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**INTRODUCTION**

This Mentorship Pilot project was commissioned by Health Education North West London (HENWL) and was designed to support General Practice Nurses (GPN) and Primary Care in the implementation of Shaping a Healthier Future (2013).

The project aimed to pilot a model of education and skills development to enhance and extend the skills of GPNs in practice and support the shift of care from the hospital setting towards delivering care in the community and primary care setting (Shaping a Healthier Future, 2013). Following workforce planning forecasts we know that there will be a need to recruit 78% more nurses into Primary care over the next 5 years. Efforts to achieve this require a focus on developing knowledge and skills appropriate to primary and community care environments, ensuring a quality service is supported by safe practice.

This pilot set out to support and enhance the education and training of registered nurses as they transfer their skills from experienced roles in secondary care to new posts in primary care. In addition, the pilot has facilitated GPN’s to function as mentors and develop leadership skills.

The project:

1) Identified experienced nurses with an interest in developing skills in primary care

2) Matched nurses to GPN mentors in general practice to support practice learning.

3) Developed GPN’s as mentors and leaders.

**METHODS**

**Phases**

The Primary Care Mentorship Project comprised three concurrent phases. Phase one focused on recruiting qualified primary care nurses mentors who had completed the University of West London (UWL) NMC approved mentorship course to become ‘live mentors’. This course was delivered at UWL and consisted of three face to face study days and three e-study days. In addition those attending completed a practical teaching assessment, competency assessment with a virtual student; an optional 2000 word essay was completed by those wishing to achieve academic credit for the module. Phase two involved the recruitment of registered nurses from secondary care to undertake the LondonWide Local Medical Committee (LMC) General Practice Nurse Course (GPNC) to acquire the foundation knowledge and skills to contribute to the Primary Care service. Phase three focused on auditing and supporting clinical placements for the mentees in a GP practice so they could gain practical experience. Mentees were required to do a minimum of 158 hours over a four-month period for theory and practice; mentors were required to commit up to 75 hours to facilitate mentee learning and development.

The project was evaluated using standard course evaluations and additional survey instruments devised specifically for the course (Appendix 1, 2, 6). Surveys were completed by mentors, mentees and feedback was sought from patients who had consulted the mentee.

**Project Management**

The project team (JFB, RO, GC and HL) met monthly to monitor progress and devise evaluation tools. A Project Advisor Group provided advice on recruitment processes and evaluation tools via email and telephone meetings.

Mentors and mentees were recruited using several approaches; GPN’s who had completed courses at UWL were mailed directly and invited to apply for the mentorship course. Lead practice nurses in all eight Clinical Commissioning Groups were emailed and the HENWL Practice Nurse Steering Group member and Ealing CCG Nurse Representative disseminated information about the pilot to GPs in Ealing to build awareness and support recruitment. In addition RO gave presentations at the Practice Nurse Forum’s across all eight CCGs and CCG Board meetings in Brent, Harrow and Ealing.

In addition potential mentors and practice managers were contacted via email, telephone and face-to-face meetings to explain the purpose of the course the expectations of general practices that wished to act as clinical placements for mentees. Potential mentees were interviewed on the telephone to ensure suitability and to ensure they understood the pay scales and terms and conditions of contracts in primary care before making a commitment to the course (Appendix 7,8 ).Existing experienced mentors were located and asked to ‘buddy' a trainee mentor.

A strong partnership was established with the LMC to establish the content, timing and venue for bespoke study days. Study days, additional to the LMC course, for Ear Care, Childhood Immunisations and Cervical Cytology were scheduled at UWL for each cohort of practice nurse mentees; a six-hour leadership support programme for mentors and mentees was delivered in the workplace and at UWL.

Establishing appropriate practice learning environments for the students on the course involved formulating a guidance document for the practice learning hours and competences to be met whilst on placement (Appendix 9). Twenty two placements were successfully audited using the Practice Learning Collaboration Group (PLCG) April 2013 document (Appendix 10); this audit is valid for five years. Data collection tools for student, mentor and patient feedback were developed and piloted for use (Appendix 1, 2, 6,8).

While auditing was undertaken, legal contracts between UWL and GP Practice placements (Appendix 11) were prepared and indemnity insurance for the students established. This process took considerable time with some delay to the project.

**RESULTS**

**Recruiting Mentors & Mentees**

The intention was to recruit twenty one mentors to facilitate learning and this was achieved. Twenty mentors completed the programme and one person had to withdraw for personal reasons. Potential mentors were recruited throughout the pilot period; September 2014 (7), November 2014 (6) and February & March 2015 (6), May 2015 (1). Twenty Practice Nurses undertook training at UWL and 19 passed the course. One mentor failed and decided not re-submit. Three existing mentors in practice placements attended a mandatory annual update prior to accepting a mentee for placement.

**Mentors recruited accorded to CCG:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CCG | **Number of mentors recruited** |
| Brent CCG | 1 |
| Ealing CCG | 6 |
| West London CCG | 4 |
| Hillingdon CCG | 3 |
| Harrow CCG | 2 |
| Central London (Westminster) CCG | 2 |
| Hounslow CCG | 1 |
| Hammersmith Fulham CCG | 1 |
| **TOTAL** | 20 |

The pilot recruited 31 Mentees; September 2014 (9), February 2015 (9), March 2015 (12). Thirty mentees completed the course; one of those recruited was unable to continue the course as her adult nursing registration had lapsed although she was registered as a Midwife.

**Mentees recruited to complete the LMC General Practice Nurse Course:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date** | **Number of mentees recruited** |
| September 2014 | 9 |
| February 2015 | 9 |
| March 2015 | 12 |
| **TOTAL** | **30** |

Of the 30 mentees who successfully completed the GPNC 18 secured a post in primary care; six were recruited as practice nurses as they commenced the GPNC; four were recruited during the GPNC and a further eight secured a post after successful completion of the GPNC. Of the other recruits, three failed to complete placements due personal circumstances; four have been granted extensions and will complete in March 2016 and five have decided to remain in secondary care as they don’t feel the time is right for them to make that transition. One student failed the LMC competencies but has continued in Primary Care having secured a post in a GP practice where they are willing to support her continued development.

**Attendance at additional study sessions:**

**Leadership study sessions:**

Delivery of Leadership Sessions for mentors and mentees was delivered over three 2 hour sessions. 8 mentors and 12 mentees attended.

**Ear care, Child Immunisations & Cervical Cytology study sessions:**

**Patient Experience Feedback**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Positive** | **Needs Improvement** | **YES** | **NO** | **Additional Comments** |
| **How was your overall experience with the trainee practice nurse?** | 34/34 |  |  |  | “Excellent” |
| **From your experience did the nurse have the knowledge and skills to ‘treat you’?** |  |  | 34/34 |  |  |
| **Did the nurse treat you with dignity and respect throughout the consultation?** |  |  | 34/34 |  |  |
| **In your opinion how do you feel about your practice being involved in training student nurses?** | 34/34 |  |  |  | “This is a wonderful idea. How else will they become competent practitioners” |

Of the 34 patient experience feedback forms we received back, they were all positive. All patients stated their overall experience with the trainee practice nurse as being ‘positive’.

Additional comments were also stated:

“NW was very presentable, knowledgeable and fun to be with during my visit to the clinic”.

“She was great. There needs to be more like her”.

“She made me feel at ease during my diabetic review”.

**EVALUATION**

All mentees and mentors were asked to complete online surveys to evaluate the pilot towards the end of their placements **(See separate attachment for survey results: Appendix 3 & 4).** The response rate for the Mentee survey was 25/31 (80%); Mentor survey 18/21 (85%).

Ninety two percent of mentees reported a high overall satisfaction and commented on the informative content of the study days and supportive nature of the lecturers and mentors. Elements of the LMC course were evaluated for how they assisted mentees to meet the GPNC competences; the flexibility of the course was deemed helpful (15/25) or extremely helpful (10/25); face to face study days were viewed as helpful 9/25 or extremely helpful 15/25; online learning was also evaluated as helpful 10/25 or extremely helpful 15/25. When asked if completing the LMC course would have prepared them adequately to work as a Practice Nurse 16/25 felt that this was sufficient, with 9/25 indicating to the contrary. Almost all students (24/25) said that they would recommend both the LMC Course and additional study days and the practice experience to a colleague who was considering becoming a practice nurse.

Mentees reported that they were able to build a positive working relationship with their mentor (23/25); mentors reported as approachable (23/25) Accessible (18/25) Supportive (20/25) experience (20/25) and motivating (19/25). One student reported a negative experience with their allocated mentor. The most useful elements of being mentored were reported as supervised practice (22/25) and observing the mentor (22/25).

Mentors also reported that their experience of the pilot project was positive 12/18 with 6/18 identifying that some improvement was required. Acting as a mentor was reported as impacting on workload by 12 of the 18 respondents with some mentors indicating that some clinic time was lost and that ‘backfill’ was difficult to organise. Sixteen respondents reported that the mentorship course was good (13) or excellent (3) preparation for the role. Most mentors found the mentor buddy system helpful and supportive. Of the 18 mentors 15 indicated that their GP practice would continue to support learners and that they would want to act as mentors again; in addition they felt that the mentor/ mentee relationship was positive (16/18) and had enhanced student learning and, similar to the mentee responses, that the most useful elements of the programme for mentees had been supervised practice and observation of practice.

The evaluation feedback has allowed us to streamline the recruitment process by ensuring all students are vetted immediately on enquiry. The recruitment process requires applicants to fill in a questionnaire and have a telephone interview to assess commitment to the course. This ensures we do not recruit unsuitable candidates. **(See separate attachment: Appendix 7,8).**

All practice placements found this opportunity an enjoyable experience; it gave them an insight as to how they could develop into a potential training hub for all members of the multi- disciplinary team.

Following on from the pilot, three of twenty two practice placements have been unable to commit to taking on a student due to practice commitments for project ‘My Next Step Transition to General practice nursing’ which commenced in November 2015. However, they remain keen and have requested to be considered for the next intake (January 2016).

**Appendix 1**

**Course Evaluation Questionnaire**

****

**Training Sessions for Nurses New to Primary Care**

**Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Pilot**

**Evaluation Questionnaire**

**Training Session attended: Ear Care, Cervical Cytology, Childhood Imms** (please circle)

**Date:**

**Nurse Educator:**

**Employer area (please tick) Do not name your Employer:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Primary Care** | **Community** | **Other (specify)** |  | **CCG** |

*The training Sessions were funded by Health Education North West London (HENWL) for nurses wanting to work in primary care. Your responses to this questionnaire will allow nurse educators to understand your experience of the training session you attended. Please help by filling in this form. Your response will remain anonymous.*

**Before you respond: consider that the relevance of the Update Session may not be now but how it may influence your practice in the coming months:**

**‘The Update Session that I attended was …. *Please circle:***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Highly relevant in enabling me to do a better job.’ | Relevant in enabling me to do a better job.’ | Of limited relevance in enabling me to do a better job.’ | Of no relevance in enabling me to do my job.’ |

**Please indicate what you liked about this Training Session in enabling you to do your job better:**

**Please indicate what you thought was least relevant about this Training Session in relation to your job:**

**Please use this space to make any other comments which you feel may help nurse educators to improve the delivery of this Training Session.**

*Continued on back*

**What additional topics, training, or courses would you like to be made available for you in the future?**

**How often do you feel Update Training Sessions of this type should be made available?**

**Additional comments?**

**Please comment about the following statements:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Please tick appropriate column.** | Strongly agree | Agree | Neither agree  nor disagree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Question  Not Applicable |
| 1. The content of the Session was interesting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The teaching sessions were helpful in furthering my understanding of the subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The information learned in this session will help me to improve my ability to provide care for patients where I work |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The information learned in this session will help me to improve my skills and competency as a primary care nurse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The teacher of this session was knowledgeable about the subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. The teaching environment was satisfactory |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Thank you for your time in completing this form**

**Appendix 2**

Post Evaluation Survey templates for mentor and mentee- See attachment

**Appendix 3**

Mentee Post Evaluation Survey Results – See attachment

**Appendix 4**

Mentor Post Evaluation Survey Results- See attachment

**Appendix 10**

Practice Learning Collaboration Group (PLCG) April 2013 document - See attachment

**Appendix 11**

Practice placement contact between UWL and placement- See attachment

**Appendix 5**

**Number of Post evaluation surveys completed by mentors and mentees**



**Appendix 6**

**Patient Experience Feedback Form**



**Patient Experience Feedback Form**

Your GP Practice is taking part in a Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Project facilitated by the University of West London and funded by Health Education North West London. The project aims to advance the skills of nurses in general practice and primary care.

We would be grateful for your feedback on your experience with your trainee practice nurse which will be used as part of the evaluation for this project.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this patient experience feedback form.

1. How was your overall experience with the trainee practice nurse?

Positive

Needs improvement

If needs improvements please explain:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

1. From your experience did the nurse have the knowledge and skills to ‘treat you’?

Yes

No

If No what could have been improved?

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

1. Did the nurse treat you with dignity and respect throughout the consultation?

Yes

No

1. In your opinion how do you feel about your practice being involved in training student nurses?

Positive

Needs improvement

If needs improvement why and in what?

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Thank you. Your feedback will help us with research being carried out across North West London on nurse training.

**Please hand this form back to your practice nurse.**

**Appendix 7**

**Telephone Interview Checklist**



**Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Pilot**

Mentee Recruitment Checklist

Date of telephone interview:

Name:

Place of work:

Home address:

Email address:

Contact number:

Registered Pin Number as RGN with NMC:

Qualified since:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | YES | NO | ADDITIONAL COMMENTS |
| Introduced the Practice Nurse and Mentorship Pilot Project funded by HENWL |  |  |  |
| Discussed what the LMC course will consist of ( 8 online modules and 2 face to face study days) |  |  |  |
| Participant has confirmed they are eligible to work in UK |  |  |  |
| Participant has confirmed they have been a RGN with the NMC in the UK for over 2 years |  |  |  |
| Participant confirmed they have no ‘recent’ cautions or convictions (DBS) |  |  |  |
| Chat with candidate to ensure they fully understand the terms and conditions of employment and that they will not carry over any benefits accrued in the NHS. |  |  |  |
| Chat regarding motivation for changing to primary care. |  |  |  |

Data Protection Notice

The Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Pilot is commissioned and funded by Health Education North West London (HENWL). The University of West London may have to provide some of your personal data such as your name and work email addresses to HENWL and/or Clinical Commissioning Groups if requested by them. This is to enable HENWL to assess the effectiveness of the Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Pilot and to notify you of future training opportunities.

By participating in the Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Pilot you confirm that you understand and agree that your personal data may be processed for these purposes and disclosed to HENWL and Clinical Commissioning Groups.

**Appendix 8**

**Application Form**



**Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Pilot**

Mentee Recruitment Application

|  |
| --- |
| Name:  Place of work:  Home address:  Email address:  Contact number:  Registered Pin Number as RGN with NMC:  Qualified since: |

1. What is your current job role?
2. What is your work history?
3. What is your motivation to move into primary care from Secondary care?
4. What transferrable skills do you have that you can feel can be transferred into Primary Care?
5. What existing knowledge and skills would you like to strengthen through working with a mentor in Primary Care?
6. Are you able to commit to 150 hrs for 4 months to be mentored ( 6-8 hrs a week) by a mentor in his/her place of work?
7. Are you able to commit to two face to face study days on the LMC Basic Practice Nurse course and complete 8 online learning modules ( with the support of a mentor facilitating the learning around the modules)?
8. Attend a 2 day Immunisation and Anaphylaxis study day, an Ear Care study day and Cervical Cytology training day?

**Data Protection Notice**

The Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Pilot is commissioned and funded by Health Education North West London (HENWL). The University of West London may have to provide some of your personal data such as your name and work email addresses to HENWL and/or Clinical Commissioning Groups if requested by them. This is to enable HENWL to assess the effectiveness of the Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Pilot and to notify you of future training opportunities.

By participating in the Primary Care Nurse Education and Mentorship Pilot you confirm that you understand and agree that your personal data may be processed for these purposes and disclosed to HENWL and Clinical Commissioning Groups.

**Appendix 9- Breakdown of hours (time commitments of mentee and mentor)**



**Breakdown of hours (time commitments of mentee and mentor)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Module** | **Mentee observation time and time commitments** | **Hours** | **Mentor teaching time commitment** | **Hours** |
| 1. Consultations | Observation. | 20 hrs | Carrying out | 20 hrs |
| 1. Emergency situations |  |  | Going over differences between Secondary and Primary care | 2 hrs |
| 1. Infection Control |  |  | Going over differences between Secondary and Primary care | 2 hrs |
| 1. Cytology | 2 sessions observing | 6 hrs | 5 observed and 20 alone (with mentor present) | 3x3 hr  Sessions  (9hrs) |
| 1. Immunisations | 2 Observed sessions | 6 hrs | Do x2 observed sessions | 6 hrs |
| 1. Ear care | 1 Observed session | 3 hrs | 2 sessions being observed | 9 hrs |
| 1. Travel Health | 3 Observing sessions | 9 hrs | 2 sessions observed | 6 hrs |
| 1. CASH and Family Planning. | Observe 2 sessions. Facilitate then in an FP Clinic.  Observe sessions during normal consultations. | 12 hrs | CASH  Observe a basic pill check.  Do enough to equate to 1 observed session | 3 hrs |
| Wound Management | 1 session with Tissue Viability nurse  Observed in practice or 2 sessions in a dressing clinic with the district nurse. | 8 hrs | Observed and assessed | 2 hrs |
| Health promotion and screening | Observing during consultations.  Pick out Health promotion issues. | In consultations  10 hrs | Discuss with mentor | Hrs with consultation hours. |
| INR training session | Lecture at the University and sit in on a session with a provider practice. | 3 hrs | Only observe a session |  |
| Time with other members of the practice staff.  Admin  GP  Pharmacist  Practice manager | observation | 10 hrs |  |  |
| Learning Clinical system | Learning with mentor Protected time | 6 hrs | Teaching clinical system and completing competency paperwork.  Writing out programme | 16 hours |
|  |  | 93 hours in practice  1 days cervical cytology  2 days immunisation course  1 day ear care course |  | 75 hours mentoring |

Mentor 75 hrs commitment: 65 hours with the student and 10 hours of planning and facilitation.

Mentee: 65 hrs and 93 hrs = 158hrs. Not all in the practice. (9 hrs per week over 16 weeks)

These hours are a guide and can be shortened if the student meets all the learning outcomes.

If the student is having difficulties and objectives will not be met within the allocated time please let the University know.