

UWL REPOSITORY

repository.uwl.ac.uk

Influence of soy fortification on microbial diversity during cassava fermentation and subsequent physicochemical characteristics of garri

Ahaotu, Ndidiamaka Nnenaya, Anyogu, Amarachukwu ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9652-7728, Obioha, Promiselynda, Aririatu, Lawrence, Ibekwe, Vincent Ifeanyi, Oranusi, Solomon, Sutherland, Jane P. and Ouoba, Labia Irene Ivette (2017) Influence of soy fortification on microbial diversity during cassava fermentation and subsequent physicochemical characteristics of garri. Food Microbiology, 66. pp. 165-172. ISSN 0956-7135

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.04.019

This is the Accepted Version of the final output.

UWL repository link: https://repository.uwl.ac.uk/id/eprint/8229/

Alternative formats: If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: open.research@uwl.ac.uk

Copyright: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy: If you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact us at open.research@uwl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

- 1 Influence of soy fortification on microbial diversity during cassava fermentation
- 2 and subsequent physicochemical characteristics of garri
- 3 Ndidiamaka Nnenaya Ahaotu^{a, b}, Amarachukwu Anyogu^{c1*}, Promiselynda Obioha^c,
- 4 Lawrence Aririatu^b, Vincent Ifeanyi Ibekwe^b, Solomon Oranusi^b, Jane P. Sutherland^c,
- 5 Labia Irene Ivette Ouobac, d
- ^aDepartment of Food Science and Technology, School of Engineering and Engineering
- 7 Technology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria
- 8 bDepartment of Microbiology, School of Science, Federal University of Technology,
- 9 Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria
- 10 °Microbiology Research Unit, School of Human Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences and
- 11 Computing, London Metropolitan University, 166-220 Holloway Road, London N7 8DB,
- 12 United Kingdom

- 13 dIndependent Senior Research Scientist & Consultant, Ouoba-Consulting, London, UK
- ¹ Present address: Amarachukwu Anyogu, Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of
- 15 Science and Technology, University of Westminster, 115 New Cavendish Street,
- 16 London W1W 6UW, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 (0)207911 5000 ext. 64585
- 18 Corresponding author: Amarachukwu Anyogu, Microbiology Research Unit, School of
- 19 Human Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences and Computing, London Metropolitan
- 20 University, 166-220 Holloway Road, London N7 8DB, United Kingdom.
- 21 Tel: +44 (0)20 7133 4154
- 22 E-mail: amara.anyogu@gmail.com

Abstract

23

24 This study investigated the influence of the addition of soy products on the microbiology, nutritional and physico-chemical characteristics of garri, a fermented cassava product. 25 26 Malted soy flour (MSF) and soy protein (SP) were separately added (12% w/w) to 27 cassava mash prior to controlled fermentation, while non-supplemented cassava mash 28 served as a control. Identification of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and aerobic mesophilic 29 bacteria was accomplished by repetitive sequence based (rep)-PCR analysis and 16S rRNA gene seguencing. Physicochemical, nutritional and sensory characterisation of 30 31 control and soy-fortified garri was performed using conventional methods. rep-PCR allowed differentiation of 142 isolates into 41 groups corresponding to 6 species of LAB 32 33 and 25 species of aerobic mesophiles. LAB isolates belonged to the genera 34 Lactobacillus, Weissella, Leuconostoc and Lactococcus with Leuconostoc mesenteroides being the dominant species in control and MSF-cassava while Weissella 35 cibaria dominated SP-cassava fermentation. Aerobic mesophiles included Gram 36 positive and negative bacteria such species of the genera Bacillus, Clostridium, 37 Staphylococcus, Serratia, Acinetobacter and Raoultella. Diversity of aerobic 38 mesophiles varied between control, MSF- and SP- cassava mash. Protein content of 39 soy-fortified garri increased from 0.73% to 10.17% and 10.05% in MSF and SP garri 40 41 respectively with a significant decrease in total cyanide from 26 to 11 ppm. 42 Results from physicochemical and organoleptic evaluation indicate that supplementation of cassava with soy products prior to fermentation can produce 43 44 acceptable garri. Soy products can be considered a viable option for protein fortification 45 of garri, a low protein food with the aim of combating malnutrition.

1. Introduction

71

72 Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) and associated fermented products provide a cheap source of calories and play an important role in combating hunger in many 73 74 cassava-growing regions of the world. The use of cassava roots as food is limited as it is nutritionally deficient in terms of protein, vitamins and minerals (Ahaotu et al., 2011; 75 76 Obatolu and Osho, 1992; Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2003). Another drawback is the presence of toxic cyanogenic glucosides in unprocessed cassava. If cassava tissue is 77 damaged during harvest or storage, endogenous enzymes can hydrolyse these 78 glucosides to hydrocyanic acid. Cassava processing, usually via fermentation, is thus 79 vital in improving food security. 80 Garri is a gelatinized, granular, dry, coarse product obtained by roasting fermented, 81 82 dewatered cassava mash. It is by far the most popular form in which cassava is consumed and sold in many African countries, Nigeria in particular (Ernesto et al., 2000; 83 Oluwole et al., 2008). It is usually consumed as a stiff paste, eba, after mixing with 84 boiling water and eaten with stews as a main meal, or mixed with cold water as a snack 85 between meals. Garri is a good source of energy and fibre, with other nutrients of 86 87 marginal nutritional significance (Ikegwuet al., 2009). However, continuous consumption of garri without supplementation with meat, fish and/or other protein-rich sources may 88 result in protein deficiency (Agbon et al., 2010; Dakwa et al., 2005). West African diets 89 90 are largely based on starchy staples such as cassava, maize, rice, and sorghum, as access to high quality animal proteins can be limited due to expense and lack of 91 92 availability. Supplementation of cassava with good quality protein foods may aid in 93 combating problems of protein malnutrition associated with high carbohydrate diets.

Soybean is a highly nutritious food material with a high percentage of amino acids and fatty acids. It is an important source of protein for many groups of people around the world. Soy protein is made from dehulled, defatted, soybean meal which can be processed into three kinds of high protein commercial products: soy flour, concentrates and isolates (Igoe and Hui, 2001). The addition of soy products such as soy protein (SP: 80-90% protein) or malted soy flour (MSF; 55-65% protein) to cassava mash prior to fermentation may improve the protein content of the final fermented product, garri. Improving the protein content of cassava based products has been the focus of previous scientific investigations (Agbon et al., 2010; Ahaotu et al., 2011; Arisa et al., 2011; Eke et al., 2008). However, there is limited information regarding the use of soy products as a source of high quality protein for garri production with respect to both the microbiology of the fermentation process and nutritional properties of fortified garri. The purpose of this study was two-fold. First, to evaluate the influence of two soy products, malted soy flour (MSF) and soy protein (SP) on the microbial population involved in cassava mash fermentation, using molecular typing techniques to identify the microorganisms involved. Secondly, to investigate the effect of soy fortification on nutritional and sensory characteristics of garri.

2. Materials and Methods

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

- 112 2.1. Preparation of soy products
- Soy protein (SP) was obtained from the National Soybean Research Laboratory (NSRL)
- 114 Illinois, United States. To prepare malted soy flour, soybeans were purchased from
- 115 Ekeonunwa market in Imo state, Nigeria. Malted soyflour (MSF) was produced by
- steeping 2 kg of clean soybeans in 3 litres of water at ambient temperature (ca 28°C) for

10 h. Water was drained and soybeans spread on a moistened, sterile jute bag, covered, and allowed to germinate for 48 h. The sprouts were sprinkled with water at appropriate intervals during the germination period. Germinated soybeans were dried in an air oven at 55 to 60°C for 24 h after which they were dehulled prior to milling into flour (Fig. 1).

2.2 Production and sampling of soy fortified garri

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

Cassava tubers were obtained from a farm in Obinze, Imo state, Nigeria and washed, peeled and rewashed three times with water to remove sand particles prior to grating (Kenwood Food Processor, FP 110). Cassava mash (1300 g) was combined with 180 g of either MSF or SP. Cassava mash (1480 g) without soy supplementation served as control. Control, MSF and SP cassava mash were transferred into separate polyurethane bags and fermented at 30°C for 72 h. During fermentation, 250 g of samples of the fermenting mash were collected aseptically at 0, 24, 48 and 72 h for microbiological analysis and garification. The garification procedure was conducted as described by Akingbala et al., (2005) with slight modifications. Cassava mash (200 g) was dewatered using a hydraulic press. The dewatered cake was manually crushed on a stainless-steel sifter, before roasting the filtrate on a hot pan over a low fire. The garified cassava granules were spread out in a thin layer and left to cool at ambient temperature in a sterile environment before being packaged in zip lock airtight packs and stored at - 2°C for further analysis. Three independent fermentation trials were conducted.

2.3 Microbiological analysis

140 samples, 10 g of fermenting cassava mash were aseptically transferred into stomacher bags and homogenised in 90 ml sterile Maximum Recovery Diluent (MRD, Oxoid 141 142 CM0733, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) for 2 min using a paddle-type blender (Colworth 400, AJ Seward, London, UK). From appropriate ten-fold dilutions, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) 143 were enumerated and isolated on deMan, Rogosa and Sharpe agar (MRS; Oxoid 144 145 CM0361) incubated anaerobically at 35°C for 72 h. Aerobic mesophiles were enumerated and isolated on Nutrient agar (NA; Oxoid CM0003) incubated at 37°C for 146 48 h. Morphological characteristics of colonies recovered from MRS agar and NA were 147 148 examined and representative colonies were selected from appropriate dilutions. Bacteria were separately isolated on NA or MRS agar and purified by streaking several 149 150 times on the same media as appropriate. 2.3.2 Phenotypic characterisation 151 Purified isolates were initially examined by colony and cell morphology as well as Gram, 152 153 catalase and oxidase reactions. Cell morphology was determined by light microscopy (Nikon Model Eclipse, E400, Japan) and isolates were examined for Gram reaction 154 155 using the KOH method (Gregersen, 1978). 2.3.3 Differentiation of isolates at species and subspecies levels using rep-PCR 156 DNA extraction was carried out using InstaGeneTM matrix (Bio-Rad, 732-6030, Hemel 157 158 Hempstead, UK) following the manufacturer's instructions. Isolates were grouped at 159 species and subspecies levels using repetitive sequenced based PCR (rep-PCR) and primer GTG5 (5'-GTG GTG GTGGTG GTG-3'; 5 pmol ml⁻¹) under the following 160 161 conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at

2.3.1 Enumeration and isolation of bacteria from fermenting cassava mash. For all

162 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 45°C for 1 min, elongation at 65°C for 8 min and final 163 extension at 65°C for 16min (Ouoba et al., 2008). Amplified PCR products were 164 separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. Gels were documented using the Gel Doc It 165 Imaging System (M-26X, UVP, Cambridge UK). Profiles were analysed using the Bionumerics system (Bio-Numerics 2.50, UPGMA Pearson Correlation, Applied Maths, 166 167 Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). 2.3.4 Identification of bacteria using 16S rRNA gene sequencing 168 169 Bacteria were tentatively identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Amplification of the 170 16S rRNA gene was performed using forward and reverse primers; pA (5'-AGA-GTT-TGA-TCC-TGC-CTC-AG-3'; 100 pmol µl⁻¹) and pE (5'-CCG-TCA-ATT-CCT-TTG-AGT-171 TT-3'; 100 pmol µl⁻¹) based on conserved regions of the 16S rRNA gene as previously 172 173 described (Ouoba et al., 2008). Reaction conditions consisted of an initial denaturation 174 at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C 175 for 1 min followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Purified PCR products were 176 sequenced using the internal primer - pD (5'-GTA-TTA-CCG-CGG-CTG-CTG-3'; 3.2 pmol µl⁻¹). To determine the closest known relative species on the basis of 16S 177 178 rRNA gene homology, sequences were analysed using the Basic Local Alignment Tool (BLAST) programme (National Centre for Biotechnology, MD, USA) against the 179 180 GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ sequence database and the EzTaxon server (Kim et al., 2012). 181 Sequences demonstrating the highest similarity in terms of closest relative species and 98.96 – 100.00 % homology were considered to belong to the same species. 182

183

2.4 Physicochemical analysis

184 At each time point, two samples were taken for analysis and each sample analysed in 185 duplicate. 2.4.1 Determination of pH and titratable acidity 186 187 At each sampling point, 10 g of either cassava mash or garri was homogenised in 90 ml distilled water using a stomacher and pH measured using a calibrated pH meter (Hanna 188 Instruments, UK). To measure titratable acidity, 10 g of the sample was homogenised in 189 190 100 ml of distilled water and filtered (Whatman, UK). 10 ml of the filtrate was titrated against 0.1M NaOH using 1% (v/v) phenolphthalein as indicator. 191 192 2.4.2 Proximate analysis 193 Moisture, ash, fat and protein content of garri was determined according to standard 194 analytical methods (AOAC, 2006). 195 2.4.3 Determination of total cyanide Cyanide content of fortified and non-fortified garri was determined using the picrate 196 197 paper kit method (protocol B2) as described by Bradbury et al., (1999). 198 2.5 Sensory Analysis 199 Eba is a stiff porridge made from mixing garri with boiled water. Twenty semi-trained 200 panellists familiar with both garri and eba, were selected from the students and staff of the Federal University of Technology, Owerri to determine the preference and 201 202 acceptability of the soy fortified garri samples when made into eba. The qualities 203 assessed were texture, aroma, bolus formation, colour and general acceptability. Each attribute was scored using a nine-point hedonic scale scorecard with 1 representing 204 'extremely dislike' and nine representing 'extremely liked.' (Weaver and Daniel, 2003). 205

206

2.6. Statistical analysis

Statistical differences between mean values were determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Least Significance Difference using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 10.0 SPSS Inc. Chicago, Illinois, USA).

3. Results

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

3.1 Microbiological analysis

During the control fermentation, there was an increase in the total aerobic count from 1.6×10^4 to 6.0×10^8 cfu/g and the LAB from 1.5×10^4 to 7.0×10^8 cfu/g during the 72 h fermentation period. A similar pattern was observed for LAB and aerobic mesophiles growth in cassava mash supplemented with soy products over the same fermentation period. In MSF- and SP- cassava mash, there was an increase in the total aerobic count from 3.24×10^5 to 1.51×10^8 and 3.0×10^5 cfu/g to 2.29×10^9 cfu/g respectively. With respect to the presumptive LAB population, there was an increase from 1.1 x 10⁴ to 2.2 x 108cfu/g in MSF-cassava and from 1.1. x 103 to 2.6 x 109cfu/g in SP-cassava. A total of 142 bacterial isolates with variable macroscopic and microscopic characteristics was obtained from the control and soy supplemented cassava mash. Presumptive LAB isolates (88) were characterised as Gram positive, catalase and oxidase negative, cocci, bacilli and coccobacilli. Cluster analysis of rep-PCR profiles of these isolates allowed classification into six groups representing four genera and six species (Fig. 2). Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene of isolates within each group allowed identification at genus and species level (Table 1). Overall, Leuconostoc was the most dominant genus and encompassed the species Leuconostoc mesenteroides (61.36%), Leuconostoc lactis (2.27%) and Leuconostoc fallax (2.27%). Other LAB

229 species identified were Lactococcus lactis (3.41%), Weissella cibaria (14.77%) with the 230 sole lactobacilli species being Lactobacillus plantarum (15.92%). 231 The LAB profile for fermenting unfortified and MSF – cassava mash was similar. Both 232 fermentations were dominated by Leuconostoc mesenteroides particularly during the first 48 h of fermentation, followed by Lactobacillus plantarum. In cassava fortified with 233 SP, Weissella cibaria was the dominant LAB during the fermentation, followed by 234 235 Lactobacillus plantarum (Table 1) 236 Fifty-four (54) aerobic mesophiles in total were recovered on NA from both control and 237 fortified fermenting cassava mash and clustered based on 35 unique rep-PCR profiles corresponding to 15 genera and 26 species (Fig 2, Table 1). The dominant genus within 238 239 this group was Bacillus (25.93%), isolated from all three cassava samples, while the 240 dominant species was Bacillus cereus sensu lato (16.67%). Four species of 241 Staphylococcus including Staphylococcus gallinarium, Staphylococcus epidermidis, 242 Staphylococcus warneri and Staphylococcus sciuri made up 16.67% of total aerobic 243 count. Gram negative bacteria isolated from control and soy-supplemented mash included Raoultella planticola (7.41%), Serratia nematodiphila (7.41%) Pantoea 244 dispersa (1.85%), Pantoea vagans (1.85%), Pseudomonas hibiscicola (1.85%) and 245 Klebsiella variicola (1.85%). Apart from the common presence of Bacillus, diversity of 246 aerobic mesophiles varied according to sample (Table 1). 247 248 3.3 Physicochemical characteristics of soy fortified garri 249 The effect of soy fortification on the pH, titratable acidity, total cyanide and proximate composition of control, MSF- and SP- garri was determined (Table 2). Comparisons 250 251 were considered significant where p < 0.05.

The pH of both soy-fortified garri samples was significantly higher than that of the control sample with SP garri significantly higher at 5.16 than both MSF and control. No significant changes were observed in the titratable acidity of both unfortified and sovfortified garri. Fortification significantly improved the protein content of garri. Compared to unfortified garri with an average protein content of 0.73%, the protein content in MSFand SP-fortified garri increased to 10.17% and 10.05% protein respectively. Additionally, fortified garri had significantly lower cyanide concentrations. The cyanide content of MSF and SP garri was 11 mg kg⁻¹ compared to 26 mg kg⁻¹ in the control. MSF garri had significantly higher fat content of 4.13% compared to the other two samples although SP garri had an increased fat content than the control. Control and SP garri had a significantly higher moisture content compared to MSF. Fortification with SP significantly increased ash content of garri compared to MS fortification. 3.4 Sensory attributes of eba made from soy extract fortified garri In eba produced from control and soy-fortified garri, features such as bolus formation, texture, colour, aroma and general acceptability was assessed (Table 3). The combined data of the sensory attributes of eba indicated no significant differences in the mean scores (p<0.05) for all samples and parameters studied. Soy fortified garri compared favourably with control in overall acceptability, however, the colour of MSF-

4. DISCUSSION

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

Cassava is an important food for millions of people who live in the tropics but its use as a staple is limited due to its low protein content and potential cyanide toxicity. In many Nigerian homes, cassava products such as garri are an essential part of the diet.

fortified eba scored lower than both control and SP-fortified samples.

Strategies for fortifying local food to improve its nutritive quality without affecting safety and quality attributes is an important research focus as part of the effort to combat malnutrition and food insecurity (Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2003). Supplementation of cassava mash with soy extracts did not have a marked effect on the microbiology of cassava fermentation. The role of LAB during cassava fermentation is well documented (Amoa-Awua et al., 1996; Kostinek et al., 2005; Oyewole and Odunfa, 1988). Lactic acid bacteria play an important role in acidification of the cassava, contributing to desirable organoleptic characteristics of the final fermented product. Acidification and production of other antimicrobial compounds by fermenting LAB strains may prevent the growth and/orsurvival of foodborne pathogens, thereby improving food safety (Anyogu et al., 2014; Mante et al., 2003). The dominance of LAB strains during cassava fermentation was not affected by the addition of soy extracts to cassava mash prior to fermentation. Cassava supplemented with MSF had the same LAB species profile as the control, unfortified sample. Similar to reports by Coulin et al., (2006) and Tsav-Wua et al., (2004) the predominant LAB recovered in this study was *Leuconostoc* mesenteroides. However, this is not in agreement with other authors, who have reported Lactobacillus plantarum as the predominant LAB present during cassava fermentation (Kostinek et al. 2005; Obilie et al., 2004). In cassava supplemented with soy protein Weissella spp. was the dominant LAB present. Although infrequently associated with cassava fermentation, Anyogu et al. (2014) noted the presence of Weissella during submerged fermentation of cassava. This supports the view that diversity of LAB is influenced by geographical origin, as well as the nature of the

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

fermentation process and underscores the importance of investigating the influence of fortification on the microbial fermenting population. Aerobic bacteria, particularly *Bacillus* spp., form a significant proportion of the microbial population of fermenting cassava, where they are responsible for textural modification of cassava tissue (Amoa- Awua and Jakobsen, 1995). The presence of soy products in fermenting cassava mash appeared to have a more noticeable effect on the diversity of the aerobic population than on LAB. The addition of MSF in particular led to the dominance of Bacillus spp., including B. cereus sensu lato compared to the control fermentation. This may be due to the increased protein content available during fermentation as various species of Bacillus have repeatedly been associated with the fermentation of protein rich soyfoods such as iru (Adewunmi et al., 2013), afiyo (Ogunshe et al., 2007) and soy dawadawa (Dakwa et al., 2005; Omafvube, et al. 2000). In addition, the pH of soy fortified garri was significantly higher than control. At pH values below 4.2, as has been reported for garri (Achinewu et al., 2008; Tawo et al., 2009), B. cereus will generally exist as spores but at higher pH values, there may be an increased likelihood of spore germination, outgrowth and multiplication of vegetative cells. Some studies aimed at evaluating the microbiological quality of fermented cassava products have reported the presence of potentially pathogenic bacteria, including Bacillus spp. and Enterobactericeae (Adebayo-Oyetoro et al., 2013; Omafuvbe et al., 2007; Tsav-Wua et al., 2004). Consequently, our observation of B. cereus and Gram negative bacteria such as Serratia nematodiphila, Pantoea dispersa, Raoultella planticola is cause for concern and warrants further investigation. Observations by Udoro et al., (2014) suggest that lengthening the cassava fermentation

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

processors to utilise shorter fermentation periods of 24 or 48 h, particularly when demand for garri is high. Previous studies aimed at improving the protein content of garri have focused on inoculating starter cultures (Ahaotu et al., 2011; Akindahunsi et al., 1999; Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2003), protein rich biomass obtained from palm wine (Ogbo et al., 2009) and groundnut flour (Arisa et al., 2011). The inclusion of high protein soy products in fermenting cassava markedly improves the protein content of the final product garri and can aid in combating malnutrition associated with predominantly carbohydrate diets. The protein content of fortified garri (11%) was a considerable improvement on the unfortified garri (0.73%). Results further indicate that processing of cassava mash during garri production does not lead to significant loss of protein content, confirming the results of Eke et al., (2008), although other authors have noted that the pressing, sieving and frying of cassava mash for garri production can lead to a marked reduction in protein content (Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2003). Of particular interest was the significant reduction in cyanogenic glucosides of fortified garri. Fortification either improved or at least did not negatively impact the proximate composition of garri. Supplementation of cassava mash with MSF and SP prior to fermentation did not affect the general acceptability of garri, although slight modifications to the concentration of MSF can be made to improve the colour of the final product to make it more desirable to consumers. Malted soy flour and soy protein may be considered viable options for protein fortification of garri. Addition of soy products does not affect the LAB fermenting

period could lead to lower pH values of garri. However, it is not uncommon for

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

population and can significantly improve the protein content of a high carbohydrate
meal. These advantages must be balanced against a potential increase in *Bacillus*population. Further research will focus on investigating the influence of soy fortification
on microbial diversity during storage of garri.

Acknowledgments

- N. N. Ahaotu is grateful to the Federal University of Technology, Owerri for funding this
- research through the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund) intervention programme.

350 **REFERENCES**

- 351 Achinewu, S.C., Barber, L.I., Ijeoma, I.O. 1998. Physicochemical properties and
- garification (gari yield) of selected cassava cultivars in Rivers State, Nigeria. Plant Food
- 353 Hum. Nutr. 52, 133 140.
- Adebayo-Oyetoro, A.O., Oyewole, O.B., Obadina, A.O., Omemu, M.A., 2013.
- 355 Microbiological safety assessment of fermented cassava flour 'lafun' available in Ogun
- 356 and Oyo States of Nigeria. Int. J. Food Sci. 2013, 845324.
- 357 Adewumi, G.A., Oguntoyinbo, F.A., Keisam, S., Romi, W., Jeyaram, K. 2013
- 358 Combination of culture-independent and culture-dependent methods for determination
- of bacterial community of *iru*, fermented from *Parkia biglobosa* seeds. Front. Microbiol.
- 360 3, 436.
- 361 Agbon, A. C., Ngozi, E. O., Onabanjo, O. O. 2010. Production and nutrient composition
- of fufumade from a mixture of cassava and cowpea flours. J. Culinary Sci. Technol. 8,
- 363 147-157.

- Ahaotu, I., Ogueke, C.C., Owuamanam, C.I., Ahaotu, N.N., Nwosu, J.N., 2011. Protein
- improvement in gari by the use of pure cultures of microorganisms involved in the
- natural fermentation process. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 14, 933-938.
- 367 Akindahunsi, A.A., Oboh, G., Oshodi, A.A., 1999. Effect of fermenting cassava with
- 368 Rhizopusoryzae on the chemical composition of its flour and garri. La Rivista Italiane
- 369 Delle Sostazze Grasse, 76, 437-440.
- 370 Akingbala, J. O., Oyewole, O. B., Uzo-Peters, P. I., Karim, R. O., Baccus-Taylor, G. S.
- 371 H.,2005. Evaluating stored cassava quality in gari production. J. Food Agric. Environ.3,
- 372 75-80.
- 373 Amoa-Awua, W. K. A., Jakobsen, M., 1995. The role of *Bacillus* spp. in cassava
- 374 fermentation. Appl. Bacteriol. 79, 250 256.
- Amoa-Awua, W. K. A., Appoh, F., Jakobsen, M., 1996. Lactic acid fermentation of
- 376 cassava into agbelima. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 31, 87 98.
- 377 AOAC, 2006. Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official and Analytical
- 378 Chemists' 18th ed. D. C Publishing, Washington.
- 379 Anyogu, A., Awamaria, B., Sutherland, J.P., Ouoba, L.I.I., 2014. Molecular
- 380 characterisation and antimicrobial activity of bacteria associated with submerged lactic
- acid cassava fermentation. Food Control, 39, 119-127.
- Arisa N.U., Omosaiye, O.B., Adelekan, A.O., Alabi-Macfoy, A., 2011. Chemical and
- 383 sensory qualities of garri fortified with groundnut flour. Afr. J. Food Sci. Technol.2, 116-
- 384 119.

- Bradbury, M. G., Egan, S. V., Bradbury, J. H., 1999. Determination of all forms of
- 386 cyanogens in cassava roots and cassava products using picrate paper kits. J. Sci. Food
- 387 Agric. 79, 593-601.
- Coulin, P., Farah, Z., Assanvo, J., Spillman, H., Puhan, Z., 2006. Characterisation of the
- 389 microflora of attieke, a fermented cassava product during traditional small scale
- 390 preparation. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 106, 131-136.
- Dakwa, S., Sakyi, D., Diako, E., Annan, N. T., AmoaAwua, W. F., 2005. Effect of boiling
- and roasting on the fermentation of soybeans into dawadawa (soy-dawadawa). Int. J.
- 393 Food Microbiol. 104, 69 82.
- 394 Ernesto, M.S., Cardoso, A.P., Cliff, J., Bradbury J. H., 2000. Cyanogens in cassava
- 395 flour and roots and urinary thiocynate concentration in Mozambique. J. Food Comp.
- 396 Anal.13, 1-12.
- 397 Eke, U.B., Owalude, S.O., Usman, L.A., 2008. Fortification of a cassava meal (gari) with
- 398 soybean protein extract. Adv. Nat. Appl. Sci. 2, 60-62.
- 399 Gregersen, T. (1978). Rapid methods for distinction of Gram-Negative from Gram-
- 400 Positive bacteria. Eur. J. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.5, 123 127.
- 401 Igoe, R. S., Hui, Y. H., 2001. Dictionary of food ingredients. Springer Science and
- 402 Business Media. Fourth edition. pp 135 136.
- Ikegwu, O. J., Nwobasi, V. N., Odoh, M. O., Liedinma, N. U., 2009. Evaluation of
- 404 pasting and some functional properties of starch isolated from some improved cassava
- varieties in Nigeria. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 8, 2310-2315.
- 406 Kim, O.S., Cho, Y.J., Lee, K., Yoon, S.H., Kim, M., Na, H., Park, S.C., Jeon, Y.S., Lee,
- 407 J.H., Yi, H., Won, S., Chun, J., 2012. Introducing EzTaxon: a prokaryotic 16S rRNA

- 408 Gene sequence database with phylotypes that represent uncultured species. Int. J.
- 409 Syst.Evol.Microbiol. 62, 716–721.
- 410 Kostinek, M. Specht, I. Edward, V.A. Schillinger, U. Hertel, C., Holzapfel, W.H., Franz,
- 411 C.M., 2005. Diversity and technological properties of predominant lactic acid bacteria
- 412 from fermented cassava used for the preparation of gari, a traditional African food. Syst.
- 413 Appl. Microbiol. 28, 527–540.
- 414 Mante, E.S., Sakyi-Dawson, E., Amoa-Awua, W.K. 2003. Antimicrobial interactions of
- 415 microbial species involved in the fermentation of cassava dough into agbelima with
- 416 particular reference to the inhibitory effect of lactic acid bacteria on enteric pathogens.
- 417 Int. J. Food Microbiol. 89, 41–50.
- Obatolu, V. A., Osho, S. M., 1992. Nutritional evaluation of staple foods in Lagos State.
- 419 (New project). In second year technical report. April 1, 1991 to April 30, 1992.
- 420 IDRC/IITA soybean utilization project phase. Osho, S. M. and K. E. Daniels (eds) IITA,
- 421 Ibadan, Nigeria. 194-207.
- Oboh, G. O., Akindahunsi, A. A., 2003. Biochemical changes in cassava products (flour
- 423 and garri) subjected to Saccharomyces cerevisiae solid media fermentation. Food
- 424 Chem. 82, 599-602.
- Obilie, E. M., Tano-Debrah, K., Amoa-Awua, W. K., 2003. Microbial modification of the
- 426 texture of grated cassava during fermentation into akyeke. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 89,
- 427 275-280.
- 428 Obilie, E. M., Tano-Deborah, K., Amoa-Awua, W.K. 2004. Souring and breakdown of
- 429 cyanogenic glucoside during the processing of cassava into akyeke. Int. J Food
- 430 Microbiol. 93,115-121.

- 431 Ogbo, F.C., Onuegbu, J.A., Achi, O.K., 2009. Improvement of protein content of *garri* by
- inoculation of cassava mash with biomass from palm wine. Am. J. Food Technol. 4, 60-
- 433 65.
- 434 Ogunshe, A. A.O., Omotosho, M. O., Ayansina, A.D.V., 2007. Microbial studies and
- 435 biochemical characteristics of controlled fermented afiyo- a Nigerian fermented food
- 436 condiment from *Prosopisafricana* (Guill and Perr.) Taub. Pak. J.Nutr. 6, 620-627.
- Oluwole, O.B., Olatunjii O. O., Odunfa S. A., (2008). Development and evaluation of a
- process technology for conversion of dried cassava chips into *garri*. J. Ind. Res. Tech.
- 439 2, 21-30.
- Omafuvbe, B. O., Shonukan, O. O., Abiose, S. H. 2000. Microbiological and
- 441 biochemical changes in the traditional fermentation of soybean for 'soy-daddawa' -
- 442 Nigerian food condiment. Food Microbiol. 17, 469 474.
- Omafuvbe, B.O., Adigun, A.R., Ogunsuyi, J.L. & Asunmo, A.M., 2007. Microbial diversity
- in Ready-To-Eat fufu and Lafun-fermented cassava products sold in Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
- 445 Res. J. Microbiol. 2, 831-837.
- 446 Ouoba, L.I.I., Parkouda, C., Diawara, B., Scotti, C., Varnam, A.H., 2008. Identification
- of Bacillus spp. from bikalga, fermented seeds of Hibiscus sabdariffa: phenotypic and
- 448 genotypic characterisation. J. Appl. Microbiol. 104, 122–131.
- Oyewole, O.B., Odunfa, S.A., 1988. Microbiological studies on cassava fermentation for
- 450 'lafun' production. Food Microbiol. 5, 125-133.
- Udoro, E.O., Kehinde, A.T., Olasunkanmi, S.G., Charles, T.A., 2014. Studies on the
- 452 physicochemical, functional and sensory properties of *gari* processed from dried
- 453 cassava chips. J. Food Process. Technol. 5, 1000293.

454	Tawo, E.N., Abara, A.E., Malu, S.P., Alobi, N.O., 2009. Evaluation of pH levels in some
455	common carbohydrate food items consumed by communities in the central senatorial
456	district of Cross River State, South-South of Nigeria. Pak. J. Nutr.8, 1387 -1390.
457	Tsav-Wua, J.A., Inyang, C.U., Akpapunam, M.A., 2004. Microbiological quality of
458	fermented cassava flour 'kporumilin.' Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 55, 317-324.
459	Weaver, C.M., Daniel, J. R. 2003. Laboratory flour mixtures in: The Food chemistry
460	laboratory 2 nd edition, CRS Press, pp 85-89.
461	
462	
463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	

Table 1: Identification of microorganisms from control and soy supplemented fermenting cassava mash

Time of	Control			MSF -fortified			SP-fortified		
fermentation/Ori gin ^a	Bacteria	Rep- PCR pattern ^b	Identification ^c	Bacteria	Rep- PCR pattern	Identification	Bacteria	Rep- PCR patter n	Identification
0 h	A1	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A42	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A64	5	Weissella cibaria
	A2	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A36	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL40	29	Staphylococcus gallinarium
	A3	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A37	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL43	30	Staphylococcus gallinarium
	A4	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A38	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL42	33	Staphylococcus sciuri
	A5	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A39	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL44	33	Staphylococcus sciuri
	A6	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A40	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL41	32	Staphylococcus epidermidis
	A7	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A41	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides			
	NL51	7	Pantoea dispersa	NL56	15	Clostridium beijerinckii			
	NL1	9	Microbacterium paraoxydans	NL58	15	Clostridium beijerinckii			
	NL52	10	Microbacterium azadirachtae	NL53	16	Clostridium beijerinckii			
	NL53	11	Microbacterium azadirachtae	NL19	17	Bacillus cereus sensu lato			
	NL2	12	Exiguobacterium indicium	NL26	17	Bacillus cereus sensu lato			
	NL3	12	Exiguobacterium indicum	NL22	22	Bacillus mojavensis			
	NL4	13	Pseudomonas hibiscicola	NL29	26	Bacillus pumilus			
	NL5	14	Acinetobacter oleivorans	NL24	24	Bacillus aerophilus			
	NL7	14	Acinetobacter oleivorans	NL25	35	Paenibacillus pabuli			
	NL6	34	Staphylococcus warneri	NL55	35	Paenibacillus pabuli			
	NL8	37	Brachybacterium rhamnosus	NL31	35	Paenibacillus pabuli			
				NL21	28	Chryseobacterium bernadetii			
				NL23	12	Exiguobacterium indicum			
24 h	A14	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A43	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A65	5	Weissella cibaria
	A15	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A44	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A66	5	Weissella cibaria
	A8	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A45	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A67	5	Weissella cibaria
	A9	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A46	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A68	5	Weissella cibaria
	A10	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A47	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A69	5	Weissella cibaria
	A11	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL32	18	Bacillus cereus sensu lato	A70	5	Weissella cibaria
	A12	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL33	12	Exiguobacterium indicum	A71	4	Lactococcus lactis
	A13	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	NL34	36	Serratia nematodiphila	NL45	31	Staphylococcus gallinarum
	NL9	38	Klebsiella variicola				NL46	39	Raoultella planticola

^aOrigin – Non-supplemented (Control), MSF (Malted soy flour), SP (soy protein) ^bRep-PCR, Repetitive sequence based PCR ^cIdentification based on 16S rRNA gene sequences

474 Table 1(contd.): Identification of microorganisms from control and soy supplemented fermenting cassava mash

Time of	Control (Unfortified)			MSF-fortified			SP - fortif	SP - fortified		
fermentation/Ori gin ^a	Bacteria	Rep-PCR pattern ^b	Identification ^c	Bacteria	Rep- PCR pattern	Identification	Bacteria	Rep- PCR pattern	Identification	
24 h	NL10	8	Pantoea eucalypti				NL47	19	Bacillus cereus sensu lato	
	NL11	36	Serratia nematodiphila							
	NL12	36	Serratia nematodiphila							
	NL13	36	Serratia nematodiphila							
	NL14	41	Staphylococcus							
			saprophyticus							
48 h	A16	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A48	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A72	2	Leuconostoc lactis	
	A17	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A49	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A73	2	Leuconostoc lactis	
	A18	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A50	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A74	4	Lactococcus lactis	
	A19	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A51	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A75	5	Weissella cibaria	
	A20	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A52	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A76	5	Weissella cibaria	
	A21	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A53	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A77	5	Weissella cibaria	
	A22	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A54	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A78	5	Weissella cibaria	
	A23	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A55	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A79	5	Weissella cibaria	
	A26	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides				A80	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	
	A24	6	Lactobacillus plantarum				A81	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	
	A25	6	Lactobacillus plantarum				A82	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	
	NL15	34	Staphylococcus warneri				NL48	39	Raoultella planticola	
	NL16	21	Bacillus cereus sensu lato	· ·			NL49	39	Raoultella planticola	
	NL17	21	Bacillus cereus sensu lato				NL50	39	Raoultella planticola	
72 h	A27	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A60	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A83	4	Lactococcus lactis	
	A28	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A61	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A84	5	Weissella cibaria	
	A29	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A62	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A85	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	
	A30	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A63	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A86	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	
	A31	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A57	1	Lactobacillus plantarum	A87	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	
	A35	1	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	A58	1	Lactobacillus plantarum	A88	3	Leuconostoc fallax	
	A32	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	A59	1	Lactobacillus plantarum	A89	3	Leuconostoc fallax	
	A33	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	NL35	20	Bacillus cereus sensu lato				
	A34	6	Lactobacillus plantarum	NL36	23	Bacillus cereus sensu lato				
	NL54	27	Bacillus aryabhattai	NL37	25	Bacillus aerophilus				
	NL18	20	Bacillus cereus sensu lato	NL38	25	Bacillus aerophilus				
				NL39	40	Lysinibacillus macroides				

^aOrigin – Unfortified cassava (Control), MSF (Malted soy flour), SP (soy protein) ^bRep-PCR, Repetitive sequence based PCR ^cIdentification based on 16S rRNA gene sequences

Table 2 Effect of fortification with soy products on the chemical composition of garri

	Parameters					* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Samples	Protein (%)	Fat (%)	Ash (%)	Moisture (%)	Total cyanide (mg	рН	Titratable
					kg ⁻¹)		acidity (%)
Control	0.73 <u>+</u> 0.12 ^b	0.39 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^b	1.06 <u>+</u> 0.80 ^b	6.30 <u>+</u> 0.55 ^a	26.41 + 9.80 ^a	4.79 + 1.14°	0.54 + 0.003a
MSF	10.17 <u>+</u> 0.44 ^a	4.13 <u>+</u> 0.09 ^a	1.90 <u>+</u> 0.42 ^{ab}	5.56 <u>+</u> 0.61 ^b	11.08 + 3.91 ^b	$4.96 + 0.90^{b}$	$0.63 + 0.003^{a}$
SP	10.05 <u>+</u> 2.02 ^a	1.17 <u>+</u> 2.91 ^b	2.09 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^a	6.38 <u>+</u> 0.69 ^a	11.02 + 2.53 ^b	$5.16 + 0.86^{a}$	$0.81 + 0.004^{a}$

Values represent means of duplicate experiments \pm standard deviation. Values with the same superscript in a column are not significantly different (p < 0.05).

Keys: Control = Unfortified MSF = Malted soy flour SP = Soy protein.

Table 3: Sensory attributes of eba produced from soy-fortified garri

Sample/Time of	Texture	Colour	Aroma	Bolus	General
fermentation				formation	acceptability
Control/0 h	6.70 ± 1.66^{a}	6.00 ± 2.00^{b}	7.95 ± 1.05 ^a	7.30 ± 2.00^{a}	6.85 ± 1.76 ^a
Control/24 h	6.55 ± 1.88^{a}	6.45 ± 1.36^{a}	5.30 ± 1.95^{a}	5.40 ± 1.79 ^a	5.85 ±1.60 ^a
Control/48 h	7.25 ±1.62 ^a	6.80 ± 1.96^{a}	7.30 ± 1.38^{a}	7.30 ± 1.26 ^a	7.40 ± 1.60^{a}
Control/72 h	7.70 ± 1.38^{a}	7.20 ± 1.94^{a}	7.50 ±1.15 ^a	7.25 ± 1.59 ^a	7.50 ± 1.47^{a}
MSF/0 h	6.05 ± 2.31^{b}	5.55 ± 1.93^{b}	5.20 ± 1.99^{a}	6.35 ± 1.95^{a}	6.10 ± 1.92 ^a
MSF/24 h	6.00 ± 2.00^{b}	5.80 ± 2.09^{b}	4.55 ± 2.33^{a}	6.00 ± 1.86^{a}	5.70 ± 1.87^{a}
MSF/48 h	7.55 ± 1.51 ^a	6.95 ± 1.64^{a}	5.35 ± 2.03^{a}	6.35 ± 2.06^{a}	6.55 ±1.73 ^a
MSF/72 h	7.45 ± 1.61 ^a	6.75 ± 1.62^a	5.20 ± 2.07^{a}	6.05 ± 1.93^{a}	6.40 ± 1.54^{a}
SP/0 h	7.20 ± 1.67^{a}	6.75 ± 1.59^a	6.40 ± 1.96^{a}	6.35 ± 1.84 ^a	6.45 ± 1.57 ^a
SP/24 h	6.80 ± 2.09^{a}	7.05 ± 1.39^a	6.95 ± 1.43^{a}	6.50 ± 1.88^a	6.65 ± 1.42^{a}
SP/48 h	7.25 ± 1.65 ^a	7.45 ± 1.36^{a}	6.95 ± 1.23^{a}	7.00 ± 1.59^{a}	7.15 ± 1.69 ^a
SP/72 h	7.65 ± 1.27 ^a	7.00 ±1.49 ^a	6.35 ± 1.76 ^a	6.40 ± 1.76^{a}	6.75 ± 1.77 ^a

Values are means + standard deviation of twenty panellists. Values with the same

superscript in a column are not significantly different (p \leq 0.05).

500 Keys:

505

506

507

508

509

510

496

497

501 Control= Garri made from unfortified cassava mash

502 MSF = Malted soy flour

503 SP = Soy protein

504 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h = Time of cassava fermentation before garification

511	Figure Caption
512	Fig 1: Flow chart of the preparation of soy protein and malted soy flour fortified garri
513	Fig 2: Dendrogram of cluster analysis of rep-PCR fingerprints of lactic acid bacteria and
514	aerobic mesophiles isolated from control and soy-fortified cassava mash. The
515	dendrogram is based on Dice's coefficient of similarity with the unweighted pair method
516	with arithmetic averages clustering algorithm (UPGMA). Numbers in brackets represent
517	the rep group number.
518	
519	
520	
521	
522	