

**UWL REPOSITORY**  
**repository.uwl.ac.uk**

gprMax: open source software to simulate electromagnetic wave propagation  
for ground penetrating radar

Warren, Craig, Giannopoulos, Antonios and Giannakis, Iraklis (2016) gprMax: open source software  
to simulate electromagnetic wave propagation for ground penetrating radar. *Computer Physics  
Communications*, 209. pp. 163-170. ISSN 0010-4655

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2016.08.020>

**This is the Accepted Version of the final output.**

**UWL repository link:** <https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/5367/>

**Alternative formats:** If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:  
[open.research@uwl.ac.uk](mailto:open.research@uwl.ac.uk)

**Copyright:**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are  
retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing  
publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these  
rights.

**Take down policy:** If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at  
[open.research@uwl.ac.uk](mailto:open.research@uwl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work  
immediately and investigate your claim.

# gprMax: open source software to simulate electromagnetic wave propagation for Ground Penetrating Radar

Craig Warren<sup>a,\*</sup>, Antonios Giannopoulos<sup>a</sup>, Iraklis Giannakis<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Institute for Infrastructure and Environment, School of Engineering, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom*

---

## Abstract

gprMax is open source software that simulates electromagnetic wave propagation, using the Finite-Difference Time-Domain (FDTD) method, for the numerical modelling of Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR). gprMax was originally developed in 1996 when numerical modelling using the FDTD method and, in general, the numerical modelling of GPR were in their infancy. Current computing resources offer the opportunity to build detailed and complex FDTD models of GPR to an extent that was not previously possible. To enable these types of simulations to be more easily realised, and also to facilitate the addition of more advanced features, gprMax has been redeveloped and significantly modernised. The original C-based code has been completely rewritten using a combination of Python and Cython programming languages. Standard and robust file formats have been chosen for geometry and field output files. New advanced modelling features have been added including: an unsplit implementation of higher order Perfectly Matched Layers (PMLs) using a recursive integration approach; diagonally anisotropic materials; dispersive media using multi-pole Debye, Drude or Lorenz expressions; soil modelling using a semi-empirical formulation for dielectric properties and fractals for geometric characteristics; rough surface generation; and the ability to embed complex transducers and targets.

*Keywords:* Computational electromagnetism, Ground Penetrating Radar, Finite-Difference Time-Domain, open source, Python

---

## PROGRAM SUMMARY

*Program Title:* gprMax

*Journal Reference:*

*Catalogue identifier:*

*Licensing provisions:* GNU General Public

License 3 (GPL)

*Programming language:* Python

*Computer:* Any computer with a Python interpreter and a C compiler.

*Operating system:* Microsoft Windows, Mac OS X, and Linux

*RAM:* Problem dependent

*Number of processors used:* Support for multiple processors via OpenMP and MPI

*Classification:* 10

*External routines/libraries:* Cython[1],

\*Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* Craig.Warren@ed.ac.uk  
(Craig Warren), A.Giannopoulos@ed.ac.uk  
(Antonios Giannopoulos), I.Giannakis@ed.ac.uk  
(Iraklis Giannakis)

*Preprint submitted to Computer Physics Communications*

*August 5, 2016*

h5py[2], matplotlib[3], NumPy[4], mpi4py[5]  
*Nature of problem:* Classical electrodynamics  
*Solution method:* Finite-Difference Time-Domain (FDTD)  
*Running time:* Problem dependent

- [1] Cython, <http://www.cython.org>
- [2] h5py, <http://www.h5py.org>
- [3] matplotlib, <http://www.matplotlib.org>
- [4] NumPy, <http://www.numpy.org>
- [5] mpi4py, <http://mpi4py.scipy.org>

## 1. Introduction

Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) is a powerful non-destructive tool that is used for many diverse applications in fields such as engineering, geophysics and even medicine. Examples include: infrastructure assessment of bridges, roads, and railways; locating buried utilities; ice profiling and glaciology; groundwater and soil contaminant mapping; landmine and unexploded ordnance (UXO) recognition; and detection of breast cancer tumours. Understanding how electromagnetic waves propagate through naturally occurring or man-made heterogeneous environments is a challenging problem. Consequently the interpretation of data acquired using GPR is often difficult due to the complex interactions between the GPR system, the target(s) of interest, and the environment. This is especially evident when trying to interpret quantitative information from GPR data. Successful interpretation of GPR data usually relies on considerable experience gained through extensive experimentation, and even then is often limited to identifying areas of interest or anomalies in the data. To advance our understanding of GPR as well as provide a means for testing new data processing techniques and interpretation algorithms it is

important to have accurate and robust simulation software.

gprMax is open source software that simulates electromagnetic wave propagation for the numerical modelling of GPR, and is available from <http://www.gprmax.com>. It uses Yee's [1] algorithm (with second order accurate derivatives in space and time) to solve Maxwell's equations in 3D using the Finite-Difference Time-Domain (FDTD) method. The FDTD method is a differential-equation-based solver that has been described in many publications, such as [2], so will not be repeated here. In summary, the strengths of the FDTD method are that it is a simple, fully explicit, general, and robust technique. The main weakness is due to the fact that the entire computational domain must be discretised which can require extensive computational resources. The time-domain nature of the FDTD method means in a single simulation a wide range of frequencies can be modelled. This is particularly well-suited for simulating GPR systems which are usually ultra wide-band (UWB). However, the computational domain must still be discretised in relation to the highest frequency of interest.

gprMax was originally developed in 1996 [3] when numerical modelling using the FDTD method and, in general, the numerical modelling of GPR were in their infancy. Since then a number of commercial [4, 5] and other freely-available [6, 7] FDTD-based solvers have become available, but gprMax has remained one of the most widely used simulation tools in the GPR community. It has been successfully used for a diverse range of applications in academia and industry [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], and has been cited more than 200 times since 2005 [14].

Computing power has increased dramati-

ically since gprMax was initially developed – multi-core CPUs and gigabytes of RAM are now standard features on desktop and laptop machines, and many research institutions now have their own high-performance computing (HPC) systems. These computational advances have particularly benefited numerical techniques, such as FDTD, that discretise the entire computational domain, and thus larger and more complex scenarios can be investigated. To enable these types of problems to be simulated using gprMax, we have made significant modernisations to the code and also added of new advanced features to the software.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides an overview of the design of the software, the tools that were used, and the principals behind some of the design choices; Section 3 describes the key advanced features that have been developed for modelling GPR; and finally Section 4 gives examples of GPR simulations that take advantage of many of these new features.

## 2. Software overview

### 2.1. Design principals and general features

gprMax was developed as cross-platform software for Linux, Microsoft Windows, and later Mac OS X. It was originally written using the C programming language, with the computationally intensive parts – the FDTD solver loops – parallelized using OpenMP [15]. The original design principal was to create a general computational electromagnetic solver, and then build features specifically for modelling GPR onto that core. We continued to use this philosophy for the redesign of gprMax whilst also considering how to facilitate the implementation of new advanced features, and how

to lay better foundations for future developments.

We decided that the code should be rewritten in Python [16] – a modern, interpreted language that is intended to be highly readable and extensible. There are advantageous features of Python such as dynamic typing, automatic memory management, and object orientation. However some of these attributes come at a performance cost compared with statically typed languages such as C. For a typical FDTD solver, most of the computational time is spent solving the electromagnetic field update equations. Therefore we focussed object orientation and abstraction on the parts of the code that construct the model (prior to the solving), and then used Cython [17] – a superset of Python that generates efficient C source code that can be compiled into extension modules – to write simple methods with minimal decision-making for the FDTD solver. Additionally, Cython supports OpenMP which allowed the FDTD solver to be multi-threaded on machines with multiple CPUs/cores. As an example of this design philosophy, materials have their own class and methods but prior to the solving phase, the update coefficients for the electric and magnetic field equations for each material are stored in simple floating-point NumPy arrays. A NumPy array of integers is used to represent materials and their locations in the computational domain, i.e. the geometry of the model. The integers provide a lookup (index) into the array of the actual material properties/coefficients. Therefore a significant memory saving is made by not having to store material properties/coefficients at every location in the computational domain.

We used MPI for Python (mpi4py) [18] to implement a simple MPI task farm to

distribute series of models as independent tasks. This is especially useful in many GPR simulations where a B-scan<sup>1</sup> is required. Each A-scan<sup>2</sup> can be task-farmed as an independent model. The option to combine OpenMP for threading within an individual model and use MPI to distribute a series of models, can be extremely beneficial in HPC environments.

gprMax originally consisted of two simulators – GprMax2D, which solved the transverse-magnetic mode with respect to the  $z$ -direction (TM $z$ ) in 2D, and GprMax3D which solved the full FDTD algorithm in 3D. Although there were a lot of similarities between the two simulators, two separate codebases had to be maintained which was not efficient. Ever increasing computational power has meant 3D simulations are more accessible and common, but despite this there often still a need to run simple 2D simulations, especially for educational purposes. Therefore we designed a single codebase that can run 2D or 3D simulations. A 2D simulation is achieved by specifying a computational domain that has only a single cell dimension in one direction (that direction is considered the infinite direction). For example, referring to Fig. 1 which shows a 3D Yee cell, if we assume that the infinite direction is the  $z$ -direction, the software will set the values of the electric field components on the  $z$ -faces of the cell to zero, i.e. the  $E_x$  and  $E_y$  components. This has the effect of setting the  $H_z$  component to zero and therefore making Perfect Electric Conductor (PEC) boundaries in the  $z$ -direction. The field components




Figure 1: FDTD Yee cell.

that remain are  $E_z$ ,  $H_x$ , and  $H_y$ , giving a 2D TM $z$  mode. It is possible to relax the time step from the (default) equality with the Courant Friedrichs Lewy (CFL) condition in 3D to the 2D equivalent.

## 2.2. User interface, scripting and file formats

gprMax uses a text-based input file in which users specify all of the parameters for a simulation, e.g., model size, discretization, time window, geometry, materials, and excitation, via pre-defined commands. We considered developing a CAD-based graphical user interface (GUI) or creating a pure programming interface for gprMax but decided against both of these options. There were three guiding principals behind this design decision (two are similar to those given in [7]): firstly, users most often perform a series of related simulations with varying parameters to solve or optimize a particular problem; secondly, we wanted users to be able to easily create models with minimal knowledge or experience of programming; and thirdly we decided the limited resources we had were best concentrated on developing advanced modelling features for GPR within software that could easily interface

<sup>1</sup>A B-scan is a GPR image composed of multiple A-scans recorded at different locations.

<sup>2</sup>An A-scan is a single time-domain trace/signal from a GPR.




Figure 2: FDTD mesh of metal cylinder buried in a lossless dielectric half-space.

```

1 #domain: 0.240 0.210 0.002
2 #dx_dy_dz: 0.002 0.002 0.002
3 #time_window: 5e-9
4 #material: 6 0 1 0 half_space
5 #waveform: ricker 1 1.5e9 my_ricker
6 #hertzian_dipole: z 0.100 0.170 0
  ↪ my_ricker
7 #rx: 0.140 0.170 0
8 #box: 0 0 0 0.240 0.170 0.002
  ↪ half_space
9 #cylinder: 0.120 0.080 0 0.120 0.080
  ↪ 0.002 0.010 pec

```

Listing 1: Input file for a simple 2D GPR simulation of a metal cylinder buried in a lossless dielectric half-space.

with other tools. Although a CAD-based GUI is useful for creating single simulations it becomes increasingly cumbersome for a series of simulations or where simulations contain heterogeneities, e.g. a model of a soil with stochastically varying electrical properties.

Listing 1 provides an example of an input file for a simple 2D GPR simulation of a metal cylinder buried in a lossless dielectric half-space. Fig. 2 shows the geometry of the model.

All commands begin with a hash symbol followed by the name of the command, and then a list of associated parameters<sup>3</sup>. In lines 1-2 the size of the computational domain and discretisation of the model are given in  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$  directions. The model is 2D as the  $z$  dimension of the domain is only a single cell. Line 3 specifies the duration of time to simulate, with the time step being calculated automatically at the CFL limit. In line 4 a material is defined which is used to build the half-space. The material has the identifier name `half_space`, a relative permittivity of six, electric conductivity of zero (S/m), relative permeability of one, and zero magnetic loss (Ohms/m). A Hertzian dipole fed with a Ricker waveform with a centre frequency of 1.5 GHz is used as a source (lines 5-6). A receiver is used to record the time histories of the electric and magnetic fields at a specific location for the duration of the simulation. Finally, a box object (used to represent the half-space) and a cylinder object are created. The identifiers `half_space` and `pec`<sup>4</sup> refer to the materials that the objects are built from. The order of the objects is important as a layered canvas approach is used, i.e. subsequent objects overwrite the properties of previous objects if they specify the same location. The full syntax of every command can be found in the User Guide (<http://docs.gprmax.com>).

We have made it easier to create more complex simulations in gprMax by allowing scripting in the input file. This is achieved because blocks of Python code can be written in the input file and are then executed when the file is read. Listing 2 shows a sim-

<sup>3</sup>all units are in the International System of Units (SI).

<sup>4</sup>`pec` is a built-in material.

```

1 #python:
2 for x in range(8)
3     print('#cylinder: z 0 0.1 {}
      ↪ 0.05 0.005
      ↪ pec'.format(0.02 + x *
      ↪ 0.02))
4 #end_python:

```

Listing 2: Python scripting in an input file

ple example of how a repetitive geometry command can be scripted directly in the input file using a for loop in Python. A PEC cylinder extending from 0 to 100 mm in the  $z$ -direction, with  $y$ -coordinate 50 mm, and radius 5 mm, is repeated every 20 mm in the  $x$ -direction from 20 mm to 160 mm.

Alongside improvements to the input file we have introduced new file formats for field outputs and geometry information. We wanted to design gprMax to be as flexible as possible and based around robust and standardised formats which would allow users a choice of tools for creating input, and viewing and processing output. We have used HDF5 [19] as the output file format to handle the larger and more complex data sets that are being generated. HDF5 is a robust, portable and extensible format with a number of free readers available. The Visualization Toolkit (VTK) [20] is used for improved handling and viewing of the FDTD geometry meshes. The VTK is an open source system for 3D computer graphics, image processing and visualisation. It also has a number of free readers available such as Paraview (<http://www.paraview.org>). gprMax allows the user to view geometry information for the entire model domain or any specified sub-volume within the model domain. The geometry information can be requested on a per-cell basis, useful for view-

ing volumetric objects, or a per-cell-edge basis, which is useful for viewing fine or more complex geometrical features.

### 3. Advanced features for modelling GPR

gprMax contains many powerful and customisable features for modelling GPR. This section focusses on a selection of the new and advanced capabilities that have been developed.

#### 3.1. Library of antenna models

Models of antennas have been included in numerical simulations of GPR intermittently over the past 20 years with varying degrees of realism. Those that have included models of the actual antenna have been mainly of antennas used in academia or for research purposes [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. There has been very limited published work of GPR simulations with models of commercial antennas [31, 32, 33, 34]. In fact, many simulations have used a theoretical Hertzian dipole source to represent a real GPR antenna where only far-field behaviour or travel-time information was of interest, or where computational resources were limited. However, advances in computational power, coupled with the desire to investigate quantitative amplitude information from GPR, means there is a need to develop and use detailed 3D FDTD models of realistic GPR antennas in simulations.

gprMax now includes a library with pre-defined models of antennas that behave similarly to commercial antennas. Currently, models of antennas similar to Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. (GSSI) (<http://www.geophysical.com>) 1.5 GHz

```

1 #python:
2 import from user_libs.antennas import
   ↪ antenna_like_MALA_1200
3 antenna_like_MALA_1200(0.05, 0.05,
   ↪ 0.05)
4 #end_python:

```

Listing 3: Inserting a complex antenna model into an input file




Figure 3: A model of a high-frequency antenna like a MALA 1.2 GHz antenna. The geometry mesh is a combination of per-cell geometry information for volumetric objects, and per-cell-edge geometry information for finer geometric details.

(Model 5100) antenna, and MALA Geoscience (<http://www.malags.com/>) 1.2 GHz antenna are included. This simplifies the process of adding such intricate structures into a model. Listing 3 demonstrates how a model of a high-frequency GPR antenna, shown in Fig. 3, can be inserted into a simulation without having to be built step-by-step by the user. The antenna model is imported from a library and inserted at a specific location in the computational domain.

### 3.2. Absorbing boundary conditions

With increased research into quantitative amplitude information from GPR, it

has become necessary for simulations to have more efficient and better-performing Perfectly Matched Layer (PML) absorbing boundary conditions (ABC). Since 2005 gprMax has featured PML ABCs based on the uniaxial PML (UPML) [35] formulation. A PML based on a recursive integration (RI) approach to the complex frequency shifted (CFS) PML [36] has now been developed for gprMax. The implementation is such that a standard UPML, first order CFS-PML, or second order mixed RIPML can now be configured. Additionally, for advanced usage, the parameters of the PML can be customised, which allows the performance of the PML to be better optimised for specific applications. One of the attractions of the RIPML is that it is easily applied as a correction to the electric and magnetic field values after the complete FDTD grid has been updated using the standard FDTD update equations. Moreover, the RIPML is media agnostic so it can be used, without change, to problems involving dispersive and anisotropic materials.

### 3.3. Materials

Many of the environments where GPR is used are complex, heterogeneous, and contain materials with dispersive properties. Therefore we have focussed on developing new features and making improvements to how materials are created and simulated in the software.

#### 3.3.1. Anisotropic materials

gprMax allows anisotropic objects to be modelled in a simulation. Materials such as wood and fibre-reinforced composites, which are often imaged with GPR, can now be more accurately described. This has been achieved by enabling every volumetric geometry object to specify up to

```

1 #material: 40 5.41 1 0 cfrpX
2 #material: 7.5 0.016 1 0 cfrpYZ
3 #box: 0 0 0 0.1 0.1 0.05 cfrpX cfrpYZ
  ↪ cfrpYZ

```

Listing 4: Uniaxial anisotropy of a carbon-fibre-reinforced polymer (CFRP) composite material

three material identifiers. It is therefore possible for every object to have diagonal anisotropy. Listing 4 demonstrates the uniaxial anisotropy of a carbon-fibre-reinforced polymer (CFRP) composite material.

The material `cfrpX` is used to define the material properties of the CFRP in the  $x$  direction, and the material `cfrpYZ` for the  $y$  and  $z$  directions. A box of CFRP is created on line 3, with the object using three identifiers to associate it with its materials properties in the  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$  directions.

### 3.3.2. Dispersive materials

`gprMax` has always included the ability to represent dispersive materials using a single-pole Debye model. Many materials can be adequately represented using this approach for the typical frequency ranges associated with GPR. However, multi-pole Debye, Drude and Lorenz functions are often used to simulate the electric susceptibility of materials such as: water [37], human tissue [38], cold plasma [39], gold [40], and soils [41, 42, 29]. Electric susceptibility relates the polarization density to the electric field, and includes both the real and imaginary parts of the complex electric permittivity variation. `gprMax` now uses a recursive convolution based method to express dispersive properties as apparent current density sources [43]. A major advantage of this implementation is that it creates an inclusive

```

1 #material: 3 0.026 1 0 fat_tissue
2 #add_dispersion_debye: 2 1.42 13e-12
  ↪ 1.87 0.651e-9 fatty_tissue

```

Listing 5: A 2-pole Debye material that simulates human fatty tissue

susceptibility function that holds, as special cases, Debye, Drude and Lorenz materials. Listing 5 gives an example of the command to add a 2-pole Debye material that simulates human fatty tissue [38].

Line 1 defines the basic material properties<sup>5</sup> and in line 2 the `#add_dispersion_debye` command adds dispersive behaviour to the material based on the Debye formulation. The parameters for the `#add_dispersion_debye` command define the number of poles, the difference between the DC (static) relative permittivity and the relative permittivity at infinite frequency for the first Debye pole, the relaxation time (seconds) for the first Debye pole, the difference between the DC (static) relative permittivity and the relative permittivity at infinite frequency for the second Debye pole, and the relaxation time (seconds) for the second Debye pole.

### 3.3.3. Soil models and topography

The inclusion of improved models of soils is important for many GPR simulations. `gprMax` can now be used to create soils with more realistic dielectric and geometrical properties [44]. A semi-empirical model, initially suggested by [45], is used to describe the dielectric properties of the soil. The model relates relative the permittiv-

<sup>5</sup>When a material has a dispersive modifier, the relative permittivity should be specified as the relative permittivity at infinite frequency.

ity of the soil to its bulk density, sand particle density, sand fraction, clay fraction and volumetric water fraction. Using this approach, a more realistic soil with a stochastic distribution of the aforementioned parameters can be modelled. The real and imaginary parts of this semi-empirical model can be approximated using a multi-pole Debye function plus a conductive term. This can now be achieved in gprMax using the new dispersive material functionality described in Section 3.3.2. For example, to create a soil with bulk density,  $\rho_b = 2 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , sand particle density,  $\rho_s = 2.66 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , sand fraction,  $S = 0.5$ , clay fraction,  $C = 0.5$ , and a volumetric water fraction in the range 0.001–0.25, the command `#soil_peplinski: 0.5 0.5 2 2.66 0.001 0.001 0.25 soil_properties` would be used.

Fractals are scale invariant functions and can be used to express the topography of soils for a wide range of scales with sufficient detail [46]. Fractals can be generated by the convolution of Gaussian noise with the inverse Fourier transform of  $1/k^b$ , where  $k$  is the wavenumber and  $b$  is a constant related to the fractal dimension [47].

The combination of the Peplinski soil models and the fractal functions can be used to generate a soil model in gprMax with more realistic dielectric and geometrical properties. Listing 6 gives an example of the commands required to generate the soil model shown in Fig. 4. The soil is composed of ten different dispersive materials and features a rough surface.

#### 4. Example GPR simulations

The following three examples demonstrate how simple and more advanced simulations of GPR that can be carried out using gprMax.

```

1 #soil_peplinski: 0.5 0.5 2 2.66 0.001
  ↪ 0.25 soil_properties
2 #fractal_box: 0 0 0 0.1 0.1 0.07 1.5 1
  ↪ 1 1 10 soil_properties soil
3 #add_surface_roughness: 0 0 0.07 0.1
  ↪ 0.1 0.07 1.5 1 1 0.065 0.075 soil

```

Listing 6: Simulated soil using a Peplinski model, with a rough surface




Figure 4: Stochastic distribution of an arbitrarily chosen property of the soil and a rough surface created using fractal correlated noise.

##### 4.1. B-scan of a buried cylindrical object

This is an example of a B-scan from a simple 2D GPR simulation of a metal cylinder buried in a lossless dielectric half-space. Listing 7 is the input file required to generate this model.

Listing 7 is identical to Listing 1 except that to create the B-scan the source and receiver are moved in steps to a new position every time the simulation is run, i.e. for each A-scan. The resulting B-scan is shown in Fig. 5 and is composed of 60 A-scans, i.e. 60 model runs.

```

1 #domain: 0.240 0.210 0.002
2 #dx_dy_dz: 0.002 0.002 0.002
3 #time_window: 3e-9
4 #material: 6 0 1 0 half_space
5 #waveform: ricker 1 1.5e9 my_ricker
6 #hertzian_dipole: z 0.040 0.170 0
  ↪ my_ricker
7 #rx: 0.080 0.170 0
8 #src_steps: 0.002 0 0
9 #rx_steps: 0.002 0 0
10 #box: 0 0 0 0.240 0.170 0.002
  ↪ half_space
11 #cylinder: 0.120 0.080 0 0.120 0.080
  ↪ 0.002 0.010 pec

```

Listing 7: Input file to generate a B-scan of a buried cylindrical object




Figure 5: B-scan of a metal cylinder buried in a homogeneous dielectric half-space

#### 4.2. Antenna patterns in a heterogeneous soil

This example shows how to simulate the field patterns of a GPR antenna over a heterogeneous soil.

Listing 8 demonstrates using Python scripting within an input file to generate the model. Fig. 6 shows a series of the resulting H-plane field patterns at different observation distances from the antenna. Further research into the characteristics of GPR antennas in lossless and lossy environments can be found in [31, 34, 48].




Figure 6: H-plane field pattern from GSSI 1.5 GHz antenna model over a lossy, heterogeneous soil




Figure 7: Model of a GPR in a complex environment

#### 4.3. Complex environment

The geometry of the final example model is shown in Fig. 7. The simulation is of a complex environment that can be often be encountered in GPR surveys. It includes a heterogeneous soil with a rough surface and pools of surface water. Grass and roots are simulated, and a model of GPR antenna is

included. Listing 9 shows that all of this complexity is achieved using relatively few commands which demonstrates the power and ease of use of the software.

## 5. Conclusion

Current computing resources offer the possibility to build ever larger and more complex simulations of GPR that have not been possible before. A new version of gprMax has been developed that is open source and written using Python and Cython programming languages. Improvements have been made to existing features of gprMax as well as the addition of new advanced modelling features including: an unsplit implementation of higher order perfectly matched layers (PMLs) using a recursive integration approach; diagonally anisotropic materials; dispersive media using multi-pole Debye, Drude or Lorenz expressions; soil modelling using a semi-empirical formulation for dielectric properties and fractals for geometric characteristics; rough surface generation; and the ability to embed complex transducers and targets. A series of example simulations demonstrate some of these features and the ease with which they can be used. The open source principle of the software provides a platform for developers to contribute new ideas and algorithms which will be of future benefit to the GPR research community.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by The Defence Science and Technology Laboratory (Dstl), UK, and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC), UK, and benefited from networking activities carried out within the EU funded COST Action

TU1208 "Civil Engineering Applications of Ground Penetrating Radar."

## References

- [1] K. S. Yee, Numerical solution of initial boundary value problems involving maxwells equations in isotropic media, *Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on* 14 (3) (1966) 302–307.
- [2] A. Taflove, S. C. Hagness, *Computational electrodynamics*, Artech house, 2005.
- [3] A. Giannopoulos, Modelling ground penetrating radar by gprmax, *Construction and building materials* 19 (10) (2005) 755–762.
- [4] Lumerical Solutions, Inc. Fdtd solutions [online, cited 2015].
- [5] Remcom. Xfdtd em simulation software [online, cited 2015].
- [6] Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology. Gmes - gist maxwell's equations solver [online, cited 2015].
- [7] Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Meep - mit electromagnetic equation propagation [online, cited 2015].
- [8] N. J. Cassidy, T. M. Millington, The application of finite-difference time-domain modelling for the assessment of gpr in magnetically lossy materials, *Journal of Applied Geophysics* 67 (4) (2009) 296–308.
- [9] P. Shangguan, I. L. Al-Qadi, Calibration of fdtd simulation of gpr signal for asphalt pavement compaction monitoring, *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on* 53 (3) (2015) 1538–1548.
- [10] E. Slob, M. Sato, G. Olhoeft, Surface and borehole ground-penetrating-radar developments, *Geophysics* 75 (5) (2010) 75A103–75A120.
- [11] F. Soldovieri, J. Hugenschmidt, R. Persico, G. Leone, A linear inverse scattering algorithm for realistic GPR applications, *Near Surface Geophysics* 5 (1) (2007) 29–42.
- [12] M. Solla, H. Lorenzo, F. Rial, A. Novo, Ground-penetrating radar for the structural evaluation of masonry bridges: Results and interpretational tools, *Construction and Building Materials* 29 (2012) 458–465.
- [13] A. P. Tran, F. Andre, S. Lambot, Validation of near-field ground-penetrating radar modeling using full-wave inversion for soil mois-

- ture estimation, *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on* 52 (9) (2014) 5483–5497.
- [14] Elsevier. Scopus, the largest abstract and citation database of peer-reviewed literature [online, cited 2015].
- [15] OpenMP Architecture Review Board. Openmp [online, cited 2015].
- [16] Python Software Foundation. Python [online, cited 2015].
- [17] Cython. Cython [online, cited 2015].
- [18] L. Dalcin. Mpi for python [online, cited 2015].
- [19] The HDF Group. Hdf5 technology suite [online, cited 2015].
- [20] Kitware Inc. The visualization toolkit [online, cited 2015].
- [21] L. Gurel, U. Oguz, Three-dimensional fdtd modeling of a ground-penetrating radar, *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on* 38 (4) (2000) 1513–1521.
- [22] G. Klysz, X. Ferrieres, J. Balayssac, S. Laurens, Simulation of direct wave propagation by numerical fdtd for a gpr coupled antenna, *NDT & E International* 39 (4) (2006) 338–347.
- [23] S. Lambot, E. C. Slob, I. van den Bosch, B. Stockbroeckx, M. Vanclooster, Modeling of ground-penetrating radar for accurate characterization of subsurface electric properties, *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on* 42 (11) (2004) 2555–2568.
- [24] B. Lampe, K. Holliger, Numerical modeling of a complete ground-penetrating radar system, in: *International Symposium on Optical Science and Technology, International Society for Optics and Photonics*, 2001, pp. 99–110.
- [25] K.-H. Lee, C.-C. Chen, F. L. Teixeira, K.-H. Lee, Modeling and investigation of a geometrically complex uwb gpr antenna using fdtd, *Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on* 52 (8) (2004) 1983–1991.
- [26] Y. Nishioka, O. Maeshima, T. Uno, S. Adachi, Fdtd analysis of resistor-loaded bow-tie antennas covered with ferrite-coated conducting cavity for subsurface radar, *Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on* 47 (6) (1999) 970–977.
- [27] V. Pérez-Gracia, D. Di Capua, R. González-Drigo, L. Pujades, Laboratory characterization of a gpr antenna for high-resolution testing: Radiation pattern and vertical resolution, *NDT & E International* 42 (4) (2009) 336–344.
- [28] R. L. Roberts, J. J. Daniels, Modeling near-field gpr in three dimensions using the fdtd method, *Geophysics* 62 (4) (1997) 1114–1126.
- [29] F. L. Teixeira, W. C. Chew, M. Straka, M. Oristaglio, T. Wang, Finite-difference time-domain simulation of ground penetrating radar on dispersive, inhomogeneous, and conductive soils, *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on* 36 (6) (1998) 1928–1937.
- [30] D. Uduwawala, M. Norgren, P. Fuks, A. W. Gunawardena, A deep parametric study of resistor-loaded bow-tie antennas for ground-penetrating radar applications using fdtd, *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on* 42 (4) (2004) 732–742.
- [31] N. Diamanti, A. P. Annan, Characterizing the energy distribution around gpr antennas, *Journal of Applied Geophysics* 99 (2013) 83–90.
- [32] N. Diamanti, P. Annan, D. Redman, Quantifying gpr transient waveforms in the intermediate zone, in: *Advanced Ground Penetrating Radar (IWAGPR), 2013 7th International Workshop on, IEEE*, 2013, pp. 1–7.
- [33] C. Warren, A. Giannopoulos, Creating FDTD models of commercial GPR antennas using Taguchi’s optimisation method, *Geophysics* 76 (37).
- [34] C. Warren, A. Giannopoulos, Experimental and modeled performance of a ground penetrating radar antenna in lossy dielectrics, *Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, IEEE Journal of*.
- [35] S. D. Gedney, The perfectly matched layer absorbing medium, *Advances in Computational Electrodynamics: The Finite-Difference Time-Domain Method* (1998) 263–344.
- [36] A. Giannopoulos, Unsplit implementation of higher order pmls, *Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on* 60 (3) (2012) 1479–1485.
- [37] M. Pieraccini, A. Bicci, D. Mecatti, G. Macaluso, C. Atzeni, Propagation of large bandwidth microwave signals in water, *Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on* 57 (11) (2009) 3612–3618.
- [38] D. Ireland, A. Abbosh, Modeling human head at microwave frequencies using optimized debye models and fdtd method, *Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on* 61 (4)

- (2013) 2352–2355.
- [39] J. Li, L.-X. Guo, Y.-C. Jiao, R. Wang, Composite scattering of a plasma-coated target above dispersive sea surface by the ade-fdtd method, *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, IEEE 10 (1) (2013) 4–8.
- [40] A. Vial, A.-S. Grimault, D. Macías, D. Barchiesi, M. L. de La Chapelle, Improved analytical fit of gold dispersion: Application to the modeling of extinction spectra with a finite-difference time-domain method, *Physical Review B* 71 (8) (2005) 085416.
- [41] T. Bergmann, J. O. Robertsson, K. Holliger, Finite-difference modeling of electromagnetic wave propagation in dispersive and attenuating media, *Geophysics* 63 (3) (1998) 856–867.
- [42] I. Giannakis, A. Giannopoulos, N. Davidson, Incorporating dispersive electrical properties in fdtd gpr models using a general cole-cole dispersion function, in: *Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), 2012 14th International Conference on*, IEEE, 2012, pp. 232–236.
- [43] I. Giannakis, A. Giannopoulos, A novel piecewise linear recursive convolution approach for dispersive media using the finite-difference time-domain method, *Antennas and Propagation*, IEEE Transactions on.
- [44] I. Giannakis, A. Giannopoulos, C. Warren, A realistic fdtd numerical modeling framework of ground penetrating radar for landmine detection, *Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, IEEE Journal on.
- [45] M. C. Dobson, F. T. Ulaby, M. T. Hallikainen, M. A. El-Rayes, Microwave dielectric behavior of wet soil-part ii: Dielectric mixing models, *Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, IEEE Transactions on GE-23 (1) (1985) 35–46.
- [46] D. L. Turcotte, A fractal interpretation of topography and geoid spectra on the earth, moon, venus, and mars, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* (1978–2012) 92 (B4) (1987) E597–E601.
- [47] D. L. Turcotte, *Fractals and chaos in geology and geophysics*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [48] C. Warren, A. Giannopoulos, Characterisation of a ground penetrating radar antenna in lossless homogeneous and lossy heterogeneous environments, *Signal Processing*.
- ```

1 #dx_dy_dz: 0.001 0.001 0.001
2 #python:
3 import numpy as np
4 from user_libs.antennas import
5     ↪ antenna_like_GSSI_1500
6 radii = np.arange(0.1, 0.6, 0.02)
7 theta = np.arange(3, 359, 6)
8 fs = np.array([0.040, 0.040, 0.040])
9 domain = np.array([2 * fs[0] + 2 *
10     ↪ radii[-1], 2 * fs[1] + 0.107, 2 *
11     ↪ fs[2] + 2 * radii[-1]])
12 antennaposition = np.array([fs[0] +
13     ↪ radii[-1], domain[1] / 2, fs[2] +
14     ↪ radii[-1]])
15 antenna_like_GSSI_1500(antennaposition[0],
16     ↪ antennaposition[1],
17     ↪ antennaposition[2])
18 print('#domain: {:.3f} {:.3f}
19     ↪ {:.3f}'.format(domain[0],
20     ↪ domain[1], domain[2]))
21 print('#time_window: 14e-9')
22 print('#soil_peplinski: 0.5 0.5 2.0
23     ↪ 2.66 0.001 0.25 mySoil')
24 print('#fractal_box: 0 0 0 {} {} {} 1.5
25     ↪ 1 1 1 50 mySoil mySoilBox
26     ↪ 1'.format(domain[0], domain[1],
27     ↪ fs[2] + radii[-1]))
28 np.savetxt(inputdirectory +
29     ↪ 'rxsorigin_H.txt',
30     ↪ antennaposition, fmt="%f")
31 for radius in range(len(radii)):
32     ## H-plane circle (xz plane, y=0,
33     ↪ phi=0,pi)
34     x = radii[radius] * np.sin(theta *
35     ↪ np.pi / 180) * np.cos(180 *
36     ↪ np.pi / 180)
37     y = radii[radius] * np.sin(theta *
38     ↪ np.pi / 180) * np.sin(180 *
39     ↪ np.pi / 180)
40     z = radii[radius] * np.cos(theta *
41     ↪ np.pi / 180)
42     for rxpt in range(len(theta)):
43         print('#rx: {:.3f} {:.3f}
44             ↪ {:.3f}'.format(x[rxpt] +
45             ↪ antennaposition[0],
46             ↪ y[rxpt] +
47             ↪ antennaposition[1],
48             ↪ z[rxpt] +
49             ↪ antennaposition[2]))
50 #end_python:

```

```

1 #domain: 1 0.208 0.7
2 #dx_dy_dz: 0.001 0.001 0.001
3 #time_window: 10e-9
4 #soil_peplinski: 0.5 0.5 2.0 2.66 0.001
  ↪ 0.25 soil_properties
5 #fractal_box: 0 0 0 1 0.208 0.5 1.5 1 1
  ↪ 1 10 soil_properties soil
6 #add_surface_roughness: 0 0 0.5 1 0.208
  ↪ 0.5 1 1 1 0.45 0.55 soil
7 #add_surface_water: 0 0 0.5 1 0.208 0.5
  ↪ 0.52 soil
8 #add_grass: 0 0 0.5 1 0.208 0.5 1 0.4
  ↪ 0.6 300 soil
9 #python:
10 from user_libs.antennas import
  ↪ antenna_like_GSSI_1500
11 antenna_like_GSSI_1500(0.5, 0.104, 0.6)
12 #end_python:
13 #geometry_view: 0 0 0 1 0.208 0.7 0.001
  ↪ 0.001 0.001 complex_environment n

```

Listing 9: Input file for a complex environment for GPR