008). Learning under uncertainty: Networks in crisis management. *Public Administration Review*, *68*(2), 350-365.

Paraskevas, A., Altinay, L., McLean, J., & Cooper, C. (2013). Crisis knowledge in tourism: Types, flows and governance. *Annals of Tourism Research*, *41*, 130-152.

Paraskevas, A., & Altinay, L. (2013). Signal detection as the first line of defence in tourism crisis management. *Tourism Management*, *34*, 158-171.

Paraskevas, A., & Quek, M. (2019). When Castro seized the Hilton: Risk and crisis management lessons from the past. *Tourism Management*, 70, 419-429.

Prayag, G. (2020). Time for reset? COVID-19 and tourism resilience. *Tourism Review International*, 24(2-3), 179-184.

Pearson, C.M., & Clair, J.A. (1998). Reframing crisis management. Academy of Management Review, 23(1), 59-76.

Pforr, C., & Hosie, P.J. (2008). Crisis management in tourism: Preparing for recovery. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 23(2-4), 249-264.

Prayag, G. (2020). Time for reset? COVID-19 and tourism resilience. *Tourism Review International*, 24(2-3), 179-184.

Ritchie, B.W. (2008). Tourism disaster planning and management: From response and recovery to reduction and readiness. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 11(4), 315–348.

Ritchie, B.W., & Jiang, Y. (2019). A review of research on tourism risk, crisis and disaster management: Launching the annals of tourism research curated collection on tourism risk, crisis and disaster management. *Annals of Tourism Research*, *79*, 102812.

Schwarzer, R., & Luszczynska, A. (2008). Reactive, anticipatory, preventive, and proactive coping: A theoretical distinction. *The Prevention Researcher*, 15(4), 22-25.

Schwarzer, R., & Schwarzer, C. (1996). A critical survey of coping instruments, In Zeidner, M., & Endler, N. S. (Eds.). *Handbook of coping: Theory, research, applications*. NY: John Wiley & Sons, 107-132.

Schmidt, P. and Berrell, M. (2007) Western and eastern approaches to crisis management for global tourism: some differences. In E. Laws, B. Prideaux, and K. Chon (Eds.), *Crisis Management in Tourism*, 1st ed., CABI, 66–80.

Shimizu, M. (2012). Resilience in disaster management and public policy: a case study of the Tohoku disaster. *Risk, Hazards & Crisis in Public Policy, 3*(4), 40-59.

Snowden, D.J., & Boone, M.E. (2007). A leader's framework for decision making. *Harvard Business Review*, 85(11), 68-76.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533.

Van der Heijden, K. (2005). *Scenarios: the art of strategic conversation*. 2nd edition. John Wiley & Sons.

Varma, T. (2019). Understanding decision making during a crisis: An axiomatic model of cognitive decision choices. *International Journal of Business Communication*, *56*(2), 233-248.

Wut, T.M., Xu, J., & Wong, S. (2021). Crisis management research (1985-2020) in the hospitality and tourism industry: A review and research agenda. *Tourism Management*, *85*, 104307.

Zenker, S., & Kock, F. (2020). The coronavirus pandemic – a critical discussion of a tourism research agenda. *Tourism Management*, 81, 104164.

Zopiatis, A., Pericleous, K., & Theofanous, Y. (2021). COVID-19 and hospitality and tourism research: An integrative review. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 48, 275-279.