

**UWL REPOSITORY**  
**repository.uwl.ac.uk**

Environmental heterogeneity of Staphylococcus species from alkaline fermented foods and associated toxins and antimicrobial resistance genetic elements

Ouoba, L. Irène I., Voudibio Mbozo, Alain Brice, Anyogu, Amarachukwu ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9652-7728>, Obioha, Promiselynda I., Lingani-Sawadogo, Hagrétou, Sutherland, Jane P., Jespersen, Lene and Ghoddusi, Hamid B. (2019) Environmental heterogeneity of Staphylococcus species from alkaline fermented foods and associated toxins and antimicrobial resistance genetic elements. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 311. p. 108356. ISSN 0168-1605

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108356>

This is the Accepted Version of the final output.

**UWL repository link:** <https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/8242/>

**Alternative formats:** If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: [open.research@uwl.ac.uk](mailto:open.research@uwl.ac.uk)

**Copyright:** Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy:** If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at [open.research@uwl.ac.uk](mailto:open.research@uwl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Rights Retention Statement:**

1 **Environmental heterogeneity of *Staphylococcus* species from alkaline**  
2 **fermented foods and associated toxins and antimicrobial resistance genetic**  
3 **elements**

4  
5 L. Irène I. Ouoba<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Alain Brice Voudibio-Mbozo<sup>c</sup>, Amarachukwu Anyogu<sup>d</sup>,  
6 Promiselynda I. Obioha<sup>a</sup>, Hagrétou Lingani-Sawadogo<sup>e</sup>, Jane P. Sutherland<sup>a</sup>, Lene  
7 Jespersen<sup>f</sup>, and Hamid B. Ghoddu<sup>a</sup>

8 <sup>a</sup> Microbiology Research Unit, School of Human Sciences, London Metropolitan  
9 University, UK

10 <sup>b</sup> Ouoba-Consulting, London, UK

11 <sup>c</sup> Faculté des Sciences, Université Marien N’Gouabi, Brazzaville, Congo

12 <sup>d</sup> Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of  
13 Westminster, UK

14 <sup>e</sup> Département Technologie Alimentaire/IRSAT/CNRST, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

15 <sup>f</sup> Microbial Ecology and Food Fermentation, Faculty of Sciences, University of  
16 Copenhagen

17 **Corresponding author:** Dr L. Irène I. Ouoba, Microbiology Research Unit, School of  
18 Human Sciences, London Metropolitan University London N7 8DB, UK

19 Tel.: +44 (0) 20 71334154, Email: ouobairene@hotmail.com

20 **Abstract**

21 Different samples of three products including Bikalga and Soumbala from  
22 Burkina Faso (West Africa) and Ntoba Mbodi from Congo-Brazzaville (Central Africa)  
23 were evaluated. The bacteria (400) were phenotyped and genotypically characterized  
24 by Rep-PCR, PFGE, 16S rRNA and *rpoB* gene sequencing and *spa* typing. Their  
25 PFGE profiles were compared with those of 12,000 isolates in the Center for Disease  
26 Control (CDC, USA) database. They were screened for the production of enterotoxins,  
27 susceptibility to 19 antimicrobials, presence of 12 staphylococcal toxin and 38 AMR  
28 genes and the ability to transfer erythromycin and tetracycline resistance genes to  
29 *Enterococcus faecalis* JH2-2.

30 Fifteen coagulase negative (CoNS) and positive (CoPS) species characterised  
31 by 25 Rep-PCR/PFGE clusters were identified: *Staphylococcus arlettae*, *S. aureus*, *S.*  
32 *cohnii*, *S. epidermidis*, *S. gallinarum*, *S. haemolyticus*, *S. hominis*, *S. pasteurii*, *S.*  
33 *condimenti*, *S. piscifermentans*, *S. saprophyticus*, *S. sciuri*, *S. simulans*, *S. warneri*  
34 and *Macrococcus caseolyticus*. Five species were specific to Soumbala, four to  
35 Bikalga and four to Ntoba Mbodi. Two clusters of *S. gallinarum* and three of *S. sciuri*  
36 were particular to Burkina Faso. The *S. aureus* isolates exhibited a *spa* type t355 and  
37 their PFGE profiles did not match any in the CDC database. Bacteria from the same  
38 cluster displayed similar AMR and toxin phenotypes and genotypes, whereas clusters  
39 peculiar to a product or a location generated distinct profiles. The toxin genes  
40 screened were not detected and the bacteria did not produce the staphylococcal  
41 enterotoxins A, B, C and D. AMR genes including *blazA*, *cat501*, *dfr(A)*, *dfr(G)*, *mecA*,  
42 *mecA1*, *msr(A)* and *tet(K)* were identified in CoNS and CoPS. Conjugation  
43 experiments produced JH2-2 isolates that acquired resistance to erythromycin and  
44 tetracycline, but no gene transfer was revealed by PCR.

45           The investigation of the heterogeneity of *Staphylococcus* species from alkaline  
46 fermented foods, their relationship with clinical and environmental isolates and their  
47 safety in relation to antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and toxin production is anticipated  
48 to contribute to determining the importance of staphylococci in alkaline fermented  
49 foods, especially in relation to the safety of the consumers.

50

51

52 **Key words:** Alkaline fermented foods; *Staphylococcus* spp.; diversity; distribution;  
53 safety; toxins; AMR

54

Accepted Manuscript

## 55 **1. Introduction**

56 Alkaline fermented foods are products that are generally rich in proteins (30-  
57 50%) and their production involves a fermentation process associated with an increase  
58 of pH to values as high as 10.0 (Parkouda et al., 2009; Voudibio Mbozo et al., 2017).  
59 They are mainly known in Africa and Asia, where they constitute low cost sources of  
60 protein foods and play an important part in the diet of people (Parkouda et al., 2009).  
61 It is generally recognized that the pH rise is the result of accrued degradation of raw  
62 material proteins that leads to the release of peptides, essential amino acids as well  
63 as alkalinizing substances such as ammonia (Kiers et al., 2000). In some cases, alkali-  
64 treatments during the production are responsible for the pH augmentation (Parkouda  
65 et al., 2008).

66 Bikalga, Soumbala, and Ntoba Mbodi are alkaline fermented foods which are  
67 produced through the traditional fermentation of roselle seeds (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*),  
68 African locust bean seeds (*Parkia biglobosa*) and cassava leaves (*Manihot esculenta*  
69 Crantz) respectively. Production of Bikalga and Soumbala comprises several  
70 processing stages of the seeds: cleaning, boiling (about 95°C for 24-40 h), dehulling  
71 (Soumbala), fermentation (48-72 h), steaming (Bikalga) and drying (Ouoba et al.,  
72 2008a; Parkouda et al., 2008; Parkouda et al., 2009). They are the most popular  
73 traditional fermented foods used as condiments in Burkina Faso (West Africa) and are  
74 also produced in other African countries. Ntoba Mbodi, which is popular in the Republic  
75 of the Congo (Central Africa), is made of cassava leaves and the production process  
76 does not involve heating steps. After being cleaned and cut, the leaves are distributed  
77 into small portions in larger leaves, wrapped and left to ferment for 2-4 days (Voudibio  
78 Mbozo et al., 2017). The fermented cassava leaves are then used to prepare sauces,  
79 which are eaten with starch-based foods. In Bikalga, Soumbala and Ntoba Mbodi, pH

80 values up to 8.5, 9 and 10 have been respectively reported (Ouoba, 2015; Ouoba,  
81 2017; Parkouda et al., 2009; Voudibio Mbozo et al., 2017).

82 The key microorganisms involved in alkaline fermentations are *Bacillus* species  
83 such as *B. subtilis*, *B. licheniformis*, *B. amyloliquefaciens*, *B. pumilis*, and *B.*  
84 *xylanilyticus* that can degrade proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates of the raw materials  
85 and bring about the nutritional quality and safety of the product (Parkouda et al., 2009).  
86 However, secondary microorganisms such as lactic acid bacteria, *Staphylococcus*  
87 spp. and various Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria are often isolated with no  
88 clear indication of their role in the fermentation. The production techniques of most  
89 alkaline fermented foods are traditional, leading to variability of microbial population,  
90 nutritional quality, product stability and potential safety issues. It is believed that some  
91 of the secondary microorganisms are in fact contaminants from the producers,  
92 processing materials and environments that have poor hygienic quality in most  
93 traditional fermentation settings. Some secondary microorganisms are part of the  
94 original microbiota of the raw materials that are suppressed by products released  
95 during the fermentation. For example, those that are alkali sensitive will be eliminated  
96 or reduced during the fermentation.

97 *Staphylococci* are Gram-positive non-motile cocci ubiquitously spread in  
98 nature, and are commonly isolated from humans, animals, food and environmental  
99 sources. More than 70 species and subspecies of the *Staphylococcus* genus have  
100 been characterized so far (LPSN, 2018) with *Staphylococcus aureus* being one of the  
101 most studied species due to its clinical importance. *Staphylococcus* species are  
102 usually divided into two groups i.e. coagulase-positive (CoPS) and coagulase-  
103 negative (CoNS) according to their ability to coagulate rabbit plasma. Various  
104 *Staphylococcus* species have been recurrently isolated in many alkaline and non-

105 alkaline vegetable based fermented foods, but their role in such fermentation is  
106 unknown. However, their importance in the fermentation of meat-based products has  
107 been well documented. They occur in the production of various types of sausages  
108 where they constitute a part of the autochthonous microbiota or are added as starter  
109 cultures in combination with lactic acid bacteria (Fonseca et al., 2013; Milicevic et al.,  
110 2014). *Staphylococcus equorum*, *S. saprophyticus*, *S. xylosus* and *S. carnosus* are  
111 the most common species found in fermented sausages, but other species such as *S.*  
112 *warneri*, *S. vitulinus*, *S. pasteurii*, *S. epidermidis*, *S. lentus*, *S. haemolyticus*, *S.*  
113 *intermedius*, and *S. hominis* can also be occasionally isolated (Milicevic et al., 2014).  
114 They have been reported to be involved in biochemical activities during meat  
115 fermentation such as the degradation of proteins, lipids and peroxide as well as the  
116 reduction of nitrite (Casaburi et al., 2008; Milicevic et al., 2014). These activities  
117 generate compounds such as peptides, amino acids, carbonyls and volatile  
118 compounds that contribute to the nutritional quality, specific organoleptic properties  
119 and safety of the fermented meat products (Milicevic et al., 2014).

120 Although beneficial properties of *Staphylococcus* species in food have been  
121 documented, many species are most known worldwide for causing food poisoning and  
122 other types of clinical infections in humans and animals including e.g. bacteraemia,  
123 wound infections, pyogenic lesions, and mastitis. Moreover, they present detrimental  
124 antimicrobial resistance (AMR) profiles that make some of their infections hard to treat.  
125 With regard to toxin production in food, staphylococcal food poisoning is one of the  
126 most common food-borne diseases. It results from the ingestion of heat stable  
127 staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) produced in food by enterotoxigenic strains of  
128 mainly *S. aureus* (Hennekinne et al., 2012). Symptoms related to ingestion of such  
129 toxins are fast-acting, occurring generally within 30 min to 8 h and lasting for about 24

130 hours. Individuals generally experience vomiting, nausea, stomach cramps, and  
131 diarrhoea. Severe illnesses related to staphylococcal food poisoning are rare but  
132 occasionally, it can be deadly, especially in children, elderly and patients weakened  
133 by a long-term illness. *Staphylococcus aureus* is considered one of the world's leading  
134 causes of disease outbreaks associated with food consumption. Other CoPS such as  
135 *S. intermedius* and CoNS such as *S. epidermidis*, *S. sciuri*, *S. simulans*, *S. xylosum*,  
136 *S. equorum*, *S. lentus* and *S. capitis* have been reported to harbour genes coding for  
137 SEs and to produce some SEs (Casaes Nunes et al., 2015; Crass and Bergdoll, 1986).  
138 However, information on their real implication in staphylococcal food poisoning outbreaks  
139 is scarce (Breckinridge and Bergdoll, 1971; Podkowik et al., 2013). Significantly, the  
140 incidence of AMR has continually increased in staphylococci, as well as in other types  
141 of bacteria. This is attributed to factors such as the frequent antibiotic administration  
142 to livestock, or misuse and overuse of antimicrobials. Therefore, the food chain  
143 constitutes one possible route of AMR transfer (Angulo et al., 2004). Both CoPS and  
144 CoNS from food origin exhibit a wide range of AMR genetic elements coding for  
145 resistance to different antimicrobials such as methicillin, tetracycline, penicillin, and  
146 vancomycin (Gundogan et al., 2005; Simeoni et al., 2008). The most frequently  
147 encountered resistant staphylococcus is methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*  
148 (MRSA), which is resistant to multiple antimicrobials and is responsible for hard-to-  
149 treat infections. Thus, it constitutes a serious public health concern, as it causes  
150 thousands of deaths per year worldwide and constitutes a global economic burden.

151 The study aimed to screen the environmental heterogeneity of *Staphylococcus*  
152 species from alkaline fermented foods, their relationship with clinical isolates  
153 worldwide and their safety with regards to genes encoding antimicrobial resistance

154 (AMR) and toxin production. This is anticipated to elucidate their distribution and  
155 importance in such foods, especially in relation to the safety of the consumers.

## 156 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 157 2.1 Identification of the bacteria

#### 158 2.1.1 Phenotypic characterisation

159 The bacteria (400) were isolated from different samples of Bikalga (9), Ntoba Mbodi  
160 (8) and Soumbala (10) collected at different markets and production sites in Burkina  
161 Faso (Bikalga and Soumbala) and the Republic of the Congo (Ntoba Mbodi),  
162 from areas constituting major consumers of these food products. Each sample (10 g)  
163 was homogenised for 1 min in 90 ml of maximum recovery diluent (MRD, Oxoid) and  
164 ten-fold dilutions ( $10^{-2}$  -  $10^{10}$ ) prepared. The dilutions (0.1 ml) were spread on nutrient  
165 agar (NA; Oxoid CM0003, Basingstoke, UK) for the enumeration of total aerobic  
166 mesophilic bacteria. The plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C and the count  
167 recorded after 2 days. For enumeration and isolation of the presumptive  
168 *Staphylococcus* population, the dilutions were spread on Baird Parker (BPA; Oxoid)  
169 and Mannitol Salt (MSA; Oxoid) agars and the plates incubated aerobically at 37°C for  
170 2 days. Bacteria isolated from the two the latter media were purified by repeated  
171 streaking on NA, maintained in nutrient broth (NB; Oxoid CM001) containing 20% (v/v)  
172 glycerol (Sigma G8773, Gillingham, UK) as well as on cryobeads and stored at -20°C  
173 for further analyses.

174 For the phenotypic characterization, the isolates were streaked on NA and  
175 examined for colony and cell morphology, as well as tested for Gram, catalase,  
176 oxidase and coagulase reactions. The Gram reaction was evaluated using the KOH  
177 method (Gregersen, 1978) and the oxidase test using *Pseudomas fragi* as positive  
178 control and *Brochothrix thermosphacta* as negative control. The coagulase test was

179 performed using the Staphylase test kit (Oxoid DR0595) and following the  
180 manufacturer's instructions. The cell morphology was determined by light microscopy  
181 (Nikon Model Eclipse, E400, Japan). The phenotypic screening resulted in the  
182 elimination from the study of 310 non-staphylococcal isolates which were able to grow  
183 on BPA and MSA and whose colony morphologies initially suggested they were  
184 potential *Staphylococcus* spp. Thus, 90 isolates were retained for further  
185 characterization.

## 186 2.1.2 Molecular typing

### 187 2.1.2.1 DNA extraction

188 The bacteria were grown on Brain Heart Infusion Agar (BHI-A) prepared by  
189 mixing BHI (Oxoid CM1135) and technical agar (Oxoid LP0013, 15g/L). After  
190 incubation at 37°C for 48 h, the DNA was extracted from purified cultures following the  
191 manufacturer's instructions using InstaGene Matrix (Bio-Rad 732-6030, Hemel  
192 Hempstead, UK).

### 193 2.1.2.2 Repetitive element palindromic-polymerase chain reaction (rep-PCR)

194 The isolates were characterized by rep-PCR using the GTG5 primer as  
195 described by Ouoba et al. (2008a). Bacteria showing the same DNA profile were  
196 clustered in the same group by visual screening and cluster analysis using the  
197 Bionumerics system (2.50, Dice's Coefficient of similarity, Unweighted pair group  
198 method with arithmetic: UPGMA; Applied Maths, Saint-Martens-Latem, Belgium).

### 199 2.1.2.3 Pulse Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE)

200 In order to assess the worldwide distribution of the types of *S. aureus* found in  
201 the fermented foods, PFGE of Smal-digested genomic DNA was performed according  
202 to the method proposed by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention/Pulse Net  
203 (CDC, USA) as described by Van Balen et al. (2013). The Salmonella serotype

204 Branderup strain H9812 digested with XbaI was used as a molecular size marker. The  
205 PFGE profiles of the *Staphylococcus* isolates were compared with those in a CDC  
206 database that contains worldwide profiles of about 12,000 *S. aureus* strains recovered  
207 from outbreaks, surveillance, reference and the environment, and submitted to the  
208 database.

#### 209 2.1.2.4 Sequencing of the 16S rRNA and *rpoB* genes

210 The bacteria were identified by the amplification and sequencing of the 16S  
211 rRNA and *rpoB* genes. For the 16S rRNA gene amplification, the method and the pA  
212 and pE primers described by Ouoba et al. (2008a) were used. For the amplification  
213 of the *rpoB* gene, primers 2491F and 3554R described by Drancourt and Raoult (2002)  
214 were used with the following PCR mixture: 5 µl of 10 X PCR buffer containing 15 mM  
215 of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Applied Biosystems N8080160), 0.5 µl of dNTP (1.25 mM), 0.5 µl of each  
216 primer (21 pmol/µl), 0.2 µl of AmpliTaq polymerase (5 U; Applied Biosystems N808-  
217 0160) and 41.3 µl of sterile high purity water. The PCR conditions were as follows:  
218 initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 52°C for 30 s, 72°C  
219 for 1 min and a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min.

220 After the amplification of both genes, positive amplicons were checked by  
221 electrophoresis and purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Crawley,  
222 UK). The 16S rRNA and *rpoB* genes were sequenced (SourceBioscience, Cambridge,  
223 UK) using primers pD (3.2 pmol/µl) and 2491F/ 3554R (3.2 pmol/µl) respectively. The  
224 16S rRNA gene sequences were analysed in the ezbiocloud server (Yoon et al., 2017)  
225 whereas those of the *rpoB* gene were screened in the GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ  
226 database (National Center for Biotechnology, MD, USA).

#### 227 2.1.2.4 *Spa* typing

228 A multiplex PCR method and primers recommended by the European  
229 Reference Laboratory for antimicrobial resistance (EURL-AR, 2012), and that allows  
230 at the same time the detection of the *spa* gene and the *S. aureus* methicillin resistance  
231 genes *mecA*, *mecC* was used. The method includes the use of a PCR mixture  
232 containing 2.5 µl of 2xGreen PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific K1081), 2 µl (10 µM)  
233 of a mixture of the forward primers, 2 µl (10 µM) of a mixture of the reverse primers  
234 and 6.5 µl of high purity water. The PCR conditions described by Stegger et al. (2012)  
235 were applied.

## 236 2.2 Screening haemolysis and toxin production potential of the bacteria

### 237 2.2.1 Haemolytic activity on blood agar

238 The *Staphylococcus* isolates were screened for their haemolytic activity on  
239 blood agar as follows: Columbia agar base (Oxoid CM003) was autoclaved at 121 °C  
240 for 15 min and sheep blood (5%; Oxoid SR0051B) added after cooling to 50 °C, before  
241 distribution into Petri dishes. The isolates were then streaked on the agar and  
242 incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. Haemolysis was identified by the appearance of a zone  
243 of clearing around the colonies.

### 244 2.2.2 Production of staphylococcal enterotoxins A, B, C and D

245 The ability of the bacteria to produce the staphylococcal enterotoxin A, B, C  
246 and D was investigated using the staphylococcal enterotoxin reversed passive latex  
247 agglutination (SET-RPLA) toxin detection kit (Oxoid TD0900). Each isolate was grown  
248 at 37°C for 24h in 10 ml of Tryptone Soya broth (TSB; Oxoid CM0129) and 1ml of the  
249 culture centrifuged using a benchtop centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 20 min. The presence  
250 of toxins was screened in the supernatant according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### 251 2.2.3 Detection of staphylococcal enterotoxin, exfoliative and toxic shock syndrome 252 toxin genes

253 The isolates were tested for the presence of the staphylococcal enterotoxin  
254 genes *sea*, *seb*, *sec*, *sed*, *see*, *seg*, *seh*, *sei*, *sej*, exfoliative toxin genes *eta* and *etb*,  
255 and toxic shock syndrome toxin gene *tsst-1*. Primers described by Johnson et al.  
256 (1991), Omoe et al. (2002) and Nashev et al. (2004) were used. The PCR mixture was  
257 that used by Ouoba et al. (2008b). The PCR conditions were as follows: 94°C for 2  
258 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at the  
259 corresponding temperature for 1 min and elongation at 72°C for 1 min. The PCR ended  
260 with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

## 261 2.3 Screening the AMR phenotypic and genotypic profiles of the bacteria

### 262 2.3.1 Inoculum preparation

263 The isolates were grown on BHI-A and pure colonies re-suspended in 1 ml of  
264 Maximum Recovery Diluent (MRD; Oxoid CM0733). A quantity of the latter suspension  
265 was transferred to 10 ml of MRD to obtain a turbidity of 0.5 ( $10^7$ - $10^8$  CFU/ml) on the  
266 McFarland scale using a nephelometer (TREK Diagnostic systems, West Sussex,  
267 UK).

### 268 2.3.2 Determination of the susceptibility of the bacteria to antimicrobials

269 The Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) for 19 antimicrobials (Table 1) was  
270 determined using a 96 well sensititre™ plate (EUST, TREK Diagnostic Systems Ltd,  
271 East Grinstead, UK) containing varying amounts of each antimicrobial. The method  
272 recommended by EURL-AR (DTU, Denmark) was applied (EURL-AR, 2009). Briefly,  
273 50 µl of the inoculum was mixed with 10 ml Mueller Hinton broth (MHB; Oxoid  
274 CM0405) and 50 µl of this mixture were dispensed in each well. Incubation was carried  
275 out aerobically at 37°C for 24 h and visible growth recorded. The susceptibility of the  
276 isolates to each antimicrobial was established using the cut-off values recorded in  
277 Table 1.

### 278 2.3.3 Detection of AMR genes

279 The presence of 38 genes encoding resistance to chloramphenicol,  
280 erythromycin, gentamycin, methicillin, penicillin, quinupristin/dalfopristin, tetracycline,  
281 trimethoprim, streptomycin and vancomycin were investigated (Table 1).

282 For the detection of the genes, the PCR mixtures described by Naghizadeh  
283 Raeisi et al. (2018) were used. However, for the detection of the *S. aureus* methicillin  
284 resistance genes *mecA* and *mecC*, the multiplex PCR (described in 2.1.2.4) and  
285 primers recommended by EURL-AR (2012) were used. For the *mecA1* gene pertaining  
286 to *S. sciuri*, the same mixture (except the primers) as that used for the detection of  
287 erythromycin (Naghizadeh Raeisi et al., 2018) was applied. The references of the  
288 primers are depicted in Table 1. Positive control isolates (EURL-AR) for most genes  
289 screened were included. Moreover, all positive amplicons were sequenced to confirm  
290 the identity of the genes.

291 All PCR amplifications were performed in a thermocycler (GeneAmp PCR 2700  
292 system) using the following program: initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, followed by  
293 25 - 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 45-68°C for 1 min depending on the annealing  
294 temperature for the individual set of primers, 72 °C for 1 min and a final extension at  
295 72 °C for 10 min. After purification, the presumptive positive amplicons were  
296 sequenced using the amplification primers at a concentration of 3.2 pmol/μl and the  
297 similarity of the sequence tested against published sequences in GenBank.

### 298 2.3.4 Screening the transferability of AMR

299 The ability of *S. warneri* 105 to transfer the *msr(A)* gene to *Enterococcus*  
300 *faecalis* JH2-2 and *S. saprophyticus* A17M105 to transfer the *tet(K)* gene to the same  
301 recipient was investigated by conjugation experiments according to Ouoba *et al.*  
302 (2008c) and Naghizadeh Raeisi et al. (2018). The donors are resistant to erythromycin

303 (*S. warneri*) and tetracycline (*S. saprophyticus*), but sensitive to rifampicin and fusidic  
304 acid. The recipient *E. faecalis* JH2-2 is resistant to rifampicin and fusidic acid and  
305 sensitive erythromycin and tetracycline.

306 Inocula were prepared as described above and 10 ml of the donor suspension  
307 and 1 ml of that of the recipient mixed and filtered through a sterile membrane filter  
308 (0.45 µm) (Whatman Laboratory Division, Maidstone, UK) using a vacuum pump  
309 (Welch Thomas 2522C-02, Skokie, Illinois, USA). The filters were incubated  
310 aerobically on BHI-A at 37 °C for 48h. The growth was washed off the filter with 3 ml  
311 of MRD, diluted (up to 10<sup>-5</sup>) and 100 µl of each dilution spread on agar plates  
312 containing different antimicrobials. For the *msr(A)* gene, the inoculation was made on  
313 BHI-RFE [rifampicin (25µg/ml), fusidic acid (25 µg/ml) and erythromycin (16 µg/ml)],  
314 BHI-RF [rifampicin (25 µg/ml) and fusidic acid (25 µg/ ml)], BHI-E [Erythromycin (16  
315 µg/ml)] and BHI agar plates. For the tet(K) gene transfer, the mating mixtures were  
316 spread on BHI-RFT [rifampicin (25µg/ml), fusidic acid (25 µg/ml) and tetracycline (10  
317 µg/ml)], BHI-RF [rifampicin (25 µg/ml) and fusidic acid (25 µg/ ml)], BHI-T [(tetracycline  
318 (10 µg/ml)] and BHI agar plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 7-21 days.  
319 Cells of the donors and recipient that had not undergone a conjugation procedure were  
320 all spread on the same type of media as those used to inoculate the mating mixtures.

321 Only recipients that had acquired resistance to erythromycin and tetracycline  
322 are able to grow on BHI-RFE and BHI-RFT agar plates, respectively. Potential *E.*  
323 *faecalis* JH2-2 transconjugant (PET) DNA fingerprints were compared with those of  
324 the recipients and donors by rep-PCR using the GTG5 primer (Ouoba et al., 2008a).  
325 In addition, their MICs for erythromycin and tetracycline were determined.

326 2.3.5 Determination of the genetic background of acquired erythromycin and  
327 tetracycline resistance in the PETs

### 328 2.3.5.1 Extraction of DNA

329 Total DNA was extracted as described above. Plasmid DNA was extracted as  
330 follows: the donors, recipient and PETs were cultured for 48 h on BHI-A. A pure colony  
331 was then transferred into 10 ml BHI and incubated in a shaking water bath at 37°C for  
332 12 h. Plasmid DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN Plasmid miniprep Kit (QIAGEN  
333 GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### 334 2.3.5.2 Amplification of the AMR genes in the PETs

335 PCR using total and plasmid DNA were performed to detect the presence of  
336 the *msr(A)* and *tet(K)* genes in the PETs. Since the *S. warneri* isolate contained also  
337 the *cat501*, *blazA* and *dfr(A)* genes, these were also screened in the PETs. The use  
338 of plasmid DNA aimed to determine the location of genes in the bacteria and to  
339 increase the chance to detect a potential transfer in the PETs.

## 340 3. Results

### 341 3.1 Identification of the bacteria

342 The pH values of the products were 5-6 in the unfermented samples and 8-9 in  
343 the fermented products. The bacterial numbers recovered (CFU/g) for each product  
344 were as follows: Soumbala:  $10^6$ - $10^{13}$  CFU/g on NA,  $10^3$ - $10^{10}$  on MSA,  $10^1$ - $10^8$  on BP;  
345 Bikalga:  $10^8$ - $10^{11}$  on NA,  $10^7$ - $10^8$  on MSA,  $10^4$ - $10^8$  on BP; Ntoba Mbodi:  $10^9$ - $10^{11}$  on  
346 NA,  $10^8$ - $10^{10}$  on MSA,  $10^5$ - $10^8$  on BP. Out of 27 samples analysed, *Staphylococcus*  
347 species were recovered from 18 samples. The products where *Staphylococcus*  
348 isolates were not detected included mainly samples from the production steps of  
349 Bikalga and Soumbala that had undergone heat treatment, but also one sample of  
350 each of the three foods obtained from different markets. As mentioned earlier, many  
351 bacteria recovered on MSA and BP did not possess typical staphylococcal  
352 characteristics and were not included in the advanced screening. Most of those

353 bacteria were Gram-positive (many of which were spore-forming) and Gram-negative  
354 rods that were able to grow on the selective media (BPA and MSA). The 90 isolates  
355 that were further screened showed variable colony and cell morphology. All were  
356 cocci, arranged differently (single, pairs, clusters) according to the isolate, catalase  
357 and Gram positive and oxidase negative. Two isolates from Bikalga were coagulase  
358 positive and the remaining 88 bacteria were coagulase negative.

359 The microbial profile was variable according to the fermented product, the  
360 production site and country (Table 2, Table 3). Genotyping by rep-PCR and PFGE  
361 both generated 25 clusters representing 15 species (Table 2, Fig 1). The comparison  
362 of the PFGE profiles of the *S. aureus* isolates did not generate a similarity with any of  
363 the 12,000 profiles in the CDC database. By sequencing the 16S rRNA and *rpoB*  
364 genes, the bacteria of eight rep-PCR/PFGE clusters were identified as *S. sciuri* (45.6  
365 % of the total isolates), three as *S. gallinarum* (7.8 %), two as *S. cohnii* (4.4%), two as  
366 *S. warneri* (5.6%) and one cluster each as *S. arlettae* (2.2%), *S. aureus* (2.2%), *S.*  
367 *epidermidis* (1.1%), *S. haemolyticus* (1.1%), *S. hominis* (1.1%), *S. pasteurii* (1.1%), *S.*  
368 *condiment* (1.1%), *S. piscifermentans* (1.1%), *S. saprophyticus* (1.1%), *S. simulans*  
369 (18.9%), and *Macrococcus caseolyticus* (previously designated as *S. caseolyticus*,  
370 5.5%). In general, *S. sciuri* was the most frequently isolated species and was dominant  
371 in the samples of Bikalga (42.9%) and particularly Ntoba Mbodi (71.1%) where it  
372 occurred from the beginning of the fermentation and persisted throughout the process.  
373 In Soumbala, *S. simulans* (54.8%) was the dominant species. The isolate of *S.*  
374 *haemolyticus* was clearly identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing/EZtaxon analysis  
375 with 100 % similarity, but the *rpoB* gene sequencing generated a 96.5% (30 base pairs  
376 difference) similarity with *S. hominis* and 93.5% (30 base pairs difference) with *S.*  
377 *haemolyticus*. The *spa* type of the *S. aureus* isolate was identified as t355.

378 The species of *S. sciuri* and *S. gallinarum* were common to the two countries.  
379 One cluster (5) of *S. sciuri* was detected in all three types of food although this  
380 particular cluster was not present in all samples (Table 3). Clusters 4 and 6 of the  
381 same species were detected in samples from both countries but not in all three  
382 products. *Staphylococcus gallinarum* was also recovered from both countries but not  
383 all products, with one cluster (15) observed in Soumbala and Ntoba Mbodi. Nine  
384 species were specific to Burkina Faso including five isolated solely from Soumbala (*S.*  
385 *epidermidis*, *S. pasteurii*, *S. condimentii*, *S. piscifermentans*, *S. simulans*) and four from  
386 Bikalga (*S. aureus*, *S. cohnii*, *S. haemolyticus*, *M. caseolyticus*). On the other hand,  
387 four species were peculiar to Congo/ Ntoba Mbodi (*S. arlettae*, *S. hominis*, *S.*  
388 *saprophyticus*, *S. warneri*). Moreover, two clusters of *S. gallinarum* (1, 23) and two of  
389 *S. sciuri* (2, 7) were peculiar to Soumbala while one cluster of *S. sciuri* was particular  
390 to Bikalga.

### 391 3.2 Haemolysis on blood agar and toxin production

392 Haemolytic activity of the isolates on sheep blood agar was variable as seen in  
393 Table 4. Positive haemolysis was observed mainly in isolates of *S. aureus* and *S.*  
394 *simulans*. *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *S. haemolyticus*, *S. pasteurii* and *S. warneri*  
395 exhibited partial haemolysis, whereas the rest of the species did not show haemolytic  
396 activity within the 48 h of incubation. The different species clusters showed similar  
397 haemolysis potential.

398 None of the bacteria screened produced the staphylococcal enterotoxins A, B,  
399 C and D. Furthermore, the genes coding for the pre-cited enterotoxins as well as the  
400 other eight genes were not detected in the isolates.

### 401 3.3 Antimicrobial resistance

402 All bacteria were susceptible to gentamycin, kanamycin, streptomycin, and  
403 vancomycin. For the rest of the antimicrobials, susceptibility was variable according to  
404 the species, the cluster, and the antimicrobial screened (Table 4). Most of the  
405 staphylococci were resistant to trimethoprim with 87.8 % of the isolates, representing  
406 11 species, exhibiting phenotypic resistance to that antimicrobial. Both *S. cohnii*  
407 clusters exhibited the highest phenotypic resistance profiles involving 11  
408 antimicrobials. The bacteria with the least phenotypic resistance were *S. condimenti*  
409 and *S. pasteuri*, which showed reduced susceptibility toward sulfamethoxazole only.  
410 Within the same species, the different clusters exhibited different resistance patterns,  
411 but all isolates from the same cluster showed a similar pattern irrespective of the origin.  
412 For example, isolates of cluster 1 of *S. gallinarum* were resistant to ceftiofur,  
413 clindamycin, quinupristin/dalfopristin and tiamulin, whereas those of cluster 15 were  
414 resistant to clindamycin, fusidate, penicillin, quinupristin/dalfopristin, tiamulin,  
415 sulfamethoxazole, and trimethoprim, resulting in phenotypic resistance profiles which  
416 differ by five antimicrobials (Table 4).

417 None of the 38 AMR genes screened was detected in the isolates of *S.*  
418 *condimenti*, *S. pasteuri*, *S. piscifermentans*, *S. simulans*, and *M. caseolyticus* (Table  
419 4). For the other species, different genes encoding resistance to multiple  
420 antimicrobials including penicillin: *blazA*, chloramphenicol: *cat501*, trimethoprim:  
421 *dfr(A)*, *dfr(G)*, erythromycin: *msr(A)*, methicillin: *mecA*, *mecA1* and tetracycline: *tet (K)*  
422 were detected, with a degree of AMR genotypic profile variability observed between  
423 some species and clusters of the same species (Table 4). The *mecA1* gene was  
424 detected in 45.6% of the isolates, *mecA* in 20%, *tet (K)* in 11.1 %, *blazA* in 10 %, *dfr(A)*  
425 in 10%, *msr(A)* in 6.7%, *cat501* in 1.1%, and *dfr(G)* in 1.1 %. Isolates of all six clusters  
426 of *S. sciuri* showed *mecA1*, but bacteria in only three clusters displayed *mecA*. None

427 of the *S. aureus* isolates contained *mecA*, *mecA1* or *mecC*. The isolates of *S.*  
428 *epidermidis* and *S. warneri* showed the highest numbers (4) of AMR genes, including  
429 *blazA*, *dfr(A)*, *dfr(G)* and *tet(K)* for *S. epidermidis* and *blazA*, *Cat501*, *dfr(A)* and *msr(A)*  
430 for cluster 13 of *S. warneri*. The *blazA*, *mecA*, *mecA1* and *tet (K)* genes were found in  
431 isolates from all products and all countries, *cat501* in Ntoba Mbodi /Congo, *dfr(A)* in  
432 Ntoba Mbodi/Congo and Soumbala/Burkina Faso, *dfr(G)* in Soumbala/Burkina Faso,  
433 and *msr(A)* in Ntoba Mbodi /Congo and Bikalga/Burkina Faso

434 Conjugation experiments produced *E. faecalis* JH2-2 PETs with increased  
435 resistance to erythromycin and tetracycline. The MIC of some PETs increased up to 6  
436 and 4 fold for erythromycin and tetracycline respectively (Table 5). All PETs showed  
437 the same rep-PCR profiles as that of the recipient *E. faecalis* JH2-2 (results not  
438 shown). No bacteria were recovered on the antimicrobial selective agar plates  
439 inoculated with cells which did not undergo a conjugation process. Positive amplicons  
440 were obtained for the genes screened for transfer using both total and plasmid DNA  
441 samples from the donors. However, no positive amplicon was observed with DNA  
442 samples from the recipient and the PETs under the experimental conditions used.

#### 443 **4. Discussion**

444 The specificity in the bacterial profile of the products can be attributed to  
445 differences in the raw materials and production processes. Also, environmental factors  
446 can affect bacterial populations in a given geographical area and its associated plants  
447 and thus can affect the bacterial population of the products derived from such plants.  
448 However, since a similar type of fermentation occurs during production of the  
449 fermented foods, similarities can be anticipated. High bacterial counts as observed in  
450 our study have been reported in other studies describing the microbial composition of  
451 alkaline fermented products (Ahaotu et al., 2013; Azokpota et al., 2006; Dakwa et al.,

2005; Ouoba et al., 2010). Furthermore, the increase of pH constitutes a selection of analogous alkali resistant microorganisms in the foods. The presence of the same clusters of *S. sciuri* and *S. gallinarum* in both countries suggests a wide distribution of those strains in the environment. A peculiarity of the *S. sciuri* isolates recovered from the three products is that they were all oxidase negative. This seems unusual because the *S. sciuri* group of species including *S. sciuri*, *S. fleuritti*, *S. lentus*, *S. stepanovicii*, and *S. vitulinus* are known as the only oxidase positive species of the *Staphylococcus* genus (Becker et al., 2014). The discrepancy could be related to the origin specificity and to a lesser extent, the screening methods. The fact that the *S. aureus* PFGE profile did not match that of any clinical and environmental isolates in the CDC database suggests that the cluster is uncommon and not globally distributed.

The species of *S. sciuri*, *S. gallinarum*, *S. epidermidis*, *S. aureus* and *M. caseolyticus* have been reported in other African alkaline fermented products such maari, dawadawa, and soydawadawa (Parkouda et al., 2009). However, most of the other species, as well as the high species and strain diversity in the *Staphylococcus* profiles observed in the current study, seem to have not been reported before. The reason could be that *Staphylococcus* populations in such foods are usually screened as part of the general microbial population and are not addressed specifically and thoroughly using both phenotypic and genotypic methods. The species of *S. condimenti* and *S. piscifermentans* have been reported to be typically associated with fermented foods such as fermented fish, sausages and their starter cultures (Becker et al., 2014). It is believed that *Staphylococcus* spp. from fermented foods are contaminants from the raw materials, equipment, environment and possibly the producers. To produce Ntoba Mbodi, no heating step is included in the process, thus the original *Staphylococcus* isolates, derived from the cassava and wrapping leaves,

477 that can withstand alkaline conditions probably constitute the major part of the  
478 staphylococcal population. However, production of Soumbala and Bikalga includes  
479 long cooking steps (24-48h), so it can be assumed that there is a recontamination of  
480 the products from the processing and storage materials, the producers and the  
481 environment, especially during the drying process. The limited recovery of  
482 *Staphylococcus* isolates (90/400 presumptive isolates) supports their status as a minor  
483 component of the microflora of the foods. They have often been isolated from alkaline  
484 fermented foods as secondary microorganisms, but a clear indication of their role in  
485 the fermentation is not established. The main microorganisms responsible for alkaline  
486 fermentation, and which bring about desired changes to the foods, have been  
487 demonstrated to be *Bacillus* species (Odunfa and Adewuyi, 1985; Ouoba et al., 2017).  
488 Fermentation trials conducted by Odunfa and Adewuyi (1985) showed that *S.*  
489 *saprophyticus* was unable to ferment African locust bean seeds to generate a product  
490 with the typical organoleptic and nutritional properties. Nevertheless, it can be  
491 suggested that the presence of *Staphylococcus* species contributes to some  
492 biochemical activities such as those related to the degradation of proteins and lipids  
493 that some species are able to perform during meat fermentation to produce sausages  
494 (Casaburi et al., 2008; Milicevic et al., 2014).

495 *Staphylococcus* spp., especially CoPS, are known to cause various illnesses  
496 including food intoxication due to their capacity to produce heat resistant enterotoxins.  
497 Interestingly, none of the isolates investigated, including the two CoP *S. aureus*,  
498 exhibited a potential toxin-producing phenotype and genotype. It cannot be ruled out  
499 that they may contain other toxin genes that were not screened, but since the most  
500 common genes were not detected, it can be assumed that these bacteria do not  
501 represent a serious threat for consumers. In Burkina Faso and the Republic of the

502 Congo, there are no official reports of foodborne illnesses resulting from the  
503 consumption of alkaline traditional fermented foods, although this may be related to  
504 non-availability of data and lack of statistics. If unrecorded cases had occurred, these  
505 would probably have been attributed to toxins elaborated by the fermenting *B. cereus*  
506 strains that commonly occur in the products (Ouoba et al., 2008 b; Vouidibio-Mbozo  
507 et al., 2017). In the study by Fowoyo and Ogunbanwo (2017a), the *sea*, *seb*, *sec* and  
508 *sed*, and *tsst-1* genes were detected in CoNS, including *S. epidermidis*, *S. simulans*,  
509 *S. xyloso*, *S. kloosii* and *S. caprae*, isolated from six Nigerian traditional fermented  
510 foods including iru, an alkaline fermented African locust bean product that is similar to  
511 Soumbala. The positive amplicons were not sequenced to confirm the identity of the  
512 gene, but the ability of some isolates to produce the staphylococcal toxins A, B, C, and  
513 D suggest that these bacteria may pose a potential threat to consumers.

514 Antimicrobial resistances that are not associated with the presence of a gene  
515 are of concern, but those involving a gene represent an enhanced threat, due to the  
516 potential for transfer to other bacteria by mechanisms such as horizontal transfer, and  
517 therefore can be spread. The *tet* (K), *blazA*, *dfr*(A), *msr*(A), *cat501*, and *dfr*(G) genes  
518 detected can be associated with transferable mobile genetic elements such as  
519 plasmids and transposons, and can therefore potentially be transferred to other  
520 bacteria including pathogenic microorganisms. In the current research, *tet* (K), *blazA*,  
521 *msr*(A), *cat501* and *dfr*(A) genes were amplified using plasmid DNA, suggesting that  
522 they are located on plasmids, at least in the isolates screened. The AMR threat is  
523 greater with bacteria with multi-drug genotypic profiles, such as one of the isolates of  
524 *S. warneri* which exhibited AMR genes for four antimicrobials: erythromycin,  
525 chloramphenicol, penicillin and trimethoprim, and those of *S. epidermidis* and *S.*

526 *hominis* which showed respectively four and three genes encoding resistance to  
527 penicillin, tetracycline and chloramphenicol.

528 Methicillin resistance is an important factor when screening AMR in  
529 *Staphylococcus*. This is because MRSA is responsible for a serious and persistent  
530 problem in treatment of infections caused by such bacteria. The most virulent MRSA  
531 are also resistant to other beta-lactam antimicrobials. Methicillin resistance is usually  
532 caused by the presence of the *mecA* gene coding for a penicillin-binding protein  
533 (PBP2a) associated with a significantly lower affinity for beta-lactams. Thus, cell-wall  
534 biosynthesis by the bacteria continues, even when a typically inhibitory concentration  
535 of antibiotic is administered (Peacock et al., 2015). Variants of *mecA* include genes  
536 such as *mecA* and *mecC* (*mecA*<sub>LGA251</sub>) originally found in *S. aureus* isolates, *mecA1*,  
537 *mecA2* and *mecB* (*mecAm*) initially detected in the *S. sciuri* group, *S. vitulinus* and *M.*  
538 *caseolyticus* respectively (Ito et al., 2012). Isolates of three clusters of *S. sciuri* from  
539 both countries exhibited both *mecA1* and *mecA*, whereas the isolates of the three  
540 other clusters also found in both countries exhibited only *mecA1*. The presence of the  
541 *mecA1* gene in *S. sciuri* is a common trait of this species and does not generally imply  
542 methicillin resistance in the bacteria (Couto et al., 2000). However, the presence of  
543 *mecA* in the isolates implicates methicillin resistance and represents a threat, although  
544 a phenotypic resistance was not observed for ceftiofur. Couto et al. (2000) showed  
545 that only *S. sciuri* isolates that possess a copy of *mecA* in addition to *mecA1* exhibit  
546 significant levels of resistance to beta-lactams. In our study, such isolates displayed  
547 phenotypic resistance to penicillin. Interestingly, the *S. aureus* did not display any of  
548 the methicillin resistance genes screened and were susceptible to ceftiofur. However,  
549 the strains were resistant to penicillin and contained the *blaZ* gene.

550 The prevalence of AMR genes in various CoNS and CoPS from processed  
551 and unprocessed foods such as meat, fish and fermented dairy and meat products  
552 from different origins has been widely documented (Argudín et al., 2011; Kürekci,  
553 2016; Perreten et al., 1998; Zdolec et al., 2012). However, such information on CoNS  
554 and CoPS from alkaline fermented products and especially those from Africa are, to  
555 the best of our knowledge, non-existent. This can be due to the limited importance  
556 attributed to these bacteria in alkaline fermentation. The study by Fowoyo and  
557 Ogunbanwo (2017b) on CoNS from different Nigerian fermented foods, reported  
558 phenotypic resistance to antimicrobials such as trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole,  
559 ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, gentamicin, and cefotaxime, but no AMR gene was  
560 reported, probably because only *mecA* was screened in the isolates with no positive  
561 results.

562 The significant increase of the MIC above the cut-off values in the PETs for  
563 erythromycin and tetracycline indicates that they have acquired resistance to the  
564 antimicrobials. Since the genes screened were not detected in the PETs, it can be  
565 assumed that they were not transferred, but that the isolates acquired resistance  
566 through other mechanisms, such as mutations. The occurrence of resistances was  
567 triggered by the presence of the *Staphylococcus* donors because PETs were not  
568 recovered from recipient cells which did not undergo a conjugation process. Mutations  
569 can occur as a result of a mechanism by which the *Staphylococcus* spp. stimulate an  
570 evolution of *E. faecalis* JH2-2 by increasing, for instance, the expression of error-prone  
571 DNA repair mechanisms (Naghizadeh Raeisi, 2018). Alternatively, the growth of the  
572 recipient could be stimulated by the donor leading to a larger recipient population in  
573 which mutations can arise (Naghizadeh Raeisi, 2018). However, it is worth noting that  
574 when a low number of plasmid copies which carry a gene is transferred, it may not be

575 detected using conventional PCR. Moreover, other genes coding for resistance to the  
576 antimicrobials and which were not screened, may be present in the donors and  
577 transferred to the recipients. Transfer potential varies according to different factors  
578 related to the environment, type of donor and recipient, and the location of the AMR  
579 genes. Thus, the genes screened may have not been transferred into *E. faecalis* JH2-  
580 2 and therefore the threat with regards to the potential of the donors to spread AMR is  
581 limited. However, the possibility of gene transfer to other types of bacteria cannot be  
582 ruled out since the genes are located on plasmids which are mobile genetic elements  
583 that can be transferred in appropriate conditions.

584 The current study demonstrates ecological similarities and differences in the  
585 *Staphylococcus* population of different types of alkaline fermented foods. The main  
586 microorganisms identified are primarily CoNS with a very limited number of CoPS, as  
587 would be expected in non-clinical samples. The bacteria did not suggest a safety issue  
588 with regards to the potential to produce toxins responsible for foodborne diseases.  
589 Due to the presence of multiple AMR genes in some isolates, they are reservoirs of  
590 AMR determinants which may be spread under particular conditions. However, in  
591 general, the results of the study herein reported suggest little safety importance of the  
592 staphylococcus isolates in the fermented products screened.

593

#### 594 **Acknowledgements**

595 The authors are grateful to the following people for their technical and scientific support  
596 in the realization of the research project: Professor Simon C. Kobawila, and Professor  
597 Delphin Louembe (Marien N’Gouabi University, Brazzaville, Congo), Dr Brigitte  
598 Awamaria (London Metropolitan University, London, UK), Dr Rene S. Hendriksen,  
599 Susanne Karlsmose Pedersen, Ana Rita Rebelo, and Dr. Lars Bøgo Jensen

600 (Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark), Janne M. Benjaminsen  
601 (University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark), Dr Eva Møller Nielsen, and Dr  
602 Jesper Larsen (Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark), Dr Molly Freeman,  
603 Dr Jeniffer Concepcion Acevedo, and Valerie S. Albrecht (Centers for Disease Control  
604 and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA)

605

606 **Declaration of interest:** None

607 **Funding:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in  
608 the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

## 609 **References**

610 Ahaotu, I., Anyogu, A., Njoku, O.H., Odu, N.N., Sutherland, J.P., Ouoba, L.I.I., 2013  
611 Molecular identification and safety of *Bacillus* species involved in the fermentation of  
612 African oil beans (*Pentaclethra macrophylla* Benth) for production of Ugba. *Int. J. Food*  
613 *Microbiol.* 162, 95-104.

614 Angulo, F., Nargund, V., Chiller, T., 2004. Evidence of an Association Between Use of  
615 Anti-microbial Agents in Food Animals and Anti-microbial Resistance Among Bacteria  
616 Isolated from Humans and the Human Health Consequences of Such Resistance. *J.*  
617 *Vet. Med. B.* 51, 374-379.

618 Argudín, M., Tenhagen, B., Fetsch, A., Sachsenröder, J., Käsbohrer, A., Schroeter,  
619 A., Hammerl, J.A., Hertwig, S., Helmuth, R., Bräunig, J., Mendoza, M.C., Appel, B.,  
620 Rodicio, M.R., Guerra, B., 2011. Virulence and Resistance Determinants of German  
621 *Staphylococcus aureus* ST398 Isolates from Non-human Sources. *Appl. Environ.*  
622 *Microbiol.* 77, 3052-3060.

623 Azokpota, P., Hounhouigan, D.J., Nago, M.C., 2006. Microbiological and chemical  
624 changes during the fermentation of African locust bean (*Parkia biglobosa*) to produce  
625 *afitin*, *iru* and *sonru*, three traditional condiments produced in Benin. *Int. J. Food*  
626 *Microbiol.* 107, 304 – 309.

627 Becker, K., Heilmann, C., Peters, G., 2014 Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci. *Clin.*  
628 *Microbiol. Rev.* 27, 870-926.

629 Breckinridge, J., Bergdoll, M., 1971. Outbreak of Food-Borne Gastroenteritis Due to a  
630 Coagulase-Negative Enterotoxin-Producing *Staphylococcus*. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 284,  
631 541-543.

632 Casaburi, A., Di Monaco, R., Cavella, S., Toldrá, F., Ercolini, D., Villani, F., 2008.  
633 Proteolytic and lipolytic starter cultures and their effect on traditional fermented  
634 sausages ripening and sensory traits. *Food Microbiol.* 25, 335-347.

635 Couto, I., Santos Sanches, I., Sá-Leão, R., de Lencastre, H., 2000. Molecular  
636 characterization of *Staphylococcus sciuri* strains isolated from humans. *J. Clin.*  
637 *Microbiol.* 38, 136-143.

638 Crass, B., Bergdoll, M., 1986. Involvement of coagulase-negative staphylococci in  
639 toxic shock syndrome. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 23, 43-45.

640 Dakwa, S., Sakyi-Dawson, E., Diako, C., Annan, N.T., Amoa-Awua, W.K., (2005)  
641 Effect of boiling and roasting on the fermentation of soybeans into dawadawa (soy-  
642 dawadawa). *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 104, 69 – 82.

643 Drancourt, M., Raoult, D., 2002. *rpoB* Gene Sequence-Based Identification of  
644 *Staphylococcus* Species. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40, 1333-1338.

645 EUCAST., 2018. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters.  
646 [http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\\_files/Breakpoint\\_tables/v\\_](http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_8.1_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf)  
647 [8.1\\_Breakpoint\\_Tables.pdf](http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_8.1_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf)

648 EURL-AR., 2009. Protocol for MIC-testing with sensititre of *Staphylococcus* spp.  
649 [https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/21-protocols/285\\_1-protocol-for-](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/21-protocols/285_1-protocol-for-mic.pdf)  
650 [mic.pdf](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/21-protocols/285_1-protocol-for-mic.pdf)

651 EURL-AR., 2013. List of primers for detection of antimicrobial resistance genes.  
652 [https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/25-resourcer/333\\_primerliste-til-](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/25-resourcer/333_primerliste-til-web-07-11-2013-9.pdf)  
653 [web-07-11-2013-9.pdf](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/25-resourcer/333_primerliste-til-web-07-11-2013-9.pdf)

654 EURL-AR., 2017. PROTOCOL For antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Escherichia*  
655 *coli*, enterococci and staphylococci. [https://www.eurl-](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/23-eqas/356_protocol-eurl-ar-eqas-ec-ent-staph-2017.pdf)  
656 [ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/23-eqas/356\\_protocol-eurl-ar-eqas-ec-ent-staph-](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/23-eqas/356_protocol-eurl-ar-eqas-ec-ent-staph-2017.pdf)  
657 [2017.pdf](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/23-eqas/356_protocol-eurl-ar-eqas-ec-ent-staph-2017.pdf).

658 EURL-AR., 2012. Protocol for amplification of *MecA*, *MecC* (MecALGA251), *spa* and  
659 *PVL*. [https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/21-protocols/279\\_pcr-spa-](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/21-protocols/279_pcr-spa-pvl-meca-mecc-sept12.pdf)  
660 [pvl-meca-mecc-sept12.pdf](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/CustomData/Files/Folders/21-protocols/279_pcr-spa-pvl-meca-mecc-sept12.pdf)

661 EFSA., 2012. Technical specifications on the harmonised monitoring and reporting of  
662 antimicrobial resistance in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in food-  
663 producing animals and food. EFSA J. 10, 2897.

664 Fonseca, S., Cachaldora, A., Gómez, M., Franco, I., Carballo, J., 2013. Monitoring the  
665 bacterial population dynamics during the ripening of Galician chorizo, a traditional dry  
666 fermented Spanish sausage. Food Microbiol. 33, 77-84.

667 Fowoyo, P., Ogunbanwo, S., 2017a. Virulence and toxigenicity of coagulase-negative  
668 staphylococci in Nigerian traditional fermented foods. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 62, 572-578.

669 Fowoyo, P., Ogunbanwo, S., 2017b. Antimicrobial resistance in coagulase-negative  
670 staphylococci from Nigerian traditional fermented foods. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol.*  
671 *Antimicrob.* 16, 4.

672 Gregersen, T., 1978. Rapid method for distinction of gram-negative from gram-positive  
673 bacteria. *Eu. J. Appl. Microbiol and Biotechnol.* 5, 123-127.

674 Gundogan, N., Citak, S., Yucel, N., Devren, A., 2005. A note on the incidence and  
675 antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from meat and chicken  
676 samples. *Meat Sci.* 69, 807-810.

677 Hennekinne, J., De Buyser, M., Dragacci, S., 2012. *Staphylococcus aureus* and its  
678 food poisoning toxins: characterization and outbreak investigation. *FEMS Microbiol.*  
679 *Rev.* 36, 815-836.

680 Yoon, S.H., Ha, S.M., Kwon, S., Lim, J., Kim, Y., Seo, H., Chun, J., 2017. Introducing  
681 EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and  
682 whole-genome assemblies. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 1613-1617.

683 Ito, T., Hiramatsu, K., Tomasz, A., de Lencastre, H., Perreten, V., Holden, M.,  
684 Coleman, D.C., Goering, R., Giffard, P.M., Skov, R.L., Zhang, K., Westh, H., O'Brien,  
685 F., Tenover, F.C., Oliveira, D.C., Boyle-Vavra, S., Laurent, F., Kearns, A.M.,  
686 Kreiswirth, B., Ko, K.S., Grundmann, H., Sollid, J.E., John, J.F. Jr., Daum, R.,  
687 Soderquist, B., Buist, G., IWG-SCC., 2012. Guidelines for Reporting Novel *mecA*  
688 Gene Homologues. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56, 4997-4999.

689 Johnson, W., Tyler, S., Ewan, E., Ashton, F., Pollard, D., Rozee, K., 1991. Detection  
690 of Genes for Enterotoxins, Exfoliative Toxins, and Toxic Shock Syndrome Toxin 1 in  
691 *Staphylococcus aureus* by the Polymerase Chain Reaction. J. Clin. Microbiol. 29, 426-  
692 430.

693 Kiers, J.L, Van laeken, A.E., Rombouts, F.M., Nout, M.J., 2000. In vitro digestibility of  
694 Bacillus fermented soya bean. In vitro digestibility of Bacillus fermented soya bean.  
695 Int. J. Food Microbiol., 60,163-169.

696 Kurekci, C., Onen, S., Yipel, M., Aslantas, O., Gundogdu, A., 2016. Characterisation  
697 of phenotypic and genotypic antibiotic resistance profile of enterococci from cheeses  
698 in Turkey. Korean J. Food Sci. Anim. Resour. 36, 352-358.

699 LPSN., 2018 - List of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature [Internet].  
700 Bacterio.net. <http://www.bacterio.net/>

701 Milicevi, B., Danilovic, B., Zdolec, N., Kozachinski, L., Dobranic, V., Savic, D., 2014.  
702 Microbiota of the fermented sausages: influence to product quality and safety. Bulg. J.  
703 Agric Sci. 20, 1061-1078.

704 Naghizadeh Raeisi, S., Ghodduzi, H., Juncker Boll, E., Farahmand, N., Stuer-  
705 Lauridsen, B., Johansen, E., Sutherland, J.P., Ouoba, L.I.I., 2018. Antimicrobial  
706 susceptibility of bifidobacteria from probiotic milk products and determination of the  
707 genetic basis of tetracycline resistance in *Enterococcus* species after in vitro  
708 conjugation with *Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis*. Food Control. 2018; 94: 205-  
709 211.

710 Nashev, D., Toshkova, K., Isrina, S., Salasia, S., Hassan, A., Lammler, C., Zschock,  
711 M., 2004. Distribution of virulence genes of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from  
712 stable nasal carriers. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 233, 45-52.

713 Odunfa, S., Adewuyi, E., 1985. Optimization of process conditions for the fermentation  
714 of African locust bean (*Parkia biglobosa*) (Effect of starter cultures). Chem. Mikrobio.  
715 Technol. Lebensm. 9, 6-10.

716 Omoe, K., Ishikawa, M., Shimoda, Y., Hu, D., Ueda, S., Shinagawa, K., 2002.  
717 Detection of seg, seh, and sei genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates and  
718 determination of the enterotoxin productivities of *S. aureus* isolates harboring seg, seh,  
719 or sei genes. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40: 857-862.

720 Ouoba, L., Parkouda, C., Diawara, B., Scotti, C., Varnam, A., 2008a. Identification of  
721 *Bacillus* spp. from Bikalga, fermented seeds of *Hibiscus sabdariffa*: phenotypic and  
722 genotypic characterization. J. Appl. Microbiol. 104, 122-131.

723 Ouoba, L., Thorsen, L., Varnam, A., 2008b. Enterotoxins and emetic toxins production  
724 by *Bacillus cereus* and other species of *Bacillus* isolated from Soumbala and Bikalga,  
725 African alkaline fermented food condiments. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 124, 224-230.

726 Ouoba, L., Lei, V., Jensen, L., 2008c. Resistance of potential probiotic lactic acid  
727 bacteria and bifidobacteria of African and European origin to antimicrobials:  
728 Determination and transferability of the resistance genes to other bacteria. Int. J. Food  
729 Microbiol. 121, 217-224.

730 Ouoba, L., Nyanga-Koumou, C.A., Parkouda, C., Sawadogo, H., Kobawila, S.C.,  
731 Keleke, S., Diawara, B., Louembe, D., Sutherland, J.P., 2010. Genotypic diversity of

732 lactic acid bacteria isolated from African traditional alkaline-fermented foods. Int. J.  
733 Food Microbiol. 108, 2019 – 2029.

734 Ouoba, L., 2015. Bikalga/Furundu/Mbuja. In: Sarkar, P., Nout, M.J.R., (Eds.),  
735 Handbook of indigenous foods involving alkaline fermentation. CRC Press, Boca  
736 Raton, pp. 129-136.

737 Ouoba, L., 2017. Traditional alkaline fermented foods: Selection of functional Bacillus  
738 starter cultures for Soumbala production. In: Speranza, B., Bevilacqua, A., Corbo, M.,  
739 Sinigaglia, M., (Eds), Starter Cultures in Food Production. 1st ed. Wiley Blackwell,  
740 Chichester, pp. 370-383.

741 Parkouda, C., Diawara, B., Ouoba, L., 2008. Technology and physico-chemical  
742 characteristics of Bikalga, alkaline fermented seeds of *Hibiscus sabdariffa*. Afr. J.  
743 Biotechnol. 7, 916-922.

744 Parkouda, C., Nielsen, D., Azokpota, P., Ouoba, L.I.I., Amoa-Awua, W., Thorsen, L.,  
745 Hounhouigan., J.D., Jensen, J.S, Tano-Debrah, K., Diawara, B., Jakobsen, M., 2009.  
746 The microbiology of alkaline-fermentation of indigenous seeds used as food  
747 condiments in Africa and Asia. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 35,139-156.

748 Peacock, S., Paterson, G., 2015. Mechanisms of methicillin resistance in  
749 *Staphylococcus aureus*. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 84, 577-601.

750 Perreten, V., Giampà, N., Schuler-Schmid, U., Teuber, M., 1998. Antibiotic resistance  
751 genes in coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from food. Syst. Appl. Microbiol.  
752 21,113-120.

753 Podkowik, M., Park, J., Seo, K., Bystroń, J., Bania, J., 2013. Enterotoxigenic potential  
754 of coagulase-negative staphylococci. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 163, 34-40.

755 Simeoni, D., Rizzotti, L., Cocconcelli, P., Gazzola, S., Dellaglio, F., Torriani, S., 2008.  
756 Antibiotic resistance genes and identification of staphylococci collected from the  
757 production chain of swine meat commodities. *Food Microbiol.* 25,196-201.

758 Soares Casaes Nunes, R., Mere Del Aguila, E., Paschoalin, V., 2015. Safety  
759 Evaluation of the coagulase-negative staphylococci microbiota of salami:  
760 superantigenic toxin production and antimicrobial resistance. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2015,  
761 1-17.

762 Stegger, M., Andersen, P., Kearns, A., Pichon, B., Holmes, M., Edwards, G., Laurent,  
763 F., Teale, C., Skov, R., Larsen, A.R., 2012. Rapid detection, differentiation and typing  
764 of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* harbouring either *mecA* or the new  
765 *mecA* homologue *mecALGA251*. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 18, 395-400.

766 Sutcliffe, J., Grebe, T., Tait-Kamradt, A., Wondrack, L., 1996. Detection of  
767 erythromycin-resistant determinants by PCR. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 40,  
768 2562-2566.

769 Tsubakishita, S., Kuwahara-Arai, K., Sasaki, T., Hiramatsu, K., 2010. Origin and  
770 Molecular evolution of the determinant of methicillin resistance in staphylococci.  
771 *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 10, 4352-4359

772 van Balen, J., Kelley, C., Nava-Hoet, R., Bateman, S., Hillier, A., Dyce, J., Wittum,  
773 T.E., Hoet, A. E. 2013. Presence, Distribution, and Molecular Epidemiology of  
774 Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a Small Animal Teaching Hospital: A  
775 Year-Long Active Surveillance Targeting Dogs and Their Environment. *Vector Borne*  
776 *Zoonotic Dis.* 5, 299-311.

777 Viridis, S., Scarano, C., Cossu, F., Spanu, V., Spanu, C., De Santi, E. 2010. Antibiotic  
778 resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and Coagulase Negative Staphylococci Isolated  
779 from Goats with Subclinical Mastitis. *Vet. Med. Int.*, 2010, 517060.

780 Voudibio Mbozo, B. A., Kobawila, S., Anyogu, A., Awamaria, B., Louembe, D.,  
781 Sutherland, J., Ouoba, L.I.I., 2017. Investigation of the diversity and safety of the  
782 predominant *Bacillus pumilus sensu lato* and other *Bacillus* species involved in the  
783 alkaline fermentation of cassava leaves for the production of Ntoba Mbodi. *Food*  
784 *Control.* 82, 154-162.

785 Zdolec, N., Dobranić, V., Zdolec, G., Đuričić, D. 2013. Antimicrobial resistance of  
786 coagulase-negative staphylococci and lactic acid bacteria from industrially produced  
787 dairy products. *Mljekarstvo.* 63, 30-35.

788

Accepted Manuscript

789 **Figure caption**

790 Figure 1: Cluster analysis (Bionumerics, Dice's Coefficient of similarity, Unweighted  
791 pair group method with arithmetic: UPGMA) of the different rep-PCR and PFGE  
792 fingerprints of *Staphylococcus species* isolated from Bikalga, Soumbala and Ntoba  
793 Mbodi

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

Accepted Manuscript

810 Table 1: Antimicrobials studied and their effect on the *Staphylococcus* isolates  
 811 screened

| Antimicrobials            | Concentration Range screened (mg/L) | Cut off values(mg/L) | Genes screened <sup>e</sup>  | <i>Staphylococcus</i> isolates |               |                 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
|                           |                                     |                      |  | MIC Range (mg/L)               | Resistant (%) | Susceptible (%) |
| Cefoxitin                 | 0.5 - 16                            | 4 <sup>a,b</sup>     | <i>mecA</i> , <i>mecA1</i> and <i>mecC</i> for methicillin resistance  | 1 - 8                          | 8.9           | 91.1            |
| Chloramphenicol           | 4 - 64                              | 8 <sup>c</sup>       | <i>cat501</i> , <i>catA1</i> , <i>cmIA</i>   | <4 - 64                        | 52.2          | 47.8            |
| Ciprofloxacin             | 0.25 - 8                            | 1 <sup>a</sup>       |  | <0.25 - 2                      | 1.1           | 98.9            |
| Clindamycin               | 0.12 - 4                            | 0.25 <sup>a</sup>    |  | <0.12 - 4                      | 41.1          | 58.9            |
| Erythromycin              | 0.25 - 8                            | 1 <sup>a</sup>       | <i>erm(A)</i> , <i>erm(B)</i> , <i>erm(C)</i> , <i>erA</i> , <i>erB</i> , <i>msrA</i> , <i>mphA</i> , <i>mefA/mefE</i> | <0.25 - >8                     | 11.1          | 88.9            |
| Fusidate                  | 0.5 - 4                             | 1 <sup>c</sup>       |  | <0.5 - >4                      | 43.3          | 56.7            |
| Gentamicin                | 1 - 16                              | 2 <sup>a</sup>       | <i>aac(6')aph(2'')</i> , <i>aac(3'')II</i> , <i>aac(3'')IV</i> , <i>ant(2'')-I</i>                                     | <1                             | 0             | 100             |
| Kanamycin                 | 4 - 64                              | 16 <sup>d</sup>      |  | <4                             | 0             | 100             |
| Linezolid                 | 1 - 8                               | 4 <sup>a</sup>       |  | <1 - 8R                        | 1.1           | 98.9            |
| Mupirocin                 | 0.5 - 256                           | 1 <sup>a</sup>       |  | <0.5 - > 256                   | 1.1           | 98.9            |
| Penicillin                | 0.12 - 2                            | 0.125 <sup>b</sup>   | <i>BlaZ</i>  | <0.12 - >2                     | 43.3          | 56.7            |
| Quinupristin-dalfopristin | 0.5 - 4                             | 1 <sup>a</sup>       | <i>VatD</i> , <i>VatE</i>  | <0.5 - 4                       | 64.4          | 35.6            |
| Rifampicin                | 0.016 - 0.5                         | 0.5 <sup>c</sup>     |  | <0.016 - >0.5                  | 4.4           | 95.6            |
| Streptomycin              | 4 - 32                              | 16 <sup>b</sup>      | <i>StrA</i> , <i>StrB</i> , <i>aadA</i> , <i>aadE</i>  | <4 - 16                        | 0             | 100             |
| Sulfamethoxazole          | 64 - 512                            | 128 <sup>a</sup>     |  | <64 - >512                     | 61.1          | 38.9            |
| Tetracycline              | 0.5 - 16                            | 1 <sup>a</sup>       | <i>tet(M)</i> , <i>tet(L)</i> , <i>tet(S)</i> , <i>tet(Q)</i> , <i>tet(K)</i> , <i>tet(O)</i> , <i>tet(W)</i>          | <0.5 - >16                     | 6.7           | 93.76           |
| Tiamulin                  | 0.5 - 4                             | 2 <sup>a</sup>       |  | <0.5 - > 4                     | 48.9          | 51.1            |
| Trimethoprim              | 2 - 32                              | 2 <sup>a</sup>       | <i>dfr(A)</i> , <i>dfr(G)</i> , <i>dfr(K)</i>  | <2 - >32                       | 87.8          | 12.2            |
| Vancomycin                | 1 - 16                              | 2 <sup>a</sup>       | <i>Van A</i> , <i>VanB</i> , <i>VanX</i>   | <1                             | 0             | 100             |

812

813 Cut-off values according to a) EFSA (2012), b) *EUURL-AR (2017)*, c) EUCAST (2018) and d) Virdis et  
 814 al. (2010)

815 e) Most primer sequences are from *EUURL-AR (2013)* and Ouoba et al. (2008), except for *mecA1*  
 816 (*Tsubakishita et al., 2010*), *erA*, *erB*, *msrA*, *mphA*, *mefA/mefE* (*Sutcliffe et al., 1996*), *dfr(G)*, *dfr(K)*  
 817 (*Argudín et al., 2011*)

818 f) MIC: Minimal Inhibitory Concentration

819

820

821

822

823

824 Table 2: Identity and origin of the *Staphylococcus* species recovered from Soumbala,  
 825 Bikalga, and Ntoba Mbodi

| Samples/Origin  | Fermentation time/<br>sampling site <sup>a</sup> | Isolates                          | <sup>b</sup> Rep-PCR/<br>PFGE clusters | Identification by 16S RNA and<br><i>rpoB</i> gene sequencing |   |                                |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------------|
| <b>Soumbala</b> |  |                                   |  |  |   |                                |
| Burkina Faso    |  |                                   |  |  |   |                                |
| S3              | Site 1/ 0h                                       | S35M (47)                         | 14                                     | <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>                            |   |                                |
| S5              | Site 1/ 48h                                      | S51B (33)                         | 23                                     | <i>Staphylococcus gallinarum</i>                             |   |                                |
|                 |  | S54a M (34)                       | 23                                     | <i>Staphylococcus gallinarum</i>                             |   |                                |
|                 |  | S54b M (35)                       | 23                                     | <i>Staphylococcus gallinarum</i>                             |   |                                |
|                 |  | S63aM (41)                        | 3                                      | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
| S6              | Site 1/ Dried                                    | SF1M (1)                          | 1                                      | <i>Staphylococcus gallinarum</i>                             |   |                                |
| SF              | Site 2/ ≥72h                                     | SF3M (2)                          | 3                                      | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
|                 |  | SF2M (3)                          | 5                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | SF2bB (6)                         | 3                                      | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
|                 |  | SF2aB (7)                         | 3                                      | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
|                 |  | SF1B (8)                          | 3                                      | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
|                 |  | SF8M (21)                         | 2                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | SF7M (22)                         | 3                                      | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
|                 |  | SF6M (23)                         | 7                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | SP6M (26)                         | 10                                     | <i>Staphylococcus piscifermentans</i>                        |   |                                |
|                 |  | SP5M (27)                         | 3                                      | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
|                 |  | SP3M (28)                         | 3                                      | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
|                 |  | SP1M (29)                         | 11                                     | <i>Staphylococcus pasteurii</i>                              |   |                                |
|                 |  | SP                                | Site 3/ ≥72h                           | SP4B (30)  | 3 | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i> |
| SP2B (31)       | 3  |                                   |  | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
| SP1B (32)       | 3  |                                   |  | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
| SZ              | Site 4/ ≥72h                                     |                                   |  | SZ1B (10)  | 3 | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i> |
|                 |  |                                   |  | SZ2B (11)  | 3 | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i> |
|                 |  |                                   |  | SZ6B (13)  | 3 | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i> |
|                 |  |                                   |  | SZ1M (14)  | 5 | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>   |
|                 |  | SZ3M (15)                         | 3                                      | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>                               |   |                                |
|                 |  | SZ4M (16)                         | 5                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
| SZ5M (17)       | 9  | <i>Staphylococcus condimentii</i> |  |  |   |                                |
| SZ6M (18)       | 15   | <i>Staphylococcus gallinarum</i>  |  |  |   |                                |
| SZ7M (24)       | 3  | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>    |  |  |   |                                |
| SZ9M (25)       | 3  | <i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>    |  |  |   |                                |
| <b>Bikalga</b>  |  |                                   |  |  |   |                                |
| Burkina Faso    |  |                                   |  |  |   |                                |
| B2              | Site 5/ 48h                                      | B21M (50)                         | 6                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B22M (51)                         | 4                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B27aM (53)                        | 16                                     | <i>Staphylococcus cohnii</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B27bM (54)                        | 16                                     | <i>Staphylococcus cohnii</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B25M (56)                         | 16                                     | <i>Staphylococcus cohnii</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B25B (57)                         | 8                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B24B (58)                         | 24                                     | <i>Staphylococcus cohnii</i>                                 |   |                                |
| B3              | Site 5/ 72h                                      | B35M (60)                         | 5                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B36B (61)                         | 5                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B34M (62)                         | 5                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B32B (64)                         | 5                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B31M (65)                         | 5                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |
|                 |  | B31B (66)                         | 8                                      | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                                 |   |                                |

826 <sup>a</sup>Site 1: Ouagadougou (Samandin production site), Site 2: Fada market, Site 3: Ouagadougou (Patte  
 827 d'Oie market), Site 4: Ouagadougou (Zogona market), Site 5: Ouagadougou (Zone Pilote production

828 site) <sup>b</sup> Rep-PCR : Repetitive element palindromic-polymerase chain reaction ; PFGE : Pulse Field Gel  
829 Electrophoresis

Accepted Manuscript

830 Table 2 *continued*: Identity of the *Staphylococcus* species recovered from Soumbala,  
 831 Bikalga, and Ntoba Mbodi

| Samples/Origin           | Fermentation time/<br>sampling site <sup>a</sup> | Isolates    | Rep-PCR/<br>PFGE clusters | Identification 16S RNA and<br><i>rpoB</i> gene sequencing |
|--------------------------|--|-------------|---------------------------|---|
| B5                       | Site 5/ Dried                                    | B57B (69)   | 18                        | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>                              |
|                          |  | B51B (70)   | 18                        | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>                              |
| BP                       | Site 6/ Dried                                    | BP2B (73)   | 19                        | <i>Macrococcus caseolyticus</i>                           |
|                          |  | BP2M (74)   | 19                        | <i>Macrococcus caseolyticus</i>                           |
|                          |  | BP3B (75)   | 19                        | <i>Macrococcus caseolyticus</i>                           |
|                          |  | BP6B (76)   | 19                        | <i>Macrococcus caseolyticus</i>                           |
|                          |  | BP5B (77)   | 19                        | <i>Macrococcus caseolyticus</i>                           |
| BB<br><b>Ntoba Mbodi</b> | Site 7/ Dried                                    | BB3B (84)   | 20                        | <i>S. haemolyticus</i>                                    |
| Congo<br>AU0             | Site 8/ 0h                                       | A04M (93)   | 25                        | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A05M (94)   | 12                        | <i>Staphylococcus warneri</i>                             |
|                          |  | A08M (95)   | 12                        | <i>Staphylococcus warneri</i>                             |
|                          |  | A07M (185)  | 12                        | <i>Staphylococcus warneri</i>                             |
|                          |  | A06B (193)  | 12                        | <i>Staphylococcus warneri</i>                             |
| AU1                      | Site 8/ 24h                                      | A12B (96)   | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A15M (104)  | 25                        | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A17M (105)  | 13                        | <i>Staphylococcus warneri</i>                             |
|                          |  | A18M (106)  | 17                        | <i>Staphylococcus arlettae</i>                            |
|                          |  | A15M (176)  | 4                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A16M (177)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A111B (186) | 5                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A110B (194) | 5                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
| AU2                      | Site 8/ 48h                                      | A25B (111)  | 17                        | <i>Staphylococcus arlettae</i>                            |
|                          |  | A215B (113) | 15                        | <i>Staphylococcus gallinarum</i>                          |
|                          |  | A21M (115)  | 4                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A27B (178)  | 5                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A29B (179)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A26M (180)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A23M (191)  | 4                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
| AU3                      | Site 8/ 72h                                      | A24M (192)  | 4                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A37bB (123) | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A39B (124)  | 21                        | <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i>                             |
|                          |  | A34M (128)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | A31M (188)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
| JO0                      | Site 9/ 0h                                       | A33M (187)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | J03B (131)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | J04B (132)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | J01B (189)  | 4                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | J02B (190)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
| JO3                      | Site 9/ 72h                                      | J03M (138)  | 22                        | <i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i>                       |
|                          |  | J311B (148) | 15                        | <i>Staphylococcus gallinarum</i>                          |
|                          |  | J33M (150)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | J34M (151)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | J31M (181)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
| MB                       | Site 10/ 72-96h                                  | J32M (182)  | 6                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | MB1M (156)  | 5                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |
|                          |  | MB11M (184) | 5                         | <i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i>                              |

832 <sup>a</sup>Site 5: Ouagadougou (Zone Pilote production site), Site 6: Ouagadougou (Patte d'Oie market), Site  
 833 7: Bobo Dioulasso (market), Site 8: Brazzaville (Production site A), Site 9: Brazzaville (Production site  
 834 B), Site 10: Brazzaville (market)

835 <sup>b</sup> Rep-PCR : Repetitive element palindromic-polymerase chain reaction ; PFGE : Pulse Field Gel  
836 Electrophoresis

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

Accepted Manuscript

857 Table 3 Geographical distribution of the *Staphylococcus* species and associated clusters  
 858

| Species                   | Rep-PCR/<br>PFGE Clusters | Burkina Faso/ Soumbala |        |        |        | Burkina Faso/ Bikalga |        |        | Congo/ Ntoba Mbodi |        |         |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|---------|
|                           |                           | Site 1 <sup>b</sup>    | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 4 | Site 5                | Site 6 | Site 7 | Site 8             | Site 9 | Site 10 |
| <i>S. arlettae</i>        | 17                        | - <sup>c</sup>         | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | +                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. aureus</i>          | 18                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | +                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. cohnii</i>          | 16                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | +      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
|                           | 24                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | +      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. epidermidis</i>     | 14                        | +                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. gallinarum</i>      | 1                         | +                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
|                           | 15                        | -                      | -      | -      | +      | -                     | -      | -      | +                  | +      | -       |
|                           | 23                        | +                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. haemolyticus</i>    | 20                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | +      | -                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. hominis</i>         | 21                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | +                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. pasteurii</i>       | 11                        | -                      | -      | +      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. condimentii</i>     | 9                         | -                      | -      | -      | +      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. piscifermentans</i> | 10                        | -                      | +      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. saprophyticus</i>   | 22                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | +      | -       |
| <i>S. sciuri</i>          | 2                         | -                      | +      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
|                           | 4                         | -                      | -      | -      | -      | +                     | -      | -      | +                  | +      | -       |
|                           | 5                         | -                      | +      | -      | +      | +                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | +       |
|                           | 6                         | -                      | -      | -      | -      | +                     | -      | -      | +                  | +      | -       |
|                           | 7                         | -                      | +      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
|                           | 8                         | -                      | -      | -      | -      | +                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
|                           | 25                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | +                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. simulans</i>        | 3                         | +                      | +      | +      | +      | -                     | -      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |
| <i>S. warneri</i>         | 12                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | +                  | -      | -       |
|                           | 13                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | -      | -      | +                  | -      | -       |
| <i>M. caseolyticus</i>    | 19                        | -                      | -      | -      | -      | -                     | +      | -      | -                  | -      | -       |

859  
 860 <sup>a</sup> Rep-PCR : Repetitive element palindromic-polymerase chain reaction ; PFGE : Pulse Field Gel Electrophoresis

861 <sup>b</sup>Site 1: Ouagadougou (Samandin production site), Site 2: Fada market, Site 3: Ouagadougou (Patte d'Oie market), Site 4: Ouagadougou (Zogona market),  
 862 Site 5: Ouagadougou (Zone Pilote production site), Site 6: Ouagadougou (Patte d'Oie market), Site 7: Bobo Dioulasso (market), Site 8: Brazzaville  
 863 (Production site A), Site 9: Brazzaville (Production site B), Site 10: Brazzaville (market)

864 <sup>c</sup> - : not detected, + : detected

865 Table 4 Profiles of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and toxin production potential of the species and clusters of *Staphylococcus* from  
 866 Bikalga, Soumbala, and Ntoba Mbodi

| Origin <sup>a</sup>                        | Rep-PCR/<br>PFGE Cluster | Species                   | Number of<br>Isolates | AMR phenotype <sup>b</sup>                                | AMR genes                       | Toxin genes/<br>Toxin A, B, C, D | Haemolysis<br>on blood agar |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Ntoba Mbodi (Co)                           | 17                       | <i>S. arlettae</i>        | 2                     | CHL, CLI, FUS, SYN, SMX, TIA, TMP                         | dfr(A)                          | - <sup>c</sup>                   | -                           |
| Bikalga (BF)                               | 18                       | <i>S. aureus</i>          | 2                     | CHL, ERY, PEN, SYN, SUL                                   | blazA                           | -                                | +                           |
| Bikalga (BF)                               | 16                       | <i>S. cohnii</i>          | 3                     | FOX, CHL, CLI, ERY, FUS, PEN, RIF, SMX,<br>SYN, TIA, TMP  | msr(A)                          | -                                | -                           |
| Bikalga (BF)                               | 24                       | <i>S. cohnii</i>          | 1                     | FOX, CLI, ERY, FUS, PEN, RIF, SMX., SYN,<br>TET, TIA, TMP | msr(A)                          | -                                | -                           |
| Soumbala (BF)                              | 14                       | <i>S. epidermidis</i>     | 1                     | CHL, PEN, SMX, TET, TMP                                   | blazA, dfr(A),<br>dfr(G) tet(K) | -                                | ±                           |
| Soumbala (BF)                              | 1                        | <i>S. gallinarum</i>      | 1                     | FOX, CLI, SYN, TIA  | tet(K)                          | -                                | -                           |
| Soumbala, Ntoba Mbodi (BF, Co)             | 15                       | <i>S. gallinarum</i>      | 3                     | CLI, FUS, PEN, SYN, TIA, SMX, TMP                         | tet(K)                          | -                                | -                           |
| Soumbala (BF)                              | 23                       | <i>S. gallinarum</i>      | 3                     | FOX, CLI, FUS, PEN, SYN, TIA, TMP                         | tet(K)                          | -                                | -                           |
| Bikalga (BF)                               | 20                       | <i>S. haemolyticus</i>    | 1                     | CLI, ERY, PEN, SYN, TIA, TMP                              | tet(K), msr(A)                  | -                                | ±                           |
| Ntoba Mbodi (Co)                           | 21                       | <i>S. hominis</i>         | 1                     | CHL, PEN, SMX, TET, TMP                                   | blazA, tet(K),<br>dfr(A)        | -                                | -                           |
| Soumbala (BF)                              | 11                       | <i>S. pasteurii</i>       | 1                     | SMX   |                                 | -                                | ±                           |
| Soumbala (BF)                              | 10                       | <i>S. piscifermentans</i> | 1                     | CHL, ERY, SMX, TIA  |                                 | -                                | -                           |
| Soumbala (BF)                              | 9                        | <i>S. condimentii</i>     | 1                     | SMX   |                                 | -                                | -                           |
| Ntoba Mbodi (Co)                           | 22                       | <i>S. saprophyticus</i>   | 1                     | CHL, PEN, TET, TMP  | tet(K)                          | -                                | -                           |
| Soumbala (BF)                              | 2                        | <i>S. sciuri</i>          | 1                     | CLI, FUS, SMX, TIA, TMP                                   | mecA, mecA1                     | -                                | -                           |
| Bikalga, Ntoba Mbodi (BF, Co)              | 4                        | <i>S. sciuri</i>          | 6                     | CLI, FUS, TIA, TMP  | mecA1                           | -                                | -                           |
| Bikalga, Soumbala, Ntoba Mbodi<br>(BF, Co) | 5                        | <i>S. sciuri</i>          | 13                    | CLI, FUS, TMP   | mecA1                           | -                                | -                           |
| Bikalga, Ntoba Mbodi (BF, Co)              | 6                        | <i>S. sciuri</i>          | 16                    | CHL, PEN, SYN, SMX, TIA, TMP                              | mecA, mecA1                     | -                                | -                           |
| Soumbala (BF)                              | 7                        | <i>S. sciuri</i>          | 1                     | CLI, ERY, FUS, SYN, TIA, TMP                              | mecA, mecA1                     | -                                | -                           |
| Bikalga (BF)                               | 8                        | <i>S. sciuri</i>          | 2                     | CHL, PEN, SYN, TET, TIA, TMP                              | mecA1                           | -                                | -                           |
| Ntoba Mbodi (Co)                           | 25                       | <i>S. sciuri</i>          | 2                     | CLI, FUS, SMX, SYN, TIA, TMP                              | mecA1                           | -                                | -                           |
| Soumbala (BF)                              | 3                        | <i>S. simulans</i>        | 17                    | CHL, LZD, SYN, SMX, TMP                                   |                                 | -                                | +                           |
| Ntoba Mbodi (Co)                           | 12                       | <i>S. warneri</i>         | 4                     | FUS, PEN, SYN, SMX, TMP                                   | blazA, dfr(A)                   | -                                | ±                           |
| Ntoba Mbodi (Co)                           | 13                       | <i>S. warneri</i>         | 1                     | CHL, ERY, PEN, SMX, TMP                                   | blazA, Cat501<br>dfr(A), msr(A) | -                                | -                           |
| Bikalga (BF)                               | 19                       | <i>M. caseolyticus</i>    | 5                     | CIP, MUP  |                                 | -                                | -                           |

867 <sup>a</sup> BF: Burkina Faso, Co: Congo


868 <sup>b</sup> The profile represents the antimicrobials to which the isolates were resistant to; Cefoxitin: FOX, Chloramphenicol: CHL, Ciprofloxacin: CIP,  
 869 Clindamycin: CLI, Erythromycin: ERY, Fusidate: FUS, Mupirocin: MUP, Quinupristin/Dalfopristin (Synercid): SYN, Linezolid: LZD, Penicillin:  
 870 PEN, Rifampicin: RIF, Sulfamethoxazole: SMX, Tiamulin: TIA, Trimethoprim: TMP <sup>c</sup> -: negative, ±: partial haemolysis, +: positive haemolysis

871 Table 5: Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) for donors, recipient and potential *E. faecalis* JH2-2 transconjugants (PET)

| MIC (mg/L): Erythromycin     |     | MIC (mg/L): Tetracycline      |    |
|------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|----|
| Donor <i>S. warneri</i>      | >32 | Donor <i>S. saprophyticus</i> | 64 |
| Recipient <i>E. faecalis</i> | <1  | Recipient <i>E. faecalis</i>  | 1  |
| PET-E1                       | 16  | PET-T1                        | 8  |
| PET-E2                       | <1  | PET-T2                        | 8  |
| PET-E3                       | >32 | PET-T3                        | 16 |
| PET-E4                       | <1  | PET-T4                        | 8  |
| PET-E5                       | <1  | PET-T5                        | 8  |
| PET-E6                       | 4   | PET-T6                        | 16 |
| PET-E7                       | <1  | PET-T7                        | 16 |
| PET-E8                       | <1  | PET-T8                        | 4  |
| PET-E9                       | >32 | PET-T9                        | 16 |
| PET-E10                      | <1  | PET-T10                       | 4  |
| PET-E11                      | 32  |                               |    |
| PET-E12                      | <1  |                               |    |
| PET-E13                      | >32 |                               |    |
| PET-E14                      | <1  |                               |    |

872

873



874

875 Figure 1

ACCEPT